Notice Regarding Page Order and Document Assembly

The compiler respectfully apologizes for any instances in which pages or exhibits may appear slightly out of chronological or thematic order.

This dossier was assembled under severe technical and accessibility constraints. Due to ongoing cyber-related interference and repeated hardware failures, I currently do not have access to a functioning personal computer. Multiple devices have become unusable, including one desktop system that arrived damaged before it could be safely or effectively used. Because I rely solely on a mobile device and limited disability income, replacing or safely configuring a new computer has not been possible.

As a result, this collection was compiled using mobile-only tools, which impose strict limitations on page reordering, memory usage, and document manipulation—particularly for large, image-heavy files of this size. Despite repeated attempts, available software would not permit reliable reordering without risking data loss or corruption.

Rather than risk altering or damaging evidentiary material, I chose to preserve all documents intact and prioritize completeness, authenticity, and traceability over cosmetic ordering. The materials are therefore presented as faithfully as possible in the form in which they were accessed, saved, or received.

Importantly, no documents were added, removed, or modified to compensate for these limitations. The integrity of the underlying records has been preserved so that reviewers may independently verify contents using official court systems, docketing platforms, mailing records, and technical tools.

I appreciate the reader’s understanding and encourage use of the Table of Contents and Index to navigate the collection efficiently.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Public Reference Dossier
Court Filings, Clerk Refusals, Mail Interference, and Technical Artifacts
Version 1.0

Front Matter

Explanatory Overview for Journalistic Review 1
Scope and Purpose of the Collection 1
Categories of Materials Included 2
Metadata Observations and Source Integrity 3
Alleged PACER Source and Website Evidence 4
Technical Appendix: PACER Architecture & Source-Code Anomalies 5
Forensic-Neutral Disclaimer & Credibility Statement 7
Limitations of This Collection 7
What These Materials Are — and Are Not 8
Why This Collection May Be Newsworthy 8
Verification Encouraged 9
Attribution and Intent 9

Section I — Technical & Infrastructure Exhibits

TLS / Certificate Viewer Screenshots (GeoTrust, DigiCert) 10–11
NDNY Website Interface Screenshots 12–15
Website Source Code & Asset Listings (JavaScript, CSS, Libraries) 16–17
Network Diagnostics (nslookup, ping, ARP tables) 18–19

Section II — Case Summaries & Docket References

UniCourt Case Summary Screenshots 20
USPS Tracking & Delivery Status Screenshots 21
PacerMonitor Docket Summaries (Civil Rights Actions) 22–23

Section III — Federal Civil Rights Litigation

Complaint for Violation of Civil Rights (42 U.S.C. § 1983)
Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al. 24–25
Filed Stamp and Clerk Pagination Details 25

Section IV — Federal Habeas Corpus Proceedings

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (28 U.S.C. § 2241) — Cover & Filing Views … 26

Habeas Corpus Petition — Full Filing

Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK, Document 1 …… 83–277
Government Response / Related Filings
Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK, Document 2 …… 278–323
Attachments and Sub-Exhibits (Documents 2-1, 2-2) …… 324–325

Section V — Judicial Disposition & Appellate Reference

Judgment in a Civil Case (Dismissal Without Prejudice) …… 326
Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure (Rule 4 Excerpts) …… 327

Section VI — Mailing, Delivery, and Administrative Evidence

Priority Mail / Certified Mail Exhibits …… (various)
Returned or Delayed Mail Documentation …… (various)

Section VII — Metadata & Provenance Reports

Metadata Reports (iText / CM-ECF Output Analysis) …… (various)
Hashes, Creation Dates, and Producer Identifiers …… (various)

Section VIII — Closing Context

Summary of Evidentiary Themes
Invitation for Independent Verification
Availability of Supplemental Materials

Explanatory Overview for Journalistic Review

Public Reference Collection — Court Filings, Clerk Refusals, Mail Interference, and Technical Evidence

This document accompanies a public reference collection containing court-related filings, communications, mailing records, and technical artifacts associated with multiple judicial forums and administrative processes. The purpose of this collection is to enable independent journalistic, legal, and technical review of materials that were presented for filing or service but were a refused, obstructed, altered, or interfered with, as well as to document related anomalies in mail handling and digital infrastructure.

I. Scope of the Collection

Unlike a typical single-court case file, this collection spans multiple jurisdictions and systems, including:

- Federal court filings and communications,
- New York State criminal, family, and surrogate court filings;
- Clerk-level filing refusals;
- USPS mail and delivery records;
- Telecommunications and utility application correspondence;
- Technical and network evidence relating to suspected cyber interference.

The materials are preserved in their original or near-original form to allow reviewers to examine formatting, timestamps, routing, metadata, and internal consistency without editorial modification.

II. Categories of Materials Included

1. Federal Court Materials (Public Reference Copies)

The pdf includes documents presented as filings and responses in a United States District Court matter, preserved to show captions, filing stamps, pagination, dates, and formatting. These are included for comparison against official federal court records and publicly accessible docketing systems.

2. State-Court Filings Allegedly Refused by Clerks

The collection also includes filings prepared for submission in New York State courts that were refused or not docketed by court clerks, including:

- A CPL § 440.10 motion presented to a County Court clerk and refused for filing;
- A petition to unseal adoption records presented to a Family Court clerk and refused for filing;
- Surrogate's Court documents related to estate and probate matters that were refused or not accepted for filing by the Surrogate's Court clerk.

These materials are included to permit independent examination of whether the filings conform to standard procedural requirements.

3. Mailing, Delivery, and Returned-Mail Evidence

The folder contains extensive USPS-related documentation, including:

- Certified and tracked mailing screenshots;
- Delivery status records;
- Returned mail;
- Correspondence sent in connection with applications for essential services, including an application for a Lifeline landline through Frontier, which was returned and mot successfully delivered.

These records are preserved to document mail routing, delays, nondelivery, or returns, and to allow review for potential mail interference or administrative failure.

4. Mail Fraud and Administrative Obstruction Indicators

Certain items are included because they reflect repeated failures of legally significant mail to reach its intended recipient or to be processed as expected, despite tracking or certification. These materials are presented without conclusions but may be relevant to evaluating whether ordinary administrative processes were disrupted.

5. Technical and Cyber-Intrusion Evidence

The collection includes technical artifacts and screenshots documenting suspected cyber interference, including:

- Screenshots of network diagnostics and IP information;
- Evidence showing a home network IP address resolving to the same longitude and latitude as the Gloversville Police Department;
- Indicators of possible network manipulation, interception, or misattribution of traffic;
- Website and infrastructure artifacts associated with interfaces presented as official or court-related.

These materials are included to allow independent technical analysis of whether network behavior is consistent with ordinary residential internet service or suggests interference, misrouting, or misuse of infrastructure.

6. Document Metadata and Source-Integrity Findings

This collection also includes metadata analyses of multiple documents presented as court filings. The metadata results are provided to support independent technical review of document provenance, handling, and integrity.

III. Metadata Observations

The metadata analysis reflects a single version of each document as it existed at the time of review. It does not show that the documents were edited or altered on my device. However, I did notice a change after I checked the metadata the first time on the Habeas Corpus filing and that metadata analysis along with the original document were lost or destroyed. It does, however, document creator/producer inconsistencies, delayed modification timestamps, and structural anomalies that warrant independent verification of the source from which the files were obtained.

These findings are presented without conclusions as to who, if anyone, altered the files, or why. They are included because metadata is commonly used in journalism, digital forensics, and litigation to evaluate authenticity, chain of custody, and handling practices.

7. Alleged PACER Source and Website Evidence

The documents analyzed here were downloaded from what appeared to be the federal courts' PACER system. However, evidence included in this folder raises questions about whether the website used was an authentic PACER interface or a look-alike site.

Specifically, the collection includes:

- Screenshots of website source code associated with the site from which the documents were downloaded;
- Source-level indicators showing use of common open-source frameworks and plugins, including real-time communication libraries such as socket.io, which are not typically associated with official District Court Website infrastructure;
- Visual and structural inconsistencies between the observed site and known federal judiciary web standards.

These materials are included to allow independent technical experts and journalists to evaluate whether the site conforms to known District Court website architecture, hosting practices, and security controls, or whether it may represent a spoofed, mirrored, or intermediary interface.

IV. Purpose of Including Metadata and Source Evidence

Metadata results and website artifacts are included for the following reasons:

- To document how the files behaved on the user's device over time;
- To allow comparison between document metadata and official court records;
- To enable independent assessment of whether the source of the documents was authentic;
- To preserve evidence that may be relevant to questions of file integrity, interception, or misrepresentation.

No claim is made in this collection that the documents are authentic, forged, or unlawfully altered. The materials are provided so that independent verification and technical analysis can be conducted using official court systems, known PACER access points, and established digital-forensics methods.

V. Technical Appendix: PACER Architecture, Socket.IO, and Source-Code Anomalies

PACER and CM/ECF Architecture (Overview)

PACER (Public Access to Court Electronic Records) provides public access to federal court dockets and documents through the judiciary's CM/ECF (Case Management / Electronic Case Files) system.

Key characteristics of PACER/CM-ECF include:

- Centralized hosting and management under the Administrative Office of the United States Courts;
- Document delivery via standard HTTPS requests and authenticated sessions;
- PDF generation typically performed server-side using controlled tooling (commonly iText-based);
- Static or semi-static web interfaces designed for reliability, auditability, and security;
- No requirement for persistent, client-side real-time communication with users;
- PACER's design prioritizes integrity, traceability, and minimal client-side complexity;

What Socket.IO Is:

Socket.IO is an open-source JavaScript library used to enable real-time, bidirectional communication between a user's browser and a server.

It is commonly used in applications that require:

- Live chat or messaging
- Real-time notifications or collaborative updates
- Dynamic, event-driven interfaces
- Persistent socket connections between client and server
- Socket.IO is widely used in commercial web apps, dashboards, and social platforms, but it is not required for simple document retrieval or authenticated file downloads.

VI. Why the Combination Is Unusual

In the materials included in this collection, screenshots of website source code show the presence of Socket.IO and other open-source client-side libraries on a site presented as a federal court or PACER-related interface.

This combination is unusual for several reasons:

- PACER does not require real-time bidirectional communication to function. Documents are retrieved via conventional request-response mechanisms;
- Persistent socket connections introduce unnecessary complexity and attack surface for a system whose primary function is static record access;
- Official federal judiciary sites typically rely on conservative, tightly controlled frontend architectures, not ad-hoc real-time frameworks.

The presence of Socket.IO is more consistent with:

- Custom-built web applications
- Proxy or intermediary interfaces
- Demonstration, mirrored, or spoofed sites
- Development or staging environments

The inclusion of Socket.IO does not, by itself, prove inauthenticity, but it is a technical inconsistency that warrants independent verification of hosting, ownership, and system provenance.

VII. Purpose of Including This Analysis

This appendix is provided to help non-specialist readers understand why the observed source-code characteristics are noteworthy, and to enable qualified technical reviewers to independently assess whether the observed architecture aligns with known PACER/CM-ECF implementations.

VIII. Forensic-Neutral Disclaimer and Credibility Statement

The materials in this collection, including technical observations and metadata analysis, are presented without assertions of motive, intent, or attribution. No conclusion is offered that any document, website, or system is fraudulent, forged, or malicious.

Technical indicators such as metadata fields, software identifiers, timestamps, source-code libraries, or network characteristics:

- Are descriptive, not determinative;
- May arise from benign processes, intermediaries, legacy systems, or misconfiguration;
- Require independent confirmation through official records, hosting data, and system-level verification.

All technical observations are documented as they were observed at the time of inspection and are preserved to allow independent replication, validation, or refutation by journalists, forensic analysts, court officials, or other qualified reviewers.

Nothing in this collection should be construed as a definitive finding. Its purpose is to preserve evidence, document anomalies, and invite verification, not to prejudge outcomes or assert wrongdoing.

IX. Limitations of This Collection

This reference collection represents only a portion of the total evidence related to the matters described above. Additional materials exist but are not included here due to ongoing legal sensitivity, privacy concerns involving third parties, security considerations, and practical limits on public disclosure.

The excluded materials may include, among other things:

- Additional court filings, drafts, and supporting exhibits not yet re-submitted or publicly released;
- Further clerk communications and attempted filings across multiple jurisdictions;
- Expanded mailing records, correspondence, and returned mail not duplicated here;
- Supplemental technical logs, screenshots, device records, and network data;
- Corroborating statements, timelines, and documentation involving witnesses or third parties.

The absence of these materials from this folder should not be interpreted as a lack of evidence. Rather, this collection is intended as a representative evidentiary sample sufficient to demonstrate recurring patterns and to enable independent verification of key facts through official channels.

Additional documentation can be made available to journalists, investigators, or counsel upon request, subject to appropriate safeguards.

IX. What These Materials Are — and Are Not

What They Are:

- Public reference copies preserved for transparency;
- A factual record of attempted filings, mailings, and technical observations;
- Materials suitable for independent verification through official channels.

What They Are Not:

- Certified court records;
- Legal conclusions or findings of wrongdoing;
- Proof of intent, authenticity, or liability;
- Substitutes for official court dockets or certified copies.

X. Why This Collection May Be Newsworthy

Taken together, the materials raise verifiable and independently testable questions, including:

- Whether properly prepared filings were refused or obstructed at the clerk level across multiple courts;
- Whether mail related to legal rights, utilities, and essential services was mishandled or interfered with;
- Whether technical and network anomalies intersect with law-enforcement or government infrastructure;
- Whether systemic failures or misconduct may exist across administrative, judicial, and technical domains.

Each of these questions can be evaluated using public records, clerk inquiries, USPS systems, court dockets, and independent technical analysis.

XI. Verification Encouraged

Journalists and researchers are encouraged to verify materials using primary sources, including:

- County, Family, and Surrogate's Court clerks;
- New York Unified Court System records;
- PACER and CourtListener/RECAP;
- USPS tracking and internal inquiry processes;
- DNS, IP geolocation services, certificate transparency logs, and hosting records;
- Telecommunications providers (e.g., Frontier) for application and service status.

XII. Attribution and Intent

This collection is curated in the public interest to support transparency, accountability, and informed reporting. Attribution reflects the curator of the collection only and does not imply endorsement, participation, or validation by any court, agency, or third party.


8:03
20%
Certificate viewer
GeoTrust TLS RSA CA G1
ISSUED TO
Common Name (CN)
GeoTrust TLS RSA CA G1
Organization (O)
DigiCert Inc
Organizational Unit (OU)
www.digicert.com
Serial Number
0D:07:78:2A:13:3F:C6:F9:A5:72:96:E1:31:FF:D1:79
ISSUED BY
Common Name (CN)
DigiCert Global Root G2
Organization (O)
DigiCert Inc
Organizational Unit (OU)
www.digicert.com
VALIDITY PERIOD
Issued On
Nov 2, 2017
Expires On


8:36
12%
Certificate viewer
DigiCert Global Root G2
03:3A:F1:E6:A7:11:A9:A0:BB:28:64:B1:1D:09
:FA:E5
ISSUED BY
Common Name (CN)
DigiCert Global Root G2
Organization (O)
DigiCert Inc
Organizational Unit (OU)
www.digicert.com
VALIDITY PERIOD
Issued On
Aug 1, 2013
Expires On
Jan 15, 2038
SHA-256 FINGERPRINTS
Certificate
CB 3C CB B7 60 31 E5 E0 13 8F 8D D3 9A
23 F9 DE 47 FF C3 5E 43 C1 14 4C EA 27
D4 6A 5A B1 CB 5F
Public Key
8B B5 93 A9 3B E1 D0 E8 A8 22 BB 88 7C
54 78 90 C3 E7 06 AA D2 DA B7 62 54 F9
7F B3 6B 82 FC 26

8:06 19%
nynd.uscourts.gov
42
≡ MENU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of New York

Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court

How can we Help?

Juror Qualification Questionnaire Mailing Update

The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service. Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form online (ejuror) within 10 days of

Let's Chat

9:07 9%
nynd.uscourts.gov 87
≡ MENU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of New York

Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court

How can we Help?

Juror Qualification Questionnaire Mailing Update

The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service. Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form online (ejuror) within 10 days of

Let's Chat


https://www.nynd.uscourts.gov
= MENU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of New York
Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court
How can we Help?
The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service. Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form within 10 days of receiving the questionnaire. If you submit the online form, you do not need to return the paper copy.
If you receive a suspicious call regarding jury service,
Click here for details on the 2025 PowerAct Event
Case Locator (PACER) »
Let's Chat
Sources
Page
Workspace
logo.png
libraries/modernizr
themes
adaptive/css/f...
opensans-b...
opensans-re...
cstbase/images
body-heade...
search-icon...
district
images
bg-header...
body-hea...
logo.png
nynd/files
btn-icons
6971215_law_j...
7091183_onlin...
Book3_0.png
Calendar3_0.png
congress.png
criminal_debt_...
evoucher.png
fileup.png
gavel.png
23.1 kB 126 × 133 18:19 image/png
Scope
Watch
Breakpoints
Not paused
Pause on uncaught exceptions
Pause on caught exceptions
Threads
Call Stack
Not paused
XHR/fetch Breakpoints
Screenshot_20241209-181944
5/8/2025 12:32 PM
PNG File


https://www.nynd.uscourts.gov
Console
MENU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of New York
Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court
How can we Help?
The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service. Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form within 10 days of receiving the questionnaire. If you submit the online form, you do not need to return the paper copy.
If you receive a suspicious call regarding jury service,
Click here for details on the 2025 PowerAct Event
Let's Chat
WebSocket connection to 'wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/?authToken=eyJhbGciOiJIUzI1NiIsInR5cC...' failed: Error in connection establishment: net::ERR_INTERNET_DISCONNECTED
manager.js:108
Screenshot_20241209-181944
tamper-proof CD 440.10
tamper-proof_CD_ADA_Compliant


https://www.nynd.uscourts.gov
Sources
Page
Workspace
css?family=Work...s&display=swap
Northern District of New York
Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court
How can we help?
Let's Chat
Breakpoints
Pause on uncaught exceptions
Pause on caught exceptions
Threads
Call Stack
Not paused
XHR/fetch Breakpoints
Scope
Watch
Coverage: n/a


modernizr.custo...5361.js?svlj6s
/* Modernizr 2.7.1 (Custom
* Build: http://modernizr
*/
;window.Modernizr = functi
function w(a) {
j.cssText = a
}
function x(a, b) {
return w(m.join(a
}
function y(a, b) {
return typeof a ==
}
function z(a, b) {
return !!~("" + a)
}
function A(a, b, d) {
for (var e in a) {
var f = b[a[e]
if (f !== c)
return d =
}
return !1
}
var d = "2.7.1", e = {
var f, i, j, k, l
if (parseInt(d, 10
while (d--)
j = b.crea
j.id = e ?
l.appendCh
Line 4, Column 1746
Cover
Scope
Watch
Not paused
facebook.png
search-icon.png
logo.png
twitter.png
JQQ_paper_2.jpg
merriweather-re...r-webfont.wo
body-header-star-district.jpg
linkd_in.png
body-header-star.png
teams.png
bg-header-repeat-district.jpg
help_icon.png
css?family=Work...s&display=s
widget.bundle.css
css?family=Work...0&display=s
jquery-3.7.0.js
jquery-ui.min.js
a5app.js
(index)
JQQ_paper_1.jpg
chat_window_wra...6-251e60a0
ts.gov
odernizr
izr.cust...
e/css/f...
/images
5_law_j...
3_onlin...
_0.png
ar3_0.png
ss.png
al_debt_...
her.png
png
png
e_meetin...
2.png
e_0.png
.png
xceptions


https://www.nynd.uscourts.gov
Sources
Page
Workspace
jquery-3.7.0.js
Calendar3_0.png
alive5.com
css
js
cdnjsdelivr.net
code.jquery.com
jquery-3.7.0.js
files.alive5.com
fonts.googleapis.com
speedcdnjs.com
a5-videocall-iframe (ab...
chat_window_wrap.html
iframe (chat_window....
cdnjs.cloudflare.com
about:blank
standard.html
media.nynd.uscourts....
≡ MENU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of New York
Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court
How can we Help?
The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service.
Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form within 10 days of receiving the questionnaire. If you submit the online form, you do not need to return the paper copy.
If you receive a suspicious call regarding jury service,
Click here for details on the 2025 PowerAct Event
Case Locator (PACER) »
Let's Chat
Line 348, Column 4
Coverage: n/a
Scope
Watch
Not paused
Breakpoints
Pause on uncaught exceptions
Pause on caught exceptions
Threads
Call Stack
Not paused
XHR/fetch Breakpoints
Screenshot_20241209-181944
tamper-proof CD 440.10
tamper-proof_CD_ADA_Complaint
5/8/2025 12:32 PM
PNG File
856 KB
Office Open XML ...
35 KB
27 KB
1 item selected 1.02 MB

Command Prompt
Name: nynd.uscourts.gov
C:\Users\koscu>nslookup nynd.uscourts.gov
Server: syn-2603-7080-893f-e903-0000-0000-0000-0001.res6.spectrum.com
Address: 2603:7080:893f:e903::1
Name: nynd.uscourts.gov
C:\Users\koscu>arp -a
Interface: 192.168.1.90 --- 0xa
Internet Address Physical Address Type
192.168.1.1 a0-55-1f-0f-52-26 dynamic
192.168.1.181 dc-c2-c9-73-9d-92 dynamic
224.0.0.22 01-00-5e-00-00-16 static
224.0.0.251 01-00-5e-00-00-fb static
224.0.0.252 01-00-5e-00-00-fc static
239.255.255.250 01-00-5e-7f-ff-fa static
255.255.255.255 ff-ff-ff-ff-ff-ff static
C:\Users\koscu>


Command Prompt
224.0.0.252 01-00-5e-00-00-fc static
239.255.255.250 01-00-5e-7f-ff-fa static
255.255.255.255 ff-ff-ff-ff-ff-ff static
C:\Users\koscu>ping 192.168.1.181
Pinging 192.168.1.181 with 32 bytes of data:
Reply from 192.168.1.181: bytes=32 time=46ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.1.181: bytes=32 time=156ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.1.181: bytes=32 time=83ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.1.181: bytes=32 time=3ms TTL=64
Ping statistics for 192.168.1.181:
Packets: Sent = 4, Received = 4, Lost = 0 (0% loss),
Approximate round trip times in milli-seconds:
Minimum = 3ms, Maximum = 156ms, Average = 72ms
C:\Users\koscu>nbtstat -A 192.168.1.181
Norton VPN:
Node IpAddress: [0.0.0.0] Scope Id: []
Host not found.
Ethernet:
Node IpAddress: [192.168.1.9] Scope Id: []
Host not found.
C:\Users\koscu>
Letter to Judge: Mental Decline

6:57 7%
2025-02-28 00.25.28.png
unicourt.com/case/urc-C
UniCourt
Home / ••• / ORSELL, KAYLA ORSELL JR, VICTOR vs. ...

This case was last updated from **New York City Courts** on 04/28/2023 at 18:00:00 (UTC).

Update This Case

ORSELL, KAYLA ORSELL JR, VICTOR vs. ORSELL, TANYA N

Case Summary

On 11/15/2005 **ORSELL, KAYLA ORSELL JR, VICTOR** filed a Commercial and Trade - Small Claims lawsuit against **ORSELL, TANYA N.** This case was filed in New York City Courts, Gloversville City Courthouse located in New York. The Judge overseeing this case is Honorable Vincent DeSantis. The case status is Closed.

Case Details | Parties

Case Details

Open in...
Share



USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Remove ×

Tracking Number:

9510810373315196843989

Copy Add to Informed Delivery

Information Available Soon

USPS doesn't yet have a status update on this item shipped from the Post Office. Information is usually updated within the hour of your visit. Please check back soon.

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

Need More Help?

Contact USPS Tracking support for further assistance.

10:33 31%
pacermonitor.com/pl
PacerMonitor
Sign In

Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al

New York Northern District Court

Judge:	Anne M Nardacci
Referred:	Paul J Evangelista
Case #:	1:25-cv-00963
Nature of Suit	440 Civil Rights - Other Civil Rights
Cause	42:1983 Civil Rights Act
Case Filed:	Jul 18, 2025

Docket | Parties (11)

Last checked: **Wednesday Jul 23, 2025 1:04 AM EDT**

Defendant
Jason Mitchell Abdalla

Defendant
Jail Captain Keith Ackerknecht

Defendant
City of Gloversville

Defendant
Kyle L. Davis

Defendant
The Honorable Traci DiMezza

Defendant

10:33 31%
pacermonitor.com/pl
PacerMonitor
Sign In

Orsell v. Orsell et al

New York Northern District Court

Judge:	Mae A D'Agostino
Referred:	Therese Wiley Dancks
Case #:	1:25-cv-00961
Nature of Suit	440 Civil Rights - Other Civil Rights
Cause	42:1983 Civil Rights Act
Case Filed:	Jul 22, 2025
Terminated:	Jul 22, 2025

Docket | Parties (13)

Last checked: **Tuesday Jul 22, 2025 1:09 PM EDT**

Defendant
Sheri Acquilla-Simon

Defendant
Judge Chad Brown

Defendant
Arva Lynn Carter-Orsell

Defendant
Jane Does

Defendant
John Doe

61066 22%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 18 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

KAYLA ORSELL,
Plaintiff,

v.

City of Gloversville;
JOHNATHON SPYCHALSKI, Detective, Gloversville Police Department;
LUCAS NELLIS, Lieutenant, Gloversville Police Department;
JAMES P. RILEY, Esq., District Attorney, Fulton County District Attorney's Office;
THE HONORABLE TRACI DIMEZZA, Gloversville City Court Judge;
KYLE L. DAVIS, Public Defender;
JAMES P. MELITA, Private Defense Counsel;
JASON MITCHELL ABDALLA, Confidential Informant;
KEITH ACKERKNECHT, Jail Captain, Fulton County Jail;
UNKNOWN INDIVIDUALS WHO INTERFERED WITH PLAINTIFF'S LEGAL MAIL AND COMMUNICATIONS.
Defendants.

Case No.: [To Be Assigned]
1:25-cv-963 (AMN/PJE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This action arises under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for violations of Plaintiff's constitutional rights under the First, Fourth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Gallery pasted from your clipboard.

2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 1331, § 1391, and § 1343,** as the case involves federal questions and civil rights violations.

3. Venue is proper in the **Northern District of New York** under **28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)** because all acts complained of occurred within this district. Also, all defendants are

:T COURT

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 18 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

Police Department;
ment;
y District Attorney's Office;
City Court Judge;

nt;
Jail;
ITH PLAINTIFF'S LEGAL MAIL

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
NOV 13 2024
AT ___ O'CLOCK
John M. Domurad, Clerk - Albany

Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

To:

United States District Court

Northern District of New York

Clerk's Office

James T. Foley U.S. Courthouse

445 Broadway, Room 509

Albany, NY 12207

From:

Kayla Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut St.

Johnstown, NY 12095

Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Kayla Orsell

1:11 26%
pcl.uscourts.gov/pcl
An official website of the United States government. Here's how you know.

Official websites use .gov
A **.gov** website belongs to an official government organization in the United States.

Secure .gov websites use HTTPS
A **lock (🔒)** or **https://** means you've safely connected to the .gov website. Share sensitive information only on official, secure websites.

PACER
Public Access To Court Electronic Records

MENU

PACER Case Locator

Case Search > Search Results

Search Criteria: Case Search; Case Title (Matches): [Orsell]

Result Count: 18

Icon Legend

Case Title	Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al
Case Number	1:2025cv00963
Court	New York Northern District Court
Date Filed	
Date Closed	

1:11 26%
pcl.uscourts.gov/pcl
An official website of the United States government. Here's how you know.

PACER Public Access To Court Electronic Records

MENU

PACER Case Locator

Case Search > Search Results

Search Criteria: Case Search; Case Title (Matches): [Orsell]

Result Count: 18

Icon Legend

Case Title	Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al
Case Number	1:2025cv00963
Court	New York Northern District Court
Date Filed	
Date Closed	
Case Title	Orsell v. Fulton County Probation Department et al
Case Number	1:2025cv00962
Court	New York Northern District Court
Date Filed	
Date Closed	
Case Title	Orsell v. Orsell et al

5:27 85%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell

Defendant, pro se

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE | PRIORITY® MAIL

VISIT US AT USPS.COM® ORDER FREE SUPPLIES ONLINE

FROM:
Kayla Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut St
Johnstown, NY
12095

TO: Court Clerk
James T. Foley Courthouse
445 Broadway
STE 509
Albany NY, 12207

Label 228, December 2023 FOR DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL USE

10:35 30%
PacerMonitor Sign In

Orsell v. Spychalski et al

New York Northern District Court

Judge:	Lawrence E Kahn
Case #:	9:24-cv-01389
Nature of Suit	530 Prisoner Petitions - Habeas Corpus - General
Cause	28:2241 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (federa
Case Filed:	Nov 13, 2024
Terminated:	Dec 02, 2024

Docket | Parties (5)

Last checked: **Thursday Nov 21, 2024 10:24 AM EST**

Petitioner
Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

Respondent
Traci DiMezza

Respondent
Lucas Nellis

Respondent
James P. Riley, Esq.

Respondent
Johnathon Spychalski

:T COURT

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 18 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

Police Department;
ment;
y District Attorney's Office;
City Court Judge;

nt;
Jail;
ITH PLAINTIFF'S LEGAL MAIL

8:20 11%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 18 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla L. Orsell

Plaintiff(s),

-vs-

City of Gloversville, et al.

Civil Case No. pending
1:25-cv-963 (AMN/PJE)

Defendant(s)

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

1. I hereby request a court-appointed attorney to represent me in this action.

2. I have contacted the following attorneys in my effort to obtain counsel:

Due to ongoing and severe cybersecurity intrusions and confirmed mail fraud, I cannot confirm whether my attempts to contact legal aid and civil rights organizations have actually reached the intended recipients. I have attached an affidavit explaining this.

NOTE: You must first attempt to find an attorney on your own before you file a motion for appointment of counsel with the Court. See Terminate Control Corp. v. Horowitz, 28 F.23d 1335 (2d Cir. 1994)

3. I have attached to this motion the correspondence that I have received from the attorneys listed above.

4. The reasons I believe I should be appointed counsel pro bono are as follows:

This case involves complex legal and factual issues, I am legally disabled and dealing with cyber attacks and mail fraud as I have explained in the attached affidavits. I am also indigent.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 06/26/2025

Signature of Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla L. Orsell

Plaintiff(s),

-vs-

City of Gloversville, et al.

Civil Case No. pending
1:25-cv-963 (AMN/PJE)

Defendant(s)

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

1. I hereby request a court-appointed attorney to represent me in this action.

2. I have contacted the following attorneys in my effort to obtain counsel:



U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
NOV 13 2024
AT _____ O'CLOCK _____
John M. Domurad, Clerk - Albany

rit of Habeas

28 U.S.C. §

ict Court

New York

9:39 94%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

****

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

KAYLA ORSELL,

Defendant.

Criminal Action No.
1:13-CR-223 (GLS)

INFORMATION
21 U.S.C. § 846
(Fulton County)

****

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES:

COUNT 1
(Conspiracy to Distribute Ketamine)

From on or about December 2011, to on or about March 5, 2013, in the Northern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant,

KAYLA ORSELL,

conspired with others to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846. That violation involved ketamine, a Schedule III controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(C).

Dated: June 10, 2013

RICHARD S. HARTUNIAN
United States Attorney
Northern District of New York

By: _____
Geoffrey J. L. Brown
Assistant United States Attorney
Bar Roll No. 513495

9:39 94%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

AO 455 (Rev. 01/09) Waiver of an Indictment

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of New York

United States of America)
v.) Case No. 1:13-CR-223 (GLS)
Kayla Orsell)
Defendant)

WAIVER OF AN INDICTMENT

I understand that I have been accused of one or more offenses punishable by imprisonment for more than one year. I was advised in open court of my rights and the nature of the proposed charges against me.

After receiving this advice, I waive my right to prosecution by indictment and consent to prosecution by information.

Date: 06/10/2013

Kayla L Orsell
Defendant's signature

James E. Tyner
Signature of defendant's attorney

James E. Tyner, Esq.
Printed name of defendant's attorney

Gary L. Sharpe
Judge's signature

Hon. Gary L. Sharpe, U.S. District Judge
Judge's printed name and title

9:40 94%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
JUN 05 2013
LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN, CLERK
ALBANY

UNITED STATES)
)
v.) 1:13-CR-223 (GLS)
)
KAYLA ORSELL)

APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

The undersigned Attorney hereby applies to the Court for the issuance of a writ of habeas corpus (X) Ad Prosequendum, ( ) Ad Testificandum:

1. Name of detainee: Kayla Orsell
2. A/K/A(s)(if applicable):
3. Prisoner ID# (if applicable):
4. Detained by: Fulton County Jail
   45 Bare Hill Road, Malone, NY 12953

5. Detainee is. A: (X) charged in this district by:
   ( ) Indictment
   (X) Information
   ( ) Complaint
   B: ( ) a witness not otherwise available by the ordinary process of the Court.

6. Appearance is necessary on June 10, 2013 at 1:00 p.m. for Court proceedings to be held in Albany, New York before Hon. Gary L. Sharpe, U.S. District Judge for the Northern District of New York.

Geoffrey J. L. Brown
Assistant U.S. Attorney

WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

(X) Ad Prosequendum ( ) Ad Testificandum

The above application is granted and the above-named custodian, as well as the United States Marshal for this district, is hereby ORDERED to produce the named detainee, on the date, at the time recited above, and any further proceedings to be had in this cause, and at the conclusion of said proceedings to return said detainee to the above-named custodian.

Date Signed: June 5, 2013

Gary L. Sharpe
United States Magistrate Judge
District

RETURN OF SERVICE

Executed on _____ by _____

(Signature)

Writ Form 5/20/96

9:47 93%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov
CM ECF Query Reports Utilities Help Log Out

1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al
Anne M. Nardacci, presiding
Paul J. Evangelista, referral
Date filed: 07/18/2025
Date of last filing: 07/30/2025

Parties

Jason Mitchell Abdalla
Confidential Informant

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Jail Captain Keith Ackerknecht
Fulton County Jail

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

City of Gloversville
Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Kyle L. Davis
Public Defender

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

The Honorable Traci DiMezza
Gloversville City Court Judge

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

James P. Melita
Private Defense Counsel

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Lieutenant Lucas Nellis
Gloversville Police Department

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095
518-291-1463
Added: 07/18/2025
(Plaintiff)
PRO SE

District Attorney James P. Riley, Esq.
Fulton County District Attorney's Office

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Detective Johnathon Spychalski
Gloversville Police Department

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Unknown Individuals
who Interfered with Plaintiff's Legal Mail and Communications

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
08/07/2025 21:47:40			
PACER Login:	Korsell12095	**Client Code:**	
Description:	Party List	**Search Criteria:**	1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE
Billable Pages:	1	**Cost:**	0.10

9:46 93%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

Select A Case

Kayla Orsell is a defendant in 2 cases.

1:13-cr-00223-GLS	USA v. Orsell	filed 06/10/13	closed 11/18/13
1:13-cr-00223-GLS-1	Kayla Orsell	filed 06/10/13	closed 11/18/13

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
08/07/2025 21:44:31			
PACER Login:	Korsell12095	**Client Code:**	
Description:	Search	**Search Criteria:**	Last Name: Orsell
Billable Pages:	1	**Cost:**	0.10

9:47 93%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov
CM ECF Query Reports Utilities Help Log Out

1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al
Anne M. Nardacci, presiding
Paul J. Evangelista, referral
Date filed: 07/18/2025
Date of last filing: 07/30/2025

Pending Statuses

There Are No Pending Status Records For This Case.

No statuses have been terminated for this case.

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
08/07/2025 21:47:56			
PACER Login:	Korsell12095	**Client Code:**	
Description:	Status	**Search Criteria:**	1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE
Billable Pages:	1	**Cost:**	0.10

PROPERTY OF
0111

Kayla Orsell
81 N Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095

SYRACUSE NY 130
20 MAY 2025 PM 2 L

USAC
Lifeline Support Center
P.O. Box 7081
London, KY 40742

146 N7E 1 02412203/21/25
RETURN TO SENDER
:USAC
BOX CLOSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
RETURN TO SENDER
BC: 12095272481 *1637-02107-20-29

INT
40742 706181



USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Remo

Tracking Number:

9400136208070307669441

Copy Add to Informed Delivery

Label Created, not yet in system

A status update is not yet available on your package. It will be available when the shipper provides an update or the package is given to USPS. Check back soon.

Sign up for Informed Delivery® to receive notifications for packages addressed to you.

If you'd like to see the tracking information for an item sent in the past, go to USPS Tracking Plus® Archives. .

USPS Tracking Plus™ Archives

Track Another Package

Tracking Results | USPS

9510810373315196848989

Add Name

Delivered:
ALBANY, NY 12207 on July 18, 2025 at 3:23 pm

Signed for By: P S // ALBANY, NY 12207 // 3:23 pm

Additional Information

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:23 pm on July 18, 2025 in ALBANY, NY 12207. The item was signed for by P S.

Get Text and Email Updates

Tracking History

July 18, 2025
3:23 pm
Delivered, Left with Individual,
ALBANY, NY 12207

July 18, 2025
7:18 am
Out for Delivery,
ALBANY, NY 12207

July 18, 2025
7:07 am
Arrived at Post Office,
ALBANY, NY 12207

SURROGATE'S COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

----------X

In the Matter of the Application of

KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,

Affiant.

----------X

OBJECTION TO IMPROPER RELIANCE ON CRIMINAL HISTORY OR EXTRAJUDICIAL MATERIALS IN SUPPORT OF ARTICLE 81 GUARDIANSHIP OR INCAPACITY

Petitioner, Kayla Orsell, respectfully submits this Objection to any attempt—explicit or implied—to invoke Article 81 of the Mental Hygiene Law or otherwise question her legal capacity based upon criminal allegations, convictions, probation proceedings, or media publications.

Article 81 Requires Clear and Convincing Evidence of Present Functional Incapacity

Under Mental Hygiene Law Article 81, guardianship is an extraordinary remedy that may be imposed only upon clear and convincing evidence that an individual is presently unable to provide for personal needs or manage property and that no less restrictive alternative is available. Capacity is presumed, and the burden rests entirely on the party seeking guardianship.

Criminal History Is Legally Insufficient to Support Article 81 Relief

Criminal charges, convictions, probation status, or violations—whether past or ongoing—do not constitute evidence of incapacity under Article 81. New York courts have repeatedly held that involvement in the criminal justice system, substance-use treatment, or incarceration does not, standing alone, establish functional incapacity or justify guardianship.

Media Reports Are Inadmissible and Irrelevant to Article 81 Determinations

Newspaper articles and online media publications are unsworn, hearsay materials and have no evidentiary value in an Article 81 analysis. Reliance upon such materials would be improper and prejudicial.

Article 81 Cannot Be Invoked Indirectly or by Inference

Article 81 relief cannot be implied, assumed, or informally applied. Any guardianship proceeding requires a separate, properly noticed petition, statutory standing, appointment of counsel where required, and strict adherence to procedural safeguards. Attempts to rely on Article 81 concepts without initiating a lawful proceeding must be rejected.

Petitioner's Conduct Demonstrates Capacity and Least Restrictive Alternatives

Petitioner's filings before this Court demonstrate her ability to understand legal proceedings, articulate her rights, manage her affairs, and seek appropriate judicial relief. She has affirmatively pursued least restrictive alternatives, including accounting, disclosure, and judicial supervision, rather than control over her person or property.

Improper Invocation of Article 81 Violates Due Process

Any effort to equate criminal history with incapacity improperly shifts the burden of proof, circumvents Article 81 safeguards, and violates due process. Guardianship may not be used as a collateral tool to resolve family disputes, control assets, or discredit an adult litigant.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully objects to any attempt to invoke or imply Article 81 guardianship or incapacity based on criminal history, probation proceedings, or media reports, and requests that the Court disregard such materials as legally insufficient and irrelevant to the matters properly before it.

Dated: 01/06/2026
Johnstown, New York

Kayla L Orsell

Kayla Leigh Orsell
Petitioner, Pro Se
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

Mary Ann Mars

Mary Ann Mars
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01MA6193730
Qualified in Fulton County
My Commission Expires on 9/22/2028

To Whom It May Concern,

This letter is to verify that Kayla Orsell is a tenant at 81 1/2 Chestnut Street, Johnstown, NY 12095, and pays rent in the amount of $650.00 per month.

Heat and utilities are not included in the rent.

If you have any questions or require further verification, please contact me at (518) 705-4149.

Sincerely,

Victor P. Orsell Jr.
Landlord

Date: 1/9/2026

PUBLIC WHISTLEBLOWER COMPLAINT

To Whom It May Concern:

My name is Kayla Orsell. I am a disabled citizen residing at 81 1/2 Chestnut Street, Johnstown, NY 12095. I am issuing this public whistleblower complaint because my life and the life of my fiancé are in imminent danger. I am the victim of sustained, coordinated criminal activity involving members of my family, local law enforcement, and potentially federal agencies. This conduct includes identity fraud, cyber sabotage, mail tampering, obstruction of justice, and violations of my constitutional rights. I believe these crimes rise to the level of violations prosecutable under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO).

This complaint is based on documented events that have occurred over years, and have escalated drastically following my attempt to assert my legal rights. I filed a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas corpus petition in the Northern District of New York after being coerced into a plea deal on fabricated felony charges. Since that filing, I have been subjected to what can only be described as systemic digital interference, psychological warfare, and surveillance.

My stepbrother, John Valik, a U.S. postal worker, is a central figure. He has had access to my mail both on and off the clock. My court filings, DNA correspondence, and letters to officials were intercepted or never reached their destinations. My stepbrother's name now appears on property records for seven expensive properties on Lake Shore Road, despite his listed income being far below what would make such ownership possible. These records show different suffixes and name variations (e.g., John M Valik, John C Valik, Jr) and conflicting ages—37, 59, and 64—which correspond to himself, my stepmother, and my father. Background checks link him to all three age groups. This appears to be a coordinated identity fraud scheme.

When I submitted my DNA to MyHeritage in March 2025, I received results showing a 99.4% identical match to what appeared to be myself or a twin. On further inspection, the file revealed over 3,000 Y chromosomes, proving it was male DNA. The relatives listed matched my stepbrother's family and not mine, reinforcing suspicions that I may have been adopted under false pretenses or my identity falsified. The woman I was raised by, Tanya Noel Orsell, kept me isolated and there are no baby pictures of me. My birth certificate was not obtained until I was an adult and in prison.

As a child, I was subjected to physical abuse, starvation, drug trafficking activity, and medical neglect. My father, Victor Orsell, introduced me to cocaine at 16 and forced me to participate in growing marijuana from age five. I suffered a devastating leg injury at 12, which my father worsened by attempting to forcefully straighten my broken limb. He refused to bring me to the hospital for several days. At 18, he forced me to see a specific doctor who improperly diagnosed me as bipolar after a five-minute visit, prescribed high doses of Seroquel, and days later, I attempted suicide from an overdose.



USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Remo

Tracking Number:

9400136208070307669441

Copy Add to Informed Delivery

Label Created, not yet in system

A status update is not yet available on your package. It will be available when the shipper provides an update or the package is given to USPS. Check back soon.

Sign up for Informed Delivery® to receive notifications for packages addressed to you.

If you'd like to see the tracking information for an item sent in the past, go to USPS Tracking Plus® Archives. .

USPS Tracking Plus™ Archives

Track Another Package

Tracking Results | USPS

9510810373315196848989

Add Name

Delivered:
ALBANY, NY 12207 on July 18, 2025 at 3:23 pm

Signed for By: P S // ALBANY, NY 12207 // 3:23 pm

Additional Information

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 3:23 pm on July 18, 2025 in ALBANY, NY 12207. The item was signed for by P S.

Get Text and Email Updates

Tracking History

July 18, 2025
3:23 pm
Delivered, Left with Individual,
ALBANY, NY 12207

July 18, 2025
7:18 am
Out for Delivery,
ALBANY, NY 12207

July 18, 2025
7:07 am
Arrived at Post Office,
ALBANY, NY 12207

Kayla Orsell
81 N Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095

SYRACUSE NY 130
20 MAY 2025 PM 2 L

USAC
Lifeline Support Center
P.O. Box 7081
London, KY 40742

146 N7E 1 02412203/21/25
RETURN TO SENDER
:USAC
BOX CLOSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
RETURN TO SENDER
BC: 12095272481 *1637-02107-20-29

INT
40742 706181

PROPERTY OF
0111

9:47 93%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov
CM ECF Query Reports Utilities Help Log Out

1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al
Anne M. Nardacci, presiding
Paul J. Evangelista, referral
Date filed: 07/18/2025
Date of last filing: 07/30/2025

Pending Statuses

There Are No Pending Status Records For This Case.

No statuses have been terminated for this case.

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
08/07/2025 21:47:56			
PACER Login:	Korsell12095	**Client Code:**	
Description:	Status	**Search Criteria:**	1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE
Billable Pages:	1	**Cost:**	0.10

9:47 93%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov
CM ECF Query Reports Utilities Help Log Out

1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al
Anne M. Nardacci, presiding
Paul J. Evangelista, referral
Date filed: 07/18/2025
Date of last filing: 07/30/2025

Parties

Jason Mitchell Abdalla
Confidential Informant

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Jail Captain Keith Ackerknecht
Fulton County Jail

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

City of Gloversville
Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Kyle L. Davis
Public Defender

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

The Honorable Traci DiMezza
Gloversville City Court Judge

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

James P. Melita
Private Defense Counsel

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Lieutenant Lucas Nellis
Gloversville Police Department

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095
518-291-1463
Added: 07/18/2025
(Plaintiff)
PRO SE

District Attorney James P. Riley, Esq.
Fulton County District Attorney's Office

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Detective Johnathon Spychalski
Gloversville Police Department

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

Unknown Individuals
who Interfered with Plaintiff's Legal Mail and Communications

Added: 07/18/2025
(Defendant)

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
08/07/2025 21:47:40			
PACER Login:	Korsell12095	**Client Code:**	
Description:	Party List	**Search Criteria:**	1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE
Billable Pages:	1	**Cost:**	0.10

9:46 93%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

Select A Case

Kayla Orsell is a defendant in 2 cases.

1:13-cr-00223-GLS	USA v. Orsell	filed 06/10/13	closed 11/18/13
1:13-cr-00223-GLS-1	Kayla Orsell	filed 06/10/13	closed 11/18/13

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
08/07/2025 21:44:31			
PACER Login:	Korsell12095	**Client Code:**	
Description:	Search	**Search Criteria:**	Last Name: Orsell
Billable Pages:	1	**Cost:**	0.10

9:40 94%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
JUN 05 2013
LAWRENCE K. BAERMAN, CLERK
ALBANY

UNITED STATES)
)
v.) 1:13-CR-223 (GLS)
)
KAYLA ORSELL)

APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

The undersigned Attorney hereby applies to the Court for the issuance of a writ of habeas corpus (X) Ad Prosequendum, ( ) Ad Testificandum:

1. Name of detainee: Kayla Orsell
2. A/K/A(s)(if applicable):
3. Prisoner ID# (if applicable):
4. Detained by: Fulton County Jail
   45 Bare Hill Road, Malone, NY 12953

5. Detainee is. A: (X) charged in this district by:
   ( ) Indictment
   (X) Information
   ( ) Complaint
   B: ( ) a witness not otherwise available by the ordinary process of the Court.

6. Appearance is necessary on June 10, 2013 at 1:00 p.m. for Court proceedings to be held in Albany, New York before Hon. Gary L. Sharpe, U.S. District Judge for the Northern District of New York.

Geoffrey J. L. Brown
Assistant U.S. Attorney

WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

(X) Ad Prosequendum ( ) Ad Testificandum

The above application is granted and the above-named custodian, as well as the United States Marshal for this district, is hereby ORDERED to produce the named detainee, on the date, at the time recited above, and any further proceedings to be had in this cause, and at the conclusion of said proceedings to return said detainee to the above-named custodian.

Date Signed: June 5, 2013

Gary L. Sharpe
United States Magistrate Judge
District

RETURN OF SERVICE

Executed on _____ by _____

(Signature)

Writ Form 5/20/96

9:39 94%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

****

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

KAYLA ORSELL,

Defendant.

Criminal Action No.
1:13-CR-223 (GLS)

INFORMATION
21 U.S.C. § 846
(Fulton County)

****

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES:

COUNT 1
(Conspiracy to Distribute Ketamine)

From on or about December 2011, to on or about March 5, 2013, in the Northern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant,

KAYLA ORSELL,

conspired with others to knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846. That violation involved ketamine, a Schedule III controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(C).

Dated: June 10, 2013

RICHARD S. HARTUNIAN
United States Attorney
Northern District of New York

By: _____
Geoffrey J. L. Brown
Assistant United States Attorney
Bar Roll No. 513495

9:39 94%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

AO 455 (Rev. 01/09) Waiver of an Indictment

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of New York

United States of America)
v.) Case No. 1:13-CR-223 (GLS)
Kayla Orsell)
Defendant)

WAIVER OF AN INDICTMENT

I understand that I have been accused of one or more offenses punishable by imprisonment for more than one year. I was advised in open court of my rights and the nature of the proposed charges against me.

After receiving this advice, I waive my right to prosecution by indictment and consent to prosecution by information.

Date: 06/10/2013

Kayla L Orsell
Defendant's signature

James E. Tyner
Signature of defendant's attorney

James E. Tyner, Esq.
Printed name of defendant's attorney

Gary L. Sharpe
Judge's signature

Hon. Gary L. Sharpe, U.S. District Judge
Judge's printed name and title



U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
NOV 13 2024
AT _____ O'CLOCK _____
John M. Domurad, Clerk - Albany

rit of Habeas

28 U.S.C. §

ict Court

New York

8:20 11%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 18 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla L. Orsell

Plaintiff(s),

-vs-

City of Gloversville, et al.

Civil Case No. pending
1:25-cv-963 (AMN/PJE)

Defendant(s)

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

1. I hereby request a court-appointed attorney to represent me in this action.

2. I have contacted the following attorneys in my effort to obtain counsel:

Due to ongoing and severe cybersecurity intrusions and confirmed mail fraud, I cannot confirm whether my attempts to contact legal aid and civil rights organizations have actually reached the intended recipients. I have attached an affidavit explaining this.

NOTE: You must first attempt to find an attorney on your own before you file a motion for appointment of counsel with the Court. See Terminate Control Corp. v. Horowitz, 28 F.23d 1335 (2d Cir. 1994)

3. I have attached to this motion the correspondence that I have received from the attorneys listed above.

4. The reasons I believe I should be appointed counsel pro bono are as follows:

This case involves complex legal and factual issues, I am legally disabled and dealing with cyber attacks and mail fraud as I have explained in the attached affidavits. I am also indigent.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 06/26/2025

Signature of Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla L. Orsell

Plaintiff(s),

-vs-

City of Gloversville, et al.

Civil Case No. pending
1:25-cv-963 (AMN/PJE)

Defendant(s)

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

1. I hereby request a court-appointed attorney to represent me in this action.

2. I have contacted the following attorneys in my effort to obtain counsel:

:T COURT

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 18 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

Police Department;
ment;
y District Attorney's Office;
City Court Judge;

nt;
Jail;
ITH PLAINTIFF'S LEGAL MAIL

10:35 30%
PacerMonitor Sign In

Orsell v. Spychalski et al

New York Northern District Court

Judge:	Lawrence E Kahn
Case #:	9:24-cv-01389
Nature of Suit	530 Prisoner Petitions - Habeas Corpus - General
Cause	28:2241 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (federa
Case Filed:	Nov 13, 2024
Terminated:	Dec 02, 2024

Docket | Parties (5)

Last checked: **Thursday Nov 21, 2024 10:24 AM EST**

Petitioner
Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

Respondent
Traci DiMezza

Respondent
Lucas Nellis

Respondent
James P. Riley, Esq.

Respondent
Johnathon Spychalski

5:27 85%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell

Defendant, pro se

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE | PRIORITY® MAIL

VISIT US AT USPS.COM® ORDER FREE SUPPLIES ONLINE

FROM:
Kayla Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut St
Johnstown, NY
12095

TO: Court Clerk
James T. Foley Courthouse
445 Broadway
STE 509
Albany NY, 12207

Label 228, December 2023 FOR DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL USE

1:11 26%
pcl.uscourts.gov/pcl
An official website of the United States government. Here's how you know.

PACER Public Access To Court Electronic Records

MENU

PACER Case Locator

Case Search > Search Results

Search Criteria: Case Search; Case Title (Matches): [Orsell]

Result Count: 18

Icon Legend

Case Title	Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al
Case Number	1:2025cv00963
Court	New York Northern District Court
Date Filed	
Date Closed	
Case Title	Orsell v. Fulton County Probation Department et al
Case Number	1:2025cv00962
Court	New York Northern District Court
Date Filed	
Date Closed	
Case Title	Orsell v. Orsell et al

1:11 26%
pcl.uscourts.gov/pcl
An official website of the United States government. Here's how you know.

Official websites use .gov
A **.gov** website belongs to an official government organization in the United States.

Secure .gov websites use HTTPS
A **lock (🔒)** or **https://** means you've safely connected to the .gov website. Share sensitive information only on official, secure websites.

PACER
Public Access To Court Electronic Records

MENU

PACER Case Locator

Case Search > Search Results

Search Criteria: Case Search; Case Title (Matches): [Orsell]

Result Count: 18

Icon Legend

Case Title	Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al
Case Number	1:2025cv00963
Court	New York Northern District Court
Date Filed	
Date Closed	

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
NOV 13 2024
AT ___ O'CLOCK
John M. Domurad, Clerk - Albany

Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

To:

United States District Court

Northern District of New York

Clerk's Office

James T. Foley U.S. Courthouse

445 Broadway, Room 509

Albany, NY 12207

From:

Kayla Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut St.

Johnstown, NY 12095

Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Kayla Orsell

61066 22%
f.nynd.uscourts.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 18 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

KAYLA ORSELL,
Plaintiff,

v.

City of Gloversville;
JOHNATHON SPYCHALSKI, Detective, Gloversville Police Department;
LUCAS NELLIS, Lieutenant, Gloversville Police Department;
JAMES P. RILEY, Esq., District Attorney, Fulton County District Attorney's Office;
THE HONORABLE TRACI DIMEZZA, Gloversville City Court Judge;
KYLE L. DAVIS, Public Defender;
JAMES P. MELITA, Private Defense Counsel;
JASON MITCHELL ABDALLA, Confidential Informant;
KEITH ACKERKNECHT, Jail Captain, Fulton County Jail;
UNKNOWN INDIVIDUALS WHO INTERFERED WITH PLAINTIFF'S LEGAL MAIL AND COMMUNICATIONS.
Defendants.

Case No.: [To Be Assigned]
1:25-cv-963 (AMN/PJE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This action arises under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for violations of Plaintiff's constitutional rights under the First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Gallery pasted from your clipboard.

2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 1331, § 1391, and § 1343,** as the case involves federal questions and civil rights violations.

3. Venue is proper in the **Northern District of New York** under **28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)** because all acts complained of occurred within this district. Also, all defendants are

:T COURT

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 18 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

Police Department;
ment;
y District Attorney's Office;
City Court Judge;

nt;
Jail;
ITH PLAINTIFF'S LEGAL MAIL

10:33 31%
pacermonitor.com/pl
PacerMonitor
Sign In

Orsell v. Orsell et al

New York Northern District Court

Judge:	Mae A D'Agostino
Referred:	Therese Wiley Dancks
Case #:	1:25-cv-00961
Nature of Suit	440 Civil Rights - Other Civil Rights
Cause	42:1983 Civil Rights Act
Case Filed:	Jul 22, 2025
Terminated:	Jul 22, 2025

Docket | Parties (13)

Last checked: **Tuesday Jul 22, 2025 1:09 PM EDT**

Defendant
Sheri Acquilla-Simon

Defendant
Judge Chad Brown

Defendant
Arva Lynn Carter-Orsell

Defendant
Jane Does

Defendant
John Doe

10:33 31%
pacermonitor.com/pl
PacerMonitor
Sign In

Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al

New York Northern District Court

Judge:	Anne M Nardacci
Referred:	Paul J Evangelista
Case #:	1:25-cv-00963
Nature of Suit	440 Civil Rights - Other Civil Rights
Cause	42:1983 Civil Rights Act
Case Filed:	Jul 18, 2025

Docket | Parties (11)

Last checked: **Wednesday Jul 23, 2025 1:04 AM EDT**

Defendant
Jason Mitchell Abdalla

Defendant
Jail Captain Keith Ackerknecht

Defendant
City of Gloversville

Defendant
Kyle L. Davis

Defendant
The Honorable Traci DiMezza

Defendant



USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Remove ×

Tracking Number:

9510810373315196843989

Copy Add to Informed Delivery

Information Available Soon

USPS doesn't yet have a status update on this item shipped from the Post Office. Information is usually updated within the hour of your visit. Please check back soon.

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

Need More Help?

Contact USPS Tracking support for further assistance.

6:57 7%
2025-02-28 00.25.28.png
unicourt.com/case/urc-C
UniCourt
Home / ••• / ORSELL, KAYLA ORSELL JR, VICTOR vs. ...

This case was last updated from **New York City Courts** on 04/28/2023 at 18:00:00 (UTC).

Update This Case

ORSELL, KAYLA ORSELL JR, VICTOR vs. ORSELL, TANYA N

Case Summary

On 11/15/2005 **ORSELL, KAYLA ORSELL JR, VICTOR** filed a Commercial and Trade - Small Claims lawsuit against **ORSELL, TANYA N.** This case was filed in New York City Courts, Gloversville City Courthouse located in New York. The Judge overseeing this case is Honorable Vincent DeSantis. The case status is Closed.

Case Details | Parties

Case Details

Open in...
Share


Command Prompt
224.0.0.252 01-00-5e-00-00-fc static
239.255.255.250 01-00-5e-7f-ff-fa static
255.255.255.255 ff-ff-ff-ff-ff-ff static
C:\Users\koscu>ping 192.168.1.181
Pinging 192.168.1.181 with 32 bytes of data:
Reply from 192.168.1.181: bytes=32 time=46ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.1.181: bytes=32 time=156ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.1.181: bytes=32 time=83ms TTL=64
Reply from 192.168.1.181: bytes=32 time=3ms TTL=64
Ping statistics for 192.168.1.181:
Packets: Sent = 4, Received = 4, Lost = 0 (0% loss),
Approximate round trip times in milli-seconds:
Minimum = 3ms, Maximum = 156ms, Average = 72ms
C:\Users\koscu>nbtstat -A 192.168.1.181
Norton VPN:
Node IpAddress: [0.0.0.0] Scope Id: []
Host not found.
Ethernet:
Node IpAddress: [192.168.1.9] Scope Id: []
Host not found.
C:\Users\koscu>
Letter to Judge: Mental Decline

Command Prompt
Name: nynd.uscourts.gov
C:\Users\koscu>nslookup nynd.uscourts.gov
Server: syn-2603-7080-893f-e903-0000-0000-0000-0001.res6.spectrum.com
Address: 2603:7080:893f:e903::1
Name: nynd.uscourts.gov
C:\Users\koscu>arp -a
Interface: 192.168.1.90 --- 0xa
Internet Address Physical Address Type
192.168.1.1 a0-55-1f-0f-52-26 dynamic
192.168.1.181 dc-c2-c9-73-9d-92 dynamic
224.0.0.22 01-00-5e-00-00-16 static
224.0.0.251 01-00-5e-00-00-fb static
224.0.0.252 01-00-5e-00-00-fc static
239.255.255.250 01-00-5e-7f-ff-fa static
255.255.255.255 ff-ff-ff-ff-ff-ff static
C:\Users\koscu>


https://www.nynd.uscourts.gov
Sources
Page
Workspace
jquery-3.7.0.js
Calendar3_0.png
alive5.com
css
js
cdnjsdelivr.net
code.jquery.com
jquery-3.7.0.js
files.alive5.com
fonts.googleapis.com
speedcdnjs.com
a5-videocall-iframe (ab...
chat_window_wrap.html
iframe (chat_window....
cdnjs.cloudflare.com
about:blank
standard.html
media.nynd.uscourts....
≡ MENU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of New York
Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court
How can we Help?
The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service.
Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form within 10 days of receiving the questionnaire. If you submit the online form, you do not need to return the paper copy.
If you receive a suspicious call regarding jury service,
Click here for details on the 2025 PowerAct Event
Case Locator (PACER) »
Let's Chat
Line 348, Column 4
Coverage: n/a
Scope
Watch
Not paused
Breakpoints
Pause on uncaught exceptions
Pause on caught exceptions
Threads
Call Stack
Not paused
XHR/fetch Breakpoints
Screenshot_20241209-181944
tamper-proof CD 440.10
tamper-proof_CD_ADA_Complaint
5/8/2025 12:32 PM
PNG File
856 KB
Office Open XML ...
35 KB
27 KB
1 item selected 1.02 MB


modernizr.custo...5361.js?svlj6s
/* Modernizr 2.7.1 (Custom
* Build: http://modernizr
*/
;window.Modernizr = functi
function w(a) {
j.cssText = a
}
function x(a, b) {
return w(m.join(a
}
function y(a, b) {
return typeof a ==
}
function z(a, b) {
return !!~("" + a)
}
function A(a, b, d) {
for (var e in a) {
var f = b[a[e]
if (f !== c)
return d =
}
return !1
}
var d = "2.7.1", e = {
var f, i, j, k, l
if (parseInt(d, 10
while (d--)
j = b.crea
j.id = e ?
l.appendCh
Line 4, Column 1746
Cover
Scope
Watch
Not paused
facebook.png
search-icon.png
logo.png
twitter.png
JQQ_paper_2.jpg
merriweather-re...r-webfont.wo
body-header-star-district.jpg
linkd_in.png
body-header-star.png
teams.png
bg-header-repeat-district.jpg
help_icon.png
css?family=Work...s&display=s
widget.bundle.css
css?family=Work...0&display=s
jquery-3.7.0.js
jquery-ui.min.js
a5app.js
(index)
JQQ_paper_1.jpg
chat_window_wra...6-251e60a0
ts.gov
odernizr
izr.cust...
e/css/f...
/images
5_law_j...
3_onlin...
_0.png
ar3_0.png
ss.png
al_debt_...
her.png
png
png
e_meetin...
2.png
e_0.png
.png
xceptions


https://www.nynd.uscourts.gov
Sources
Page
Workspace
css?family=Work...s&display=swap
Northern District of New York
Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court
How can we help?
Let's Chat
Breakpoints
Pause on uncaught exceptions
Pause on caught exceptions
Threads
Call Stack
Not paused
XHR/fetch Breakpoints
Scope
Watch
Coverage: n/a


https://www.nynd.uscourts.gov
Console
MENU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of New York
Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court
How can we Help?
The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service. Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form within 10 days of receiving the questionnaire. If you submit the online form, you do not need to return the paper copy.
If you receive a suspicious call regarding jury service,
Click here for details on the 2025 PowerAct Event
Let's Chat
WebSocket connection to 'wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/?authToken=eyJhbGciOiJIUzI1NiIsInR5cC...' failed: Error in connection establishment: net::ERR_INTERNET_DISCONNECTED
manager.js:108
Screenshot_20241209-181944
tamper-proof CD 440.10
tamper-proof_CD_ADA_Compliant


https://www.nynd.uscourts.gov
= MENU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of New York
Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court
How can we Help?
The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service. Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form within 10 days of receiving the questionnaire. If you submit the online form, you do not need to return the paper copy.
If you receive a suspicious call regarding jury service,
Click here for details on the 2025 PowerAct Event
Case Locator (PACER) »
Let's Chat
Sources
Page
Workspace
logo.png
libraries/modernizr
themes
adaptive/css/f...
opensans-b...
opensans-re...
cstbase/images
body-heade...
search-icon...
district
images
bg-header...
body-hea...
logo.png
nynd/files
btn-icons
6971215_law_j...
7091183_onlin...
Book3_0.png
Calendar3_0.png
congress.png
criminal_debt_...
evoucher.png
fileup.png
gavel.png
23.1 kB 126 × 133 18:19 image/png
Scope
Watch
Breakpoints
Not paused
Pause on uncaught exceptions
Pause on caught exceptions
Threads
Call Stack
Not paused
XHR/fetch Breakpoints
Screenshot_20241209-181944
5/8/2025 12:32 PM
PNG File

9:07 9%
nynd.uscourts.gov 87
≡ MENU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of New York

Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court

How can we Help?

Juror Qualification Questionnaire Mailing Update

The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service. Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form online (ejuror) within 10 days of

Let's Chat

8:06 19%
nynd.uscourts.gov
42
≡ MENU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of New York

Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, Chief Judge | John M. Domurad, Clerk of Court

How can we Help?

Juror Qualification Questionnaire Mailing Update

The Northern District of New York is currently qualifying jurors for future jury service. Qualification Questionnaires were mailed on April 16, 2025, with a 10-day response deadline. You must return the paper form or complete the electronic form online (ejuror) within 10 days of

Let's Chat


8:36
12%
Certificate viewer
DigiCert Global Root G2
03:3A:F1:E6:A7:11:A9:A0:BB:28:64:B1:1D:09
:FA:E5
ISSUED BY
Common Name (CN)
DigiCert Global Root G2
Organization (O)
DigiCert Inc
Organizational Unit (OU)
www.digicert.com
VALIDITY PERIOD
Issued On
Aug 1, 2013
Expires On
Jan 15, 2038
SHA-256 FINGERPRINTS
Certificate
CB 3C CB B7 60 31 E5 E0 13 8F 8D D3 9A
23 F9 DE 47 FF C3 5E 43 C1 14 4C EA 27
D4 6A 5A B1 CB 5F
Public Key
8B B5 93 A9 3B E1 D0 E8 A8 22 BB 88 7C
54 78 90 C3 E7 06 AA D2 DA B7 62 54 F9
7F B3 6B 82 FC 26


8:03
20%
Certificate viewer
GeoTrust TLS RSA CA G1
ISSUED TO
Common Name (CN)
GeoTrust TLS RSA CA G1
Organization (O)
DigiCert Inc
Organizational Unit (OU)
www.digicert.com
Serial Number
0D:07:78:2A:13:3F:C6:F9:A5:72:96:E1:31:FF:D1:79
ISSUED BY
Common Name (CN)
DigiCert Global Root G2
Organization (O)
DigiCert Inc
Organizational Unit (OU)
www.digicert.com
VALIDITY PERIOD
Issued On
Nov 2, 2017
Expires On

Table of Contents

1. **Affidavit of Petitioner**
2. **Habeas Corpus 28 U.S.C. § 2241 Form**
3. **Supreme Court Rulings**
4. **Memorandum in Support of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241**
5. **List of Enclosures**
6. **Enclosures**:

A. Screenshots from the New York State Court Website

B. Images of the Victim

C. Screenshot of Slanderous Newspaper Article

D. Evidence of Cyber-Attack

E. FBI Return Submission Page Screenshot

F. Texts Between Petitioner and her Attorney

G. Emails to Civil Rights Attorneys and Pro Se Clinic

H. Statements of Witness

I. Police Report

J. Discovery Compliance

K. Complaint to the Inspector General's Office

L. Copy of Paper that the Court Had Petitioner Sign

M. Accusatory Instruments

N. Affidavit of Caderon Tyrrell

O. Unreturned Email to the Court Clerk of the Northern District of New York

P. Consumer Report to the FTC about Lenovo Involvement & Printout from Lenovo website

Q. Proof of Bail

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Affidavit of Kayla Orsell

In Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

I, Kayla Orsell, being duly sworn, depose and state the following:

Introduction

I, Kayla Orsell, am the Petitioner in this case, and I am providing this affidavit in support of my petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The facts stated herein are true and true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Background Information

1. **Arrest and Charges**
    - On **April 9, 2024**, I was arrested and initially charged with **Kidnapping in the Second Degree** and **Assault in the Second Degree** by Detective Johnathon Spychalski of the Gloversville Police Department. These charges were based on the altered, uncorroborated, and conflicting statements of one witness with a defect of character. During the victim's statement, Detective Spychalski crossed out the Miranda warning and coercion agreement area with a diagonal line, instructing the victim to "just sign here."

- The charges against me were later reduced to **Assault in the Third Degree**, a misdemeanor, in Gloversville City Court. However, despite the reduction to a lower charge, I remain subject to an excessive bail of **$50,000** that restricts my liberty and imposes undue financial hardship on my family. This bail amount, disproportionate to the misdemeanor charge, seems intended to punish rather than ensure my appearance in court.

2. **Malicious Prosecution and Procedural Irregularities**
   - My case was processed in **Gloversville City Court**, which does not have felony jurisdiction, raising questions about the validity of the court's proceedings.
3. **Ineffective Assistance of Counsel and Coercion**
   - **Public Defender Kyle Davis** initially represented me but failed to advocate effectively, neglecting to challenge probable cause or pursue a preliminary hearing. I ultimately discharged Mr. Davis due to his lack of diligence.
   - **Attorney James Melita** coerced me into a plea by asserting that witnesses would lie if I went to trial and stating that the prosecution could "correct any falsified evidence." He threatened that the prosecution would reinstate felony charges if I filed for habeas corpus relief, creating a sense of helplessness. My father also pressured me into accepting the plea, fearing either my felony conviction or financial loss if I went to trial. This coercion violated my right to make a voluntary and informed plea decision.

4. **Denial of a Timely Preliminary Hearing and Due Process Violations**
    - I have been detained without a timely preliminary hearing, which is required within 144 hours of detention (when a Saturday is within the timeframe) under New York State law. Despite numerous requests for this hearing, none has been held. The lack of a prompt probable cause determination has resulted in unjustly prolonged detention, depriving me of the opportunity to contest the fabricated evidence underlying my arrest.
5. **Inadequate Discovery and Brady Violations**
    - Throughout my case, discovery has been incomplete, in violation of New York's automatic discovery laws. The prosecution has withheld key evidence, including the 911 call. This omission deprives me of the ability to contest the prosecution's narrative and substantiate my defense.
6. **Cyber-Attacks and Technological Interference**
    - During my case preparation, I experienced multiple cyber-attacks that appear intended to disrupt my communications and hinder my legal defense. Unauthorized access to my phone prevented me from sending or recieving emails to civil rights organizations and attorneys. Initially I was able to send out some emails but if they were received is still unknown in most cases. Eventually as the time left to complete this petition ran out I became totally unable to send emails and my documents were being altered as I typed them. The time has now run out and I am forced to send this in as it is even though the footnotes are not formatted properly in my memorandum. Additionally, two printers, two mobile phones, and a desktop computer were damaged, hampering my ability to prepare and submit

necessary documents. These technological interferences have further undermined my right to effective representation.

7. **Health and Financial Hardship**
   - I am permanently disabled and receive SSI benefits. My detention and the $50,000 bail amount have placed severe financial strain on my family, particularly my father, who has depleted his resources to support me. The bail amount is especially punitive given my financial and physical limitations as well as my strong community ties. I have no history that shows me as a flight risk.
8. **Evidence of Innocence and Improper Dismissals**
   - My co-defendant, who is guilty of the felony charges, was released without charges. I unfortunately omitted my knowledge of my codefendant's guilt during the initial questioning by the detective due to pressure by the codefendant who was also my boyfriend at the time and fear of what he may do to me if I told the truth. My codefendant is a known drug dealer who was charged with drug related felonies right before this incident. The online court docket suggests that he was also let go on three felony drug charges and two A misdemeanors related to the execution of a warrant on his home. I was dating this violent drug dealer due to a recent traumatic break up with a previous boyfriend as well as a coinciding drug relapse. Although the District Attorney has privately acknowledged my innocence to my attorney, James Melita, they continue to pursue charges against me.

9. **Fear of Retaliation and Continuing Violations**

   - I fear that the prosecution is determined to secure a conviction by any means, despite my innocence. This fear is compounded by ongoing interference with my legal communications, suggesting possible surveillance by local authorities. Waiting for state remedies may expose me to further rights violations, wrongful conviction, or additional unjust detention.

Conclusion

I, Kayla Orsell, swear that the facts stated in this affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature,

Kayla Leigh Orsell

State of New York County of Fulton
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me
on this 7th day of September, 2024
by Kayla Leigh Orsell.
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be
the person(s) who appeared before me.
Notary Public Signature

ARVA LYNN CARTER – Orsell
Notary Public State of New York
No. 01CA6118620
Qualified in Fulton County
Commission Expires Nov. 22, 2026

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of New York

Kayla Leigh Orsell
Petitioner

v.

Johnathon Spychalski, Lucas Nellis, James P. Riley, Esq.;Traci DiMezza
Respondent
(name of warden or authorized person having custody of petitioner)

Case No. Case No.: [To Be Assigned]
(Supplied by Clerk of Court)

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Personal Information

1. (a) Your full name: Kayla Leigh Orsell
   (b) Other names you have used: None
2. Place of confinement:
   (a) Name of institution: Gloversville City Court; Gloversville Police Department
   (b) Address: 3 Frontage Rd. Gloversville, NY 12078
   (c) Your identification number: Case Number: CR-00359-24
3. Are you currently being held on orders by:
   ☐ Federal authorities ☑ State authorities ☐ Other - explain:
4. Are you currently:
   ☐ A pretrial detainee (waiting for trial on criminal charges)
   ☐ Serving a sentence (incarceration, parole, probation, etc.) after having been convicted of a crime
   If you are currently serving a sentence, provide:
   (a) Name and location of court that sentenced you:
   (b) Docket number of criminal case:
   (c) Date of sentencing:
   ☐ Being held on an immigration charge
   ☑ Other *(explain)*: Being held on bail bond of $50,000. I had two felonies and now I have one misdemenor. Dissmissal on Kidnapping 2nd and Assault 2nd reduced to Assault 3nd misdemenor. Court did not lift the bail and says they still can charge me with a felony. Melicious prosecution needing intervention from the FBI.

Decision or Action You Are Challenging

5. What are you challenging in this petition:
   ☐ How your sentence is being carried out, calculated, or credited by prison or parole authorities (for example, revocation or calculation of good time credits)

❒ Pretrial detention
❒ Immigration detention
☑ Detainer
❒ The validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed (for example, sentence beyond the statutory maximum or improperly calculated under the sentencing guidelines)
❒ Disciplinary proceedings
❒ Other *(explain)*:

6. Provide more information about the decision or action you are challenging:

(a) Name and location of the agency or court: Gloversville City Court; 3 Frontage Rd. Gloversville NY, 12078

(b) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: CR-00359-24

(c) Decision or action you are challenging *(for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed)*:
Judge Traci DiMezza's decisions, to maintain excessive bail, to proceed without a timely preliminary hearing, and to allow coercive plea negotiations based on fabricated evidence.

(d) Date of the decision or action: 09/09/2024

Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action

7. **First appeal**

Did you appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy?

☑ Yes ❒ No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Department of Justice Civil Rights Division

(2) Date of filing: 08/13/2024

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: 493275-TVN

(4) Result: No Action Taken

(5) Date of result: 09/09/2024

(6) Issues raised: Melicious Prosecution, Cyber attack.

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not appeal:

8. **Second appeal**

After the first appeal, did you file a second appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?

☑ Yes ❒ No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: State Attorney General; Latita James

(2) Date of filing: 05/24/2024

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:

(4) Result: Unable to help because there is "more than one target" guilty of depriving rights.

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised: Inaffective assistance of counsel, lack of preliminary hearing.

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not file a second appeal:

9. **Third appeal**

After the second appeal, did you file a third appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?

☑ Yes ☐ No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Inspector General

(2) Date of filing: 09/02/2024

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:

(4) Result: Unable to help due to not having jurisdiction over police or D.A. employees.

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised: Police and prosecutorial misconduct. Cyber harassment due to malware attack.

Enclosed is a copy of the email sent and the reply. It is "Exhibit K"

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal:

10. **Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255**

In this petition, are you challenging the validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed?

☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," answer the following:

(a) Have you already filed a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 that challenged this conviction or sentence?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of court:

(2) Case number:

(3) Date of filing:

(4) Result:

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised:

(b) Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A), seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction or sentence?

❒ Yes ❒ No

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of court:

(2) Case number:

(3) Date of filing:

(4) Result:

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised:

(c) Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your conviction or sentence:

11. **Appeals of immigration proceedings**

Does this case concern immigration proceedings?

❒ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide:

(a) Date you were taken into immigration custody:

(b) Date of the removal or reinstatement order:

(c) Did you file an appeal with the Board of Immigration Appeals?

❒ Yes ❒ No

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Date of filing:

(2) Case number:

(3) Result:

(4) Date of result:

(5) Issues raised:

(d) Did you appeal the decision to the United States Court of Appeals?

❒ Yes ❒ No

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of court:

(2) Date of filing:

(3) Case number:

(4) Result:

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised:

12. **Other appeals**

Other than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the issues raised in this petition?

❒ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide:

(a) Kind of petition, motion, or application:

(b) Name of the authority, agency, or court:

(c) Date of filing:

(d) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:

(e) Result:

(f) Date of result:

(g) Issues raised:

Grounds for Your Challenge in This Petition

13. State every ground (reason) that supports your claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground. Any legal arguments must be submitted in a separate memorandum.

GROUND ONE: Violation of the Eighth Amendment – Excessive Bail

(a) Supporting facts *(Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.)*:

Bail set at $50,000 despite a reduced misdemeanor charge and low flight risk. My father was barely able to pay the bail bond which caused financial hardship to my family. This high bail bond amount led to me being incarcerated for 32 days even though my family desperately wanted to get me out of jail and knew that I was not guilty. I have no means to flee from these charges, I am disabled, and my entire family reside locally. I have no prior history that would determine I am a flight risk but the judge suggested prior criminal history as a reason.

(b) Did you present Ground One in all appeals that were available to you?

☑ Yes ☐ No

GROUND TWO: Violation of the Fourteenth Amendment - Denial of Due Process of the Law

(a) Supporting facts *(Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.)*:

I was detained without a preliminary hearing and my first court appearance after arraignment was 8 days later. I did not have an assigned attorney until I had been in jail for 8 days. I did not waive my preliminary hearing. I did waive my speedy trial rights after approximately 36 days when I met with my court assigned attorney Kyle Davis after I was released on bail bond. I was reluctant to waive my speedy trial rights as I thought it would hurt my claim of factual innocence and asked for 24 hours to decide. The attorney said that it was in my best interest.

(b) Did you present Ground Two in all appeals that were available to you?

☑ Yes ☐ No

GROUND THREE: Violation of the Sixth Amendment -Ineffective Assistance of Counsel

(a) Supporting facts *(Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.)*:

My counsel failed to file any nessicary motions, file a preliminary hearing motion, or seek withdrawal of a coerced plea. I fired the court appointed attorney when my father decided to hire a paid attorney because we were very unhappy with the way things were going. The paid attorney James Melita used my father to coerce me into a plea I did not want to accept. My father has no knowledge of the law and was convinced by James that the witnesses of the crime would lie if I went to trial and that the court could fix errors in discovery in spite of trial readiness claim

(b) Did you present Ground Three in all appeals that were available to you?

☑ Yes ☐ No

GROUND FOUR: Violation of the Fourth Amendment – Unlawful Arrest

(a) Supporting facts *(Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.)*:

My arrest lacked probable cause. Accusatory instruments have no facts to support any elements of either crime. Statements from the victim are conflicting and uncorroborated. The police report says that the injuries of me and my co-defendant do not corroborate anyone's story. My attorney stated that the District Attorney knows I did not cause any of the injuries to the victim or hold a knife to his neck. My codefendant may have became a C.I. as he was let go on these charges completely. Court records show the codefendant had these charges and several unrelated charges dismissed. The codefendant is the true perpetrator of these crimes.

(b) Did you present Ground Four in all appeals that were available to you?

☑ Yes ☐ No

14. If there are any grounds that you did not present in all appeals that were available to you, explain why you did not: There is a lack of pretrial remedies for State detainees. I have been victim of a malware attack and I am not able to go to the library due to severe social anxiety. I have disabilities and collect SSI payments. State officials may be the cause of the malware attack. This attack has stopped emails I sent to agencies who may have been able to help me and also it has destroyed two printers and damaged two phones and my desktop computer.

Request for Relief

15. State exactly what you want the court to do: Immediate Release: Grant immediate release due to ongoing constitutional violations affecting the legitimacy of my detention. Exonerate Bail: Order the exoneration of $50,000 bail bond, which remains excessive given given the misdemeanor charge and low flight risk. Dismiss Charges: Dismiss all charges with prejudice due to lack of probable cause, fabricated evidence, and repeated constitutional violations.

Declaration Under Penalty Of Perjury

If you are incarcerated, on what date did you place this petition in the prison mail system:

_____

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am the petitioner, I have read this petition or had it read to me, and the information in this petition is true and correct. I understand that a false statement of a material fact may serve as the basis for prosecution for perjury.

Date: 11/06/2024

_____
Signature of Petitioner

_____
Signature of Attorney or other authorized person, if any

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of New York

Kayla Leigh Orsell
Petitioner

v.

Johnathon Spychalski, Lucas Nellis, James P. Riley, Esq.;Traci DiMezza
Respondent
(name of warden or authorized person having custody of petitioner)

Case No. Case No.: [To Be Assigned]
(Supplied by Clerk of Court)

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Personal Information

1. (a) Your full name: Kayla Leigh Orsell
   (b) Other names you have used: None
2. Place of confinement:
   (a) Name of institution: Gloversville City Court; Gloversville Police Department
   (b) Address: 3 Frontage Rd. Gloversville, NY 12078
   (c) Your identification number: Case Number: CR-00359-24
3. Are you currently being held on orders by:
   ☐ Federal authorities ☑ State authorities ☐ Other - explain:
4. Are you currently:
   ☐ A pretrial detainee (waiting for trial on criminal charges)
   ☐ Serving a sentence (incarceration, parole, probation, etc.) after having been convicted of a crime
   If you are currently serving a sentence, provide:
   (a) Name and location of court that sentenced you:
   (b) Docket number of criminal case:
   (c) Date of sentencing:
   ☐ Being held on an immigration charge
   ☑ Other *(explain)*: Being held on bail bond of $50,000. I had two felonies and now I have one misdemenor. Dissmissal on Kidnapping 2nd and Assault 2nd reduced to Assault 3nd misdemenor. Court did not lift the bail and says they still can charge me with a felony. Melicious prosecution needing intervention from the FBI.

Decision or Action You Are Challenging

5. What are you challenging in this petition:
   ☐ How your sentence is being carried out, calculated, or credited by prison or parole authorities (for example, revocation or calculation of good time credits)

❒ Pretrial detention
❒ Immigration detention
☑ Detainer
❒ The validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed (for example, sentence beyond the statutory maximum or improperly calculated under the sentencing guidelines)
❒ Disciplinary proceedings
❒ Other *(explain)*:

6. Provide more information about the decision or action you are challenging:

(a) Name and location of the agency or court: Gloversville City Court; 3 Frontage Rd. Gloversville NY, 12078

(b) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: CR-00359-24

(c) Decision or action you are challenging *(for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed)*:
Judge Traci DiMezza's decisions, to maintain excessive bail, to proceed without a timely preliminary hearing, and to allow coercive plea negotiations based on fabricated evidence.

(d) Date of the decision or action: 09/09/2024

Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action

7. **First appeal**

Did you appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy?

☑ Yes ❒ No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Department of Justice Civil Rights Division

(2) Date of filing: 08/13/2024

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: 493275-TVN

(4) Result: No Action Taken

(5) Date of result: 09/09/2024

(6) Issues raised: Melicious Prosecution, Cyber attack.

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not appeal:

8. **Second appeal**

After the first appeal, did you file a second appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?

☑ Yes ❒ No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: State Attorney General; Latita James

(2) Date of filing: 05/24/2024

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:

(4) Result: Unable to help because there is "more than one target" guilty of depriving rights.

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised: Inaffective assistance of counsel, lack of preliminary hearing.

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not file a second appeal:

9. **Third appeal**

After the second appeal, did you file a third appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?

☑ Yes ☐ No

(a) If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Inspector General

(2) Date of filing: 09/02/2024

(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:

(4) Result: Unable to help due to not having jurisdiction over police or D.A. employees.

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised: Police and prosecutorial misconduct. Cyber harassment due to malware attack.

Enclosed is a copy of the email sent and the reply. It is "Exhibit K"

(b) If you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal:

10. **Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255**

In this petition, are you challenging the validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed?

☐ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," answer the following:

(a) Have you already filed a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 that challenged this conviction or sentence?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of court:

(2) Case number:

(3) Date of filing:

(4) Result:

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised:

(b) Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A), seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction or sentence?

❒ Yes ❒ No

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of court:

(2) Case number:

(3) Date of filing:

(4) Result:

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised:

(c) Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your conviction or sentence:

11. **Appeals of immigration proceedings**

Does this case concern immigration proceedings?

❒ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide:

(a) Date you were taken into immigration custody:

(b) Date of the removal or reinstatement order:

(c) Did you file an appeal with the Board of Immigration Appeals?

❒ Yes ❒ No

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Date of filing:

(2) Case number:

(3) Result:

(4) Date of result:

(5) Issues raised:

(d) Did you appeal the decision to the United States Court of Appeals?

❒ Yes ❒ No

If "Yes," provide:

(1) Name of court:

(2) Date of filing:

(3) Case number:

(4) Result:

(5) Date of result:

(6) Issues raised:

12. **Other appeals**

Other than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the issues raised in this petition?

❒ Yes ☑ No

If "Yes," provide:

(a) Kind of petition, motion, or application:

(b) Name of the authority, agency, or court:

(c) Date of filing:

(d) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:

(e) Result:

(f) Date of result:

(g) Issues raised:

Grounds for Your Challenge in This Petition

13. State every ground (reason) that supports your claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground. Any legal arguments must be submitted in a separate memorandum.

GROUND ONE: Violation of the Eighth Amendment – Excessive Bail

(a) Supporting facts *(Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.)*:

Bail set at $50,000 despite a reduced misdemeanor charge and low flight risk. My father was barely able to pay the bail bond which caused financial hardship to my family. This high bail bond amount led to me being incarcerated for 32 days even though my family desperately wanted to get me out of jail and knew that I was not guilty. I have no means to flee from these charges, I am disabled, and my entire family reside locally. I have no prior history that would determine I am a flight risk but the judge suggested prior criminal history as a reason.

(b) Did you present Ground One in all appeals that were available to you?

☑ Yes ☐ No

GROUND TWO: Violation of the Fourteenth Amendment - Denial of Due Process of the Law

(a) Supporting facts *(Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.)*:

I was detained without a preliminary hearing and my first court appearance after arraignment was 8 days later. I did not have an assigned attorney until I had been in jail for 8 days. I did not waive my preliminary hearing. I did waive my speedy trial rights after approximately 36 days when I met with my court assigned attorney Kyle Davis after I was released on bail bond. I was reluctant to waive my speedy trial rights as I thought it would hurt my claim of factual innocence and asked for 24 hours to decide. The attorney said that it was in my best interest.

(b) Did you present Ground Two in all appeals that were available to you?

☑ Yes ☐ No

GROUND THREE: Violation of the Sixth Amendment -Ineffective Assistance of Counsel

(a) Supporting facts *(Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.)*:

My counsel failed to file any nessicary motions, file a preliminary hearing motion, or seek withdrawal of a coerced plea. I fired the court appointed attorney when my father decided to hire a paid attorney because we were very unhappy with the way things were going. The paid attorney James Melita used my father to coerce me into a plea I did not want to accept. My father has no knowledge of the law and was convinced by James that the witnesses of the crime would lie if I went to trial and that the court could fix errors in discovery in spite of trial readiness claim

(b) Did you present Ground Three in all appeals that were available to you?

☑ Yes ☐ No

GROUND FOUR: Violation of the Fourth Amendment – Unlawful Arrest

(a) Supporting facts *(Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.)*:

My arrest lacked probable cause. Accusatory instruments have no facts to support any elements of either crime. Statements from the victim are conflicting and uncorroborated. The police report says that the injuries of me and my co-defendant do not corroborate anyone's story. My attorney stated that the District Attorney knows I did not cause any of the injuries to the victim or hold a knife to his neck. My codefendant may have became a C.I. as he was let go on these charges completely. Court records show the codefendant had these charges and several unrelated charges dismissed. The codefendant is the true perpetrator of these crimes.

(b) Did you present Ground Four in all appeals that were available to you?

☑ Yes ☐ No

14. If there are any grounds that you did not present in all appeals that were available to you, explain why you did not: There is a lack of pretrial remedies for State detainees. I have been victim of a malware attack and I am not able to go to the library due to severe social anxiety. I have disabilities and collect SSI payments. State officials may be the cause of the malware attack. This attack has stopped emails I sent to agencies who may have been able to help me and also it has destroyed two printers and damaged two phones and my desktop computer.

Request for Relief

15. State exactly what you want the court to do: Immediate Release: Grant immediate release due to ongoing constitutional violations affecting the legitimacy of my detention. Exonerate Bail: Order the exoneration of $50,000 bail bond, which remains excessive given given the misdemeanor charge and low flight risk. Dismiss Charges: Dismiss all charges with prejudice due to lack of probable cause, fabricated evidence, and repeated constitutional violations.

Declaration Under Penalty Of Perjury

If you are incarcerated, on what date did you place this petition in the prison mail system:

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am the petitioner, I have read this petition or had it read to me, and the information in this petition is true and correct. I understand that a false statement of a material fact may serve as the basis for prosecution for perjury.

Date: 11/06/2024

Signature of Petitioner

Signature of Attorney or other authorized person, if any

Supreme Court Rulings

Petitioner seeks to include relevant opinions and rulings from the Supreme Court that pertain to her case and support the claims made in this petition. These documents are crucial for illustrating the procedural history and decisions made at the state level, as well as demonstrating the exhaustion of state remedies.

Ineffective Assistance of Counsel and Strickland v. Washington

- **Court:** United States Supreme Court
- **Docket Number:** 82-1554
- **Date of Ruling:** May 14, 1984
- **Summary of Ruling:** This opinion cites Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984), establishing the standard for ineffective assistance of counsel. The court noted that to demonstrate ineffective assistance, a defendant must show that counsel's performance was deficient and that the deficiency prejudiced the defense. This ruling is crucial to Petitioner's claims, as her attorneys failed to challenge significant issues, including excessive bail and the validity of her plea, which resulted in substantial prejudice to her case.

Right to Prompt Probable Cause Determination and *Gerstein v. Pugh*

- **Court:** United States Supreme Court
- **Docket Number:** 73-477
- **Date of Ruling:** February 18, 1975

- **Summary of Ruling:** This opinion reaffirms the principles set forth in *Gerstein v. Pugh*, 420 U.S. 103 (1975), which mandates that individuals in custody must receive a prompt judicial determination of probable cause. The court underscored the importance of this requirement in protecting due process rights, noting that prolonged detention without such a hearing constitutes an arbitrary deprivation of liberty. This ruling is directly relevant to Petitioner's situation, as she has been denied a timely preliminary hearing, violating her Fourteenth Amendment rights and further supporting her claims of unlawful detention.

Excessive Bail and *Stack v. Boyle*

- **Court:** United States Supreme Court
- **Docket Number:** 400
- **Date of Ruling:** November 5, 1951
- **Summary of Ruling:** This opinion highlights the principles established in *Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1 (1951), which emphasizes that bail must not be set at an amount higher than necessary to ensure a defendant's presence at trial. The court in this case referenced *Stack v. Boyle* in addressing the constitutionality of bail amounts and reinforced that excessive bail is prohibited under the Eighth Amendment. This ruling is relevant to Petitioner's case, as the $50,000 bail imposed is disproportionate to the reduced misdemeanor charge and does not reflect her low flight risk or the lack of a violent history.

Timeliness of Preliminary Hearings and *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*

- **Court:** United States Supreme Court
- **Docket Number:** 89-1817
- **Date of Ruling:** May 13, 1991
- **Summary of Ruling:** This opinion discusses the principles established in *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44 (1991), which mandates that a judicial determination of probable cause must occur within 48 hours of arrest. The court emphasized that failure to provide such a hearing within this timeframe constitutes a violation of due process rights. This ruling is particularly relevant to Petitioner, who has experienced prolonged detention without a preliminary hearing, thus infringing on her Fourteenth Amendment rights and further supporting her claims of unlawful detention.

Voluntary Guilty Pleas and *Boykin v. Alabama*

- **Court:** United States Supreme Court
- **Docket Number:** 642
- **Date of Ruling:** June 2, 1969
- **Summary of Ruling:** This opinion cites *Boykin v. Alabama*, 395 U.S. 238 (1969), which establishes that a guilty plea must be made voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently. The court ruled that any plea entered under coercion, threats, or without proper understanding of the consequences is constitutionally invalid. This

ruling directly supports Petitioner's claim that her plea was coerced and lacks the necessary voluntary basis, further violating her Sixth Amendment rights.

Coerced Pleas and *Brady v. United States*

- **Court:** United States Supreme Court
- **Docket Number:** 270
- **Date of Ruling:** May 4, 1970
- **Summary of Ruling:** This opinion references *Brady v. United States*, 397 U.S. 742 (1970), which holds that guilty pleas must be voluntary and not the result of coercion or misrepresentation. The court emphasized that a plea must be made with an understanding of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the plea. This ruling is significant for Petitioner's case, as it underscores the necessity of a voluntary plea free from coercion, aligning with her assertion that her acceptance of the plea was improperly influenced by her counsel and the circumstances surrounding her representation.

Evidence Obtained Through Unconstitutional Means *Mapp v. Ohio*, 367 U.S. 643 (1961)

- **Court:** United States Supreme Court
- **Docket Number:** 367 U.S. 643
- **Date of Ruling:** June 19, 1961
- **Summary of Ruling:** This landmark ruling established the exclusionary rule, which holds that evidence obtained in violation of the Fourth Amendment cannot be used in

state courts. This principle is crucial to Petitioner's claims, as the evidence used to justify her arrest was obtained through unconstitutional methods, warranting exclusion under this precedent.

These opinions and rulings demonstrate the legal landscape surrounding Petitioner's case and underscore the substantial rights at stake. They support her claims of constitutional violations, including excessive bail, illegal detainment, denial of due process, and ineffective assistance of counsel.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla Leigh Orsell,
Petitioner,

v.
Johnathon Spychalski, Detective, Gloversville Police Department;
Lucas Nellis, Lieutenant, Gloversville Police Department;
James P. Riley, Esq., District Attorney, Fulton County District Attorney's Office;
The Honorable Traci DiMezza, Gloversville City Court Judge,
Respondents.

Case No.: [To Be Assigned]

Memorandum in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Submitted by:

Kayla Leigh Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095
(518)-705-4054

kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Date Filed: 11/07/2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Preliminary Statement and Introduction 1

II. Jurisdiction 2

III. Requested Relief for Pretrial Rights Violations 3

Ineffective Assistance of Counsel 3

Coerced Plea Agreement 3

Excessive Bail 3

Due Process for Preliminary Hearing 4

IV. Exhaustion of State Remedies 4

State and Federal Agency Contacts 4

Attorney Refusals to File Motions 5

V. Statement of Facts 6

A. Arrest and Charges 6

B. Bail Proceedings and Pretrial Detention 7

C. Chronology of Legal Representation 9

D. Preliminary Hearing Denial and Due Process Violations 10

E. Current Status 11

F. Attorney Misconduct and Coercive Plea Negotiations 11

VI. Fourth Amendment Violation 13

A. Unlawful Arrest and Fabricated Evidence 13

B. Fourth Amendment and the Exclusionary Rule 14

VII. Sixth Amendment Violation 15

A. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel 15

1. Deficient Performance 15

2. Prejudice 16

B. Sixth Amendment and the Exclusionary Rule 16

VIII. Eighth Amendment Violation: Excessive Bail 18

Non-Punitive Purpose Requirement 18

Disproportionate Bail and Financial Capacity 18

IX. Fourteenth Amendment Violation: Denial of Due Process 19

A. Right to Prompt Probable Cause Determination 19

B. Violation of Procedural Due Process Standards 20

C. Legal Standards for Fourteenth Amendment Violation 20

D. Application to Petitioner's Case 21

X. Conclusion and Summary 22

XI. Prayer for Relief 23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Page(s)

Beck v. Ohio, 379 U.S. 89 (1964).....1, 2, 6, 13, 14

Boykin v. Alabama, 395 U.S.238 (1969).....3, 16

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) 6,7, 13

Brady v. United States, 397 U.S. 742 (1970) 11,12

County of Riverside v. McLaughlin, 500 U.S. 44 .....2, 4, 11, 15, 19, 20, 21

Franks v. Delaware, 438 U.S. 154 (1978).....14

Gerstein v. Pugh, 420 U.S. 103 (1975).....2, 11, 19, 20, 21

Hill v. Lockhart, 474 U.S. 52 (1985).....16, 17

Mapp v. Ohio, 367 U.S. 643 (1961).....14, 17

Stack v. Boyle, 342 U.S. 1 (1951).....2, 3, 18

Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984).....1, 2, 3, 13, 15, 17

United States v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739 (1987).....18,19

Wong Sun v. United States, 371 U.S. 471(1963).....14

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. Amend. IV.....1,2,13

U.S. Const. Amend. VI.....1,2,16

U.S. Const. amend. VIII.....1,2,18

U.S. Const. amend. XIV.....1,2,19

STATUTES

28 U.S.C. § 1331.....3

28 U.S.C. § 2241.....1,3,6

N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 180.80 (McKinney 2024).....10, 11, 15, 19, 20

N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 240.20 (McKinney 2024).....7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla Leigh Orsell,
Petitioner,
v.
Johnathon Spychalski, Detective, Gloversville Police Department; **Lucas Nellis**, Lieutenant, Gloversville Police Department; **James P. Riley, Esq.**, District Attorney, Fulton County District Attorney's Office; **The Honorable Traci DiMezza**, Gloversville City Court Judge,
Respondents.

Case No.: [To Be Assigned]

Memorandum in Support of Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

I. Preliminary Statement and Introduction

Preliminary Statement and Introduction

This petition for a writ of habeas corpus, filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, challenges violations of Petitioner Kayla Leigh Orsell's constitutional rights under the Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments. The Fourth Amendment[1], as interpreted in *Beck v. Ohio*, 379 U.S. 89, 91 (1964)[2], requires "reasonably trustworthy information" to establish probable cause for an arrest. Petitioner's arrest lacked probable cause, relying solely on conflicting and uncorroborated statements from a single witness with a defect of character. (See Exhibit M) The witness's statements were tampered with when Detective Spychalski placed a diagonal line across the Miranda rights and coercion agreement, instructing the witness to "just sign here." (See Ex. H) This witness, the victim of the alleged crime, was under the influence of drugs at the time of the

[1] U.S. Const. amend. IV; see *Beck v. Ohio*, 379 U.S. 89, 91 (1964) (requiring "reasonably trustworthy information" to establish probable cause for an arrest).
[2] *Beck v. Ohio*, 379 U.S. 89, 91 (1964) (holding that probable cause requires "reasonably trustworthy information" sufficient to warrant a prudent person in believing that the suspect has committed or is committing an offense).

incident, as evidenced by the circumstances surrounding the case. Additionally, the prosecution did not provide a list of further witnesses for trial. Furthermore, despite claiming trial readiness after discovery was provided, (See Ex. A) the prosecution later threatened Petitioner's attorney with the introduction of credible witnesses they purportedly intended to call at trial. Petitioner's Sixth Amendment[3] right to effective counsel, as required by *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 687 (1984)[4], was compromised by her attorneys' failure to withdraw a coerced plea, address excessive bail, or secure a preliminary hearing. The Eighth Amendment's[5] prohibition against excessive bail, under *Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951)[6], was disregarded with a $50,000 bond imposed despite Petitioner's low flight risk and reduced charges. (See Ex. Q) Finally, the Fourteenth Amendment's due process protections, as mandated by *Gerstein v. Pugh*, 420 U.S. 103, 114 (1975),[7] and *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44, 56–57 (1991),[8] were breached by the denial of a timely preliminary hearing, prolonging her detention without a fair chance to challenge the charges. These violations collectively undermine the legality of Petitioner's pretrial detention and warrant immediate judicial intervention.

---

[3] U.S. Const. amend. VI; see *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 687 (1984) (establishing the standard for effective assistance of counsel).

[4] *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 687 (1984) (establishing that a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel requires showing that counsel's performance was deficient and that the deficient performance prejudiced the defense).

[5] U.S. Const. amend. VIII; see *Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951) (holding that bail set higher than an amount reasonably calculated to ensure the defendant's presence in court is excessive and violates the Eighth Amendment).

[6] *Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951) (holding that bail set at an amount higher than necessary to ensure the defendant's appearance in court is excessive under the Eighth Amendment).

[7] *Gerstein v. Pugh*, 420 U.S. 103, 114 (1975) (holding that the Fourth Amendment requires a prompt judicial determination of probable cause as a prerequisite to extended pretrial detention).

[8] *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44, 56–57 (1991) (holding that a judicial determination of probable cause is generally required within 48 hours of an arrest to satisfy due process under the Fourth Amendment).

II. Jurisdiction

This Court has jurisdiction over this habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, as Petitioner alleges that her detention violates the Constitution and federal law. Jurisdiction is further supported by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as this case arises under the Constitution of the United States.

III. Requested Relief for Pretrial Rights Violations

Petitioner seeks relief due to significant constitutional violations affecting her civil rights, specifically requesting vacatur of her coerced plea, exoneration of bail, and immediate release. This relief is warranted based on established legal standards and precedents that protect individuals from unjust treatment in the legal process.

1. **Ineffective Assistance of Counsel**: Relief is warranted under the ineffective assistance of counsel standard established in *Strickland v. Washington*, which requires demonstrating both deficient performance by counsel and substantial prejudice that undermined the defense. Counsel's failure to address excessive bail, seek dismissal for lack of probable cause and insufficient evidence, seek a preliminary hearing, or withdraw Petitioner's coerced plea falls below professional standards and resulted in substantial prejudice, including prolonged pretrial detention.
2. **Coerced Plea Agreement**: A guilty plea must be voluntary, knowing, and intelligent to comply with constitutional requirements. Under *Boykin v. Alabama*, a coerced or involuntary plea, influenced by threats or misrepresentation, violates the defendant's rights.[9] Petitioner's plea was induced through pressure, including threats related to bail, rendering it constitutionally invalid.
3. **Excessive Bail**: The Eighth Amendment prohibits excessive bail, mandating that bail should be set only to ensure the defendant's appearance at trial and not as a punitive measure. The $50,000

---

[9] *Boykin v. Alabama*, 395 U.S. 238, 242 (1969) (holding that a guilty plea must be voluntary, knowing, and intelligent, with an understanding of the rights being waived).

bail imposed, despite Petitioner's low flight risk and the reduction of charges to a misdemeanor, fails to meet this standard as established in *Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951).[10]

4. **Due Process for Preliminary Hearing**: The Fourteenth Amendment's due process clause, reinforced by New York State law, guarantees a prompt probable cause hearing. Under *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44, 56–57 (1991), the government must provide a judicial determination within 48 hours of arrest, barring extraordinary circumstances. In Petitioner's case, no preliminary hearing occurred, violating her procedural due process rights and justifying her immediate release.

These violations collectively justify granting the requested relief to prevent further prejudice and uphold Petitioner's constitutional protections.

IV. Exhaustion of State Remedies

Petitioner has exhausted all available state remedies concerning the constitutional violations detailed in this petition. Despite diligent efforts to seek redress through state channels, Petitioner has been unable to obtain adequate relief due to a lack of effective pretrial remedies for detainees in New York State.

A. In an effort to address these issues, Petitioner contacted multiple state and federal agencies:

- **New York State Attorney General:** Petitioner sent a letter outlining the constitutional violations and requested intervention to correct the injustices faced. No remedial action was provided. State Attorney General stated that there was "more than one target" so they had to close the investigation. The reply letter was lost and the reply email is included in Exhibits. (See Ex. G)

---

[10] *Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951) (holding that bail set at an amount higher than necessary to ensure the defendant's presence in court is excessive under the Eighth Amendment).

- **New York State Inspector General:** Petitioner reported police and prosecutorial misconduct seeking an investigation into the actions of law enforcement and prosecutorial authorities. The office did not initiate any investigation or offer assistance Their reply said that they do not have jurisdiction over the police department or District Attorney's office employees. This reply seemed to possibly be a fake reply caused by the cyber attack. (See Ex. K)
- **New York Civil Liberties Union (NYCLU):** Petitioner reached out for legal support and advocacy to address the violations of her rights. Despite this, she did not receive effective assistance to remedy her situation. (See Ex. G)
- **United States Department of Justice (DOJ):** Petitioner contacted the DOJ to report civil rights violations, hoping for federal oversight or intervention. No action was taken that addressed her immediate concerns. (See Ex. G)
- **Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI):** Petitioner notified the FBI of potential federal offenses related to her unlawful arrest and detention. Petitioner also reported a cyber attack. No investigative action or relief was provided. (See Ex. E)

B. Additionally, both of Petitioner's attorneys refused to file any motions on her behalf. Public Defender Kyle Davis and Attorney James Melita did not submit motions to:

- Suppress unlawfully obtained or fabricated evidence,
- Request a preliminary hearing under N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 180.80,
- Exonerate bail after felonies were reduced to a single misdemeanor,
- Dismiss on lack of evidence, failure to prosecute, or lack of probable cause

Their refusal effectively barred Petitioner from accessing state court remedies to address the violations of her constitutional rights.

Given the absence of effective pretrial remedies for detainees in New York State and the inaction of both her counsel and state agencies, Petitioner has no other avenue to seek relief except through this federal habeas corpus petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The extraordinary circumstances of her case—including ongoing violations of her Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights—warrant federal intervention despite the general requirement to exhaust state remedies.

V. Statement of Facts

A. Arrest and Charges

- On April 9, 2024, Petitioner Kayla Orsell was arrested and charged with Kidnapping in the Second Degree and Assault in the Second Degree, despite the accusatory instruments lacking any facts to support the elements of these charges (see Ex. M). Petitioner asserts that Detective Johnathon Spychalski manipulated evidence to justify her arrest, violating her Fourth Amendment rights, which require "reasonably trustworthy information" for probable cause (*Beck v. Ohio*, 379 U.S. 89, 91 (1964)).[11] The absence of reliable information casts serious doubt on the legitimacy of her arrest.
- A 911 call relevant to the incident was withheld from discovery, despite assurances from Petitioner's attorney to obtain it or seek dismissal if not provided (see Ex. J). The prosecution's failure to disclose this call violates the *Brady* requirement for the disclosure

[11] *Beck v. Ohio*, 379 U.S. 89, 91 (1964) (holding that probable cause requires "reasonably trustworthy information" sufficient to warrant a prudent person's belief that the suspect has committed or is committing an offense).

of favorable evidence (*Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963)),[12] as well as N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 240.20,[13] which mandates disclosure of all relevant defense evidence. This suppression deprived Petitioner of the ability to contest key allegations, undermining her right to a fair trial.

With no factual basis supporting the charges and critical evidence withheld, Petitioner contends that her arrest lacked probable cause, constituting a violation of her Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights. Petitioner seeks judicial intervention to address these constitutional violations.

A. Bail Proceedings and Pretrial Detention (See Ex. A)

- On April 9, 2024, Petitioner appeared before Judge Traci DiMezza in Gloversville City Court for arraignment. Despite Petitioner's strong ties to the community—including lifelong residence in Fulton County, a lack of violent charges or felony convictions in over a decade, legal disability status, no history of flight risk, and local family support—the Court set bail at $50,000 cash, $75,000 partially secured bond, and $100,000 fully secured bond. This amount is grossly disproportionate to Petitioner's financial means.
- On April 17, 2024, Petitioner appeared before Judge Traci DiMezza in Gloversville City Court for a bail review hearing. Bail was reduced to $25,000 cash, $50,000 partially secured bond, and $75,000 fully secured bond.

---

[12] *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963) (holding that the prosecution's suppression of evidence favorable to the accused violates due process when the evidence is material either to guilt or punishment).
[13] N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 240.20 (McKinney 2024) (requiring that all evidence relevant to the defense be disclosed to ensure a fair trial).

- On May 5, 2024 Petitioner appeared before Judge Traci DiMezza in Gloversville City Court for a bail review hearing. During this proceeding Judge Traci DiMezza asked attorney Kyle Davis and District Attorney James P. Riley Esq. to step up to her bench and talk in private. The trio whispered and the petitioner was unable to hear what was being said. The petitioner was asking that bail be lowered again since her family could not afford it. The judge denied the request to lower bail again.
- On May 10, 2024 the petitioner was bailed out on $50,000 partially secured bail bond by her father with the help of other family. This put a major financial burden on the family but the petitioner ensured the family that the money would be returned when she proved her innocence. The money has still not been returned in spite of the fact her innocence is known to the district attorney.
- On June 5, 2024 Petitioner attended court for the last time with attorney Kyle Davis. Kyle did not question the discovery compliance although the petitioner had issues with it. It was at this court appearance that the prosecution claimed trial readiness.
- On July 15, 2024 Petitioner appeared before Judge Traci DiMezza in Gloversville City Court with her new paid attorney James Melita for plea negotiations. James said that the current offer was felony probation however no plea agreement was shown to her on paper. Petitioner declined. The court asked the petitioner to sign a paper that was labeled "Parker Warnings for Criminal Defendants" when the petitioner was trying to read the document before signing it the bailiff told her to "just sign it" and to hurry up. Her attorney acted like it was no big deal and urged her to sign as well. The petitioner was given a copy that was not signed by her and the signed copy was taken by the bailiff. Upon bringing the document home the petitioner found out the document was not an

actual Parker Warning document and had some very serious and most likely illegal statements in it. Including that if the petitioner was arrested during the proceedings that the court would forfeit her bail and may charge her for bail jumping. Also, the document stated that if she were to answer truthfully or fail to awnser untruthfully any questions asked during the PSI that the court would not be bound by the sentance promise, she would not be permitted to withdraw her plea, and the court would impose any sentence permitted by law, including the maximum permissible sentence, which may include incarceration, a fine, or both. (See Ex. L) When the Petitioner asked her lawyer about this document he shrugged it off like it was no big deal and failed to address it.

- On September 5, 2024 Attorney James Melita came to Petitioner's fathers house and coerced the petitioner into taking a plea where she was to plea guilty to a misdemeanor and would be given misdemeanor probation. The attorney used the petitioner's father against her to get her to sign this plea and never agreed to meet with her alone. The petitioner's caregiver Caderon Tyrrell was asked to be present for this meeting. He has written and signed an affidavit which is enclosed with this petition and confirms the allegations of coercion. (See Ex. N)
- On September 9th, 2024 the petitioner reluctantly signed the plea agreement in court. A copy of the plea was never provided to the petitioner in spite of her requesting that the attorney get her one as well as gather the court transcripts for her which he also did not do. The online court docket still says that the Kidnapping charge has not gone away. The attorney said to the petitioners father that the court will bring back the felony charges if she files habeas corpus. Sentencing is set for 11/18/2024.

B. Chronology of Legal Representation

- During the initial period of representation, from April 17 to June 5, 2024, Public Defender Kyle Davis was assigned to Petitioner's case but failed to perform several essential defense actions. He neglected to request accurate discovery materials, did not challenge the lack of probable cause, and did not contest the excessive bail amount set against Petitioner. Furthermore, he filed no preliminary motions and failed to demand a timely preliminary hearing, as mandated by N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 180.80.
- From July 15, 2024, onward, Attorney James Melita took over Petitioner's representation. In a key meeting on September 5, 2024, counsel made coercive statements regarding plea acceptance, acknowledging the presence of falsified evidence and cautioning Petitioner that "the prosecution could just go back and correct any of the falsified evidence" and warning that "they still have the felony charges and will bring them back if you try to file Habeas Corpus." Despite recognizing these issues, counsel took no steps to withdraw the coerced plea, address the excessive bail, request a preliminary hearing, or challenge the fabricated evidence.

Independent witness Caderon Tyrrell has provided a sworn affidavit dated June 15, 2024, (See Ex. N) attesting to:

1. Direct observation of coercive tactics during attorney meetings
2. Direct observation of Petitioner's father being used by the defense to coerce into a plea
3. Confirmation of Attorney Melita's statements regarding evidence "correction"
4. Direct observation of cyber attack on all of Petitioner's devices

C. Preliminary Hearing Denial and Due Process Violations

The statutory requirement for a preliminary hearing within 144 hours under N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 180.80 has been violated, as no preliminary hearing has occurred despite multiple requests from counsel and over 180 days of detention. Additionally, during the petition preparation period, Petitioner faced systematic cyber-attacks that prevented emails from being sent to and received by outside agencies, and caused the destruction of two printers. These technical disruptions hindered electronic communications and interfered with document preparation and legal research, materially impairing Petitioner's ability to file timely legal documents and further extending her unconstitutional detention.

D. Current Status

Despite charges being reduced to a single misdemeanor, Petitioner remains held on a $50,000 partially secured bail bond and has served over 180 days in detention without a preliminary hearing, resolution of Brady violations, addressing of the excessive bail amount, or remediation of ineffective assistance of counsel issues. This extended pre-sentencing detention continues despite the constitutional requirement for prompt probable cause determinations established in *Gerstein v. Pugh*, 420 U.S. 103 (1975),[14] and *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44 (1991).[15]

---

[14] *Gerstein v. Pugh*, 420 U.S. 103, 114 (1975) (holding that the Fourth Amendment requires a prompt judicial determination of probable cause as a prerequisite to extended pretrial detention).

[15] *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44, 56-57 (1991) ("Taking into account the competing interests articulated in Gerstein, we believe that a jurisdiction that provides judicial determinations of probable cause within 48 hours of arrest will, as a general matter, comply with the promptness requirement of Gerstein . . . .") Where an arrested individual does not receive a probable cause determination within 48 hours... the burden shifts to the government to demonstrate the existence of a bona fide emergency or other extraordinary circumstance... The fact that in a particular case it may take longer than 48 hours to consolidate pretrial proceedings does not qualify as an extraordinary circumstance. Nor, for that matter, do intervening weekends.") (establishing that detention beyond 48 hours without a probable cause determination is presumptively unreasonable and violates Fourth Amendment protections, regardless of the jurisdiction's regular schedule of court proceedings).

E. Attorney Misconduct and Coercive Plea Negotiations

Petitioner's representation was marred by misconduct and coercion, resulting in a guilty plea that was neither voluntary nor informed. Her initial attorney, Public Defender Kyle Davis, attempted to pressure her into accepting a plea deal with a five-year prison sentence by exploiting her fear of receiving a harsher punishment of twenty-five-years at trial. This coercion contradicts *Brady v. United States*, 397 U.S. 742, 750 (1970), which mandates that guilty pleas be entered voluntarily and without threats or misrepresentations.[16] Mr. Davis's actions contributed to an environment of fear rather than advocacy, undermining Petitioner's right to make an autonomous legal decision.

Beyond coercive tactics, Mr. Davis neglected essential duties crucial to her defense. He failed to request accurate discovery materials, challenge probable cause, contest the excessive bail amount, or file necessary preliminary motions, leaving Petitioner vulnerable to prosecutorial overreach and violating her Sixth Amendment right to effective counsel.

When Attorney James Melita assumed representation, he continued this pattern of inadequate and coercive representation. Rather than advocating for Petitioner's rights, Mr. Melita aligned with her father to pressure her into accepting a guilty plea. Under Mr. Melita's influence, her father believed that refusing the plea would allow the prosecution to "fix" any evidentiary flaws, despite their previous claim of trial readiness. Consequently, her father threatened her with homelessness if she did not accept the plea and expressed unwillingness to pay additional fees for a trial. Feeling unsupported and fearful of worsening consequences, Petitioner was coerced into pleading guilty to an A misdemeanor with the promise of misdemeanor probation. (See Ex. F)

---

[16] *Brady v. United States*, 397 U.S. 742, 748 (1970) (holding that a guilty plea must be voluntary, knowing, and intelligent, and cannot be the result of coercion, threats, or misrepresentation).

In addition to coercing Petitioner, Mr. Melita neglected fundamental responsibilities in her defense, including:

- Failing to get an accurate discovery that included the missing 911 call,
- Failing to file any motions
- Failing to contest the excessive bail,
- Failing to demand a preliminary hearing.

These omissions fall below the "objective standard of reasonableness" required by *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668 (1984), for effective counsel. Petitioner's right to competent representation was compromised, as her attorneys prioritized expediency over her constitutional rights, leaving her without meaningful legal defense and depriving her of a fair process.

VI. Fourth Amendment Violation

A. Unlawful Arrest and Fabricated Evidence

Petitioner asserts that her arrest lacked probable cause, violating her Fourth Amendment rights against unreasonable searches and seizures. Probable cause requires "reasonably trustworthy information" sufficient for a prudent person to believe an offense has been committed (*Beck v. Ohio*, 379 U.S. 89, 91 (1964)). Here, law enforcement relied on flawed evidence, undermining the arrest's legitimacy.

Under *Brady v. Maryland*, suppressing material evidence favorable to the accused violates due process. To establish a *Brady* violation: (1) the evidence must be favorable; (2) it must have been suppressed by the State; and (3) the suppression resulted in prejudice.

In this case:

- **Lack of Trustworthy Information:** Probable cause was based on unreliable, misleading information, violating the *Beck* standard.
- **Suppression of Material Evidence:** The prosecution failed to provide an existing 911 call, constituting willful suppression under *Brady*.
- **Material Impact:** Conflicting and uncorroborated statements by a witness with credibility issues compromised critical testimony, undermining due process.

These actions render Petitioner's arrest constitutionally defective under Fourth Amendment standards.

B. Fourth Amendment and the Exclusionary Rule

The exclusionary rule, established in *Mapp v. Ohio*, bars the use of evidence obtained through unconstitutional means (367 U.S. 643, 655 (1961)). In *Wong Sun v. United States*, both direct and indirect products of unlawful conduct must be excluded as "fruit of the poisonous tree" (371 U.S. 471, 484-85 (1963)). Evidence obtained by exploiting initial illegality must be suppressed.

In this case, the evidence justifying Petitioner's arrest was fabricated. Under *Wong Sun*, not only must the fabricated evidence be excluded, but also any subsequent evidence derived from it, including statements obtained following the unlawful arrest. Excluding this evidence is crucial to uphold Petitioner's Fourth Amendment rights.

Courts consistently apply the exclusionary rule in cases of fabrication. In *Franks v. Delaware*, if an officer intentionally or recklessly provides false information to secure a warrant, the warrant

is invalid, and the evidence obtained is inadmissible (438 U.S. 154, 155–56 (1978)). This principle directly applies here, where fabricated evidence was used to justify the arrest.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court acknowledge these Fourth Amendment violations and exclude all evidence stemming from the unlawful arrest, ensuring her right to due process and protection from unconstitutional actions.

VII. Sixth Amendment Violation

A. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel

Under *Strickland v. Washington*, (466 U.S. 668, 687 (1984) counsel is ineffective when performance falls below an objective standard of reasonableness, and there's a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's errors, the outcome would have been different.

1. Deficient Performance:

- **Failure to Challenge Fabricated Evidence:** Counsel did not file a motion to suppress under *Franks v. Delaware*, challenge probable cause, or request an evidentiary hearing. Instead, he discouraged Petitioner from asserting her rights by stating the prosecution could "correct any of the falsified evidence" later on in spite of already claiming trial readiness.
- **Failure to Request Preliminary Hearing:** Counsel did not demand the preliminary hearing mandated by N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 180.80, resulting in detention beyond statutory limits without probable cause review, ignoring the 48-hour requirement in *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*.

- **Coerced Plea Negotiations:** Counsel pressured Petitioner to accept a plea without reviewing discovery, investigating allegations, or consulting on defense strategy, and threatened enhanced charges if she refused.

2. **Prejudice:**

- **Direct Consequences:** Counsel's failures led to extended detention, a coerced plea, and lack of defense preparation.
- **Reasonable Probability of a Different Outcome:** Effective counsel would have exposed fabricated evidence, secured dismissal at a preliminary hearing, prevented a coerced plea, and filed essential motions.
- **Cumulative Impact:** These failures undermined the defense, denied meaningful court access, resulted in unconstitutional detention, and led to an involuntary plea.

Under *Hill v. Lockhart*, to demonstrate prejudice in guilty pleas, there must be a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's errors, the defendant would not have pleaded guilty and would have insisted on going to trial (474 U.S. 52, 59 (1985)).[17] Petitioner maintained her innocence and accepted the plea only due to coercion, misinformation, and threats.

B. Sixth Amendment and the Exclusionary Rule

The Sixth Amendment guarantees the right to counsel and ensures that defendants receive a fair trial. This right is fundamental to the American legal system, as it allows individuals to adequately defend themselves against criminal charges. The exclusionary rule, which prohibits

[17] *Hill v. Lockhart*, 474 U.S. 52, 59 (1985) (holding that a defendant claiming ineffective assistance of counsel during plea negotiations must demonstrate a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's errors, they would not have pleaded guilty and would have insisted on going to trial).

the use of evidence obtained in violation of a defendant's constitutional rights, plays a crucial role in protecting these rights under the Sixth Amendment.

The exclusionary rule, as established in *Mapp v. Ohio*, 367 U.S. 643, 655 (1961), serves to deter law enforcement from engaging in unconstitutional practices, such as unlawful searches and seizures, by rendering any evidence obtained through such means inadmissible in court. This rule is essential not only for upholding the Fourth Amendment but also for ensuring that a defendant's right to a fair trial is not compromised by unreliable or illegally obtained evidence.

In cases involving the Sixth Amendment, the application of the exclusionary rule ensures that defendants can challenge evidence that may have been gathered in violation of their rights to counsel or to a fair trial. For instance, if law enforcement obtains incriminating statements from a suspect without providing access to legal counsel, those statements may be deemed inadmissible under the exclusionary rule. This principle reinforces the importance of a fair trial and the necessity for defendants to have effective legal representation.

Moreover, the exclusionary rule protects the integrity of the judicial process by preventing the introduction of tainted evidence that could skew the outcome of a trial. It establishes a safeguard against coercive tactics or evidence obtained through constitutional violations, thereby reinforcing the defendant's right to a fair legal process. In *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 686 (1984), the Supreme Court underscored that ineffective assistance of counsel can lead to a violation of the Sixth Amendment rights, further illustrating the interconnectedness of the right to counsel and the exclusionary rule.

In conclusion, the exclusionary rule serves as a vital mechanism for upholding the Sixth Amendment rights of defendants, ensuring that evidence obtained in violation of constitutional

protections cannot be used to compromise the fairness of a trial. By maintaining the integrity of the legal process and safeguarding defendants' rights, the exclusionary rule plays an essential role in the pursuit of justice.

VIII.. Eighth Amendment Violation: Excessive Bail

Petitioner's $50,000 bail, set despite her misdemeanor charge, lack of flight risk, and history of compliance with court appearances, violates the Eighth Amendment's prohibition against excessive bail. The Eighth Amendment requires that bail be set solely to ensure a defendant's appearance in court, not to punish. In *Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951), the Supreme Court emphasized that bail should align with a defendant's financial means, ensuring appearance without imposing undue hardship. Bail should function as a tool to ensure court attendance rather than a form of pretrial punishment.

1. Non-Punitive Purpose Requirement

The Eighth Amendment's excessive bail clause prevents the government from imposing punitive pretrial conditions. In *United States v. Salerno*, 481 U.S. 739, 754 (1987), the Court reiterated that bail serves a preventive, not punitive, function, stating that any amount beyond what is necessary to ensure court appearance violates constitutional protections.[18] The $50,000 bail imposed on Petitioner effectively serves as punishment, as it far exceeds her ability to pay, resulting in extended pretrial detention without a legitimate preventive purpose.

2. Disproportionate Bail and Financial Capacity

---

[18] *United States v. Salerno*, 481 U.S. 739, 754 (1987) (holding that the Eighth Amendment does not prohibit the government from detaining defendants before trial if such detention is regulatory, not punitive, and is justified by a compelling government interest).

In setting bail, courts are required to consider the defendant's financial resources and the severity of the offense. Petitioner's bail disregards these factors; her charge was reduced to a misdemeanor, and she poses no flight risk. Imposing excessive bail based solely on her inability to pay serves no judicial purpose and instead penalizes her financially, resulting in punitive pretrial detention. This outcome constitutes a violation of her Eighth Amendment rights.

IX. Fourteenth Amendment Violation: Denial of Due Process

Petitioner's due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment were violated by the state's failure to provide a timely preliminary hearing to establish probable cause, as mandated by N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 180.80. This statute requires a preliminary hearing within 120 hours of detention (or 144 hours if a weekend or holiday intervenes) to justify detention and protect against unjustified restrictions on liberty. The delay in providing Petitioner a hearing deprived her of the opportunity to contest her detention and the evidence supporting it.

A. Right to Prompt Probable Cause Determination

The U.S. Supreme Court in *Gerstein v. Pugh* recognized that detained individuals are entitled to a prompt judicial determination of probable cause as a constitutional safeguard. In Petitioner's case, prolonged detention without a hearing constitutes an arbitrary deprivation of liberty. *Gerstein* emphasized that due process prohibits such delays to ensure that detention is lawful and supported by prompt judicial review.

B. Violation of Procedural Due Process Standards

The Fourteenth Amendment's due process clause mandates that any deprivation of liberty follow fair procedures, including timely judicial review. In *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, the Supreme Court established that probable cause determinations should generally occur within 48 hours of arrest to prevent undue detention. Petitioner's extended detention without a hearing, far exceeding this timeframe, violated procedural due process and denied her the opportunity to challenge the evidence against her.

C. Legal Standards for Fourteenth Amendment Violation

1. The Gerstein-Riverside Test for Pretrial Detention

- *Gerstein v. Pugh*, 420 U.S. 103, 114 (1975): Requires a prompt probable cause determination by a neutral magistrate as a prerequisite for extended detention.
- *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44, 56–57 (1991): Establishes a 48-hour standard for probable cause determinations. Beyond this, the government must justify delays due to bona fide emergencies or extraordinary circumstances, neither of which apply to Petitioner's case.

2. New York State Statutory Requirements

- N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 180.80 requires:
  - A preliminary hearing within 120 hours of detention (144 hours if a weekend or holiday intervenes),
  - Release if time limits are not met, reinforcing constitutional protections.

D. Application to Petitioner's Case

Constitutional and statutory violations in Petitioner's case include:

- No preliminary hearing was conducted, despite:
  - Multiple requests through counsel,
  - Over 180 days of detention,
  - No extraordinary circumstances justifying delay.
- Failure to meet:
  - The 48-hour *Riverside* requirement,
  - The 120/144-hour state statutory requirement.

Resulting Prejudice:

- Prolonged pretrial detention without judicial review,
- No opportunity to challenge probable cause or fabricated evidence,
- Coercive impact on plea negotiations,
- Fundamental unfairness violating due process principles.

The failure to provide a preliminary hearing within constitutional and statutory timeframes constitutes a clear denial of Petitioner's due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment, warranting immediate intervention to ensure her rights are protected.

X. Conclusion and Summary

For the reasons outlined above, Petitioner respectfully requests the Court grant relief based on the following constitutional violations:

1. **Fourth Amendment Violation**: The arrest lacked probable cause, as shown by fabricated evidence, warranting the exclusion of all evidence derived from this unconstitutional act.
2. **Sixth Amendment Violation**: Counsel's ineffective assistance, including failure to withdraw the coerced plea, challenge excessive bail, and request a preliminary hearing, resulted in prejudice that undermined Petitioner's defense.
3. **Eighth Amendment Violation**: The excessive $50,000 partially secured bail bond serves a punitive purpose, contravening the Eighth Amendment standard that bail must be set reasonably to ensure appearance, not as a pretrial punishment.
4. **Fourteenth Amendment Violation**: The denial of a timely preliminary hearing violated Petitioner's due process rights, as defined by ***N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law* § 180.80** and upheld in *Gerstein v. Pugh*.

XI. Prayer for Relief

Accordingly, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court:

- **Vacate the Plea Agreement**: Due to coercion and ineffective counsel, permitting Petitioner to withdraw her plea.
- **Order Exoneration of Bail**: Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court exonerate her bail in light of the constitutional violations and due process deprivations affecting her case.
- **Immediate Release:** Petitioner respectfully requests her immediate release due to ongoing constitutional violations that undermine the legitimacy of her pretrial detention.
- **Dismissal of Charges:** Petitioner requests that all charges in this matter be dismissed with prejudice, as the State has failed to establish probable cause sufficient to sustain these allegations.

Signature,

Kayla Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut St.

Johnstown, NY 12095

(518)-705-4054

Dated: 11/05/2024

Index

I. Preliminary Statement and Introduction 1

II. Jurisdiction 3

III. Requested Relief for Pretrial Rights Violations 3

1. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel 3
2. Coerced Plea Agreement 3
3. Excessive Bail 3
4. Due Process for Preliminary Hearing 4

IV. Exhaustion of State Remedies 4
A. Contacts with State and Federal Agencies 4

B. Attorney Refusals to File Motions 5

V. Statement of Facts 6
A. Arrest and Charges 6
B. Bail Proceedings and Pretrial Detention 7
C. Chronology of Legal Representation 10
D. Preliminary Hearing Denial and Due Process Violations 11
E. Current Status 11
F. Attorney Misconduct and Coercive Plea Negotiations 12

VI. Fourth Amendment Violation 13
A. Unlawful Arrest and Fabricated Evidence 13
B. Fourth Amendment and the Exclusionary Rule 14

VII. Sixth Amendment Violation 15
A. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel 15
1. Deficient Performance 15
2. Prejudice 16
B. Sixth Amendment and the Exclusionary Rule 16

VIII. Eighth Amendment Violation: Excessive Bail .....
18

1. Non-Punitive Purpose Requirement 18
2. Disproportionate Bail and Financial Capacity 18

IX. Fourteenth Amendment Violation: Denial of Due Process 19
A. Right to Prompt Probable Cause Determination 19
B. Violation of Procedural Due Process Standards 20
C. Legal Standards for Fourteenth Amendment Violation 20
D. Application to Petitioner's Case 21

X. Conclusion and Summary 22

XI. Prayer for Relief 23

Exhibits

The following documents are included as enclosures to support the claims and statements made in this affidavit. Each document is labeled and referenced accordingly for ease of review:

A. Screenshots from the New York State Court Website:

- Screenshots showing the current status and history of the petitioner's case on the NYS Court website, including relevant details and procedural developments.
- Additionally, screenshots of the case file for **Jason Abdalla** to illustrate comparative procedural handling or outcomes.

B. Images of the Victim:

- Copies of relevant images relating to the victim, which provide context for claims made in this affidavit and demonstrate circumstances relevant to the legal basis of my petition.

C. Screenshot of Slanderous Newspaper Article:

- A screenshot capturing an online article containing slanderous and false information that has adversely impacted my case and public perception. This article's content highlights the extrajudicial prejudice that has surrounded the petitioner's case.

- Screenshot of the article before it was changed with the Petitioner's address listed as the place the victim was brought and held at when allegedly kidnapped which is completely false.

D. Evidence of Cyber-Attack:

- Documentation of the cyber-attack, including technical evidence from forensics done using an app called Jfrog, apps not installed on phone by Petitioner, and phone permissions given during the attack, demonstrating its impact on my ability to timely file and conduct essential legal research.

- A computer forensics expert will have to go over the forensics results to properly determine where the cyber attack came from and the petitioner is willing to give the computer hard drive to professionals.

- Screenshot of settings on desktop computer with device ID and type listed as "Universal Outlook"

- Complaint of cyber attack to FBI

E. FBI Return Submission Page Screenshot & Complaint to the DOJ Civil Rights Division

- A screenshot from the FBI's submission portal, confirming my report and submission of information regarding the cyber-attack, supporting my claim of interference and the extraordinary circumstances affecting this case.

F. Texts Between Petitioner and her Attorney

- Lawyer ignores most texts
- Request for referral to civil lawyer ignored. Made him aware that she wanted to file Habeas Corpus.

G. Webform Submission to the FBI Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3)

- Complaint of cyber attack
- Includes information about a medication mix up that coincided with this case and led to the petitioner being given a very strong corticosteroid for almost a year when the maximum time to be on that medication is said to be two weeks. This compromised the petitioner's immune system and caused a hormone imbalance. This may likely be just an accident and nothing to do with the petitioner's court case.
- Also, this complaint speaks of how the petitioners home was vandalized and destroyed while she was incarcerated, which may also be a coincidence and unrelated to the petitioner's court case.

H. Statements of Witness

- Petitioner includes witness statements that reveal inconsistencies, potential coercion, and omissions of exculpatory evidence. These statements, which contain conflicting accounts and may have been influenced by law enforcement, raise significant concerns regarding their reliability and the due process violations involved in Petitioner's detention.

I. Police Report

- Petitioner includes police reports containing inaccuracies, omissions of exculpatory evidence, and potential fabrication. These deficiencies raise questions about the legitimacy of the arrest and the reliability of the evidence used to justify Petitioner's detention, impacting her due process rights.

J. Discovery Compliance

- Petitioner includes documentation showing discrepancies in discovery compliance, specifically that the discovery form indicates no 911 call exists, while the police report references a 911 call. This inconsistency raises concerns about the completeness and accuracy of the evidence disclosure, impacting Petitioner's right to a fair defense.

K. Complaint to the State Attorney General's Office & Inspector General's Office

- State Attorney General Office Complaint- Return Email Submission
- Return email from the State Attorney General was lost in a malware attack and the copy I had printed was lost in my car that was crashed recently.
- Letter sent and letter recieved in reply to the State Attorney General was also lost in my car. The return return letter states that there is "more than one target" so they were ending the investigation.
- Filed a formal complaint regarding alleged misconduct by law enforcement personnel and prosecutorial staff. The Inspector General's Office responded, stating they lack jurisdictional authority to investigate matters involving either the Police Department or the District Attorney's Office.

L. Copy of Paper that the Court Had Petitioner Sign

- Paper that states it is a "parker warning" and says that if Petitioner is arrested during the proceedings then the court will forfeit the bail and prosecute for bail jumping.

M. Accusatory Instruments

- Accusatory instruments for Kidnapping 2nd degree and Assault 2nd degree which are facially insufficient and do not state facts for each element of the alledged crimes.
- Both Accusatory instruments say "complete for family offenses only" in the top right corner.

N. Affidavit of Caderon Tyrrell

- Mr. Tyrrell was witness to the coercion tactics employed by the petitioners attorney James Melita.
- This affidavit was written by Mr. Caderon Tyrrell and notarized at NBT bank in Johnstown, NY.

O. Emails to Civil Rights Attorneys and Pro Se Clinic:

- Email to Pro Se clinic seeking assistance. Never returned.
- Emails to attorneys seeking representation
- Emails to NYCLU

P. Consumer Report to the FTC about Lenovo Involvement & Printout from Lenovo website

- Report detailing the cyber attack on my devices.

- Allegations that Lenovo Company may be to blame for the malware attack based on the fact there was Lenovo software installed on my Non-Lenovo devices at the start of the malware attack. Also, Lenovo's website says that it works with law enforcement. Suggested that they may be giving my information to law enforcement.
- Stated that the malware was stopping me from being able to do legal work to file a Habeas Corpus.
- Printout from Lenovo's own website that talks about law enforcement involvement. There is several other pages that also discuss this but I did not print them all.

Q. Proof of Bail

- Distrtict Attorney's Office bail recommendation
- Securing Order

Unreturned Email to the Court Clerk of the Northern District of New York

In my efforts to address procedural matters relevant to my case, I sent an email to the Clerk's Office of the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York on 09/24/2024 at 4:00pm. This email contained an inquiry about filing Habeas Corpus 28 2241. Unfortunately, I never received a response from the Clerk's Office, which impacted my ability to proceed as planned with my filing.

A screenshot of the email was saved at the time it was sent, showing the date and timestamp of my communication. However, due to a major technical issue, the email file has since become corrupted.

Signature,

Kayla Orsell 11/07/2024

New York State Unified Court System

Courts: WebCriminal

Close
Case Details

Case Details
Summary
Appearances
Charges
Motions

Case Details - Appearances

CASE INFORMATION

Court: **Gloversville City Court**
Case #: **CR-00359-24**
Defendant: **Orsell, Kayla**

Date/ Time	Judge/ Part	Calendar Section	Arraignment/ Hearing Type	Court Reporter	Outcome/ Release Status
04/09/2024 03:30 PM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	*Arraignment	1st Appearance		Adjourned, Temporary Order of Protection, Arraigned, Pled Not Guilty, Bail: Requested By Prosecutor : Bail Status (Bail Posted), Bail: Set 50000.00 cash 75000.00 insured bond 100000.00 partially secured surety bond : Bail Status (Bail Posted)
04/17/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	18B Attorney Assignment, Bail Review	Adjournment		Adjourned, Bail: Set 25000.00 cash 50000.00 insured bond 50000.00 partially secured surety bond : Bail Status (Bail Posted)
05/06/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Bail Review, Discovery Compliance - Appearance	Adjournment		Adjourned
06/05/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Discovery Compliance - Appearance	Adjournment		Trial Ready, Adjourned
07/15/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Plea Negotiations	Adjournment		Adjourned
09/09/2024 09:00 AM	Judge, TBD Courtroom	Plea Negotiations	Adjournment		

Back to top

EXHIBIT A

New York State Unified Court System

COURTS: WebCriminal

Close
Case Details

Case Details
Summary
Appearances
Charges
Motions

Case Details - Charges

CASE INFORMATION

Court: **Gloversville City Court**
Case #: **CR-00359-24**
Defendant: **Orsell, Kayla**

Note: The appearance of section 110 in front of the charge indicates it is an attempt to commit the crime.

Charge	Detail	Disposition/Sentence
PL 135.20 ** TOP CHARGE **	**BF , 1 count, Arrest Charge** Description: *Kidnapping 2nd* Count: *1*	Arraigned, Pled Not Guilty
PL 120.05 02	**DF , 1 count, Arrest Charge** Description: *Aslt W/int Cause Ph Inj W/weap* Count: *2* Weapon/Drug: *Knife/cutting instrument/*	Arraigned, Pled Not Guilty

Back to top

Case Details - Summary

CASE INFORMATION

Court: Gloversville City Court
Case #: CR-00261-24
Defendant: Abdalla, Jason

Add Case to eTrack

Index

1. Defendant
2. Incident and Arrest
3. Attorney Information
4. Next Appearance

Defendant

Name: Abdalla, Jason
Birth Year: 1983

Back to Index

Incident and Arrest

Incident
Date: March 15, 2024
CJTN: 70639813Y

Arrest
Date: March 15, 2024
Arrest #: 2403402198

Officer
Agency: Gloversville City Police Department
Command:

Back to Index

Attorney Information

Defense Attorney
Name: Glenn, Patrick John-william
Type: 18B (Assigned Counsel)
Address: PO BOX 673 8 W. FULTON STREET GLOVERSVILLE, New York 12078
Phone: (518) 725-1819

Assistant District Attorney
Name: Fulton County District Attorney

Back to Index

Next Appearance

Date: October 02, 2024
Time: 08:30 AM
Court: Gloversville City Court
Judge: Judge, TBD
Part: COURTROOM

Back to Index

New York State Unified Court System

COURTS: WebCriminal

Case Identifier Search Results

YOU SEARCHED ON

Case #: CR-00261-24
County: All Courts

Modify Search | New Search

Search Results 1 through 4 of 4

Note: To view case details, click the case # link (opens in new window)

Case # / Summons #	Defendant	Appearance Date	Court	Judge	Part
CR-00261-24	Ramos, Jesus	09/03/2024	Dunkirk City Court	Kuzdale, John M.	JMK-CRIMINAL
CR-00261-24	Pepe, Briana	09/17/2024	Norwich City Court	Genute, Michael J.	HUG_CRIMINAL/TRAFFIC
CR-00261-24	Abdalla, Jason	10/02/2024	Gloversville City Court	Judge, Tbd	COURTROOM
CR-00261-24	Brazeau, Sarah	10/08/2024	Rome City Court	Office, Clerk's	CLERK'S OFFICE

New York State Unified Court System

COURTS: WebCriminal

Close
Case Details

Case Details
- Summary
- Appearances
- Charges
- Motions

Case Details - Charges

CASE INFORMATION

Court: **Gloversville City Court**
Case #: **CR-00360-24**
Defendant: **Abdalla, Jason**

Note: The appearance of section 110 in front of the charge indicates it is an attempt to commit the crime.

Charge	Detail	Disposition/Sentence
PL 135.20 ** TOP CHARGE **	**BF , 1 count, Arrest Charge** Description: *Kidnapping 2nd* Count: *1*	Arraigned, Pled Not Guilty
PL 120.05 02	**DF , 1 count, Arrest Charge** Description: *Aslt W/int Cause Ph Inj W/weap* Count: *2* Weapon/Drug: *Unknown/*	Arraigned, Pled Not Guilty

Back to top

New York State Unified Court System

COURTS: WebCriminal

Close
Case Details

Case Details
Summary
Appearances
Charges
Motions

Case Details - Charges

CASE INFORMATION

Court: **Fulton County Court (M-B)**
Case #: **SCI-70237-24/001**
Defendant: **Abdalla, Jason**

Note: The appearance of section 110 in front of the charge indicates it is an attempt to commit the crime.

Charge	Detail	Disposition/Sentence
PL 220.16 01	**BF , 1 count, Arrest Charge** Description: *Cocs-3rd:narc Drug Int/sell* Count: *1* Weapon/Drug: *Cocaine Hydrochloride*	Arraigned, Pled Guilty

Back to top

New York State Unified Court System

COURTS: WebCriminal

Close
Case Details

Case Details
Summary
Appearances
Charges
Motions

Case Details - Charges

CASE INFORMATION

Court: **Gloversville City Court**
Case #: **CR-00261-24**
Defendant: **Abdalla, Jason**

Note: The appearance of section 110 in front of the charge indicates it is an attempt to commit the crime.

Charge	Detail	Disposition/Sentence
PL 195.05 ** TOP CHARGE **	**AM , 1 count, Arrest Charge** Description: *Obstruct Governmentl Admin-2nd* Count: *1*	Arraigned, Pled Not Guilty
PL 205.30	**AM , 1 count, Arrest Charge** Description: *Resisting Arrest* Count: *2*	Plea Withdrawn. Arraigned, Pled Guilty

Back to top

Close
Case Details

Case Details
Summary
Appearances
Charges
Motions

Case Details - Appearances

CASE INFORMATION

Court: **Gloversville City Court**
Case #: **CR-00261-24**
Defendant: **Abdalla, Jason**

Date/ Time	Judge/ Part	Calendar Section	Arraignment/ Hearing Type	Court Reporter	Outcome/ Release Status
03/15/2024 10:37 PM	Dalmata, Cory R. Courtroom	*Arraignment	1st Appearance		Adjourned, Arraigned, Pled Not Guilty, Bail: Requested By Prosecutor : Bail Status (ROR), ROR
03/25/2024 09:30 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Public Defender Application/ Re-application	Adjournment		Adjourned
04/09/2024 03:30 PM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Bail Review	Adjournment		Adjourned, Bail: Set 25000.00 cash 50000.00 insured bond 100000.00 partially secured surety bond : Bail Status (ROR)
04/15/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Bail Review	Adjournment		Adjourned
04/17/2024 09:45 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Discovery Compliance - Appearance	Adjournment		Rescheduled
04/22/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Attorney Review, Bail Review	Adjournment		Adjourned
04/29/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Attorney Retain / Attorney Status	Adjournment		Adjourned
05/06/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	18B Attorney Assignment	Adjournment		Rescheduled
05/08/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	18B Attorney Assignment	Adjournment		Adjourned
06/05/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Discovery Compliance - Appearance	Adjournment		Trial Ready, Adjourned, Bail: Set 5.00 cash : Bail Status (ROR)
07/15/2024 09:00 AM	DiMezza, Traci Courtroom	Discovery Compliance - Appearance	Adjournment		Adjourned, Plea Withdrawn, Pled Guilty
08/21/2024 09:00 AM	Judge, TBD Courtroom	Global Offer with County Court, Plea Negotiations	Adjournment		Rescheduled
09/04/2024 08:30 AM	Judge, TBD Courtroom	Global Offer with County Court, Plea Negotiations	Adjournment		Rescheduled
10/02/2024 08:30 AM	Judge, TBD Courtroom	Global Offer with County Court, Plea Negotiations	Adjournment		

Back to top

New York State Unified Court System

COURTS: WebCriminal

Close
Case Details

Case Details
Summary
Appearances
Charges
Motions

Case Details - Appearances

CASE INFORMATION

Court: **Fulton County Court (M-B)**
Case #: **SCI-70237-24/001**
Defendant: **Abdalla, Jason**

Date/ Time	Judge/ Part	Calendar Section	Arraignment/ Hearing Type	Court Reporter	Outcome/ Release Status
09/03/2024 09:00 AM	Smrtic, Michael W. Smrtic	Arraignment, Plea	1st Appearance	Carr, Stephen	Adjourned, Arraigned, Pled Guilty
01/07/2025 09:30 AM	Smrtic, Michael W. Smrtic	Sentencing	Adjournment		

Back to top

New York State Unified Court System

COURTS: WebCriminal

Close
Case Details

Case Details
Summary
Appearances
Charges
Motions

Case Details - Motions

CASE INFORMATION

Court: Gloversville City Court
Case #: CR-00261-24
Defendant: Abdalla, Jason

There are no motions on record for this case.

DSC_0014

DSC_0015

DSC_0016

DSC_0009.2

DSC_0009

DSC_0010

DSC_0008.2

DSC_0006.2

DSC_0006

DSC_0007.2

DSC_0007

DSC_0008

DSC_0003.2

DSC_0004.2

DSC_0004

DSC_0005.2

DSC_0005

DSC_0001.2

DSC_0001

DSC_0002.2

DSC_0002

DSC_0003

DSC_0017

DSC_0018

DSC_0010.2

DSC_0011

DSC_0012

DSC_0013

EXHIBIT

B

EXHIBIT C

MORE INFORMATION

Johnstown police investigating Sunday car break-ins

Police say that a unidentified person around 12:30 a.m. attempted to open a series of cars on Market Street and Pleasant Avenue.

St. Johnsville woman burglarized garage, sheriff says

Gloversville woman drove drunk, crashed in Mayfield, troopers say

Bucket loader stolen in Gloversville, police seek suspect

Police: Body found Tuesday in Gloversville along Cayadutta Creek

GLOVERSVILLE — Two Gloversville residents allegedly attacked, abducte and threatened to further harm a 30-year-old man in early April, police said Monday.

Jason Abdalla, 41, and Kayla Orsell, 35, were recently charged with felon charges of assault and kidnapping related to an April 5 incident at a Maple Street residence, according to court documents.

The motive surrounded "a dispute over money, between known associates," said Gloversville police spokesperson Bradley Schaffer in a email.

The victim was hit several times with a brush guard, stabbed in the face and threatened with a knife while restrained in Orsell's home for an hour, according to the allegations.

The man suffered a lacerated lip and a fractured nose, along with swelling, pain and redness across his upper body. Afterwards, he did receive treatment.

Orsell and Abdalla were arrested at the Gloversville Police Department. They both were arraigned on April 9 in city court and have since been held at Fulton County jail.

Abdalla appeared in court on Monday. Orsell is next up for a court hearing on Wednesday.

Gloversville detective Johnathon Spychalski filed charges against the

Tyler A. McNeil can be reached at 518-395-3047 or tmcneil@dailygazette.net. Follo him on Facebook at Tyler A. McNeil, Daily Gazette or X @TylerAMcNeil.

GLOVERSVILLE

Police: Two assault, abduct man in Gloversville home

No other charges pending at this time

By Tyler A. McNeil Apr 15, 2024 Updated Apr 23, 2024

By More from the Daily Gazette

We're loading your content, stay tuned!

MORE INFORMATION

Johnstown police investigating Sunday car break-ins

Police say that a unidentified person around 12:30 a.m. attempted to open a series of cars on Market Street and Pleasant Avenue.

St. Johnsville woman burglarized garage, sheriff says

Gloversville woman drove drunk, crashed in Mayfield, troopers say

Bucket loader stolen in Gloversville, police seek suspect

Police: Body found Tuesday in Gloversville along Cayadutta Creek

GLOVERSVILLE — Two Gloversville residents allegedly attacked, abducted and threatened to further harm a 30-year-old man in early April, police said Monday.

Jason Abdalla, 41, and Kayla Orsell, 35, were recently charged with felony charges of assault and kidnapping related to an April 5 incident at a Maple Street residence, according to court documents.

The motive surrounded "a dispute over money, between known associates," said Gloversville police spokesperson Bradley Schaffer in an email.

The victim was hit several times with a brush guard, stabbed in the face and threatened with a knife while restrained in Orsell's home for an hour, according to the allegations.

The man suffered a lacerated lip and a fractured nose, along with swelling, pain and redness across his upper body. Afterwards, he did receive treatment.

Orsell and Abdalla were arrested at the Gloversville Police Department.

Abdalla appeared in court on Monday. Orsell is next up for a court hearing on Wednesday.

Gloversville detective Johnathon Spychalski filed charges against the two. There are no more pending charges at this time, according to Schaffer.

Tyler A. McNeil can be reached at 518-395-3047 or tmcneil@dailygazette.net. Follow him on Facebook at Tyler A. McNeil, Daily Gazette or X @TylerAMcNeil.

MOST VIEWED

1. Leader Herald News
Second arrest made in Thursday morning Gloversville fire

2. leaderherald
Adirondack Soul Company opens in Gloversville

3. Leader Herald Obituaries
Dianne Alma Rudnicki

Sunmark

2024 best

VOTE FOR SUNMARK

LOCAL EVENTS

CREATE AN EVENT

SEP 4 Film / Cinema

FREE MOVIE - HEATHERS (R)

Glove Theatre 7:00PM

Q.U.I.L.T.S. September Membership Meeting

NEXT UP

Candlelight vigil in Gloversville remembers those who died o..

EXHIBIT D

VpnDialogs
32.77 kB

Wallpaper & style
8.19 kB

Walmart
266 MB

Waterfall cutout
0 B

Wfd Service
0 B

Wi-Fi Calling
61.44 kB

Wireless Emergency Alerts

Recorder
401 kB
Disabled

RemoteProvisioner
569 kB

Revoker Services
0 B

RobotoSlabRegular
0 B

RookeryRegular
0 B

Root Explorer
16.58 MB

Round
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.modules.documentsui
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.modules.ext.services
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.modules.modulemetadata.forframewor
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.modules.permissioncontroller
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.modules.permissioncontroller.forframe
0 B

com.google.android.sdksandbox

0 B

uceShimService
62.46 kB

User Dictionary
82.43 kB

vendor_frameworkres_overlay
0 B

vendor_frameworkres_overlay
0 B

vendor_frameworkres_overlay
0 B

vendor_frameworkres_overlay
0 B

NetGuard
6.21 MB

OMA Client Provisioning
4.10 kB

OMADM Client
20.48 kB

org.codeaurora.ims
4.10 kB

OsuLogin
225 kB

Package installer
69.63 kB

PacProcessor
28.67 kB

0 B

com.google.android.sdksandbox
57.34 kB

com.google.android.wifi.dialog
680 kB

com.motorola.actions.overlay
0 B

com.motorola.android.connectivity.resources.overlay
0 B

com.motorola.android.coresettingsext.overlay.doubletap
0 B

com.motorola.android.coresetting

Solitaire
290 MB

Sounds
62.46 kB

Speech Recognition and Synthesis from Google
20.60 MB
Disabled

Stop Hacking
20.53 MB

Storage Manager
0 B

SuperX Mega
27.53 MB

com.google.android.overlay.gmsconfig.comms
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.gmsconfig.geotz
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.gmsconfig.gsa
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.gmsconfig.personalsafety
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.gmsconfig.photos
0 B

com.google.android.overlay.modu

com.motorola.android.launcher.overlay.motolauncheroverlayna
0 B

com.motorola.android.launcher.overlay.retail.global
0 B

com.motorola.android.networkstack.overlay.mcc460
0 B

com.motorola.android.networkstack.tethering.overlay.motCommon
0 B

com.motorola.android.overlay.common
0 B

com.motorola.android.providers.chromehomepage
0 B

com.motorola.android.providers.settings.auto_generated_rro_prod
0 B

com.motorola.android.providers.settings.overlay.dppcamera
0 B

com.motorola.android.providers.settings.overlay.dppnone
0 B

com.motorola.android.providers.settings.tracfone.fogona
0 B

com.motorola.android.settings.ov
0 B

Lenovo Universal Device Client
44.13 MB

Lenovo Universal Device Client
434 kB

Library
0 B

LifetimeData
8.19 kB

LocationServices
4.10 kB

Malwarebytes
286 MB

com.motorola.callredirectionservice
0 B

com.motorola.dynamicvolumeoverlay
0 B

com.motorola.extensions.tracfone
4.10 kB

com.motorola.launcherconfig.overlay.tracfone
0 B

com.motorola.obdmapi.service
0 B

com.motorola.overlay.launcher3
0 B

20.48 kB

org.codeaurora.ims
4.10 kB

OsuLogin
225 kB

Package installer
69.63 kB

PacProcessor
28.67 kB

PAKS
119 kB

PAKS Notification
127 kB

com.qualcomm.qti.workloadclassifier
20.48 kB

com.qualcomm.qtil.btdsda
0 B

com.qualcomm.timeservice
0 B

com.verizon.obdm_permissions
0 B

Companion Device Manager
1.27 MB

ConfigUpdater
8.61 MB

Contacts
47.01 MB

0 B

Tethering
66.56 kB

TheDaily
43.49 MB

Township
267 MB

TxPwrAdmin
0 B

uceShimService
62.46 kB

User Dictionary
82.43 kB

Operational Risk Export

SCA scan: DONE, Sat Sep 14, 2024

Exported on: Sat Sep 14, 2024

Exported by: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Package type: Docker

Sha256: 3ba7ede02359ad2dfd9482301795a4a2962acde545c55546eb79632f2a3f3e78

Component name: exposures:latest

Component	Version in use	Risk	Risk Reason	EOL	Released on	Latest Version	Cadence	Committers	Commits
@protobufjs/aspromise	1.1.2	High	Health	Unknown	2017-04-24T10:38:32Z	1.1.2	0	N/A	N/A
@protobufjs/base64	1.1.2	High	Health	Unknown	2017-06-09T09:32:02Z	1.1.2	0	N/A	N/A
@protobufjs/codegen	2.0.4	High	Health	Unknown	2017-06-09T20:56:18Z	2.0.4	0	N/A	N/A
@protobufjs/eventemitter	1.1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2017-01-25T18:12:24Z	1.1.0	0	N/A	N/A
@protobufjs/fetch	1.1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2017-01-27T15:50:55Z	1.1.0	0	N/A	N/A
@protobufjs/float	1.0.2	High	Health	Unknown	2017-04-02T10:45:42Z	1.0.2	0	N/A	N/A
@protobufjs/inquire	1.1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2017-01-25T18:13:02Z	1.1.0	0	N/A	N/A
@protobufjs/path	1.1.2	High	Health	Unknown	2017-02-23T16:54:18Z	1.1.2	0	N/A	N/A
@protobufjs/pool	1.1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2017-01-25T18:13:19Z	1.1.0	0	N/A	N/A
@protobufjs/utf8	1.1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2017-01-25T18:15:35Z	1.1.0	0	N/A	N/A
@types/long	4.0.2	High	Health	Unknown	2022-04-26T19:32:18Z	5.0.0	1	N/A	N/A
@types/node	18.0.0	High	Number of new versions	Unknown	2022-06-15T23:01:41Z	22.5.5	100	N/A	N/A
acorn	7.4.1	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2020-10-05T07:53:26Z	8.12.1	5	N/A	N/A
acorn-jsx	5.3.1	High	Health	Unknown	2020-09-07T17:45:04Z	5.3.2	0	N/A	N/A
ast-types	0.13.4	High	Version Age	Unknown	2020-08-23T22:36:07Z	0.16.1	2	N/A	N/A
axios	0.19.0	High	EOL	true	2019-05-30T16:13:16Z	1.7.7	10	N/A	N/A
balanced-match	1.0.0	High	Health	Unknown	2017-06-12T07:18:30Z	2.0.0	0	N/A	N/A
brace-expansion	1.1.11	High	Health	Unknown	2018-02-10T07:42:22Z	2.0.1	0	N/A	N/A
com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-annotations	2.9.0	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2017-07-30T03:53:40Z	2.18.0-rc1	10	N/A	N/A

Component Name	Licenses	Licenses Links	Package Type	Component Id	Package Id	Version
3.15:musl-utils	BSD-3-Clause, GPL-2.0-or-later, MIT	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause, http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html, http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	alpine	3.15:musl-utils:1.2.2-r7	alpine://3.15:musl-utils	1.2.2-r7
3.15:pcre	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	alpine	3.15:pcre:8.45-r1	alpine://3.15:pcre	8.45-r1
3.15:scanelf	GPL-2.0-only	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html	alpine	3.15:scanelf:1.3.3-r0	alpine://3.15:scanelf	1.3.3-r0
3.15:ssl_client	GPL-2.0-only	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html	alpine	3.15:ssl_client:1.34.1-r5	alpine://3.15:ssl_client	1.34.1-r5
3.15:zlib	Zlib	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/Zlib	alpine	3.15:zlib:1.2.12-r0	alpine://3.15:zlib	1.2.12-r0
3.jar	Apache-2.0, BSD-3-Clause	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0, http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	generic	3.jar	generic://sha256:ec82cbce8fd577d3b28ce9d0014b1bfd014547ab230a74ff2e58b6e275288162/3.jar	
4.jar	Unknown		generic	4.jar	generic://sha256:8478380f654452aa513c38ad4480d1fdef973a0fdb3be60a819765ce022af979/4.jar	
5.jar	Unknown		generic	5.jar	generic://sha256:c8cc9b91ebefd22644dbf871eac37eb7538e33a394efe666cea7e0b9bef3e9bd/5.jar	
@protobufjs/aspromise	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/aspromise:1.1.2	npm://@protobufjs/aspromise	1.1.2
@protobufjs/base64	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/base64:1.1.2	npm://@protobufjs/base64	1.1.2
@protobufjs/codegen	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/codegen:2.0.4	npm://@protobufjs/codegen	2.0.4
@protobufjs/eventemitter	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/eventemitter:1.1.0	npm://@protobufjs/eventemitter	1.1.0
@protobufjs/fetch	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/fetch:1.1.0	npm://@protobufjs/fetch	1.1.0
@protobufjs/float	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/float:1.0.2	npm://@protobufjs/float	1.0.2
@protobufjs/inquire	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/inquire:1.1.0	npm://@protobufjs/inquire	1.1.0
@protobufjs/path	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/path:1.1.2	npm://@protobufjs/path	1.1.2
@protobufjs/pool	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/pool:1.1.0	npm://@protobufjs/pool	1.1.0

Component Name	Licenses	Licenses Links	Package Type	Component Id	Package Id	Version
@protobufjs/utf8	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	@protobufjs/utf8:1.1.0	npm://@protobufjs/utf8	1.1.0
@types/long	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	@types/long:4.0.2	npm://@types/long	4.0.2
@types/node	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	@types/node:18.0.0	npm://@types/node	18.0.0
Log4jSocketServer.jar	Unknown		generic	Log4jSocketServer.jar	generic://sha256:65d006dea8a0a1737c03ba04b3388bdc136bb6a534baefa37fcb43dd14b67359/Log4jSocketServer.jar	
PyYAML	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	pypi	PyYAML:5.2	pypi://PyYAML	5.2
acorn	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	acorn:7.4.1	npm://acorn	7.4.1
acorn-jsx	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	acorn-jsx:5.3.1	npm://acorn-jsx	5.3.1
ast-types	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	ast-types:0.13.4	npm://ast-types	0.13.4
axios	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	axios:0.19.0	npm://axios	0.19.0
balanced-match	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	balanced-match:1.0.0	npm://balanced-match	1.0.0
brace-expansion	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	brace-expansion:1.1.11	npm://brace-expansion	1.1.11
certifi	MPL-2.0	http://opensource.org/licenses/MPL-2.0	pypi	certifi:2021.10.8	pypi://certifi	2021.10.8
com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-annotations	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-annotations:2.9.0	gav://com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-annotations	2.9.0
com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-core	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-core:2.9.7	gav://com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-core	2.9.7
com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-databind	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-databind:2.9.7	gav://com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-databind	2.9.7
com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype:jackson-datatype-jdk8	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype:jackson-datatype-jdk8:2.9.7	gav://com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype:jackson-datatype-jdk8	2.9.7
com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype:jackson-datatype-jsr310	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype:jackson-datatype-jsr310:2.9.7	gav://com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype:jackson-datatype-jsr310	2.9.7
com.fasterxml.jackson.module:jackson-module-parameter-names	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.fasterxml.jackson.module:jackson-module-parameter-names:2.9.7	gav://com.fasterxml.jackson.module:jackson-module-parameter-names	2.9.7
com.fasterxml:classmate	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.fasterxml:classmate:1.4.0	gav://com.fasterxml:classmate	1.4.0
com.github.ben-manes.caffeine:caffeine	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.github.ben-manes.caffeine:caffeine:2.6.2	gav://com.github.ben-manes.caffeine:caffeine	2.6.2
com.google.code.findbugs:jsr305	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.google.code.findbugs:jsr305:3.0.2	gav://com.google.code.findbugs:jsr305	3.0.2

Component Name	Licenses	Licenses Links	Package Type	Component Id	Package Id	Version
com.google.errorprone:error_prone_annotations	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.google.errorprone:error_prone_annotations:2.2.0	gav://com.google.errorprone:error_prone_annotations	2.2.0
com.google.guava:failureaccess	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.google.guava:failureaccess:1.0	gav://com.google.guava:failureaccess	1.0
com.google.guava:guava	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.google.guava:guava:16.0	gav://com.google.guava:guava	16.0
com.google.guava:guava	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.google.guava:guava:27.0-jre	gav://com.google.guava:guava	27.0-jre
com.google.guava:listenablefuture	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.google.guava:listenablefuture:9999.0-empty-to-avoid-conflict-with-guava	gav://com.google.guava:listenablefuture	9999.0-empty-to-avoid-conflict-with-guava
com.google.j2objc:j2objc-annotations	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.google.j2objc:j2objc-annotations:1.1	gav://com.google.j2objc:j2objc-annotations	1.1
com.googlecode.json-simple:json-simple	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.googlecode.json-simple:json-simple:1.1.1	gav://com.googlecode.json-simple:json-simple	1.1.1
com.mycompany.app:my-app	Unknown		maven	com.mycompany.app:my-app:1.0-SNAPSHOT	gav://com.mycompany.app:my-app	1.0-SNAPSHOT
com.stevenpaligo:spacetrack-client	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	com.stevenpaligo:spacetrack-client:1.1.0	gav://com.stevenpaligo:spacetrack-client	1.1.0
com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	maven	com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream:1.4.17	gav://com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream	1.4.17
com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-benchmark	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	maven	com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-benchmark:1.4.17	gav://com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-benchmark	1.4.17
com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-hibernate	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	maven	com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-hibernate:1.4.17	gav://com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-hibernate	1.4.17
com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-jmh	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	maven	com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-jmh:1.4.17	gav://com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-jmh	1.4.17
com.whirvis:jraknet	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	maven	com.whirvis:jraknet:2.9.8	gav://com.whirvis:jraknet	2.9.8
commons-collections:commons-collections	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	commons-collections:commons-collections:3.1	gav://commons-collections:commons-collections	3.1
commons-io:commons-io	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	commons-io:commons-io:2.6	gav://commons-io:commons-io	2.6
commons-logging:commons-logging	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	commons-logging:commons-logging:1.2	gav://commons-logging:commons-logging	1.2
concat-map	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	concat-map:0.0.1	npm://concat-map	0.0.1
debug	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	debug:3.1.0	npm://debug	3.1.0
deep-is	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	deep-is:0.1.3	npm://deep-is	0.1.3
deep-is	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	deep-is:0.1.4	npm://deep-is	0.1.4
degenerator	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	degenerator:2.2.0	npm://degenerator	2.2.0
ecdsa	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	pypi	ecdsa:0.18.0	pypi://ecdsa	0.18.0

Component Name	Licenses	Licenses Links	Package Type	Component Id	Package Id	Version
ecopower	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	pypi	ecopower:1.3	pypi://ecopower	1.3
escodegen	BSD-2-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-2-Clause	npm	escodegen:1.14.3	npm://escodegen	1.14.3
escodegen	BSD-2-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-2-Clause	npm	escodegen:2.0.0	npm://escodegen	2.0.0
eslint-visitor-keys	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	npm	eslint-visitor-keys:1.3.0	npm://eslint-visitor-keys	1.3.0
espree	BSD-2-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-2-Clause	npm	espree:7.3.1	npm://espree	7.3.1
esprima	BSD, BSD-2-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-2-Clause	npm	esprima:4.0.1	npm://esprima	4.0.1
estraverse	BSD-2-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-2-Clause	npm	estraverse:4.3.0	npm://estraverse	4.3.0
estraverse	BSD-2-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-2-Clause	npm	estraverse:5.2.0	npm://estraverse	5.2.0
esutils	BSD-2-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-2-Clause	npm	esutils:2.0.3	npm://esutils	2.0.3
exposures	Unknown		docker	exposures:latest	docker://exposures	latest
fast-levenshtein	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	fast-levenshtein:2.0.6	npm://fast-levenshtein	2.0.6
follow-redirects	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	follow-redirects:1.5.10	npm://follow-redirects	1.5.10
fs.realpath	ISC	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ISC	npm	fs.realpath:1.0.0	npm://fs.realpath	1.0.0
glob	ISC	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ISC	npm	glob:7.1.6	npm://glob	7.1.6
inflight	ISC	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ISC	npm	inflight:1.0.6	npm://inflight	1.0.6
inherits	ISC	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ISC	npm	inherits:2.0.4	npm://inherits	2.0.4
io.micronaut.configuration:micrometer-core	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	io.micronaut.configuration:micrometer-core:1.1.0	gav://io.micronaut.configuration:micrometer-core	1.1.0
io.netty:netty-buffer	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	io.netty:netty-buffer:5.0.0.Alpha2	gav://io.netty:netty-buffer	5.0.0.Alpha2
io.netty:netty-codec	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	io.netty:netty-codec:5.0.0.Alpha2	gav://io.netty:netty-codec	5.0.0.Alpha2
io.netty:netty-common	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	io.netty:netty-common:5.0.0.Alpha2	gav://io.netty:netty-common	5.0.0.Alpha2
io.netty:netty-handler	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	io.netty:netty-handler:5.0.0.Alpha2	gav://io.netty:netty-handler	5.0.0.Alpha2
io.netty:netty-resolver	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	io.netty:netty-resolver:5.0.0.Alpha2	gav://io.netty:netty-resolver	5.0.0.Alpha2
io.netty:netty-transport	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	io.netty:netty-transport:5.0.0.Alpha2	gav://io.netty:netty-transport	5.0.0.Alpha2
ip	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	ip:1.1.8	npm://ip	1.1.8
is-buffer	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	is-buffer:2.0.5	npm://is-buffer	2.0.5

Component Name	Licenses	Licenses Links	Package Type	Component Id	Package Id	Version
javax.annotation:javax.annotation-api	CDDL-1.0, Classpath-exception-2.0, GPL-2.0	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/cddl1, http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html	maven	javax.annotation:javax.annotation-api:1.3.2	gav://javax.annotation:javax.annotation-api	1.3.2
javax.validation:validation-api	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	javax.validation:validation-api:2.0.1.Final	gav://javax.validation:validation-api	2.0.1.Final
javax.vecmath:vecmath	Classpath-exception-2.0, GPL-2.0	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html	maven	javax.vecmath:vecmath:1.5.2	gav://javax.vecmath:vecmath	1.5.2
levn	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	levn:0.3.0	npm://levn	0.3.0
long	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	npm	long:4.0.0	npm://long	4.0.0
minimatch	ISC	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ISC	npm	minimatch:3.0.4	npm://minimatch	3.0.4
ms	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	ms:2.0.0	npm://ms	2.0.0
netmask	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	netmask:2.0.2	npm://netmask	2.0.2
once	ISC	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ISC	npm	once:1.4.0	npm://once	1.4.0
optionator	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	optionator:0.8.3	npm://optionator	0.8.3
org.apache.commons:commons-lang3	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.apache.commons:commons-lang3:3.8.1	gav://org.apache.commons:commons-lang3	3.8.1
org.apache.commons:commons-text	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.apache.commons:commons-text:1.6	gav://org.apache.commons:commons-text	1.6
org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-api	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-api:2.11.1	gav://org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-api	2.11.1
org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-api	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-api:2.8.1	gav://org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-api	2.8.1
org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-core	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-core:2.8.1	gav://org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-core	2.8.1
org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-to-slf4j	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-to-slf4j:2.11.1	gav://org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-to-slf4j	2.11.1
org.attoparser:attoparser	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.attoparser:attoparser:2.0.5.RELEASE	gav://org.attoparser:attoparser	2.0.5.RELEASE
org.checkerframework:checker-qual	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	maven	org.checkerframework:checker-qual:2.5.2	gav://org.checkerframework:checker-qual	2.5.2
org.codehaus.mojo:animal-sniffer-annotations	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	maven	org.codehaus.mojo:animal-sniffer-annotations:1.17	gav://org.codehaus.mojo:animal-sniffer-annotations	1.17
org.fusesource.leveldbjni:leveldbjni-all	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	maven	org.fusesource.leveldbjni:leveldbjni-all:1.8	gav://org.fusesource.leveldbjni:leveldbjni-all	1.8
org.hdrhistogram:HdrHistogram	CC0-1.0	http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/	maven	org.hdrhistogram:HdrHistogram:2.1.9	gav://org.hdrhistogram:HdrHistogram	2.1.9
org.hibernate.validator:hibernate-validator	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.hibernate.validator:hibernate-validator:6.0.13.Final	gav://org.hibernate.validator:hibernate-validator	6.0.13.Final

Component Name	Licenses	Licenses Links	Package Type	Component Id	Package Id	Version
org.jboss.logging:jboss-logging	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.jboss.logging:jboss-logging:3.3.2.Final	gav://org.jboss.logging:jboss-logging	3.3.2.Final
org.latencyutils:LatencyUtils	CC0-1.0	http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/	maven	org.latencyutils:LatencyUtils:2.0.3	gav://org.latencyutils:LatencyUtils	2.0.3
org.pcollections:pcollections	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	maven	org.pcollections:pcollections:3.0.3	gav://org.pcollections:pcollections	3.0.3
org.projectlombok:lombok	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	maven	org.projectlombok:lombok:1.18.4	gav://org.projectlombok:lombok	1.18.4
org.reactivestreams:reactive-streams	CC0-1.0	http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/	maven	org.reactivestreams:reactive-streams:1.0.2	gav://org.reactivestreams:reactive-streams	1.0.2
org.slf4j:jul-to-slf4j	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	maven	org.slf4j:jul-to-slf4j:1.7.25	gav://org.slf4j:jul-to-slf4j	1.7.25
org.slf4j:slf4j-api	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	maven	org.slf4j:slf4j-api:1.7.25	gav://org.slf4j:slf4j-api	1.7.25
org.synchronoss.cloud:nio-multipart-parser	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.synchronoss.cloud:nio-multipart-parser:1.1.0	gav://org.synchronoss.cloud:nio-multipart-parser	1.1.0
org.synchronoss.cloud:nio-stream-storage	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.synchronoss.cloud:nio-stream-storage:1.1.3	gav://org.synchronoss.cloud:nio-stream-storage	1.1.3
org.thymeleaf.extras:thymeleaf-extras-java8time	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.thymeleaf.extras:thymeleaf-extras-java8time:3.0.1.RELEASE	gav://org.thymeleaf.extras:thymeleaf-extras-java8time	3.0.1.RELEASE
org.thymeleaf:thymeleaf	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.thymeleaf:thymeleaf:3.0.11.RELEASE	gav://org.thymeleaf:thymeleaf	3.0.11.RELEASE
org.unbescape:unbescape	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.unbescape:unbescape:1.1.6.RELEASE	gav://org.unbescape:unbescape	1.1.6.RELEASE
org.vineflower:vineflower	Apache-2.0	http://licenses.nuget.org/Apache-2.0	maven	org.vineflower:vineflower:1.9.3	gav://org.vineflower:vineflower	1.9.3
pac-resolver	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	pac-resolver:4.2.0	npm://pac-resolver	4.2.0
paramiko	LGPL-3.0	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl-3.0-standalone.html	pypi	paramiko:1.17.5	pypi://paramiko	1.17.5
path-is-absolute	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	path-is-absolute:1.0.1	npm://path-is-absolute	1.0.1
prelude-ls	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	prelude-ls:1.1.2	npm://prelude-ls	1.1.2
protobufjs	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	protobufjs:6.11.2	npm://protobufjs	6.11.2
pycrypto	Public Domain		pypi	pycrypto:2.6.1	pypi://pycrypto	2.6.1
rimraf	ISC	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ISC	npm	rimraf:3.0.2	npm://rimraf	3.0.2
source-map	BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	npm	source-map:0.6.1	npm://source-map	0.6.1
tmp	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	tmp:0.2.1	npm://tmp	0.2.1
tslib	0BSD	http://landley.net/toybox/license.html	npm	tslib:2.4.0	npm://tslib	2.4.0

Component Name	Licenses	Licenses Links	Package Type	Component Id	Package Id	Version
type-check	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	type-check:0.3.2	npm://type-check	0.3.2
urllib3	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	pypi	urllib3:1.26.2	pypi://urllib3	1.26.2
word-wrap	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	npm	word-wrap:1.2.3	npm://word-wrap	1.2.3
wrappy	ISC	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ISC	npm	wrappy:1.0.2	npm://wrappy	1.0.2
zlibsrc	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	pypi	zlibsrc:1.1	pypi://zlibsrc	1.1

Secrets Exposures Export

Secrets scan: DONE, Sat Sep 14, 2024

Exported on: Sat Sep 14, 2024

Exported by: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Package type: Docker

Sha256: 3ba7ede02359ad2dfd9482301795a4a2962acde545c55546eb79632f2a3f3e78

Component name: exposures:latest

Status	JFrog Severity	ID	Description	File path	CWE	Fix Cost	Outcomes
to_fix	high	EXP-1235-00001	Plaintext API keys found	/exposures/req.secret.keys.py	CWE-256	medium	Credential extraction;Data collection
to_fix	high	EXP-1685-00001	Hardcoded secrets were found	/app/server_jsec.js	CWE-256	medium	Credential extraction;Data collection
to_fix	high	EXP-1685-00002	Hardcoded secrets were found	/app/server_psec.js	CWE-256	medium	Credential extraction;Data collection
to_fix	high	EXP-1685-00003	Hardcoded secrets were found	/exposures/req.nodejs.hardcoded-secrets.js	CWE-256	medium	Credential extraction;Data collection
to_fix	high	EXP-1685-00004	Hardcoded secrets were found	/exposures/req.python.hardcoded-secrets.py	CWE-256	medium	Credential extraction;Data collection
to_fix	high	EXP-946-00001	Weak, default, or well-known passwords are in use	/exposures/req.pass.check-default.yaml	CWE-259	medium	Credential extraction;Malicious login
ok	high	EXP-1681-00001	Hardcoded secrets were found		CWE-256	medium	Credential extraction;Data collection
to_fix	medium	EXP-1225-00001	Expired certificates found	/tmp/tmpixlo5srocacert.pem	CWE-298	low	Credential extraction;Device hijacking
to_fix	medium	EXP-1474-00001	Self-signed certificates found	/tmp/tmpixlo5srocacert.pem	CWE-295	medium	Traffic interception;Traffic manipulation

Component	Version in use	Risk	Risk Reason	EOL	Released on	Latest Version	Cadence	Committers	Commits
com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-core	2.9.7	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-09-19T02:42:29Z	2.18.0-rc1	10	N/A	N/A
com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-databind	2.9.7	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-09-19T02:49:45Z	2.18.0-rc1	10	N/A	N/A
com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype:jackson-datatype-jdk8	2.9.7	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-09-19T03:04:12Z	2.18.0-rc1	10	N/A	N/A
com.fasterxml.jackson.datatype:jackson-datatype-jsr310	2.9.7	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-09-19T03:04:26Z	2.18.0-rc1	10	N/A	N/A
com.fasterxml.jackson.module:jackson-module-parameter-names	2.9.7	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-09-19T03:04:04Z	2.18.0-rc1	10	N/A	N/A
com.fasterxml:classmate	1.4.0	High	Version Age	Unknown	2018-03-28T01:35:52Z	1.7.0	2	N/A	N/A
com.github.ben-manes.caffeine:caffeine	2.6.2	High	Health	Unknown	2018-02-22T02:51:23Z	3.1.8	0	N/A	N/A
com.google.code.findbugs:jsr305	3.0.2	High	Health	Unknown	2017-03-31T04:55:47Z	3.0.2	0	N/A	N/A
com.google.errorprone:error_prone_annotations	2.2.0	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-01-08T23:29:51Z	2.32.0	10	N/A	N/A
com.google.guava:failureaccess	1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2018-09-11T19:39:54Z	1.0.2	1	N/A	N/A
com.google.guava:guava	16.0	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2014-01-17T21:44:48Z	33.3.0-jre	10	N/A	N/A
com.google.guava:guava	27.0-jre	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-10-18T18:18:16Z	33.3.0-jre	10	N/A	N/A
com.google.guava:listenablefuture	9999.0-empty-to-avoid-conflict-with-guava	High	Health	Unknown	2018-09-11T19:40:43Z	9999.0-empty-to-avoid-conflict-with-guava	0	N/A	N/A
com.google.j2objc:j2objc-annotations	1.1	High	Health	Unknown	2016-07-26T19:39:26Z	3.0.0	1	N/A	N/A
com.googlecode.json-simple:json-simple	1.1.1	High	Health	Unknown	2012-03-21T05:11:33Z	1.1.1	0	N/A	N/A
com.stevenpaligo:spacetrack-client	1.1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2019-12-03T19:36:36Z	1.1.0	0	N/A	N/A
com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream	1.4.17	High	Health	Unknown	2021-05-14T08:21:33Z	1.4.20	0	N/A	N/A
com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-benchmark	1.4.17	High	Health	Unknown	2021-05-14T08:22:07Z	1.4.20	0	N/A	N/A
com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-hibernate	1.4.17	High	Health	Unknown	2021-05-14T08:21:56Z	1.4.20	0	N/A	N/A
com.thoughtworks.xstream:xstream-jmh	1.4.17	High	Health	Unknown	2021-05-14T08:22:20Z	1.4.20	0	N/A	N/A
com.whirvis:jraknet	2.9.8	High	Health	Unknown	2018-06-16T00:31:54Z	2.12.3	0	N/A	N/A
commons-collections:commons-collections	3.1	High	Health	Unknown	2005-11-22T18:09:18Z	20040616	0	N/A	N/A

Component	Version in use	Risk	Risk Reason	EOL	Released on	Latest Version	Cadence	Committers	Commits
commons-io:commons-io	2.6	High	Version Age	Unknown	2017-10-15T10:00:50Z	20030203.000550	5	N/A	N/A
commons-logging:commons-logging	1.2	High	Health	Unknown	2014-07-05T18:12:25Z	1.3.4	5	N/A	N/A
concat-map	0.0.1	High	Health	Unknown	2014-01-30T03:06:35Z	0.0.2	1	N/A	N/A
debug	3.1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2017-09-26T19:13:51Z	4.3.7	3	N/A	N/A
deep-is	0.1.3	High	Health	Unknown	2014-08-24T20:02:55Z	0.1.4	0	N/A	N/A
deep-is	0.1.4	High	Health	Unknown	2021-09-04T16:55:20Z	0.1.4	0	N/A	N/A
degenerator	2.2.0	High	Version Age	Unknown	2020-02-05T02:37:22Z	5.0.1	0	N/A	N/A
escodegen	1.14.3	High	Health	Unknown	2020-06-22T23:16:32Z	2.0.0	0	N/A	N/A
escodegen	2.0.0	High	Health	Unknown	2020-08-05T23:19:25Z	2.0.0	0	N/A	N/A
eslint-visitor-keys	1.3.0	High	Version Age	Unknown	2020-06-19T16:40:06Z	4.0.0	1	N/A	N/A
espree	7.3.1	High	Number of new versions	Unknown	2020-12-05T05:23:41Z	10.1.0	3	N/A	N/A
esprima	4.0.1	High	Health	Unknown	2018-07-13T08:39:14Z	4.0.1	0	N/A	N/A
estraverse	4.3.0	High	Health	Unknown	2019-08-13T22:08:56Z	5.3.0	0	N/A	N/A
estraverse	5.2.0	High	Health	Unknown	2020-08-05T15:55:30Z	5.3.0	0	N/A	N/A
esutils	2.0.3	High	Health	Unknown	2019-07-31T01:10:54Z	2.0.3	0	N/A	N/A
fast-levenshtein	2.0.6	High	Health	Unknown	2016-12-27T21:15:07Z	3.0.0	0	N/A	N/A
follow-redirects	1.5.10	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-11-19T21:25:49Z	1.15.9	5	N/A	N/A
fs.realpath	1.0.0	High	Health	Unknown	2016-06-15T18:39:05Z	1.0.0	0	N/A	N/A
glob	7.1.6	High	EOL	true	2019-11-06T22:07:44Z	11.0.0	10	N/A	N/A
inflight	1.0.6	High	EOL	true	2016-10-13T03:53:29Z	1.0.6	0	N/A	N/A
inherits	2.0.4	High	Health	Unknown	2019-06-19T20:18:52Z	2.0.4	0	N/A	N/A
io.micronaut.configuration:micrometer-core	1.1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2018-10-29T01:56:35Z	1.0.0.RC2	0	N/A	N/A
io.netty:netty-buffer	5.0.0.Alpha2	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2015-03-03T16:08:20Z	5.0.0.Alpha2	10	N/A	N/A
io.netty:netty-codec	5.0.0.Alpha2	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2015-03-03T16:09:48Z	5.0.0.Alpha2	10	N/A	N/A
io.netty:netty-common	5.0.0.Alpha2	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2015-03-03T16:07:49Z	5.0.0.Alpha2	10	N/A	N/A
io.netty:netty-handler	5.0.0.Alpha2	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2015-03-03T16:10:39Z	5.0.0.Alpha2	10	N/A	N/A
io.netty:netty-resolver	5.0.0.Alpha2	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2015-03-03T16:08:32Z	5.0.0.Alpha2	10	N/A	N/A
io.netty:netty-transport	5.0.0.Alpha2	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2015-03-03T16:09:12Z	5.0.0.Alpha2	10	N/A	N/A
ip	1.1.8	Low	Version Age	Unknown	2022-05-11T03:08:45Z	2.0.1	2	N/A	N/A
is-buffer	2.0.5	High	Health	Unknown	2020-11-03T19:19:33Z	2.0.5	0	N/A	N/A
javax.annotation:javax.annotation-api	1.3.2	High	Health	Unknown	2018-02-21T10:24:23Z	1.3.2	0	N/A	N/A
javax.validation:validation-api	2.0.1.Final	High	Health	Unknown	2017-12-19T16:23:40Z	2.0.1.Final	0	N/A	N/A
javax.vecmath:vecmath	1.5.2	High	Health	Unknown	2015-07-15T20:17:50Z	1.5.2	0	N/A	N/A

License Export

SCA scan: DONE, Sat Sep 14, 2024

Exported on: Sat Sep 14, 2024

Exported by: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Package type: Docker

Sha256: 3ba7ede02359ad2dfd9482301795a4a2962acde545c55546eb79632f2a3f3e78

Component name: exposures:latest

Component Name	Licenses	Licenses Links	Package Type	Component Id	Package Id	Version
2.jar	Unknown		generic	2.jar	generic://sha256:fa917c9be0132e5e2ea8b17f07bc8e811454a984577327959e11582d2dbae3f0/2.jar	
3.15:alpine-baselayout	GPL-2.0-only	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html	alpine	3.15:alpine-baselayout:3.2.0-r18	alpine://3.15:alpine-baselayout	3.2.0-r18
3.15:alpine-keys	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	alpine	3.15:alpine-keys:2.4-r1	alpine://3.15:alpine-keys	2.4-r1
3.15:apk-tools	GPL-2.0-only	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html	alpine	3.15:apk-tools:2.12.7-r3	alpine://3.15:apk-tools	2.12.7-r3
3.15:busybox	GPL-2.0-only	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html	alpine	3.15:busybox:1.34.1-r5	alpine://3.15:busybox	1.34.1-r5
3.15:ca-certificates-bundle	MIT, MPL-2.0	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT, http://opensource.org/licenses/MPL-2.0	alpine	3.15:ca-certificates-bundle:20211220-r0	alpine://3.15:ca-certificates-bundle	20211220-r0
3.15:glib	LGPL-2.1-or-later	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/lgpl-2.1-standalone.html	alpine	3.15:glib:2.70.5-r0	alpine://3.15:glib	2.70.5-r0

Component Name	Licenses	Licenses Links	Package Type	Component Id	Package Id	Version
3.15:libblkid	GPL-2.0-only, GPL-2.0-or-later, GPL-3.0-or-later	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html, http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html, http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0-standalone.html	alpine	3.15:libblkid:2.37.4-r0	alpine://3.15:libblkid	2.37.4-r0
3.15:libc-utils	BSD-2-Clause, BSD-3-Clause	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-2-Clause, http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause	alpine	3.15:libc-utils:0.7.2-r3	alpine://3.15:libc-utils	0.7.2-r3
3.15:libcrypto1.1	OpenSSL	http://www.openssl.org/source/license.html	alpine	3.15:libcrypto1.1:1.1.1n-r0	alpine://3.15:libcrypto1.1	1.1.1n-r0
3.15:libcrypto1.1	OpenSSL	http://www.openssl.org/source/license.html	alpine	3.15:libcrypto1.1:1.1.1w-r1	alpine://3.15:libcrypto1.1	1.1.1w-r1
3.15:libffi	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	alpine	3.15:libffi:3.4.2-r1	alpine://3.15:libffi	3.4.2-r1
3.15:libintl	LGPL-2.1-or-later	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/lgpl-2.1-standalone.html	alpine	3.15:libintl:0.21-r0	alpine://3.15:libintl	0.21-r0
3.15:libmount	GPL-2.0-only, GPL-2.0-or-later, GPL-3.0-or-later	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html, http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0-standalone.html, http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0-standalone.html	alpine	3.15:libmount:2.37.4-r0	alpine://3.15:libmount	2.37.4-r0
3.15:libretls	BSD-3-Clause, ISC, MIT	http://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause, http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ISC, http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	alpine	3.15:libretls:3.3.4-r3	alpine://3.15:libretls	3.3.4-r3
3.15:libssl1.1	OpenSSL	http://www.openssl.org/source/license.html	alpine	3.15:libssl1.1:1.1.1n-r0	alpine://3.15:libssl1.1	1.1.1n-r0
3.15:libssl1.1	OpenSSL	http://www.openssl.org/source/license.html	alpine	3.15:libssl1.1:1.1.1w-r1	alpine://3.15:libssl1.1	1.1.1w-r1
3.15:minizip	Zlib	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/Zlib	alpine	3.15:minizip:1.2.12-r0	alpine://3.15:minizip	1.2.12-r0
3.15:musl	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	alpine	3.15:musl:1.2.2-r7	alpine://3.15:musl	1.2.2-r7
3.15:musl	MIT	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/MIT	alpine	3.15:musl:1.2.2-r9	alpine://3.15:musl	1.2.2-r9

Component	Version in use	Risk	Risk Reason	EOL	Released on	Latest Version	Cadence	Committers	Commits
levn	0.3.0	High	Health	Unknown	2015-12-29T05:57:03Z	0.4.1	0	N/A	N/A
long	4.0.0	High	Version Age	Unknown	2018-02-03T21:35:31Z	5.2.3	0	N/A	N/A
minimatch	3.0.4	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2017-05-07T18:11:10Z	10.0.1	4	N/A	N/A
ms	2.0.0	High	Health	false	2017-05-16T12:26:06Z	3.0.0-canary.1	0	N/A	N/A
netmask	2.0.2	High	Health	false	2021-04-02T18:17:39Z	2.0.2	0	N/A	N/A
once	1.4.0	High	Health	false	2016-09-06T21:11:09Z	1.4.0	0	N/A	N/A
optionator	0.8.3	High	Health	false	2019-11-06T21:55:39Z	0.9.4	1	N/A	N/A
org.apache.commons:commons-lang3	3.8.1	High	Version Age	Unknown	2018-09-19T15:24:29Z	3.17.0	4	N/A	N/A
org.apache.commons:commons-text	1.6	High	Health	Unknown	2018-10-13T01:43:00Z	1.12.0	2	N/A	N/A
org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-api	2.11.1	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-07-23T03:44:52Z	3.0.0-beta2	5	N/A	N/A
org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-api	2.8.1	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2017-02-26T23:54:07Z	3.0.0-beta2	5	N/A	N/A
org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-core	2.8.1	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2017-02-27T00:11:22Z	3.0.0-beta2	5	N/A	N/A
org.apache.logging.log4j:log4j-to-slf4j	2.11.1	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-07-23T03:47:36Z	3.0.0-beta2	5	N/A	N/A
org.attoparser:attoparser	2.0.5.RELEASE	High	Health	Unknown	2018-03-30T09:34:46Z	2.0.7.RELEASE	0	N/A	N/A
org.checkerframework:checker-qual	2.5.2	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-06-01T18:57:09Z	3.47.0	5	N/A	N/A
org.codehaus.mojo:animal-sniffer-annotations	1.17	High	Health	Unknown	2018-06-22T01:20:32Z	1.24	1	N/A	N/A
org.fusesource.leveldbjni:leveldbjni-all	1.8	High	Health	Unknown	2013-10-17T13:18:24Z	1.8	0	N/A	N/A
org.hdrhistogram:HdrHistogram	2.1.9	High	Health	Unknown	2016-05-18T14:33:31Z	2.2.2	2	N/A	N/A
org.hibernate.validator:hibernate-validator	6.0.13.Final	High	Health	Unknown	2018-08-22T22:17:00Z	9.0.0.Beta3	2	N/A	N/A
org.jboss.logging:jboss-logging	3.3.2.Final	High	Health	Unknown	2018-02-14T21:23:37Z	3.6.1.Final	1	N/A	N/A
org.latencyutils:LatencyUtils	2.0.3	High	Health	Unknown	2015-12-15T20:28:28Z	2.0.3	0	N/A	N/A
org.pcollections:pcollections	3.0.3	High	Health	Unknown	2018-09-12T17:46:03Z	4.0.2	1	N/A	N/A
org.projectlombok:lombok	1.18.4	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2018-10-30T00:23:43Z	1.18.34	3	N/A	N/A
org.reactivestreams:reactive-streams	1.0.2	High	Health	Unknown	2017-12-18T20:06:34Z	1.0.4	0	N/A	N/A
org.slf4j:jul-to-slf4j	1.7.25	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2017-03-16T16:37:45Z	2.1.0-alpha1	5	N/A	N/A
org.slf4j:slf4j-api	1.7.25	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	Unknown	2017-03-16T16:36:32Z	2.1.0-alpha1	5	N/A	N/A

Component	Version in use	Risk	Risk Reason	EOL	Released on	Latest Version	Cadence	Committers	Commits
org.synchronoss.cloud:nio-multipart-parser	1.1.0	High	Health	Unknown	2017-05-25T14:49:32Z	1.1.0	0	N/A	N/A
org.synchronoss.cloud:nio-stream-storage	1.1.3	High	Health	Unknown	2017-05-25T07:55:26Z	1.1.3	0	N/A	N/A
org.thymeleaf.extras:thymeleaf-extras-java8time	3.0.1.RELEASE	High	Health	Unknown	2017-08-18T22:56:33Z	3.0.4.RELEASE	0	N/A	N/A
org.thymeleaf:thymeleaf	3.0.11.RELEASE	High	Health	Unknown	2018-10-28T22:36:02Z	3.1.2.RELEASE	0	N/A	N/A
org.unbescape:unbescape	1.1.6.RELEASE	High	Health	Unknown	2018-03-30T17:05:53Z	1.1.6.RELEASE	0	N/A	N/A
pac-resolver	4.2.0	High	Health	false	2021-03-31T19:14:42Z	7.0.1	1	N/A	N/A
path-is-absolute	1.0.1	High	Health	false	2016-09-30T04:42:03Z	2.0.0	0	N/A	N/A
prelude-ls	1.1.2	High	Health	false	2015-05-15T02:42:34Z	1.2.1	0	N/A	N/A
protobufjs	6.11.2	High	Number of new versions and Version Age	false	2021-04-30T17:31:06Z	7.4.0	5	N/A	N/A
rimraf	3.0.2	High	EOL	true	2020-02-09T06:18:37Z	6.0.1	10	N/A	N/A
source-map	0.6.1	High	Health	false	2017-09-29T14:42:30Z	0.8.0-beta.0	1	N/A	N/A
tmp	0.2.1	High	Version Age	false	2020-04-29T16:39:42Z	0.2.1	0	N/A	N/A
tslib	2.4.0	Medium	Version Age	false	2022-04-22T00:25:01Z	2.7.0	2	N/A	N/A
type-check	0.3.2	High	Health	false	2015-12-29T05:46:21Z	0.4.0	0	N/A	N/A
word-wrap	1.2.3	High	Health	false	2017-06-03T02:56:07Z	1.2.3	0	N/A	N/A
wrappy	1.0.2	High	Health	false	2016-05-17T23:30:52Z	1.0.2	0	N/A	N/A


Phone
Phone
Apps with this permission can make and manage phone calls
Allowed
Android Auto
Contacts
Facebook
Accessed in past 24 hours
Google
Accessed in past 24 hours
Google Play Store
Meet


Permission manager
Body sensors
0 of 3 apps allowed
Calendar
4 of 13 apps allowed
Call logs
7 of 13 apps allowed
Camera
9 of 35 apps allowed
Contacts
14 of 39 apps allowed
Files and media
0 of 0 apps allowed
Location
11 of 43 apps allowed
Microphone
7 of 32 apps allowed
Music and audio
4 of 28 apps allowed
Nearby devices
9 of 26 apps allowed


7:40
59%
Permission manager
Location
11 of 43 apps allowed
Microphone
7 of 32 apps allowed
Music and audio
4 of 28 apps allowed
Nearby devices
9 of 26 apps allowed
Notifications
35 of 66 apps allowed
Phone
14 of 31 apps allowed
Photos and videos
9 of 37 apps allowed
Physical activity
0 of 3 apps allowed
SMS
6 of 8 apps allowed
Additional permissions


Apps
Settings
458 KB
Signal
189 MB
SIM toolkit
116 KB
Smart Print
698 KB
Smart Switch
82.44 MB
Deep sleeping
Smart Tutor
32.31 MB
Speech Recognition and Synthesis fro..
334 MB
Square Point of Sale
437 MB
Temu
254 MB
TextNow
197 MB
Township
267 MB


Settings
Search settings
General
Mail
Calendar
People
Language and time
Appearance
Notifications
Categories
Accessibility
Mobile devices
Storage
Privacy
Search
Mobile devices
Status: OK
First sync: 2024-09-04T01:35:
Last successful sync: 2024-09-05T18:07:
Folders synced: 0
Device name: DCDDCD-58K4R3C
Device model: Dell Inc. OptiPlex 7
Phone number:
Mobile network:
Device type: UniversalOutlook
Device ID: 9B44240E557C478
Device IMEI:
Device OS: WINDOWS
Device language: en-US
User agent: microsoft.windows
Access state:
Access set by:
Client type: OUTLOOK
Protocol version: 1.0
OK

Summary	CVEs	Severity	JFrog Severity	Component Physical Paths	Component	Infected Version	Fix Version	Edited	Applicability
libraries/libldap/tls_o.c in OpenLDAP 2.2 and 2.4, and possibly other versions, when OpenSSL is used, does not properly handle a '\0' character in a domain name in the subject's Common Name (CN) field of an X.509 certificate, which allows man-in-the-middle attackers to spoof arbitrary SSL servers via a crafted certificate issued by a legitimate Certification Authority, a related issue to CVE-2009-2408.	CVE-2009-3767	Medium			3.15:libssl1.1	<= 3.0.0-r2		0001-01-01T00:00:00Z	Not Covered
transport.py in the SSH server implementation of Paramiko before 1.17.6, 1.18.x before 1.18.5, 2.0.x before 2.0.8, 2.1.x before 2.1.5, 2.2.x before 2.2.3, 2.3.x before 2.3.2, and 2.4.x before 2.4.1 does not properly check whether authentication is completed before processing other requests, as demonstrated by channel-open. A customized SSH client can simply skip the authentication step.	CVE-2018-7750	Critical	Critical	sha256__f8325dfc31f5273eac95371a3652a8d126cf0b4f31c592e323c4952ba79c04f7.tar.gz/paramiko:1.17.5	paramiko	< 1.17.6,1.18.0 <= Version < 1.18.5,2.0.0 <= Version < 2.0.8,2.1.0 <= Version < 2.1.5,2.2.0 <= Version < 2.2.3,2.3.0 <= Version < 2.3.2,2.4.0 <= Version < 2.4.1	1.17.6,1.18.5,2.0.8,2.1.5,2.2.3,2.3.2,2.4.1	2021-08-13T01:46:00Z	Applicable

Summary	CVEs	Severity	JFrog Severity	Component Physical Paths	Component	Infected Version	Fix Version	Edited	Applicability
urllib3 is a user-friendly HTTP client library for Python. When using urllib3's proxy support with `ProxyManager`, the `Proxy-Authorization` header is only sent to the configured proxy, as expected. However, when sending HTTP requests *without* using urllib3's proxy support, it's possible to accidentally configure the `Proxy-Authorization` header even though it won't have any effect as the request is not using a forwarding proxy or a tunneling proxy. In those cases, urllib3 doesn't treat the `Proxy-Authorization` HTTP header as one carrying authentication material and thus doesn't strip the header on cross-origin redirects. Because this is a highly unlikely scenario, we believe the severity of this vulnerability is low for almost all users. Out of an abundance of caution urllib3 will automatically strip the `Proxy-Authorization` header during cross-origin redirects to avoid the small chance that users are doing this on accident. Users should use urllib3's proxy support or disable automatic redirects to achieve safe processing of the `Proxy-Authorization` header, but we still decided to strip the header by default in order to further protect users who aren't using the correct approach. We believe the number of usages affected by this advisory is low. It requires all of the following to be true to be exploited: 1. Setting the `Proxy-Authorization` header without using urllib3's built-in proxy support. 2. Not disabling HTTP redirects. 3. Either not using an HTTPS origin server or for the proxy or target origin to redirect to a malicious origin. Users are advised to	CVE-2024-37891	Medium		sha256__f8325dfc31f5273eac95371a3652a8d126ef0b4f31c592e323c4952ba79c04f7.tar.gz/urllib3:1.26.2	urllib3	< 1.26.19,2.0.0 <= Version < 2.2.2	1.26.19,2.2.2	0001-01-01T00:00:00Z	Not Covered

Summary	CVEs	Severity	JFrog Severity	Component Physical Paths	Component	Infected Version	Fix Version	Edited	Applicability
update to either version 1.26.19 or version 2.2.2. Users unable to upgrade may use the 'Proxy-Authorization' header with urllib3's 'ProxyManager', disable HTTP redirects using 'redirects=False' when sending requests, or not user the 'Proxy-Authorization' header as mitigations.									
urllib3 is a user-friendly HTTP client library for Python. urllib3 doesn't treat the 'Cookie' HTTP header special or provide any helpers for managing cookies over HTTP, that is the responsibility of the user. However, it is possible for a user to specify a 'Cookie' header and unknowingly leak information via HTTP redirects to a different origin if that user doesn't disable redirects explicitly. This issue has been patched in urllib3 version 1.26.17 or 2.0.5.	CVE-2023-43804	High	High	sha256__f8325dfc31f5273eac95371a3652a8d126cf0b4f31e592e323e4952ba79c04f7.tar.gz/urllib3:1.26.2	urllib3	< 1.26.17,2.0.0 <= Version < 2.0.6	1.26.17,2.0.6	0001-01-01T00:00:00Z	Not Applicable

Summary	CVEs	Severity	JFrog Severity	Component Physical Paths	Component	Infected Version	Fix Version	Edited	Applicability
urllib3 is a user-friendly HTTP client library for Python. urllib3 previously wouldn't remove the HTTP request body when an HTTP redirect response using status 301, 302, or 303 after the request had its method changed from one that could accept a request body (like `POST`) to `GET` as is required by HTTP RFCs. Although this behavior is not specified in the section for redirects, it can be inferred by piecing together information from different sections and we have observed the behavior in other major HTTP client implementations like curl and web browsers. Because the vulnerability requires a previously trusted service to become compromised in order to have an impact on confidentiality we believe the exploitability of this vulnerability is low. Additionally, many users aren't putting sensitive data in HTTP request bodies, if this is the case then this vulnerability isn't exploitable. Both of the following conditions must be true to be affected by this vulnerability: 1. Using urllib3 and submitting sensitive information in the HTTP request body (such as form data or JSON) and 2. The origin service is compromised and starts redirecting using 301, 302, or 303 to a malicious peer or the redirected-to service becomes compromised. This issue has been addressed in versions 1.26.18 and 2.0.7 and users are advised to update to resolve this issue. Users unable to update should disable redirects for services that aren't expecting to respond with redirects with `redirects=False` and disable automatic redirects with `redirects=False` and handle 301, 302, and 303 redirects manually by stripping the HTTP request body.	CVE-2023-45803	Medium		sha256__f8325dfc31f5273eac95371a3652a8d126cf0b4f31c592e323c4952ba79c04f7.tar.gz/urllib3:1.26.2	urllib3	< 1.26.18,2.0.0 <= Version < 2.0.7	1.26.18,2.0.7	0001-01-01T00:00:00Z	Not Covered

Summary	CVEs	Severity	JFrog Severity	Component Physical Paths	Component	Infected Version	Fix Version	Edited	Applicability
wall in util-linux through 2.40, often installed with setgid tty permissions, allows escape sequences to be sent to other users' terminals through argv. (Specifically, escape sequences received from stdin are blocked, but escape sequences received from argv are not blocked.) There may be plausible scenarios where this leads to account takeover.	CVE-2024-28085	Unknown	Low		3.15:libblkid	< 2.40-r0	2.40-r0	0001-01-01T00:00:00Z	Not Covered
wall in util-linux through 2.40, often installed with setgid tty permissions, allows escape sequences to be sent to other users' terminals through argv. (Specifically, escape sequences received from stdin are blocked, but escape sequences received from argv are not blocked.) There may be plausible scenarios where this leads to account takeover.	CVE-2024-28085	Unknown	Low		3.15:libmount	< 2.40-r0	2.40-r0	0001-01-01T00:00:00Z	Not Covered
zlib through 1.2.12 has a heap-based buffer over-read or buffer overflow in inflate in inflate.c via a large gzip header extra field. NOTE: only applications that call inflateGetHeader are affected. Some common applications bundle the affected zlib source code but may be unable to call inflateGetHeader (e.g., see the nodejs/node reference).	CVE-2022-37434	Critical	High		3.15:zlib	< 1.2.12-r2	1.2.12-r2	2023-01-08T19:26:00Z	Not Applicable

Summary	CVEs	Severity	JFrog Severity	Component Physical Paths	Component	Infected Version	Fix Version	Edited	Applicability
The function X509_VERIFY_PARAM_add0_policy() is documented to implicitly enable the certificate policy check when doing certificate verification. However the implementation of the function does not enable the check which allows certificates with invalid or incorrect policies to pass the certificate verification. As suddenly enabling the policy check could break existing deployments it was decided to keep the existing behavior of the X509_VERIFY_PARAM_add0_policy() function. Instead the applications that require OpenSSL to perform certificate policy check need to use X509_VERIFY_PARAM_set1_policies() or explicitly enable the policy check by calling X509_VERIFY_PARAM_set_flags() with the X509_V_FLAG_POLICY_CHECK flag argument. Certificate policy checks are disabled by default in OpenSSL and are not commonly used by applications.	CVE-2023-0466	Medium			3.15:libssl1.1	< 3.0.8-r3	3.0.8-r3	0001-01-01T00:00:00Z	Not Applicable
The ip package before 1.1.9 for Node.js might allow SSRF because some IP addresses (such as 0x7f.1) are improperly categorized as globally routable via isPublic.	CVE-2023-42282	Critical	High	sha256__4d002966644a3451524652f6c1f6aaf5b480187c501c63574ee57aeb24ae814e.tar.gz/project-two/node_modules/ip/package.json	ip	< 1.1.9,2.0.0	1.1.9,2.0.1	0001-01-01T00:00:00Z	Not Applicable

```
{
    "data": {
        "type": "state-versions",
        "attributes": {
            "serial": 0,
            "force": false,
            "md5": "529e86624d8588ecd29cabb87d3f1ba0",
            "lineage": null,
            "state":
"WDI1XK7WqvVVDaMSuvh+hgysvlGUn7Vqro2U25mjY82YLbq9U110m/RhKsnTlva6iDmuPK8DmAaFjp+Asy7MYH8SIIu9hQh3M6LF3cPYl4lHMxw/4TqfX+nfUeNeOKE0
EAFUKrbxY65tR/mIRZR5OCGT+lQ4HzqFmCtw+ZG19N7zgOly8O1q5UyM+9yUFPY8BWzFOJcj/CCCOEL3Z9tzWuI162X4gddjhVS6Jr37k2hHyleq192d86GPhWVo/aEQA
do0wKmhusQbhFDaPiio/f0E9HFUKR+VWtNybf/T0vIc4VQ5kB9bzyKuyMTu8zwdVm3Z+VBlm8Z5BU24JiIKiM/U2KYLs0awO2Kh9ybFFZFholo8ccSObQLlRWWXtef4EL
JVz9ZVfQlzEuEesVXivPnCmF+yr95Oevjnyqgfnqz4WuGXFISdlRijruzkoYTr+1b4hNdHacJ/OL1UzwWZsZhYNlsFh7Unu2EA0CJp0HbZNqYsDhOwz1fUH7UsbevGWuc
g0CUfyi7k63UrLGvYjVY9PZxoM51fhYU9Mne61Pdg2SUnZwG7vLqL0/OuUKg0wK0nk6K4IzRnGobiYUr5cHvJIz3ovzg3XneU1P4f7fZy/8UtNZ+F5VGEro4gGn5eUgnV
TNTPg9fK+9R+tWdiPGg1Q1J0ICszYX9uESytILfsoX0z5ctx7INzqngxlVI8V0hfftZjZ/vVznXEybSuu/wrzlyeG9YEfISMytWD2rIXss3TojU/RJr45QTHyHs4WSJPp
NNPNDVo0znKEH5hVK4BnB2UlwoauAqVnGNh8oiQFuiOoaojWwJVE5T3Vzwxs9p3/6hrbPE33euaPqCanTihb+USoq2+qC5hB9MPX72L439BxSjNpd/cVSJseYIPClZ5Za
nT1Vy3JYx4tSb8ObIzicsZhJhU1FbvwoxgTsXwe1QTY8GoCUa0ZRO5HPlKLKPAraPZemz4esnTqt3LBp+KnId4QfiHpripQI02zUfYcBs3ZfNP/iC953T7EvMafv9RfCl
OLScmSNilAHKiL5CKk2Bcslt8Ca/Tjo1N/yu7/iU4lZsXe9Vb7y1+YOfigjtyCfuTC+GbYiztmauP9os5quPL6aZnC3LCS3Rupf42fLHMf/gKMI0gdNR/ACiHfSe3YEdl
D66E1RzUQxZVw8v7qzHbsFwYrb7XD/ZL3MyqXCIWgdKgOgJuUrCJazZyW0e6c0ez6aOpbju5cz1rBqKN8k1FQEUU1K2YJ9KP0FlaOVVwOdXVaVtKPjFs+eeOlxQg32jWh
zHAKlVmPKF/ZRwMrNkPLaGsvE8HkWewDPl0zY9zd+wgXO50gxei7xKtDdrB3qDax/fQSnYQV2NW0fh2MWyDLIRFV0UXtbGmMSbKOQsIsfPDJ12yNnkRHCqP+1lH7YrsMC
iX/Y9eu7JqOuRFvygdz04mDPTpl/Q0jR7IhmyN/5aJ6AO1qSOwg92PeMrSUAgCouX+PvccHOUed2eolgEzlqLQ3/YtysZfFhgI2ngoRakzvv6YWWh3S2poWL9qm5APhB6
2BbHLchcBB3LYQj8UH3LValASqLFtK8xGv8XjDwZyMfmqcrBD09lpkN/M3mMAT7SNu4Q88ULoIs1JmVafyThMmKRRmeFWsZWzqw62ttOMiZRQVvytI18elNnvppAGF+GZ
GxjwAsX2Qngy/TpAnHKka4TYhumZNLJHS48+8u+Vmab3w1Pw8Mcq9ALA76zD5lzqmRJWBwju1vmoLDtyY8GP1/jYh4Ngx1iZ842NzcU8op4vexeAlhEhf4VwenDxZ+ebn
e9qeY6qYvwkoQPqRhbs7Ot10Qt+2VBIIgHCRXOJi0bj015+83TOh0KEu9bHP5Q1HaVI/VxImStkS4XLP/EkkNBQdLYwIghqjBhXdE/BofcIrvT1U4mQ7hCcV0oQeFSVVv
Cr/aFzceLPmjIL+wQgUzrqIu7DvDZ60A5udYtUZiSEVx04kCMcoI54mM4nA1VB1I4EszBE//h6GlOC/olPIUkLW5yJ2oBC9f9b6ZsScWDJrS7DatccRHqslGl0XOQ9vkv
3SmSqaTZNoMLwZxNw17IpKwyrHBb3BuRnZT++Jx4Up/sNUPasrEx1aRR3130ht30uxle6id/V2WgXlRFsfrJZUuiP9kXogw1UB6L2af7Z9yaB5R/aVeVHW/vxeLrvEGXU
EDOg7DxdX5GrqFztVI+coBvAA6NG2JkO/VV0Ywubw1KeXik5Co4ZfA5owXfXK9lY1nmBaozE3qsurZqlk0LRfIwW31S3jpz4zx+X7DefmO97Si8flAWLpE44pEq8dRTcl
84kr+vCuNNhDT1zmHjZNPZfSxeE4SI5gp9KMb1cadJserfS/sXn+fe+FmegcH0AO8Oh3EF+m7C+WWvue9w3YXOra2ooOlHvcOyPGyFOqfem8XiHwPXwBbILPZm0fTawir
B50UPn5twECMdSf1keFZfb/WhtfQXIRszptXC4lnriOc9mlJIOMruTboPwICaCyK/dAL+TikvsFYlAdOPNuFup+GQ2gRLppGUJfuvQSEaUfzM8o5T/jGclqadf5sRtlW
p85pVAAnLDe1OM3DCB33o5KaVMMrjO67S58BtXp87aKcPkAAhjlkEljAeRJF0O/V8eNI1dK53WwMYJ5wY5TMGcvWt6fUwdP2fEBsKQ47r2bJm5RouTweeaISB8Kedwrjy
2tWXmD/oivcTEwmxmLAm9VLDmaCaB4ZTf7yiQSZ3qdSAK/o64d6JnVijtMwr1LooCGcXH2TB6oAr3RjHDSBuKTPgm0XxuOUiOHxRoi0c8Pz9eRu9YA0/0znGYqoFRmzgs
KtIP3tVoWOFkDCFoEKBW/ubB7oQKUXwmg7adgHVKT+DoK5eSa9ksdbvbhTsRfIvyc8QQG5FePHe1o8m2/3aZZzfmC4K75PhrX59kll39j3uOEAWqYv/oaXSYxz+HS/ERZ
+lMbe2m7MUu9l/EJgA6Ogm0g1+7G3jlUWii8+zkOnwDoWoSy5vySMXqvnCOfYKdONSc8bi/asWeiaMitKYpQsXUQ6+6b8P4BnvW7lhnF3IEw0Ap9w2NN+D9uCkXT6RvyH
+ft7MsPTsxsLGePfOlLjO286TeRzTScIy4+IuIhMFq3k3aigvxaGae6B+1+Cjn9YZQ04xIWG8T8lWLFc1YQkU4EwMM4PoAKiqFuyraB3xVy9AksDeuc7WPVUbi+PW0Naq
+xkhCm76ljScvATUeYjVCp+mMsR+ztgZ/6ZHKEpbGtxpxWASOW+Io4vz0YwSkgpWAwPkZ9MoXNwwqD74k5d+Aqsc83nd2pcUJg7dzo8bVkyxGQDJX9vyHq2HARx7FViWd
7cmrdFKf8A8IXX8USRXvoasx0lwXT4A5PzhwHT3h2ZCUVDLSGq40VPS5UUw249ikuUom303z5VbfNAjj3ogoSgnyvAlyrHMVdOZ0GMGwSwK25O2q0IlNR9De5nVEaGJQ8
NIRLsq6siFgxbVeDoRLNROVe9ib28YW2aqHbZfgGtsIEAwJbOXUwgzpc0alB54Xe7+UJrJkPnQs6F4+XP91yjRvqzwzWAK4/VVMhFZrw7tPpp7MFD2MaRi7xQA4KdDC0k
y4Ileql5lPoJBH3oj2GJLs0rIdW0MKjDFw9azrURisz6NCoFx6nX4t8WrndZheIqxiAfszRnMzPksvRWe8urldr1E0MRpN4MGOSnV+pd6WYRqr68w9rkfgwz6gX40pqoY
X/l0rRZz+i29UujV0hNQK8hPN2oEPV7M4u5qyjfK9m4ffohiUoRrbcwxVznDZ3i51yIynpkZ+Rn5NAph1lIfSvF5+YI18t37zCOOjMgppxHEX7V8lPis5/wHaAtoNYWvk
sFS7iug5FDB5IN5JoJ7FSMRYzL89CC+tKLn/dkpOxqOKmAMLBM9Q638fFYwmu1ZhuN+1FuwDU6CogzN2iA5MlUfJRwQD4CPnyOuBUzkM2DWadm0Nzn++cksY88Zfj4mPw
wnSbHanuHkbKc7lVT4nQHhc4YvTV77yYPGfQ3zFtboXW0CWz0A1ZFplC7j5BU4LDbPlsUWYwQ36FuxESnrh36OefBAt/J0Ruaybd7HtxorRPw4vQ7px3YgHh3jfKAMiJT
Rp0X6PTr4sy+EfRwzVvlyyWCUCSo7PELiQoz4C6RcOYz4+gEiXMeg0dzfMEbaHQYxIYZlyPgbZKk0rm/6Cx1OXAQAmMao+rhzJ9BGNr00lX8tMiT7sERM2VXCjixlWHKk
1VOjgu9/akPcXerFsQeCTjDZPXk9tFir/1S858rMbONEP9+lQCGBXIhm2tWZLmX8QlUkMi1W81pQ5HFILt9jYPhcgf+ahYw5kuXClkre+SlqOBkek5pOaH/jHvyC0CbZy
R266eOI52WudvmM6B654liFtzZxIXsGQnMbtLsw5IcXpa7zUyjllhZZ/RoKPj7XCupLOCRm9MGqlgVMdl0Eq/7h7BAWQb9GqH11NOCNILFZJg3Sq12mmkvLgC/HT7E5UU
Q9O/iIl0YUZ4RzwMe3auc8+jMXVmI+YTKR/wMearD1IW1MiW8weJW6l9LynaAL18tme1IxxcIUKw/QLcC17dmL/iSiBQg0gn9ghH5lYkBH7nvZ9hLfo+HAM7y6Od8YbC6
OAR6kS4fgIEUNrnp1T2AQWo9RSiTU71Y10h0dpUhWuclgTwLz2wvYz1PKBgoY8Od830q/U5A2TvMV85NdDrRqAxv1ClpewlistGGGN1fa2L6kozDwSwZ/hv3WdrGtx70j
0Gb1X4XoyFDYtecDJxK3+McK6MrVZh1rNsLBYLz//ilsbt1147tQCYd5v7JJ24bsbqmuV1lbvycXutIrxqOdlxWTXbNJxcCTdAD+fXvEZAUrnyk/voY09aZB5uwEd5o0T
VejfAvTvhai6zrNHOopya41zOGjCmRiP04e7j6pRR9LSmcHAlPhAS1SqOE/8mViJUxBn8nvkWtBZi59zaF+iBZ/LiwjQ8hKaUQlIWlDNJnPoBMTvqpsjBqzltX8jV7pbS
RuOsQALAINZ1JPZtDHDGJ6nYw3E0xAYetZ3ZtvM5LFfBcnkuf/u9/Qm8yzTVFvmEWKly5EFJtoNxctfTiP2St3Gfy04N1oHTciN5vqw+J2O1hG1PyqSzkAWYgHQ1g3aCc
SxdaJUo8UBM6nO+MUFAsqIz84qR6g2yZ42iRk95V1Y2bAWJCJPctm82yJimYklNIEtwP/a9s5bealASIFXU+foOCe1+3wOBgiQjz03gOwmyZpSXt2CCfmyD045xsWPm3t
PHTBwLI6XrUX7nhN5gOQARjumlC9tLvVDIll7N1d1/pHl8Bxjl+TkgZbAw9Yize24PF9e7sG3Z7Xkmjvuog KLzTcj6pI69arGFMz2NSQvardS3m+xsaLBbxO1B5sWx0Qm
uXNUOCrhHSGYOGkzwvSMAYGh3PYvPasm5+j19if8JmkroCWsv3QPNK5wKziIp3AYbFIbpxGf8g1nJauIxCuB1UlNRh4GO/eM4wQ3p+uSnf4eAsox0J8tJtDsHLnPe3/fK
4e3+Ol1sRAfVLXtWfv6kH3csn4u2UndeyJpRUIflPkvxLALlWo4Li/4c6AfYC7/nDzv4vyIZejyPw/nleMJAQxa7yEhEaYg31Ec14lTt+Y5cHgUdVhCYNzmfF9SLc3XzG
4hk+rPGuyrL6HydenN9AnzXKUYK3ZxezHHVsxguyMN4wERJQaiRB9ISNknxzk8ETUM5wc8gkyXRKKoPi8V8IXyrRpfvI9dueWvJB9IGdAxv0XLp6FiNlpqJVGYlghGd9C
zHQE7UtfXbQlABdYl9sYujE1sOF6Rg8WV5cW8ZbySDpD21ORMvg1F+TaYdu572sFh4Go/wkwgrQvtcWsEsDJlbYLlDBj70MNR67xJeHn3v6C3NVlwNBwIA9mzYPJUXxfB
```

JOP9dGxCgncAQ5lvrmUPse31/7exrOFp+IZm0rI3LFoORKlS8rOZCGMBGYw7l844prXwqOwcRfTc2qQtu5LPcLz1csn5Z9GdG53l50iqRKUc1rv8oXd/1vRoprML6hTOw
2YMggrgZfIe3s4zivUXV+hEMreXJTiyxRS0+oHYS9Ob0AB0WpUajpi/LKT+ViG+t3K8lUoDnDD6I8crlSqrp0Ft2lbTG9JBwb/x/CTh0hRpJDToy48eyAkXI9lAXkMbsH
JU68wxjRYrK2goxbxq+gASXXcEY8L51oOE2FOfqAF9Sn5e8MlaGCKIFy4u92ZSnY7jTA+Pq5b3wA5oM2EjIurV08H4eUnkQi+ndbRKUYrKI2XclPS0dlEhRZ08aSpkJ7f
aezqj1lMf6gN4bEnfGTMNrUF6ZL1/mrVZi9uDD6CcMedmsm4eqlujdc1hGHyyoCOimiIz/crdIqINRm2tTHiElQVTWWCV8HJMApT0LKu20kW25RDNofcrO0/7M9HfOpoT
Eumt/Ibsl1CUnSyl2HzRE0AJouqDHhbN1NSkLPxHC9L1a2TeT4DA0GATI3T3tUYPwbP3/EvhKKdd/MDTofzB9vPQyx/eVxNj0sqX6vaydtQF4Brf4+NSukNoR2q0c2b4R
mJALvnP9yfs4Kt0upcG+TH1ZniX08i/BNgzBUbvlsq1DM3lUwwQPp83iQL570BLyf6INoYPw/xj6sVhiHphD9LAuvPlQrjg+5M0jvxCeF9j57fk1neQFy1cZr++T7xaoy
9p7VsLAXDbf8am//xSf9Ngwx3CTV8JDMuqxMuXWf5BUuXYfanrUYL33NfgLjcsiRH4Cf+mAH96UVbuBq4URTuABuZJJW+JgHyuDwp5AC8yWz+L8It4hLJeAjYEMbRfixT
jwO+GWF6SGgNosl7Rz+I3IF6VfBi+CEIMWJ5pgJ9Hp1udfgIdJCy19BSXkZmMZfP3vfJb/3xvaUz6kyuuBGmY+w7Hxr5t9x4gNBP/6NLoZsBZMFQXlWIjf0LLt9W/BQIY
b/GbL4DyoUO6nnxSSRYRxzEn8zc5ZzlnIKEkWuFkSIDYz2pSHMbv/zF7e4XmXyyaa1//jDVFCohnwdvBV0MY2r2tQ5/ahw4KH+yMDhzFHaQAr6JRZz8s/31+JRMxZRnCb
McoA17+WnnSUgiCcpCixjXSWlAyNnyZEHe3XhAHQVmy2rcnMe/4kpId2fJ6lShzLz/I4hgA/0ynVtEVQqRscHdzeSDbL7Uik8au9WWsS1ik9MfpUssSKse/5KeNWdQfHC
h5vx5ON3P14SP5UILaRtlBoKWRLe6SKCxdcRxGMgH/SdJG97pJrOu0RvgU1tNctIc7DSpYbdObcCAvjUnrdUPHhWYmd3jDCd/76w9V3l2IG+Tb/wBflQHaE8/gfDp4Eu2
w5H17tiQqOdIzn1FlSpXdcdw/hJI5YXVXH5iKGlgToCAR5bYkA9/yHAwfTKL5VmhtvlnUsDsp9U+/fpnJhGYzeUdwcDC2G6kuamu6uhhwkPSyzp9nkXuxVedfx3peIFpv
I702jVrNoSYPENNHq09k1W/7u2bI3jR4KdA2ZysMBjS0yztxsV4vVWMMnPy3RThYsPoCTgbi/6LQ9aX3l1heLHEhrp37n20tR8iL38Xb96DuzuDMeQhndqkY5Zi66rgUK
m29N8AorKPdKXNUmVp4f+rSpaINrgXLqI7dJeGgD5cFzWb8TL4MVzwYxZO6gqF7psfKMxfEG+GFk47pqxsjjInZNXGNfEoS6c4jYFf2AfzYFRDgG1XGEnVu3QHBDePXFt
Y/rEAp+WcMeh/ZFqJ510+Eddl2hw/E7QlCJ22wSC14LGLssIta2RIuifo/ONDYoKVUF5uLcIknuuFeTcQBuM8Xzu/A9uqZS123PXA+yaEKiRLUa1JDgpKj4DsmaZzrySX
cLjVcS/b6ZuLxhC1hsudfgwdEIuPWg2SUdZTg4HzZGRWUgm/4KCfqlh9mFCVsTGlygKKFHA6iQDNg6UzKXjjv1JCFh3MEmtgBRUaez1e4Zv1EUs/x4/d0FBsODothug2S
r17bP125i5DY7ismA2Mx2t9hYLBQ8MEFcw+KuhOjqVeO92ywavmYHDUK2P753/W1HG+THhM2SgiF1Jp1Lp9X22hdiGqESemrQ8n4oSYREqQTMxEyLTCJMz0WDS/K7EuHa
kl+326z8iUf5mS7n0ngTfKThGWOv5sX1704/vY8uAePaHeDUAFWdQY/tHO9cbH73NGzfmVJlQ/SUeM65aTrr8J9DkuTm3dBlj76qOz1ZpTDb/A7CRq0bJklSEgCb4JUHz
MpV/xtRYr/ZFbGM6bKV6V0qs+AVD89o1HEbFrWvNYJ+72VcnnTBY4p2I/HkBDvvIjFo18IoYxhaO8SgpCKEgFAQCQGojbv1eVLKM4wJwSU14fwJCGLhCXMq9PJ6jhHIJl
uCQAnRHC9J+FgoH7HWNsV5xW7gWduudWmWurioV3XQrJf2MTULhBEnkYvfrqCkoPol3HG2wHkXt2vThFRI9e0oLCb0kDjfjisQ6NVOPyV+WWPDQns4Znvbe+wv7j5Yjg0
Gis9ht0GnZZRam5x2UjpEeSpY4HSjt8XlJ88HrHWsg56ANCf048+XN4ddJEpHrA2THZW2pELhhbCaU8bD5a3RvQ8pPRDsMgmC+l89tcjPV6+RzRAeCVxPKTrqcTQBU4Q
68Vm5AoK1tgvdjwaBofW1N4TALfGESB7sEP39qjBt8nSz/mFbYEv5oU69xVTaDIgOdbDL5zlIIII2gy6JuiZ0RumgnueS2/raka3jadgzrdZePXAK2/S2nKRjmy9Ba2iy
dcmMF5ujOWyQtil8IzDSmjXdrWlt0FUmWKthUPVkK8LKylAAH+roHsrijQ4LK87h3ZDk0PMcywGnCbmzDhrNbCvMn9GubF0ModMy5D8fvwBNgbvmWd9B3euXgeshhZs1c
MpV/xtRYr/ZFbGM6bKV6V0qs+AVD89o1HEbFrWvNYJ+72VcnnTBY4p2I/HkBDvvIjFo18IoYxhaO8SgpCKEgFAQCQGojbv1eVLKM4wJwSU14fwJCGLhCXMq9PJ6jhHIJl
uCQAnRHC9J+FgoH7HWNsV5xW7gWduudWmWurioV3XQrJf2MTULhBEnkYvfrqCkoPol3HG2wHkXt2vThFRI9e0oLCb0kDjfjisQ6NVOPyV+WWPDQns4Znvbe+wv7j5Yjg0
Gis9ht0GnZZRam5x2UjpEeSpY4HSjt8XlJ88HrHWsg56ANCf048+XN4ddJEpHrA2THZW2pELhhbCaU8bD5a3RvQ8pPRDsMgmC+l89tcjPV6+RzRAeCVxPKTrqcTQBU4Q
68Vm5AoK1tgvdjwaBofW1N4TALfGESB7sEP39qjBt8nSz/mFbYEv5oU69xVTaDIgOdbDL5zlIIII2gy6JuiZ0RumgnueS2/raka3jadgzrdZePXAK2/S2nKRjmy9Ba2iy
dcmMF5ujOWyQtil8IzDSmjXdrWlt0FUmWKthUPVkK8LKylAAH+roHsrijQ4LK87h3ZDk0PMcywGnCbmzDhrNbCvMn9GubF0ModMy5D8fvwBNgbvmWd9B3euXgeshhZs1c
ypz447LLqQ6fKkpzJ3lMRg+W3HysW6ZpTDigeb3+dWigfUtL8WEiIrtSGrp99DDGYlgaXNTa9yvH3n7TDoyWKNAX+dettPZxz24FD/A9hfWQzbMLMe5kN18x23IOlUEq8
79RNMiYG2l4wbZ030qjHHfB8UrmaMQOLaADMFn2pmn1IALFDAgdWdcOkhI88z6w/C8DHUkh+VVwxcVAyJyJVm7Ij6Xjf4bvQ0ZhYibkdq0+RJvoY4IbPrskgI7n5WE0TE
aPwJwgIwE8qfyetw71qYbLHEeAoRpjVeB+30vhmiXvog2vjNfbpXO4iqvlOeec92l21WaR0mB82G4rJgqWVoH96Rk8PeVQWFWWcZQU+TAyt9HMWZC2PxE9pPjs4sv4Thf
+UlUeh5Qd0IcJ6iLwl04QnnRh8ccooQrnnxJVl5QubN9ZPfCS0LnQMiGgaiTVgIjPiCKY61h7UKWfHNTybyuTZnk1+TNw4Imvd2cxv8SX+PzZf0w6pBf5RjFP81ptrzyp
krxxNafg3SsYn8xIWMIb6gSdkUY4o9meit0tiBebCIAWJKW7TihNPdMVQTOPlRWaqFWo/ZaIVJYSsz/E5QOKSuRdjk/6XA9lf7Z7nOrEZk41ucMxk38qebFtpQD8thINb
qhn/Joul8Ry2QOsKa8B1e5Ej/mccrj7qQiE8puL4PtUIMoNSf0CEdOHdX+xhF00C8nwrgeb/9SS3wqfDDJ42hl3OofY/iq+ET6vAaSJr1M/zZhJhrQqksdBiND76cLaH4
oj2O9yL/lhVj/Ubnzk7tXZdec30rO1Pd5NC/RwuVxTqSIjAS1nP/cx4/3HIAeDQGNrFePemhvCMK1Z3Zm0+omDRPCrlOlHESgAWjqC5KdR8/GUlQgFckIEyovDtZccyRx
fYqXWBO9ME9UWaRptsyHLrjUpB5HBP2P79QwTebG1u7kSbTr7DfJ+ytOAX+AnrMp9H1LBiPdh7mAbExTZtitA+PIYUgUfGuV2doOmtyJzFNMbLxisAIbYBU1tzH3O1NeS
+dPqNhS7A8uNeldIyZY3jNq4+BD50Jx57/uEZzVPpxfFUFX8PTbIaRMoK2HtAuXxmmcUUozqSJRErvQq6rysrrzVg/Cees4f14NE3mV43GaFZ1vg6XHjDB+cAY1rbTfrm
3Z1bZuK4sIKDFL7ZslIb4fQq6q6ELHTAxb2YqO4dx6WYL/cN63XzaaNjM8qSI2Qg56xJ4+fOLPCaDticpxvxiNq9oQwv6DxgX+W49B/hD34MqraKcZO8RB4T98T6JpoiC
mYazGGbpQYKWuciQz7ji5IJTQ04do/Vo8ofkVI6JGuR41Ycw08+iE+gpMEi6K5cqHnpV+GdiIYHnNfraQFfq0cTmREoSA55BC5jmfIEQa/+1VdIo/jElqIYHMyMTRzY2+
JINhSuyekRRAojLA4/8XtsC3bfMuAmwKqeVoV2JuF9BBjOJgVvnXdVFZJIfS47NErhU/exBr2GZAdAkn7Z+wtsClVNjEDbJihs40o7rl0z7hVm07y7WGNNfLMXxJnTZuw
tX3FiaLl5dZU4G8VRV0RBf0+aldDdk7WlfnWFblnBBpf+H7FjmokWIb0eDPCZYCAAvH7fi6DtRTS+1kdycmFCinYhFgWmAzeR6d9i3AEI0iNind/67Vbh2jPC314PQGEv
bQNredLlOqgzi0EZVr7QPg9fD5+pZZdeXnyM9WCOJifZH6hALyMNHDLOWzJxwgr4bk9JPWU9cm0ZPsqnCaMHTWG0UMZ247KK+L3FkspXgm0hOCrw7ehvDs4P4opopE0d/
KOiIdqVKleipotLPYt3lNTyxKYvT9CHMSpvNR+HKlBcz6dWgYMeoq21QtSy54VpiscC+LGSu6ryFh61jVvqb2y8lTh4qgWNxmZnf76DU+72+512XQNl2aPiX+JTkymIFG
aOMjDhpEm0a1bDtmDP/H2FcDG33MMvb3vLMsPt+nPRUUEsNCf6W6YwmeWUZgRVsjjd7ctmhEVL5RRpKXC1HaYvZihtTK89ngQq5+qT+kdynJPHrTkAWttbAso2QQR0QaL
PdGmNcYjYhzPaFHQs+gP9uI0p6brHkpzV6HO0IzmMjwMDCFHye8sYzAtB3mgpZ64UfQ3f1PRnGYASTrnknC4+J9nyPzgHMZ8J1vbK1Q/7/hciKXibcZ76h/JIN63n+WOx
aR9sQBZ2XmThPsySFEkOrcZuh9dn1qIB+NBytkSWoXbhAT/AWkyz1I6SbROXu6GssYJOUV+nisqT2df7hwA+OAMKZtMzEuv3pvU0CXL7gI28Z6vYPmUKrosSbERyomMvC
6ILRzcRxoda6g1/thmWUbB928SF1gjlg1YKpCpRBvBo7UZU5OuPS5RfTNVdxiAp04TgXO817t+HTFzGOxIhG506lVg7qfoA7Yw7MVi/Jfzydw5XHYv+T9/tOHUW94SJHC
VfSWXbX34Mb+7P7A/Z/nZp5Hcm5r0gy7WP8Iu3kjf44lWyOp6GgHnMWMb+egah4/AD+x0dYsqpK2HhXb8hJ/lY7goToJUXiSjvn+XHvYhhmfpVPE3NWoXfsaF5iVKP2K/
a+oPKtQo4GMnTJMv+Md7X7WbZxVZbQ0Uh/BTRGfIwNrWbejcUiuybbusuJfhdBK3u1TixwC4PMkwUPnMydSc3xedbAVu0dVu+Wv/f3ZKbXpwrXQXzdKZZY46cxZwA4kFc
J0fuk/kO/NU2tPaiLExg3RmW1ej5ChvwNAmpWnN9XgeywswNDDtRE09aUiOkLmZT/5J9myhovhq/t8YaZ6HZmwFD1juj7hNXYgsi/soRK9cWafywq6DAMHjsIPzkpS9sm
BoQ68FWnJXrsJ327QPX5Q/PrMcJgH43n1QLFA7utEtkagEbalm0DXaxUrQulrAA1SaxvkPMOKt0+8wSVwM2OPBNvpyExxR+VA5idFe4DgMV1LRWTG9sOqF8DZjbFyHB+U
ecw+UCXseKVRdmlbcLy5wU1lwubVzH/yII5Hlc1oY5xpdJgzGCcIUD6SYKNnn0iPT8XYqINAmWqpEoZ9ja00p3wR8H/UGtFtR/Z0lZcw2H9loCEiE1TTzO7Yn1rCZq3qH
R9iS6LmOaQALECTDOfOQ+jbScZ8GFa4BvE42LGkivtiqjsC3ZIBCzA17VGh9V83r9aJMYybYCG60OX/DHCUw1ihEzX2NWWtFYqGGfFS4KNSHpF7kGNvIlSZXx06Xd7eCp
18Y3bAAaKVSy6OecC8wddtQ1FNDabR5KkZL26IzLSzrTin8dK+R+ffE3qYLX5wUE65scbATzXAMdaznMhTJOoMcW6A5K8s1UMEeK1Wu3luLqg9xicfOB5wQuVb8gLPdDa
Ka8GWySg9zsHW9sA6rJYN92b4kib0qlhR+wrjkm0uxfnu/dTZK5ftjS7+Z6p+lt5xa8Xa4r4SIm+AlEjWGS9gSIy1ZhDumzMgbt36KeJQS3rrsEr8pOr//nz9id9BzlvE
b+Ofe+2ZamuVYecqxOyTwX06eTgrc15Ixn12/nq8U2EmYWE3hJAZzSuADEYofDOD6W23GAqVMCd0U1TYgZDF+nGZ0NVEJTt6hJ0Vm/ZJk3v2FohWaoXzLjU+J4ZBoq85U
uFcVKmxSRi77myTwFxJE0UEIDKZf/YGjJkm6Cpq/m+cYR6CHTLxSCrvXuyfmwDBkXYgoRD8k7dhF7aIIgLpK3Xx10xuqLwifYrK4uBHF0ZMMMCFDHZSlU1uG6Z61p6Cwt
LO+Vy0soYsRbJaE4lpaUXJUKwrHjxDxieyzyKEqjZUCLw2LUBbdrfiU4URXaQSEpuuObkrD+1Fso/y189zwHC5gEVnSLM/0BVY7jeqZCSRj8PVJMjfAGUrlMjHLvBW2jH
XAb3IA52dbYaE8dTz4stPZSVwZDRJBQGYPIjNM5A254k3HF6YTFAMmMgcVdf1T1J3h0fLlNUP0bWZKfPpLBdnQBv3nUVmmJZVQ5X5jk2xnV1XHJmoGgFlkT7QgaubVUXG
VB+fo7SNoPLOYwZoOZ6iAi6x141K6K0e4StwyRJc7pVIfO4olOOgRB388ViU8UD+BeAoi6hrJcJ0rb+6RRTtq0oMAY9ceRKIrYAiWjHqOQHwUc64jvTJEKtunp/XfbTjS
JV7VHrDsbKjswfE3CtWh0EZXgIvugGEORfXg2M7LCuaP68RRUqRVV8Xl3GFQDy6o5BGJxzhSDwTN2qFa6iRMs5MQXB+R97oSzoFnkj64VHt0JAI+J0tvBK0zOEiMOzomf

```
1zhlr8Q43fCCrU+AH81PhOoUGjAb4WqswTYoaJqrWVwpdFWogcwheJHLFXHhIZFR8EvM6ne9BFFmhKUrpXiP7Hw6SRRgBWtm4YqIIW/AtSXSLWWWx1icDgZBhBPI677cn
s4UdBruwf81qTSD/dULORd/3a1uyqcni4mUfmDYZA9LiZypag1Pdzm3esZ10seKskdjvq40oNQ+uJDIlDbdiGvxrkvdnKh3ZrR/fZ8BXPYuIvghXEObDuHi+bFwIb7eIL
lWPQLniVhMhu6rZWrCLH8QNWympzs9+03VvfsZrxEdc466pfe01gBrYl8lHoTE7WlgBojphXH9KswXM5iczTvVWLIftCLrvs1t5t2TnFdP23nB38qzjqvKPkfxFNg2pxJ
Pzi2HvETAkw3O68QEvVkNgSLS6GqiMx7Ttiz8m8wBhUBPnG2INj87/mYsnMW3pal5sEP42JY2buOHVfadJ1LxpX8QFqB/jkdJ/sS+flmFlUCtwawvLSFpJ+g8xTBISaKq
+18uBWCxFgPgjvHqvTPfpiLlqQv9SBc1z8r6TLuHUMKJ05n8GPSfbaFQnceBpK5wnwshnr5ES/+7soCYl5UOq5+J3YUBfK+sDACNPC+28RV7Ao4RmE5/IGtID6JHvblQ2
RZyECFc/ujjgedtXxsXiv56u/QAY+swTgxWS623srySAQWBm18dBmoqeMQdyw3x8lGggwftHbBIfDHx9V9lsNkud0TVZ0diFWaEj2sOAQpkMT+lDa3MCMleO+YjdzcWmA
2fuTejcmKxF5HRxbpz1RTrPrtgYpSyNnWh5yOuhKhYvMZx1P584uhh2JpdXJmQzjuldpRn9fV6YUDqa0X/mIZYJEjHuUQb+XG4DclQw0hgCPK7AQt8Av1XAJLUoShe2wU
neXifFM5qpT0mPr8HyJLhHfaeXhea+DcU/4/ToxiTZP1OuAKhNOJDUWnePq4SDgK2flNnEofBPojXCn6sejPJO/nLrbyS2g7P8EMc0UUOEQ0aY2/VfkaqcfaNL7a5toJt
qqCWupgVFcYKYjl0x3kcCRz/LpVC0sDOT8UWYGzTElwGSfdPypiADLDmv6y2ccBc+fiGNGbuXzkDU0Z+L4H09p/NN+UkfwYGZMhfsDr8cSdanxca4X9smoXk8XsYTjGor
QUlRx862YKJI3ofQ8h5W8EdKSjjatCzOju6l46YsR0hcSOnrtiURhyvDawhQVdinZweDUEY5Z+vhS4E2Q41CAbW4rddnfRjzFbfkG054nA5ip4O03jOvMS/by9SN5leqv
F9FobgWgm4iSrXNjzWQQGmOviyricZm2UEchNrFdUbFTKYZidj2/xucWHawEkzARBhCIEsAvB6lZK7zl5CdRhVonE0ZAQozX7ARNECdMfIAqjRBD1QYCMbuzwlfuoyntT
RkA5McjDl8XpZGsAg4V6FKfev55kVe0ygswhKfisbj9NLnhpOg4PEj4dcktFYwIGKY3+unjX43PqXQC7sJ8zeGLqcJXtT0XbyAiougm5zOQEoQVtEyuSZ8IMfJraMY7Sz
t5V6Dz1Y7VxFATtvZLq7gIXTZJgsT5UrGCQpHfrcDhcjU7WcqNqRG53rjtREMEbanxk6/HIexaULd2zMVcPym5grDvQCMgSlhoTiTZ66m2KHYL/Z0+3jZin5WjMQmfoXc
W9OrF740F9VcNwXOdHfwVhzoC/jmFTKvqUqhx/mRPBNiIGcntWtgHBE2++ogpe9Mmt0m/aN0oUqZuEj74LeTPP/D0AIQ1txx/lqKlCA8DszK6Ob0oWBpki1u0TTUWoDTt
JCNUilTCxofwHQn7RLg6rGryd6Rv9wiZORQ2Bm2OEwtAFC2Gc7y0rRQ9VNZjeC6X4/VBO13rDfNJf/3Mi4JKjIeFWk7TSDAYE1AKr/q+0NudTB73b/v7gOJjw7B50u0Up
6rlHQaCcH2U2unvptBdbaMmXDeEc8iIKeUB2gMxlO9lLU5Fu3cxmYYgJjDK11Ai9STDSNShYcf7ndsCReAmOzYx9Vy7Yf8bts/c545bxMzJvGkWvgLPqm1C/7HTGvAGe0
wxzGFtp2LhRwSCzEXHzguEGizvQ0mFORoHZ2twjURUZApbSWZrKJNLFC3nuZXdcY63XjrVm3lYfbIt6O1g+/rqyDfmG1uLYwoXZgzWdrlIOX1lj+y7ZIrFgoYF8StH3pe
5xbCSPMcSUQLNZYqgorxdAvI7pcoia05++Sr+2ojN6+wSdXnGa86VNQs7jwDqTd0+szOyZjbyfxY8K+PL04dqi6DWiF485eikysYeLoB9mYRv47hmfJ2u57Rs4+fJD9cj
vUbvUiqN4hHGr6UpqkDSJgZh65PKYPJDUMrELc0FILvBWTJG0GYXQjCRhvNZRtUfXDEvfs+D4oHE2ot3kuYbB6u/f4wbFmZAoEBtMYcZsJNLakt8qd+ZyusqBXcddeRp4
qoQ4I+5LO0XczrlSFD+z2oc+wGsou2Ll5uibxhv6knSvvfR1Of9CUQrLy4OExFSBibb4XmGbDBe0aEEEJOhVDdsEHGf2KGRTJIrjbGLIEeROqjJrVEAk1tb5C8IajT9hA
xXTY991eItLb9HRNKLd4TbcTCsOR21czo6sZ4gJrxAVxFf4nb3yvEh/xpqbkmWz3G8N4Xo4ZMp9OFzTCjGh6q+AJPa/Ibe3DDPGQ+zgmfRs5MBt1nN49ttqsVmNzh/+8C
QfxXgsnN+zeugAr9On8UsboxF3yCYu2bfZrRW/rDKiZcnJ6aEnZWvuO65SKTVuFWzKcZOZRHp05Ou4sOV4X4iobzmM1iHE8l74rYx77SKq60SU2Nf61PPhIRGYWsO+kVJ
T1U77h7aNbnTlb0bVkQOkEgy9K/TYqshw59FWLuQaDQFFa4NpgY6nXa8N1I7HGQ+14uQBj367+Kh7TpRaN3PjvhSduzDgNiFY4uy2V41sJkS3OMh4aG7egj4PVKBuRv75
vT5oyP+7tRcomqRCccu0MmUtn1i79ntOYp76QB9G4t5q2POXIKlT54sFNYnmXOon+jNcDF3M0jG2WdoHehQ1boBw9eVxGUaY00px7BEBdCww2dikWB/2YC46B09wxWyXR
d2xD3H6hV6D40O/alpEd5CgnARZt75V8a6JK5Ce8bqqVhERGxu4yGTxEgMat7NXe4Nom8q/rK1IsMOqV/sg8GRbNtcYE2fhVImaHem0vGfssrzya411z8lndguNkEiv5b
DAbDnL2Ed71K2CEQjb8NRrAi9FQ2EJ5JS6iM7DlqoNAdmU1mKlGoulgIv6vh5l+i/IatBph4TXg6yKyCorUa4PooSS8ko15kQXpgGEmfJ9h1bmP3tVrDKrsviKGYOB+eC
4r18w8AOmnPWtqf06HR0hLa5hl5YX5KqJinoVSIF6fAw2ClVxvWesOtm+Uq+4FqOlyE7DeIMCusSutUqlFU7JTPfUs8AZ7QvFS5TrDUx9a/4CIWgtPcY8J/ASRh4NyOrh
Vt22vc7yeYvmYDWhWCj/shSzntTIsOLM8JPGH5EOszaGWJa59RNIFnstN101yRovXTCshSHh9ZjbSU+NhdAn4QkAGkG1m8TqC/31khm19+ZGK7D3tgk0s5lP9/xGsVdm
RFN3cKI77uZZ8yzqAit0Q5zk9/I5a/bUFC2nWPf7y9F1jC9uivp0zRjul5Hme6CCLLz05+VflTYd+uwAWqBF4yUzZj7h3AGQXufJQOuYVjlpwH0DDztZsKm2fZ5L1UdXp
28NjabbcN8G/1xcBdHatZ9k2JZccX19i90Vg+tY0+oQjhtnL4VwfQof+QnObWkjG3du5+mbUcBmlaXfOCvFOGNfyT4iobvtREywm8gUFW6nPVppeKUfNQCsFDfd1zlBpx
XuApMKiTMxxPGUoITOd7hI6qY6pqm6RmdpyUWhH1x9V3zr4LED9RS+/+9vyMKh1u+PAM0uDGh4TRNU9WhNw/SMT0jwQ/SjeLMrmhoFO4rFHwIvQcrb8tD9BRmHICUetsP
i48ajFZjMv2St9TTNLdzqUkImv6i8XXRNc1Vs0LJNVZHF4lkoo8F6sczIHespAR4oLjyqLBk1Azm47UnOP9x4el15VSl3ug1e9ZQJXoJPeet8DtWy3/6/k/r+OEkljCaz
nxdHJtoxA9fvpnzJacb3yRj5DbuAs9IJw9NkKHTFEFeIfef7RVs+XmwNacKbOQvlENt80kB0dFFaeLxNY+fIQ4hy+oXEOEOvzGaidMeHbNc+8NANK4SOTuyKP/H3yXboU
Bf8qcILe587H4wLwRqg5w0BwnqXoKjfGJcJGxR5NlMFRpU1I5FE+xIxqlkUoBIGZXj1eBCM8NIYhBw5hQqc87PTn4UKXyTvUG+MYTUzTXgE+rrieODdZQVbw6XpAhN0cv
KLRg67mJErmDclugFjBrtm0wovDc14gsOapXDddL8q6ZXVldqUUUKXXgIGo/AhWmptJMYrTx9Odg9Sstz1cBSDxHRbs/HvE/0v4O2BfGakH4fcP29t9cZVWfH0ucGLrm+
m8815kqv04+HNm0d/1loHs6fPJzLqP1QTH5rm3RiMMjSzQCou144v3k/HiII4ozC5rMvXzS31aaHVWpiMiwVZnnZaDeftXSEB0RnfRu7k0keNML2tFezHRmmaXwgLpO1/
7ric5dPlexJTya1wLZx3hDmTEfd9cElfsa34ge1H4xcHT+uBfu7W5MqV05skH74MoOv2he6B4jzYa51hrpTiMyzA8twaIDINn65fw6p7cMAZIfTCBl5eOW5z1jnx+sohi
mgiMPnoqvRypm/PoQQPlyItgvQzVQAwUjyqmlpWP3jC+mfyNwXyT8dU2BvfzC9qThIyTbl/7HfnPKv9WcURgDgBAE7xhqBTH5LDx+x/tfI66v4uiXMqgBUeQHQEgsCsgP
6tgPbpV5c5NDboB1X7f1ECS4wdDf/jaCWiaeE3F5+WuQuUmf7RD2FNiW4Cjc2KumZ3pbBlYUgV8zr7TecnEyE/RInD6n8VnFKYKGRPXzIL5tyjoABO4Otf7fMZYU/NZB3
hkb7Q5smLWJLyBLipAudPN3hoppTbU7yTw9cuFhmolq3BUL1z3gc5x69SpVzxJHLgaXPiGqxaHf8ow5GnhrDllJCwo1KcRaHRbmNxvtK9taU1V4mDVp5Nc64KrdzRpyuH
YnqGs9MzkRueCv/o1ihET6Ik0ekUKd4E/tZX5wDhCLiMxUpbGRNZUAZ66iJ0h1Bl2kx5HBFEkFbtgu2uC9xSaMC6aOpf7/fcIrujZZUMe22ruUjGJdHtCrpBvpwOghfLj
CjwIwZWDrMf6byQGteLPVQrptna4GLWU5OYGPJDQ8IaMnwr+DHxh9/u5p/h2oAk+9sBP+xU6fLloOfSDpSJi0610sqjiBNaHwi0WQXrIJziReI2OrCeE0pqvhlBGnqH4w
Q0aGAK8mBZ8Lq1QEmQp/NMun466OBY6rDVJPgkpFJcIuF22uQPPfVDB5qtzEcBcFmoscfNqBdDdtlzftudOMNK8vKkKKpMuoQHEbOHKXI734=",
            "json-state-outputs": null
        },
        "relationships": null
    }
```

0 B
uceShimService
62.46 kB
User Dictionary
82.43 kB
vendor_frameworkres_overlay
0 B
vendor_frameworkres_overlay
0 B
vendor_frameworkres_overlay
0 B
vendor_frameworkres_overlay
0 B
Solitaire
290 MB
Sounds
62.46 kB
Speech Recognition and Synthesis from Google
20.60 MB
Disabled
Stop Hacking
20.53 MB
Storage Manager
0 B
SuperX Mega
27.53 MB
NetGuard
6.21 MB
OMA Client Provisioning
4.10 kB
OMADM Client
20.46 kB
org.codeaurora.ims
4.10 kB
OsuLogin
225 kB
Package installer
69.63 kB
PacProcessor
28.67 kB
onfig.comms
0 B
onfig.geotz
0 B
onfig.gsa
0 B
onfig.personalsafety
0 B
onfig.photos
0 B
com.google.android.overlay.modu
com.google.android.overlay.modules.documentsui
0 B
com.google.android.overlay.modules.ext.services
0 B
com.google.android.overlay.modules.modulemetadata.forframewor
0 B
com.google.android.overlay.modules.permissioncontroller
0 B
com.google.android.overlay.modules.permissioncontroller.forframe
0 B
com.google.android.sdksandbox
0 B
com.google.android.sdksandbox
57.34 kB
com.google.android.wifi.dialog
680 kB
com.motorola.actions.overlay
0 B
com.motorola.android.connectivity.resources.overlay
0 B
com.motorola.android.coresettingsext.overlay.doubletap
0 B
com.motorola.android.coresetting
com.motorola.android.launcher.overlay.motolauncheroverlayna
0 B
com.motorola.android.launcher.overlay.retail.global
0 B
com.motorola.android.networkstack.overlay.mcc460
0 B
com.motorola.android.networkstack.tethering.overlay.motCommon
0 B
com.motorola.android.overlay.common
0 B
com.motorola.android.providers.chromehomepage
0 B
com.motorola.android.providers.settings.auto_generated_rro_prod
0 B
com.motorola.android.providers.settings.overlay.dppcamera
0 B
com.motorola.android.providers.settings.overlay.dppnone
0 B
com.motorola.android.providers.settings.tracfone.fogona
0 B
com.motorola.callredirectionservice
0 B
com.motorola.dynamicvolumeoverlay
0 B
com.motorola.extensions.tracfone
4.10 kB
com.motorola.launcherconfig.overlay.tracfone
0 B
com.motorola.obdmapi.service
0 B
com.motorola.overlay.launcher3

com.qualcomm.qti.workloadclassifier
20.48 kB
com.qualcomm.qtil.btdsda
0 B
com.qualcomm.timeservice
0 B
com.verizon.obdm_permissions
0 B
Companion Device Manager
1.27 MB
ConfigUpdater
8.61 MB
Contacts
47.01 MB
0 B
Lenovo Universal Device Client
44.13 MB
Lenovo Universal Device Client
434 kB
Library
0 B
LifetimeData
8.19 kB
LocationServices
4.10 kB
Malwarebytes
286 MB
20.48 kB
org.codeaurora.ims
4.10 kB
OsuLogin
225 kB
Package installer
69.63 kB
PacProcessor
28.67 kB
PAKS
119 kB
PAKS Notification
127 kB
Tethering
66.56 kB
TheDaily
43.49 MB
Township
267 MB
TxPwrAdmin
0 B
uceShimService
62.46 kB
User Dictionary
82.43 kB
VpnDialogs
32.77 kB
Wallpaper & style
8.19 kB
Walmart
266 MB
Waterfall cutout
0 B
Wfd Service
0 B
Wi-Fi Calling
61.44 kB
Wireless Emergency Alerts

EXHIBIT

E

FBI FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Electronic Tip Form

Submission Successful

United States Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division
civilrights.justice.gov

Thank you for submitting a report to the Civil Rights Division.

Report successfully submitted

Please save your record number for tracking.

Your record number is: **501247-FZC**

Save report

What to expect

① We review your report

Our specialists in the Civil Rights Division carefully read every report to identify civil rights violations, spot trends, and determine if we have authority to help with your report.

② Our specialists determine the next step

We may decide to:
Open an investigation or take some other action within the legal authority of the Justice Department.
Collect more information before we can look into your report.
Recommend another government agency that can properly look into your report. If so, we'll let you know.

In some cases, we may determine that we don't have legal authority to handle your report and will recommend that you seek help from a private lawyer or local legal aid organization.

③ When possible, we will follow up with you

We do our best to let you know about the outcome of our review. However, we may not always be able to provide you with updates because:
We're actively working on an investigation or case related to your report.
We're receiving and actively reviewing many requests at the same time.

If we are able to respond, we will contact you using the contact information you provided in this report. Depending on the type of report, response times can vary. If you need to reach us about your report, please refer to your report number when contacting us. This is how we keep track of your submission.

What you can do next

① Contact local legal aid organizations or a lawyer if you haven't already

Legal aid offices or members of lawyer associations in your state may be able to help you with your issue.
American Bar Association, visit www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_services/flh-home or call (800) 285-2221
Legal Services Corporation (or Legal Aid Offices), to help you find a legal aid lawyer in your area visit www.lsc.gov/find-legal-aid

② Get help immediately if you are in danger

If you reported an incident where you or someone else has experienced or is still experiencing physical harm or violence, or are in immediate danger, please call 911 and contact the police.

Your submission

Contact

Contact information

Your name

Kayla Orsell

Email address

koscustoms518@outlook.com

Phone number

+15185712604

Address

81 Chestnut St
1/2
Johnstown, NY, New York 12095

Are you now or have ever been an active duty service member?

No

Primary concern

What is your primary reason for contacting the Civil Rights Division?

Mistreated by police, correctional staff, or inmates

Location

Did this happen while in custody or incarcerated?

No

Where did this happen?

Organization name
Gloversville Police Department

Address
3 Frontage Rd
-
Gloversville, New York

Personal characteristics

Do you believe any of these personal characteristics influenced why you were treated this way?

Disability (including temporary or recovered and including HIV and drug addiction)

Date

When did this happen?

4/5/2024

Personal description

In your own words, describe what happened

Summary of Incidents:
Cyber Intrusions: Since about 8/05/24 or possibly before, my phone and computer have been repeatedly compromised by advanced malware. Despite factory resets and security software, the malware reinstalls, granting attackers super admin access to manipulate search results, monitor my communications, and prevent access to accurate legal information.
Medical Manipulation: I was prescribed fluocinonide for psoriasis but was given clobetasol, leading to severe psychological side effects, including extreme fear. My prescription records are missing from the CVS website, raising concerns about tampering.
Obstructed Communications: I have not been receiving responses to critical emails related to my legal case, making it difficult to secure help and resources.
Supporting Materials:
Device Logs: Evidence of malware infections and unauthorized access, including manipulated search results and app permissions.
Medical and Prescription Records: Proof of incorrect medication and missing pharmacy records.
Emails and Communication Logs: Evidence showing missing responses and suspicious activity.
Request for Investigation:

These incidents appear to be part of a coordinated effort to intimidate and obstruct me during my legal proceedings. I am seeking a thorough investigation to identify those responsible, ensure accountability, and protect my rights. I am prepared to provide all supporting materials and cooperate fully with the investigation.

Outlook

Response: Your Civil Rights Division Report - 501247-FZC from the Disability Rights Section

From DOJ Civil Rights - Do Not Reply <civilrightsdonotreply@mail.civilrights.usdoj.gov>
Date Mon 9/9/2024 9:39 AM
To koscustoms518@outlook.com <koscustoms518@outlook.com>

U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division | civilrights.justice.gov

Dear Kayla Orsell,

You contacted the Department of Justice on September 2, 2024. After careful review of what you submitted, we have decided not to take any further action on your complaint.

What we did:

Team members from the Civil Rights Division reviewed the information you submitted. Based on our review, we have decided not to take any further action on your complaint. We receive several thousand reports of civil rights violations each year and cannot take direct action on every report.

Your report number was 501247-FZC.

What you can do:

We are not determining that your report lacks merit. Your issue may still be actionable by others - your state bar association or local legal aid office may be able to help.

To find a local office:

American Bar Association
https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_services/flh-home
(800) 285-2221

Legal Services Corporation (or Legal Aid Offices)
https://www.lsc.gov/find-legal-aid

How you have helped:

While we cannot take on each individual report, your report can help us find issues affecting multiple people or communities. It also helps us understand emerging trends and topics.

Thank you for taking the time to contact the Department of Justice about your concerns. We regret we are not able to provide more help on this matter.

Sincerely,

U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division

Contact

civilrights.justice.gov

U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

(202) 514-3847
1-855-856-1247 (toll-free)
Telephone Device for the Deaf
(TTY) (202) 514-0716

EXHIBIT

F

Scan and email"

I don't feel comfortable signing any plea because of this shit. I have a couple other things we need to discuss before court. Thank you jimmy!

I will try to come up tomorrow afternoon

If not I will make it up over the weekend but definitely try to come up tomorrow afternoon

OK thank you

Hey Jimmy do you know of any civil lawyers who will take

This number is not in your contacts

See Who It Is

The courts website

The court likely has the original charges.Listen still

It says next to the misdemeanor knife/cutting instrument and there is a b and d felony. If I was on my PC I'd send a link. Web criminal on nys court site. They fuck everything up anyways. Jay's shit disappeared and then came back to 2 a misdemeanors one guilty one not then went to a b felony in county. Whoever puts this data in is a dumbass or They are doing it on purpose.

I never even follow the online shit. Hey get it right in court only have the time, sure as he'll not getting here right online.

This number is not in your contacts

See Who It Is

The court likely has the original charges.Listen still

It says next to the misdemeanor knife/cutting instrument and there is a b and d felony. If I was on my PC I'd send a link. Web criminal on nys court site. They fuck everything up anyways. Jay's shit disappeared and then came back to 2 a misdemeanors one guilty one not then went to a b felony in county. Whoever puts this data in is a dumbass or They are doing it on purpose.

I never even follow the online shit. Hey get it right in court only have the time, sure as he'll not getting here right online.

This number is not in your contacts

See Who It Is

takes to send them packets every day. I wonder if anything they find is even admissible in court.

Let me know when you get a copy of the plea I took. Can I ask the court clerk myself to save you the time?

Hey Jimmy I was wondering if you got a copy of that plea I signed? Also I need all of the court transcripts please. If your busy can you just tell me who the court reporter was and I'll request them myself? Would the clerk have those and/or the plea?

This number is not in your contacts

See Who It Is

takes to send them packets every day. I wonder if anything they find is even admissible in court.

Let me know when you get a copy of the plea I took. Can I ask the court clerk myself to save you the time?

Hey Jimmy I was wondering if you got a copy of that plea I signed? Also I need all of the court transcripts please. If your busy can you just tell me who the court reporter was and I'll request them myself? Would the clerk have those and/or the plea?

This number is not in your contacts

See Who It Is

probono assignment the fed court gives or just ask for help reviewing with the pro se clinic. Maybe legal aid. The assholes installed this malware in all my devices. I found evidence they did it. They have super admin status so they can do whatever the hell they want. I have their source codes. They are clearly using AI to police everyone. That file called AXON in my discovery implies that AI was involved with reviewing my discovery. That's irrelevant to the actual case but I thought maybe you would like to know that. Anyways, let me know if you have any civil lawyers you can refer me to. Also, when you get those transcripts and a copy of the plea I signed today just let me know. No rush. These

This number is not in your contacts

See Who It Is

takes to send them packets every day. I wonder if anything they find is even admissible in court.

Let me know when you get a copy of the plea I took. Can I ask the court clerk myself to save you the time?

Hey Jimmy I was wondering if you got a copy of that plea I signed? Also I need all of the court transcripts please. If your busy can you just tell me who the court reporter was and I'll request them myself? Would the clerk have those and/or the plea?

This number is not in your contacts

See Who It Is

I never even follow the online shit. Hey get it right in court only have the time, sure as he'll not getting here right online.

I still want to file 1983 on them and I believe a habeas corpus 28 2241 would be appropriate. I'm trying to get the pro se clinic to review my docs for the district court. I can't get thru to the court clerk because they fuck with my emails. I need to apply to have more than 25 pages in my memorandum and request pro bono services if possible. Says they have 2 days to write back. Any chance you know if they got a wiretap warrant on my shit? Maybe a sealed one? I'm not sure why they would investigate me so hard.

This number is not in your contacts

See Who It Is

devices. I found evidence they did it. They have super admin status so they can do whatever the hell they want. I have their source codes. They are clearly using AI to police everyone. That file called AXON in my discovery implies that AI was involved with reviewing my discovery. That's irrelevant to the actual case but I thought maybe you would like to know that. Anyways, let me know if you have any civil lawyers you can refer me to. Also, when you get those transcripts and a copy of the plea I signed today just let me know. No rush. These people can't just treat everyone like a gloversville dirtbag. :) thanks for everything!!

This number is not in your contacts

See Who It Is

did it. They have super admin status so they can do whatever the hell they want. I have their source codes. They are clearly using AI to police everyone. That file called AXON in my discovery implies that AI was involved with reviewing my discovery. That's irrelevant to the actual case but I thought maybe you would like to know that. Anyways, let me know if you have any civil lawyers you can refer me to. Also, when you get those transcripts and a copy of the plea I signed today just let me know. No rush. These people can't just treat everyone like a gloversville dirtbag. :) thanks for everything!!

Wed, Sep 11, 6:20 pm

This number is not in your contacts

I don't feel comfortable signing any plea because of this shit. I have a couple other things we need to discuss before court. Thank you jimmy!

I will try to come up tomorrow afternoon

If not I will make it up over the weekend but definitely try to come up tomorrow afternoon

Thu, Sep 5, 8:28 pm

OK thank you

Mon, Sep 9, 3:09 pm

Hey Jimmy do you know of any civil lawyers who will take

This number is not in your contacts

I never even follow the online shit. Hey get it right in court only have the time, sure as he'll not getting here right online.

I still want to file 1983 on them and I believe a habeas corpus 28 2241 would be appropriate. I'm trying to get the pro se clinic to review my docs for the district court. I can't get thru to the court clerk because they fuck with my emails. I need to apply to have more than 25 pages in my memorandum and request pro bono services if possible. Says they have 2 days to write back. Any chance you know if they got a wiretap warrant on my shit? Maybe a sealed one? I'm not sure why they would investigate me so hard.

This number is not in your contacts

The court likely has the original charges.Listen still

It says next to the misdemeanor knife/cutting instrument and there is a b and d felony. If I was on my PC I'd send a link. Web criminal on nys court site. They fuck everything up anyways. Jay's shit disappeared and then came back to 2 a misdemeanors one guilty one not then went to a b felony in county. Whoever puts this data in is a dumbass or They are doing it on purpose.

I never even follow the online shit. Hey get it right in court only have the time, sure as he'll not getting here right online.

I still want to file 1983 on them

This number is not in your contacts

EXHIBIT G

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Victim Information

Name:	Kayla Orsell
Are you reporting on behalf of a business?	No
Business Name:	
Is the incident currently impacting business operations?	
Age:	30 - 39
Is the victim aged 17 or under?	
Address:	81 Chestnut St
Address (continued):	1/2
Suite/Apt./Mail Stop:	
City:	Johnstown, NY
County:	NY
Country:	United States of America
State:	New York
Zip Code/Route:	12095
Phone Number:	5185712604
Email Address:	koscustoms518@outlook.com
Business IT POC, if applicable:	
Other Business POC, if applicable:	

Financial Transaction(s)

Transaction Type:	Other
If other, please specify:	Cyber attack
Transaction Amount:	0.00
Transaction Date:	8/28/2024
Was the money sent?	No
Victim Bank Name:	
Victim Bank Address:	
Victim Bank Address (continued):	

Victim Bank
Suite/Mail Stop:
Victim Bank City:
Victim Bank Country:
Victim Bank State:
Victim Bank Zip Code/Route:
Victim Name on Account:
Victim Account Number:

Recipient Bank Name:
Recipient Bank Address:
Recipient Bank Address (continued):
Recipient Bank Suite/Mail Stop:
Recipient Bank City:
Recipient Bank Country:
Recipient Bank State:
Recipient Bank Zip Code/Route:
Recipient Name on Account:
Recipient Bank Routing Number:
Recipient Account Number:
Recipient Bank SWIFT Code:

Description of Incident

Provide a description of the incident and how you were victimized. Provide information not captured elsewhere in this complaint form.

To Whom It May Concern,

My name is Kayla Orsell, and I am reaching out to report an ongoing and highly concerning series of cyber intrusions, targeted harassment, and suspicious activities that I believe constitute serious violations of

my privacy and civil rights. I am requesting immediate assistance and investigation into this matter.

Summary of Issues:
Compromised Devices: My phone and computer have been severely compromised by advanced malware that reinstalls itself even after factory resets and complete system wipes. The malware appears to grant attackers super admin access, allowing them to manipulate my search results, monitor my communications, and control various aspects of my devices.

Unauthorized Access and Manipulation:

My devices are constantly re-infected with malware despite using Norton antivirus and firewall protections, indicating the presence of highly sophisticated or targeted malware.
I have noticed altered search results, missing email responses, and missing prescription records on the CVS website, all of which seem deliberately manipulated to hinder my access to accurate information and resources.

Suspicious Encounters and Property Damage:

My home was completely ransacked and destroyed while I was in jail, with no clear motive or explanation. This occurred in conjunction with ongoing legal battles where I am the defendant in a case that has exposed procedural misconduct by law enforcement.

Medication Mishandling:

I was prescribed fluocinonide gel for psoriasis, but the pharmacy substituted it with clobetasol, which has caused severe psychological side effects, including intense fear. This medication was continuously prescribed to me without proper records being maintained, further raising concerns about deliberate manipulation.

Potential Connection to Legal Case and Harassment:
I am currently involved in legal proceedings where I have alleged violations of my civil rights by law enforcement. The targeted nature of these incidents, including the cyber intrusions and ransacking of my home, suggests possible retaliation or an organized effort to intimidate me.

Request for Assistance:
I am seeking an immediate investigation into these matters. The level of sophistication involved in the cyber intrusions suggests that the

threat may involve advanced adversaries or coordinated efforts that go beyond common cybercrime.

I am willing to provide any evidence I have, including logs of compromised activity, and any suspicious communications I have received.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Please let me know how I can assist further in your investigation or provide additional information.

Sincerely,
Kayla Orsell

Information About The Subject(s) Who Victimized You

Name:	Kayla Leigh Orsell
Business Name:	KO Sales LLC
Address:	81 Chestnut St
Address (continued):	1/2
Suite/Apt./Mail Stop:	
City:	Johnstown, NY
Country:	United States of America
State:	New York
Zip Code/Route:	12095
Phone Number:	5185712604
Email Address:	koscustoms518@outlook.com
Website:	
IP Address:	

Other Information

If an email was used in this incident, please provide a copy of the entire email including full email headers.

[No response provided]

Are there any other witnesses or victims to this incident?

Yes, my boyfriend has the same malware on his phone, he seen my computer say it couldn't boot up and then it did, and he has witnessed the manipulation of search results. He has the same thing going on with his phone since he has been in my house. My father knows my house was ransacked and he lives next door.

If you have reported this incident to other law enforcement or government agencies, please provide the name, phone number, email, date reported, report number, etc.

I have no idea even what to do. I reported the misconduct in the courtroom to the state attorney general but they said they can't keep investigating because there is more than one target. I have been trying to file habeas corpus 28 2241 for the multitude of civil rights violations in my court case but my PC and phones are constantly being disrupted when I try to research legal information.

Check here if this an update to a previously filed complaint: ☐

Who Filed the Complaint

Were you the victim in the incident described above? Yes
Name:
Business Name:
Phone Number:
Email Address:

Digital Signature

By digitally signing this document, I affirm that the information I provided is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that providing false information could make me subject to fine, imprisonment, or both. (Title 18, U.S.Code, Section 1001)

Digital Signature: Kayla Orsell

EXHIBIT
H

GLOVERSVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT
VOLUNTARY STATEMENT

DATE: 4/5/2024 PLACE: Gloversville Police Department TIME STARTED: 11:33 A.M.
I, Corey S. Manzer, I am 30 years of age, my date of birth is 03/25/1994. I currently reside 130 Sixth Avenue, Gloversville NY 12078.

Before answering any questions or making any statements, Johnathon Spychalski, a person who identified himself as a Gloversville Police Officer, duly warned and advised me, and I know and understand that I have the following rights:

That, I have the right to remain silent and I do not have to answer any questions or make any statements at all.
That, any statement I make can and will be used against me in a court of law.
That, I have a right to consult with a lawyer of my choice before, or at any time. during, questioning or statements I make.
That, if I do desire a lawyer, but I cannot afford one, one will be provided to me. before, or at any time during, questioning or statements I make, without cost to me.
That, as we discuss this matter, I have the right to stop answering his questions at any time that I desire, and call for the presence of a lawyer to advise me before continuing.

I do not want to talk to a lawyer, and I hereby knowingly waive my right to remain silent and my right to have a lawyer present during this questioning, knowing that I have the right to stop this interview at any time, and request the presence of a lawyer before continuing if I choose. _____

I declare that the following statement is made of my own free will without promise or reward, without fear or threat of physical harm, without coercion, favor or offer of favor, leniency or offer of leniency, by anyone. _____

Now that he has advised me of my rights, I am willing to answer his questions. _____

DATE: 4/5/24 TIME: 11:34 A.M.

LOCATION: Gloversville Police Department

Signed Cory Manzer

Witness Det. J. Spychalski 493

Q: Where did the incident your reporting occur today?
A: On Maple Street.

Q: What is the color of the house?
A: Red one.

Q: If I show you a google image of the street, would you be able to identify it?
A: (Identified 34 Maple Street)

Q: What occurred while you were at the residence?
A: I was there, I'd say from about 5:30/6AM. I came back around 6:30 almost 7 before this all occurred. I was in the house for maybe 10 minutes, and he came out of the bedroom accusing me of stealing his money out of his wallet.

Q: What were you at the residence for?
A: To visit with Josh Buyce, that's where he was staying. Also, to smoke. I was also trying to buy Xanax. Josh said he was going to just give them to me.

Q: What occurred after he accused you of stealing?
A: He started freaking out, and she picked up a knife, and she put it to my throat a couple times. Jay grabbed what he thought was a machete, but he grabbed a fender from a bicycle, and he basically started swinging it and hitting me with it. He tried to get me to admit that I stole his money. It got really crazy, she grabbed a bigger knife, I went upstairs with less space. It was just me and him up there, and he had a knife, he said he was going to gut me, fish hook me, and cut off my fingers and toes. He said he would zip tie me in the basement, just like he did Curtis.

Q: Who are you referring to as "he"?
A: Jay Abdalla.

Q: Did you identify this individual via a photo array with this officer?
A: Yes.

Q: When this incident occurred, did you attempt to leave the residence before or during the assault?
A: Yeah, I tried to go out the door before it all occurred. She stood there with the knife, and he stood there with the brush guard.

Q: Do you believe they stopped you from escaping?
A: Yeah, because they blocked the door.

Signed Cory Manzer

Witness Det. J. Spychalski 493

Q: How many times did Jay hit you with the brush guard?
A: Probably four in the face, and he got me 3 or 4 times in the side.

Q: Did any of these strikes from Jay cause injury to you?
A: Yeah, fractured nose and I don't know what is going on in my mouth yet.

Q: Did you seek medical attention immediately for these injuries?
A: Yes at Nathan Littauer.

Q: Did you sign a medical release so an officer can obtain your medical records?
A: I did sign something.

Q: When Jay struck you with the brush guard, did he or anyone else take any property from you?
A: No, all my property was sitting on the table already.

Q: Did at any time you feel as if you were not free to leave and being held against your will?
A: Yeah, at one point I was getting a little, they were trying. Someone said something about getting a deadbolt. I even glanced to see if I jumped out of the window, where I would have landed on the second floor.

Q: Can you explain further about the female holding a knife to you?
A: She basically liked started poking me with this brand-new knife. She poked me a couple times, she most definitely was going to stab me. This line across my neck is from the knife.

Q: Did anyone else assault you during this incident?
A: Nope, just Jay. And she poked me a couple times with the knife.

Q: Do you wish to pursue charges against Jay Abdalla and the female if she is identified?
A: Yes.

Q: Have you been completely truthful and honest throughout this statement?
A: Yes.

Q: Do you have anything else you would like to add to this statement.
A: No.

Signed Cg mn

Witness Det. J. Spychalski 493

I have read each page of this statement consisting of 4 page(s), including this page, each page of which bears my signature, and corrections, if any, bear my circled initials, and I certify that the facts contained herein are true and correct. I further certify that I made no request for the advice or presence of a lawyer before or during any part of this statement, nor at any time before it was finished did I request that this statement be stopped. I also declare that I was not told or prompted what to say in this statement.

I HAVE READ THE FOREGOING AND UNDERSTAND THAT FALSE STATEMENTS MADE HEREIN ARE PUNISHABLE AS A CLASS "A" MISDEMEANOR PURSUANT TO SECTION 210.45 PL.

This statement was completed on the 5th day of April, 2024 at 12:09 P.M.

SIGNATURE (Of person giving voluntary statement)

WITNESS: Det. J. Spychalski 493
(Signature)

CONTINUED
GLOVERSVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT
VOLUNTARY STATEMENT

DATE: 4/8/2024 PLACE: Gloversville Police Department TIME STARTED: 2:23 P.M.
I, Corey S. Manzer, I am 30 years of age, my date of birth is 03/25/1994. I currently reside 130 Sixth Avenue, Gloversville NY 12078.

Before answering any questions or making any statements, Johnathon Spychalski, a person who identified himself as a Gloversville Police Officer, duly warned and advised me, and I know and understand that I have the following rights:

That, I have the right to remain silent and I do not have to answer any questions or make any statements at all.
That, any statement I make can and will be used against me in a court of law.
That, I have a right to consult with a lawyer of my choice before, or at any time. during, questioning or statements I make.
That, if I do desire a lawyer, but I cannot afford one, one will be provided to me. before, or at any time during, questioning or statements I make, without cost to me.
That, as we discuss this matter, I have the right to stop answering his questions at any time that I desire, and call for the presence of a lawyer to advise me before continuing.

I do not want to talk to a lawyer, and I hereby knowingly waive my right to remain silent and my right to have a lawyer present during this questioning, knowing that I have the right to stop this interview at any time, and request the presence of a lawyer before continuing if I choose. _____

I declare that the following statement is made of my own free will without promise or reward, without fear or threat of physical harm, without coercion, favor or offer of favor, leniency or offer of leniency, by anyone. _____

Now that he has advised me of my rights, I am willing to answer his questions. _____

DATE: 4/8/24 **TIME: 2:23** P.M.

LOCATION: Gloversville Police Department

Signed Cory Mz

Witness Det. J. Spychalski 493

Q: Did you view a photo array today with a female suspect?
A: Yes.

Q: Did you positively identify a female that was involved in this incident?
A: Yes.

Q: Is this the female who picked up a knife and put it to your throat a couple times?
A: Yes.

Q: Did she ever cause you injury with the knife?
A: My lip, with the knife.

Q: Did you fear for your life because of her actions?
A: Yeah, from both of them, yeah.

Q: Did she prevent you from escaping the residence?
A: Yeah, she tried to.

Q: Can you explain to me the sequence of events during the assault and where you were during each part of the assault?
A: It started in the living room with Kayla, she had the knife. She put it to my throat and poked me a couple times in the living room. After that I was fighting Jay in the living room in the same area. Eventually I made it to the upstairs, I tried to run away. I fought Jay, he had the piece of bike frame thing that hit me a couple times. He got me in the back going up the stairs. Then he came out with a board with nails and screws. Then he had the knife and board at the same time. I plowed my way back out with a kids desk, back down the stairs and out of the house.

Q: Did either Kayla or Jason prevent you from leaving the residence?
A: Yeah, for about an hour.

Q: Did you fear for your life when you couldn't leave the residence?
A: Yeah. I was thinking about jumping out of the window.

Signed Cory Manzer

Witness Det. J. Spychalski 193

Q: How did Jason and Kayla prevent you from leaving the residence?
A: By attacking me, they wanted to tie me up and put me in the basement.

Q: How do you know they wanted to tie you up?
A: Jason and Kayla both said that they wanted to tie me up and put me in the basement. Kayla said she wanted to kill me.

Q: Did Jason or Kayla make any specific threats to you as what they would do to you?
A: Yes, they said they would cut my toes and fingers off. She said she would take my tongue out of my head and tie me in the basement.

Q: How long were you fighting in each room and with who?
A: The living room was maybe 10 minutes, and then the hallway, then the bedroom for a good 45 minutes.

Q: While in the bedroom for 45 minutes, what was happening, why couldn't you leave?
A: They were blocking the door, they had knives and boards. They were guarding it swinging things making sure I would stay there. Until I finally forced my way out.

Q: While they were holding you in the bedroom, do you feel as if you were trapped?
A: I was trapped. Until they lost possession of their weapons.

Q: Do you wish to pursue charges against the female now that she is identified?
A: Yes.

Q: Have you been completely truthful and honest throughout this statement?
A: Yes.

Q: Do you have anything else you would like to add to this statement.
A: Nope.

Signed Cory Manzer

Witness Det. J. Spychalski 493

I have read each page of this statement consisting of 4 page(s), including this page, each page of which bears my signature, and corrections, if any, bear my circled initials, and I certify that the facts contained herein are true and correct. I further certify that I made no request for the advice or presence of a lawyer before or during any part of this statement, nor at any time before it was finished did I request that this statement be stopped. I also declare that I was not told or prompted what to say in this statement.

I HAVE READ THE FOREGOING AND UNDERSTAND THAT FALSE STATEMENTS MADE HEREIN ARE PUNISHABLE AS A CLASS "A" MISDEMEANOR PURSUANT TO SECTION 210.45 PL.

This statement was completed on the 8th day of April, 2024 at 2:44 P.M.

SIGNATURE (Of person giving voluntary statement)

WITNESS: Det. Johnathan Kopchalski 493
(Signature)

EXHIBIT

I

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Arrest Information

General Information

Arrest Number:	34001972	**Case Number:**	2024-03402889	**Arrest Type:**	01-Complaint
Location Formatted:	1 FRONTAGE RD, Gloversville, NY 12078	**Location Common Name:**		**Arrest Status:**	01-Held
Status Date/Time:	04/09/2024 14:46	**Age at Arrest:**	35	**Arrest Date/Time:**	04/09/2024 14:30
Arrest Result Of:		**Resisted Arrest:**		**Basis for Caution:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:	N-Not Applicable	**Arresting Officer:**	3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon	**Arresting Officer Assignment:**	
School Response Officer:	No	**Arrestee Subject Type:**	Adult	**Arrestee Name Formatted:**	ORSELL, KAYLA L
Arrestee Location Formatted:	81 1/2 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095	**Arrestee Primary Contact:**	Home Phone - (518) 705-4054	**Arrestee Date Of Birth:**	10/30/1988
Arrestee Local Id Number:		**Arrestee License Number:**	986853693	**Arrestee License State:**	NY
Arrestee License Expiration Date:		**Arrestee Age:**	35	**Arrestee Height:**	5'2"
Arrestee Weight:	100 lbs	**Arrestee Race:**	W-White	**Arrestee Sex:**	F-Female
Arrestee Ethnic Origin:	N-Not-Hispanic	**Arrestee Eye Color:**	BRO	**Arrestee Hair Color:**	BLD

Additional Arrest Information

Statement Taken By:		**Officer Who Read Miranda:**	3481 - Knecht, Brian	**Miranda Date/Time:**	04/09/2024 00:00
Fingerprinted By:	3484 - Pescetti, Christopher	**Fingerprint Date:**		**Statement Type:**	Verbal
Resident Type:	City	**Resident Status:**	R-Arrest - Resident	**Alcohol Influence:**	
Breath Analyzer Result:		**Drug Influence:**		**Clears Case:**	
ID Procedure:		**School Name:**		**Campus Code:**	
Juvenile Disposition:					

Arresting Officers

Primary:	Yes	**Arresting Officer:**	3493 Spychalski, Johnathon	**Assignment:**	
School Response Officer:	No				

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Charges

Offense/Charge Date/Time:	04/08/2024 20:00	**Statute Group:**	State	**Statute/Violation:**	PL 135.20 [BF 2]
Statute Crime Code:	100 - Kidnapping/Abduction	**Statute Description:**	KIDNAPPING 2ND	**Counts:**	1
NCIC Code:		**Attempt/Commit:**	C-Completed	**Domestic Code:**	
Larceny Code:		**Plea:**		**Submit Offense:**	Yes
Other Agency:	No	**Disposition:**		**Disposition Date:**	
Scene Code:		**Clery Location:**		**Aiding/Abetting:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:		**Reason To Commit:**		**Drug Info Entries:**	0

Offense/Charge Date/Time:	04/08/2024 20:00	**Statute Group:**	State	**Statute/Violation:**	PL 120.05 02 [DF 2]
Statute Crime Code:	13A - Aggravated Assault	**Statute Description:**	ASLT 2ND DEG: W/INTENT TO CAUSE PHYSICAL INJURY W/WEAPON	**Counts:**	1
NCIC Code:		**Attempt/Commit:**	C-Completed	**Domestic Code:**	
Larceny Code:		**Plea:**		**Submit Offense:**	Yes
Other Agency:	No	**Disposition:**		**Disposition Date:**	
Scene Code:		**Clery Location:**		**Aiding/Abetting:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:		**Reason To Commit:**		**Drug Info Entries:**	0

Weapons

Weapon: 77-None/Not Applicable **Feature:**

Injury Information

Injury Types:	**Hospital:**	**Extent Of Injury:**	
Transported By:	**Medical Treatment:**	**Condition:**	05-Appeared Normal

Narratives

Entered By: 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon **Date Entered:** 04/09/2024 14:46

See case.

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Summary Narrative

Narratives

Name: Narrative **Author:** 3485 - Kolbe, Stephen **Supervisor:** 3485 - Kolbe, Stephen **Date Created:** 04/05/2024 09:33

Description:

Officers Kennedy, Stewart, and I were dispatched to a report from Corey Manzer that he had just been assaulted and threatened with a knife at a red house on Maple St. The caller was currently on Beaver St. after running from the residence.

Officers arrived on Beaver St along with Fire and EMS where I made contact with Manzer. Manzer was currently shirtless and standing on the sidewalk with a small blanket wrapped around him. I observed Manzer to have a mouth full of partially dried, dark in color blood. When Manzer removed the blanket, I also observed large red marks on his skin on the backside of his torso. Manzer advised me that he had gone to the red house on Maple St, known to officers as 34 Maple St, to "hang out with his friend Buyce." Manzer stated that after being at the residence for approx. 5 minutes , a male he knew as "Jay", known to officers as Jason Abdalla, began to accuse Manzer of stealing money from him. Manzer stated that Abdalla and his unknown girlfriend then began to attack him. Manzer stated that Abdalla started to hit him with a "bicycle fender" while the female continued to attack him. At some point during the attack, Manzer said that Abdalla pulled a knife out and threatened to cut off his fingers and toes while another male inside the residence, described as a tall skinny white male with blond hair and a flannel shirt joined in and started to yell for someone to "get the gun." Manzer stated at this point he was able to flee the residence as he was chased by the unknown male with a broom stick. While running down the Maple St. Hill, Manzer lost his shirt in the roadway.

After speaking with Manzer a bit further, Manzer admitted that he had gone to the house in order to buy Xanax, but wasn't able to buy any due to him not having any money. Manzer advised me that he would like to press charges for being attacked. Manzer was then transported to NLH by Lake Valley EMS for an evaluation.

I then contacted the detective division and advised them of the situation. Det. Pescetti and Spychalski arrived on Maple St. where I had located Manzers shirt. I advised the Detectives of the circumstances and cleared the scene after turning the case over to investigations.

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Name: Detective Narrative | **Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon | **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas | **Date Created:** 04/05/2024 13:41

Description:

On 4/5/24, I was requested to respond to the area of Beaver and Maple Street for an assault. Upon arrival I made contact with Cpl. Kolbe and Det. Pescetti. I was advised that the victim, identified as Corey Manzer, was in route to Nathan Littauer Hospital via ambulance. Det. Pescetti secured a shirt that was in the roadway that was alleged to be from the victim. Officers attempted to make contact with anyone at 34 Maple Street, with negative results, no one would come to the door even though I could hear subjects inside of the residence.

I followed up at NLH with Manzer who advised he would cooperate with the investigation and provide a statement. Det. Pescetti secured photographs of Manzer's injuries. I later made contact with Manzer at my station and a photo array was conducted where Manzer positively identifies Jason M. Abdalla (DoB 02/10/83). The female involved in the incident can not be identified at this time. I secured a statement from Manzer as well (see supplemental).

I was later contacted by Manzer who advised he found the the vehicle parked around the block from 34 Maple Street and identified the NY registration as HTS8774. I found that this vehicle is owned by Victor Orsell. A check in house shows that recently a female identified as Kayla Orsell has been found with Abdalla on 3/25/24 (2024-03402542). She also fits the description of the female suspect provided by Manzer. I will administer a photo array to Manzer with Orsell as one of the subject.

Investigation pending

Spychalski 493

Name: Manzer statement | **Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon | **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas | **Date Created:** 04/05/2024 13:43

Description:

Q: Where did the incident your reporting occur today?

A: On Maple Street.

Q: What is the color of the house?

A: Red one.

Q: If I show you a google image of the street, would you be able to identify it?

A: (Identified 34 Maple Street)

Q: What occurred while you were at the residence?

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

A: I was there, I'd say from about 5:30/6AM. I came back around 6:30 almost 7 before this all occurred. I was in the house for maybe 10 minutes, and he came out of the bedroom accusing me of stealing his money out of his wallet.

Q: What were you at the residence for?

A: To visit with Josh Buyce, that's where he was staying. Also, to smoke. I was also trying to buy Xanax. Josh said he was going to just give them to me.

Q: What occurred after he accused you of stealing?

A: He started freaking out, and she picked up a knife, and she put it to my throat a couple times. Jay grabbed what he thought was a machete, but he grabbed a fender from a bicycle, and he basically started swinging it and hitting me with it. He tried to get me to admit that I stole his money. It got really crazy, she grabbed a bigger knife, I went upstairs with less space. It was just me and him up there, and he had a knife, he said he was going to gut me, fish hook me, and cut off my fingers and toes. He said he would zip tie me in the basement, just like he did Curtis.

Q: Who are you referring to as "he"?

A: Jay Abdalla.

Q: Did you identify this individual via a photo array with this officer?

A: Yes.

Q: When this incident occurred, did you attempt to leave the residence before or during the assault?

A: Yeah, I tried to go out the door before it all occurred. She stood there with the knife, and he stood there with the brush guard.

Q: Do you believe they stopped you from escaping?

A: Yeah, because they blocked the door.

Q: How many times did Jay hit you with the brush guard?

A: Probably four in the face, and he got me 3 or 4 times in the side.

Q: Did any of these strikes from Jay cause injury to you?

A: Yeah, fractured nose and I don't know what is going on in my mouth yet.

Q: Did you seek medical attention immediately for these injuries?

A: Yes at Nathan Littauer.

Q: Did you sign a medical release so an officer can obtain your medical records?

A: I did sign something.

Q: When Jay struck you with the brush guard, did he or anyone else take any property from you?

A: No, all my property was sitting on the table already

Q: Did at any time you feel as if you were not free to leave and being held against your will?

A: Yeah, at one point I was getting a little, they were trying. Someone said something about getting a deadbolt. I even glanced to see if I jumped out of the window, where I would have landed on the second floor.

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Q: Can you explain further about the female holding a knife to you?

A: She basically liked started poking me with this brand-new knife. She poked me a couple times, she most definitely was going to stab me. This line across my neck is from the knife.

Q: Did anyone else assault you during this incident?

A: Nope, just Jay. And she poked me a couple times with the knife.

Q: Do you wish to pursue charges against Jay Abdalla and the female if she is identified?

A: Yes.

Q: Have you been completely truthful and honest throughout this statement?

A: Yes.

Q: Do you have anything else you would like to add to this statement.

A: No.

Name: Narrative | **Author:** 3484 - Pescetti, Christopher | **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas | **Date Created:** 04/05/2024 14:59

Description:

On 4/5/2024 I was advised by Detective Lieutenant Nellis that members of the Patrol Division were currently investigating an Assault 2nd case in which Corey Manzer was hit by Jason Abdalla several times with a bicycle fender. I was advised that the incident occurred on Maple Street, and Manzer's shirt he was wearing during the incident was in the middle of Maple Street. Detective Lieutenant Nellis requested I responded to the scene to photograph the shirt and secure the shirt as evidence. Upon my arrival, I secured photographs of the blue colored t-shirt which I observed to be ripped and covered in dirt and appeared to have blood on it. I then secured the t-shirt in a paper bag, and logged the shirt in to evidence. Det. Spychalski and I then responded to Nathan Littauer Hospital to speak with Manzer and secure photos of Manzer's injuries.

Det. Spychalski and I made contact with Manzer in the Nathan Littauer Hospital Emergency Room, and I secured photos of Manzer's injuries. Det. Spychalski advised Manzer to come to the station to provide a statement regarding the incident when he was discharged from the Hospital, and Manzer agreed to come provide a statement and also advised he would sign a medical records release form.

Pescetti 484

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Name: Detective Narrative | **Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon | **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas | **Date Created:** 04/08/2024 14:01

Description:

On 4/8/24, I made contact with Manzer via telephone and advised him I needed him to respond to my station for a statement and photo array for the female suspect. Manzer arrived a short time later and positively identified Kayla L. Orsell (DoB - 10/30/88) as well as providing a voluntary statement (see supplemental) to me about the details surrounding the incident. I also had Manzer endorse a medical release and I later retrieved his medical records.

I am going to confer with the Fulton County District Attorneys office for applicable charges.

Spychalski 493

Name: Manzer 2nd Statement | **Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon | **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas | **Date Created:** 04/08/2024 15:50

Description:

Q: Did you view a photo array today with a female suspect?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you positively identify a female that was involved in this incident?

A: Yes.

Q: Is this the female who picked up a knife and put it to your throat a couple times?

A: Yes.

Q: Did she ever cause you injury with the knife?

A: My lip, with the knife.

Q: Did you fear for your life because of her actions?

A: Yeah, from both of them, yeah.

Q: Did she prevent you from escaping the residence?

A: Yeah, she tried to.

Q: Can you explain to me the sequence of events during the assault and where you were during each part of the assault?

A: It started in the living room with Kayla, she had the knife. She put it to my throat and poked me a couple times in the living room. After that I was fighting Jay in the living room in the same

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

area. Eventually I made it to the upstairs, I tried to run away. I fought Jay, he had the piece of bike frame thing that hit me a couple times. He got me in the back going up the stairs. Then he came out with a board with nails and screws. Then he had the knife and board at the same time. I plowed my way back out with a kids desk, back down the stairs and out of the house.

Q: Did either Kayla or Jason prevent you from leaving the residence?

A: Yeah, for about an hour.

Q: Did you fear for your life when you couldn't leave the residence?

A: Yeah. I was thinking about jumping out of the window.

Q: How did Jason and Kayla prevent you from leaving the residence?

A: By attacking me, they wanted to tie me up and put me in the basement.

Q: How do you know they wanted to tie you up?

A: Jason and Kayla both said that they wanted to tie me up and put me in the basement. Kayla said she wanted to kill me.

Q: Did Jason or Kayla make any specific threats to you as what they would do to you?

A: Yes, they said they would cut my toes and fingers off. She said she would take my tongue out of my head and tie me in the basement.

Q: How long were you fighting in each room and with who?

A: The living room was maybe 10 minutes, and then the hallway, then the bedroom for a good 45 minutes.

Q: While in the bedroom for 45 minutes, what was happening, why couldn't you leave?

A: They were blocking the door, they had knives and boards. They were guarding it swinging things making sure I would stay there. Until I finally forced my way out.

Q: While they were holding you in the bedroom, do you feel as if you were trapped?

A: I was trapped. Until they lost possession of their weapons.

Q: Do you wish to pursue charges against the female now that she is identified?

A: Yes.

Q: Have you been completely truthful and honest throughout this statement?

A: Yes.

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Q: Do you have anything else you would like to add to this statement.

A: Nope.

Name: Detective Narrative **Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas **Date Created:** 04/10/2024 09:18

Description:

On 4/9/24, I made contact via telephone with Kayla Orsell and Jason Abdalla regarding this case. I advised them of the allegations and that I would like to provide each of them with the opportunity to explain their account of the incident. They later arrived at the station and I spoke in interview room 507 with Abdalla and Det. Knecht spoke with Orsell in interview room 509. Both subjects were mirandized before they spoke with officers. Both subjects put themselves at the residence at the time of the incident. Abdalla advised there was an argument regarding Manzer possibly taking money out of Abdalla's wallet. This escalated when Abdalla told Manzer to leave and he refused, according to Abdalla. I asked Abdalla why he did not contact police and he did not have an answer. Abdalla advised he had to physically remove Manzer from the residence. Neither Abdalla or Orsell admitted to possessing weapons, keeping Manzer in the residence, or threatening to tie him to a chair and assault him. Abdalla advised he had injuries from the altercation with Manzer and so did Orsell. I secured photographs of these injuries. These injuries do not corroborate anyone's story, but it does show that there was a physical altercation between the three subjects.

Orsell and Abdalla were held and arraigned for Kidnapping Second and Assault Second. Both subjects were remanded to Fulton County Corrections. Closed by arrest.

Spychalski 493

EXHIBIT J

Compliance Report for Discovery Package: 04/26/2024 12:47
Package Description:
Case Number: 202400363
Defendant: Kayla Orsell
Arrest Dates: 2024-04-09
Top Charge: PL 135.20 00 2 - Kidnapping-2nd Degree

Name	Size	Folder
2024-03402889 Photo Arrays.pdf	847 KB	
2024-03402889 Victim Corey Manzer Medical Records.pdf	3.91 MB	
Accusatories.pdf	126.26 KB	
Arrest Report.pdf	142.21 KB	
Axon Share File List-04-26-2024 1235.pdf	23.08 KB	Axon Share
Bail Rec.pdf	65.31 KB	
Booking Data Sheet.pdf	78.59 KB	
Case Report Detail.pdf	736.67 KB	
Discovery Checklist.pdf	329.98 KB	
DMV.pdf	27.44 KB	
DSC_0001.2.JPG	7.23 MB	Photos
DSC_0001.JPG	6.43 MB	Photos
DSC_0002.2.JPG	6.53 MB	Photos
DSC_0002.JPG	6.7 MB	Photos
DSC_0003.2.JPG	6.92 MB	Photos
DSC_0003.JPG	6.84 MB	Photos
DSC_0004.2.JPG	6.74 MB	Photos
DSC_0004.JPG	7.42 MB	Photos
DSC_0005.2.JPG	6.91 MB	Photos
DSC_0005.JPG	7.33 MB	Photos
DSC_0006.2.JPG	6.83 MB	Photos
DSC_0006.JPG	7.95 MB	Photos
DSC_0007.2.JPG	5.97 MB	Photos
DSC_0007.JPG	6.81 MB	Photos
DSC_0008.2.JPG	7.31 MB	Photos
DSC_0008.JPG	7.24 MB	Photos
DSC_0009.2.JPG	6.78 MB	Photos
DSC_0009.JPG	7.05 MB	Photos
DSC_0010.2.JPG	6.41 MB	Photos
DSC_0010.JPG	5.22 MB	Photos
DSC_0011.JPG	7.07 MB	Photos
DSC_0012.JPG	6.64 MB	Photos
DSC_0013.JPG	6.47 MB	Photos
DSC_0014.JPG	7.14 MB	Photos

DSC_0015.JPG	6.99 MB	Photos
DSC_0016.JPG	6.85 MB	Photos
DSC_0017.JPG	6.16 MB	Photos
DSC_0018.JPG	7.03 MB	Photos
EVI_ch1_main_20240409141550_20240409143046.dav	233.38 MB	Videos
EVI_ch3_main_20240405111500_20240405120000.dav	702.08 MB	Videos
EVI_ch3_main_20240405120000_20240405121108.dav	175.25 MB	Videos
EVI_ch3_main_20240408141733_20240408144649.dav	455.99 MB	Videos
EVI_ch3_main_20240409141536_20240409143046.dav	236.83 MB	Videos
Miranda Warning.pdf	42.81 KB	
Notes.pdf	61.78 KB	
Orsell, Kayla-Crim Hist & Arr Not..pdf	1.14 MB	
Orsell, Kayla-Crim Hist FED.pdf	410.95 KB	
Repository.pdf	317.06 KB	
Screengrab of House.pdf	104.9 KB	
Securing Order.pdf	72.91 KB	
SmartPlayer.exe	1.71 MB	Videos
Statements.pdf	370.54 KB	
Temp Stay Away OP.pdf	73.56 KB	

FULTON COUNTY FELONY CASES:

DISCOVERY CHECKLIST FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT

C.P.L. 245.20(1) – AUTOMATIC DISCOVERY

- All written or recorded statements, and the substance of all oral statements, made by the defendant or a co-defendant to a public servant engaged in law enforcement activity or to a person then acting under his or her direction or in cooperation with him or her.

  [X] Attached.

  [ ] No such statements are known to exist.

  [ ] Such statements exist, but cannot be provided at this time because _____

- The names and adequate contact information for all persons other than law enforcement personnel whom have evidence or information relevant to any offense charged or to any potential defense thereto.

  [X] Attached.

  [ ] No such persons are known to exist.

  [ ] Such persons exist, but the following individuals should not be disclosed because they are a confidential informant and/or would are expected to subject to witness intimidation because _____

- The name and work affiliation of all law enforcement personnel who have evidence or information relevant to any offense charged or to any potential defense:

  [X] Attached.

  [ ] No such persons are known to exist.

  [ ] Such persons exist, but the following individuals should not be disclosed because they are working in an undercover capacity: _____

  _____.

- All

  - Statements, written or recorded or summarized in any writing or recording, made by persons who have evidence or information relevant to any offense charged or to any potential defense thereto; and
  - Accusatory instruments, police reports (including arrest reports, incident reports, lead sheets, etc.), notes of police and other investigators, and law enforcement agency reports;

    [X] Attached.

    [ ] No such statements/reports, etc. are known to exist.

    [ ] Such materials exist, but the following materials individuals should not be disclosed because they are from a person who is a confidential informant, undercover personnel, or otherwise are the subject of a protective order because

    _____

    _____.

- For tests done by law enforcement personnel (including their forensic center personnel or forensic investigation unit) only: Expert opinion evidence, including the name, business address, current curriculum vitae, a list of publications, and all proficiency tests and results administered or taken within the past ten years of each expert witness and all reports prepared by the expert that pertain to the case.

  [ ] Attached.

  [✓] No such expert opinion evidence/reports, etc. are known to exist.

  [ ] Such materials exist, no report has been prepared, but a statement of the facts and opinions to which the expert is expected to testify and a summary of the grounds for each opinion is as follows:

  _____

  _____

  [ ] **If this box is checked**, this information exists but is unavailable for disclosure within the time period specified in C.P.L. § 245.10(1). Disclosure shall be made as soon as practicable and not later than sixty calendar days before the first scheduled trial date, unless an order is obtained pursuant to C.P.L. § 245.70 of this article.

- All tapes or other electronic recordings, including all electronic recordings of 911 telephone calls made or received in connection with the alleged criminal incident.

  [ ] Attached.

  [✓] No such materials are known to exist.

[ ] If this box is checked, please be aware that the materials exist and exceed ten hours in total length.

- All photographs and drawings made or completed by a public servant engaged in law enforcement activity which relate to the subject matter of the case.

  [✓] Attached.

  [ ] No such materials are known to exist.

- All photographs, photocopies and reproductions made by or at the direction of law enforcement personnel of any property prior to its release pursuant to Penal Law § 450.10.

  [✓] Attached.

  [ ] No such materials are known to exist.

- For tests done by law enforcement personnel (including their forensic center personnel or forensic investigation unit) only: All reports, documents, records, data, calculations or writings, including but not limited to preliminary tests and screening results and bench notes and analyses performed or stored electronically, concerning physical or mental examinations, or scientific tests or experiments or comparisons, relating to the criminal action or proceeding which were made by or at the request or direction of a public servant engaged in law enforcement activity. This information includes, but is not limited to, laboratory information management system records relating to such materials, any preliminary or final findings of non- conformance with accreditation, industry or governmental standards or laboratory protocols, and any conflicting analyses or results by laboratory personnel regardless of the laboratory's final analysis or results.

  [ ] Attached.

  [✓] No such materials are known to exist.

[ ] If this box is checked, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that laboratory information management system records relating to such materials, any preliminary or final findings of non- conformance with accreditation, industry or governmental standards or laboratory protocols, and any conflicting analyses or results by laboratory personnel regardless of the laboratory's final analysis or results are not available to law enforcement and should be requested directly by the People.

- If such evidence and information exists, all evidence and information, including that which is known to police or other law enforcement agencies acting on the government's behalf in the case, that tends to:

  i. negate the defendant's guilt as to a charged offense;

  ii. reduce the degree of or mitigate the defendant's culpability as to a charged offense;

  iii. support a potential defense to a charged offense;

  iv. impeach the credibility of a testifying prosecution witness;

  v. undermines evidence of the defendant's identity as a perpetrator of a charged offense;

  vi. provide a basis for a motion to suppress evidence; or

  vii. mitigate punishment.

  [ ] **Attached.**

  [✓] If this box is checked, no such information is presently known to exist

  [ ] If this box is checked, such information is presently known to exist but is not being disclosed because _____

- A summary of all promises, rewards and inducements *made by law enforcement personnel only* to, or in favor of, persons who may be called as witnesses, as well as requests for consideration by persons who may be called as witnesses and copies of all documents relevant to a promise, reward or inducement have been provided to defense counsel.

  [X] If this box is checked, no such promises, rewards and inducements are presently known to exist.

- A list of all tangible objects and property obtained from, or allegedly possessed by, the defendant or a co-defendant that relates to the subject matter of the case to include all property receipts/logs/chain of custody materials.

  [X] If this box is checked, property logs, photo logs, chain of custody documents, receipts, etc. are attached.

  [ ] If this box is checked, no such materials are presently known to exist.

- The approximate date, time and place of the offense or offenses charged and of the defendant's seizure and arrest:

  - Offense(s) date, time, and place: 4/5/24 0736hrs 34 Maple Street Gloversville
  - Seizure date, time, and place:
  - Arrest date, time, and place: 4/9/24 1430hrs 3 Frontage Rd Gloversville

- All records of calibration, certification, inspection, repair or maintenance of machines and instruments utilized to perform any scientific tests and experiments, including but not limited to any test of a person's breath, blood, urine or saliva, for the period of six months prior and six months after such test was conducted, including the records of gas chromatography related to the certification of all reference standards and the certification certificate, if any, held by the operator of the machine or instrument. (**PLEASE NOTE THAT** any subsequent records covering the full period of six months after the test was conducted need to be turned over as they are created.)
- This [ ] is [X] is not a prosecution alleging a violation of Penal Law § 156.05 (Unauthorized Use of a Computer) or Penal Law § 156.10 (Computer Trespass). If it is such a prosecution, then as to the occurrence of such violation the following information:

  Time: _____

  Place: _____

  Manner: _____

b. Unless otherwise noted herein:

   i. A copy of all electronically created or stored information seized or obtained by or on behalf of law enforcement from: (A) the defendant as described in subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph; or (B) a source other than the defendant which relates to the subject matter of the case.

   ii. A complete copy of any electronically created or stored information that originated from a device, account, or other electronically stored source that law enforcement believes the defendant owned, maintained, or had lawful

access to and is within the possession, custody or control of law enforcement or persons under the law enforcement's direction or control.

[ ] Attached.

[X] If this box is checked, no such information is presently known to exist.

[ ] If this box is checked, possession of such electronically created or stored information would be a crime under New York state or federal law. The materials are attached and the District Attorney's Office will make those portions of the electronically created or stored information that are not criminal to possess available as specified under this paragraph and will afford counsel for the defendant access to inspect contraband portions at a supervised location that provides regular and reasonable hours for such access, such as the District Attorney's Office, the law enforcement agency, or court.

[ ] **If this box is checked, PLESE TAKE NOTICE** that the information under this paragraph is not available for disclosure within the time period required by Penal Law § 245.10(1) thus staying the disclosure of this material without need for a motion. Such disclosure shall be made as soon as practicable and not later than forty-five calendar days before the first scheduled trial date, unless an order is obtained pursuant to Penal Law § 245.70 of this article.

NOTES:

Dated: 4/10/24 ~~2020~~

Agency: Gloversville PD

By: Det. J. Spychalski 493

EXHIBIT
K

Outlook

RE: Complaint of Police and Prosecutorial Misconduct

From IG <ig@nycourts.gov>
Date Wed 9/4/2024 7:06 AM
To kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>

Dear Kayla Orsell,

Our Office is in receipt of your complaint. Please note that our Office does not have jurisdiction over the police department or the District Attorney's Office employees. This Office has jurisdiction over NYS Unified Court System employees. You may want to reach out to the local governmental offices where this occurred to file a complaint.

If this Office can be of further assistance, please let us know.

Office of the Inspector General

From: kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, September 2, 2024 4:06 AM
To: IG <ig@nycourts.gov>
Subject: Complaint of Police and Prosecutorial Misconduct

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to request an urgent investigation into the misconduct of both the police and the prosecution in my ongoing legal case. Their actions have not only violated my civil rights but have also severely compromised my ability to receive a fair trial. Below are specific instances of misconduct that demonstrate a clear pattern of abuse and unethical behavior:

From the outset, the charges against me were filed in bad faith, lacking proper evidence and driven by motives that appear unjust. The police and prosecutor have engaged in numerous violations by withholding evidence that may support my innocence. For example, the prosecution failed to disclose crucial evidence, including 911 calls, a list of witnesses, and property receipts, while falsely claiming that such evidence did not exist .

Additionally, the police provided false information to the media, resulting in widespread misinformation that tainted the jury pool before my case even began. Articles published in local newspapers and on social media incorrectly identified the location of the alleged incident and contained entirely false details about my involvement, which were directly fed by the police. This deliberate act was intended to bias potential jurors against me and influence public perception . The article in the news says the victim suffered a broken nose and was stabbed but the hospital report says he had one already and it also does not have any evidence a knife was used. It also says he was restrained in my home but he was at my codefendants residence.

Throughout the case, the prosecution has repeatedly claimed trial readiness without meeting the legal requirements of certifying the facial sufficiency of the charges. The accusatory instruments used against me were facially insufficient and did not meet the standards of

evidence required by law, relying heavily on hearsay and unsubstantiated allegations. This failure to establish a proper legal foundation for the charges before announcing trial readiness is a violation of my rights under NYS CPL § 30.30 (5-a) .

The prosecutorial misconduct also extended to plea negotiations, which were conducted in bad faith and kept in the city court for 6 months now. Despite the lack of evidence supporting the charges, the prosecutor engaged in coercive plea tactics, repeatedly offering unjust plea deals without any intent to negotiate fairly. This pressure was compounded by the prosecutor's failure to disclose what specific misdemeanor I would be pleading to when I was offered misdemeanor probation for two felonies, highlighting the lack of transparency and fairness in the proceedings .

Furthermore, my co-defendant, who was significantly involved in the criminal activity, had all his charges dismissed after becoming a confidential informant (CI). He also had three other serious felonies dismissed which were drug related and one A misdemeanor. Despite his direct involvement and violent criminal history, he continues to avoid accountability, and his informant status has allowed him to remain unpunished for serious offenses. This individual retains the legal right to own firearms, posing a severe risk to public safety. The prosecution's decision to drop charges against him, while aggressively pursuing my case, raises serious questions about the integrity of their actions, their use of informants, and the ethical standards of the prosecution. This disparity in treatment suggests a troubling abuse of power and highlights the need for oversight and accountability in the handling of informants.

I have also been the target of severe cyber harassment that I believe may be linked to law enforcement or individuals acting on their behalf. My phone and computer have been repeatedly compromised by advanced malware that reinstalls itself even after factory resets, granting unauthorized access to my personal information, communications, and activities. This has included manipulated search results, obstructed emails, and unauthorized access to sensitive information directly related to my legal case. These intrusions have prevented me from accessing accurate legal information, communicating securely with my attorney, and protecting my privacy.

The nature and persistence of these cyber intrusions suggest a level of sophistication that could indicate involvement by individuals with specialized skills, such as those found within law enforcement. This ongoing digital harassment appears designed to intimidate, obstruct, and surveil me as I navigate my legal defense. I have submitted a formal complaint to the FBI's Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3) detailing these incidents, as the cyber activities represent a significant violation of my civil rights and personal security. I urge your office to consider the possibility that these cyber intrusions may be part of a broader pattern of harassment and misconduct by those connected to my case.

I am deeply concerned that these actions reflect a coordinated effort to intimidate, manipulate, and obstruct my rights during legal proceedings. I urge your office to investigate these severe violations of ethical conduct by the police and prosecutor. This investigation is crucial to ensure accountability and to restore integrity to the legal process in my case.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell

Attachments:

Signed Complaint form to State Inspector General

Outlook

Complaint of Police and Prosecutorial Misconduct

From kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>
Date Mon 9/2/2024 3:05 AM
To ig@nycourts.gov <ig@nycourts.gov>

11 attachments (10 MB)

Accusatories.pdf; Case Report Detail.pdf; Discovery Checklist.pdf; 2024-03402889 Victim Corey Manzer Medical Records.pdf; Screenshot_2-9-2024_4040_www.dailygazette.com.jpeg; Screenshot_20-8-2024_121238_iapps.courts.state.ny.us.jpeg; Screenshot_20-8-2024_12320_iapps.courts.state.ny.us.jpeg; Screenshot_20-8-2024_121254_iapps.courts.state.ny.us.jpeg; Screenshot_25-6-2024_1581_iapps.courts.state.ny.us-JAY.jpeg; Screenshot_25-6-2024_15948_iapps.courts.state.ny.us.jpeg; Inspector General.jpeg;

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to request an urgent investigation into the misconduct of both the police and the prosecution in my ongoing legal case. Their actions have not only violated my civil rights but have also severely compromised my ability to receive a fair trial. Below are specific instances of misconduct that demonstrate a clear pattern of abuse and unethical behavior:

From the outset, the charges against me were filed in bad faith, lacking proper evidence and driven by motives that appear unjust. The police and prosecutor have engaged in numerous violations by withholding evidence that may support my innocence. For example, the prosecution failed to disclose crucial evidence, including 911 calls, a list of witnesses, and property receipts, while falsely claiming that such evidence did not exist .

Additionally, the police provided false information to the media, resulting in widespread misinformation that tainted the jury pool before my case even began. Articles published in local newspapers and on social media incorrectly identified the location of the alleged incident and contained entirely false details about my involvement, which were directly fed by the police. This deliberate act was intended to bias potential jurors against me and influence public perception . The article in the news says the victim suffered a broken nose and was stabbed but the hospital report says he had one already and it also does not have any evidence a knife was used. It also says he was restrained in my home but he was at my codefendants residence.

Throughout the case, the prosecution has repeatedly claimed trial readiness without meeting the legal requirements of certifying the facial sufficiency of the charges. The accusatory instruments used against me were facially insufficient and did not meet the standards of evidence required by law, relying heavily on hearsay and unsubstantiated allegations. This failure to establish a proper legal foundation for the charges before announcing trial readiness is a violation of my rights under NYS CPL § 30.30 (5-a) .

The prosecutorial misconduct also extended to plea negotiations, which were conducted in bad faith and kept in the city court for 6 months now. Despite the lack of evidence supporting the charges, the prosecutor engaged in coercive plea tactics, repeatedly offering unjust plea deals without any intent to negotiate fairly. This pressure was compounded by the prosecutor's failure to disclose what specific

misdemeanor I would be pleading to when I was offered misdemeanor probation for two felonies, highlighting the lack of transparency and fairness in the proceedings .

Furthermore, my co-defendant, who was significantly involved in the criminal activity, had all his charges dismissed after becoming a confidential informant (CI). He also had three other serious felonies dismissed which were drug related and one A misdemeanor. Despite his direct involvement and violent criminal history, he continues to avoid accountability, and his informant status has allowed him to remain unpunished for serious offenses. This individual retains the legal right to own firearms, posing a severe risk to public safety. The prosecution's decision to drop charges against him, while aggressively pursuing my case, raises serious questions about the integrity of their actions, their use of informants, and the ethical standards of the prosecution. This disparity in treatment suggests a troubling abuse of power and highlights the need for oversight and accountability in the handling of informants.

I have also been the target of severe cyber harassment that I believe may be linked to law enforcement or individuals acting on their behalf. My phone and computer have been repeatedly compromised by advanced malware that reinstalls itself even after factory resets, granting unauthorized access to my personal information, communications, and activities. This has included manipulated search results, obstructed emails, and unauthorized access to sensitive information directly related to my legal case. These intrusions have prevented me from accessing accurate legal information, communicating securely with my attorney, and protecting my privacy.

The nature and persistence of these cyber intrusions suggest a level of sophistication that could indicate involvement by individuals with specialized skills, such as those found within law enforcement. This ongoing digital harassment appears designed to intimidate, obstruct, and surveil me as I navigate my legal defense. I have submitted a formal complaint to the FBI's Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3) detailing these incidents, as the cyber activities represent a significant violation of my civil rights and personal security. I urge your office to consider the possibility that these cyber intrusions may be part of a broader pattern of harassment and misconduct by those connected to my case.

I am deeply concerned that these actions reflect a coordinated effort to intimidate, manipulate, and obstruct my rights during legal proceedings. I urge your office to investigate these severe violations of ethical conduct by the police and prosecutor. This investigation is crucial to ensure accountability and to restore integrity to the legal process in my case.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell

Attachments:

Signed Complaint form to State Inspector General

Accusatory instruments given in discovery

Police Report (Case Report Detail)

Discovery Compliance Checklist

Hospital Report

Screenshot of news article on Daily Gazette website

Outlook

Webform submission from: Send the Attorney General feedback > Content

From New York State Attorney General <no-reply@ag.ny.gov>
Date Mon 5/20/2024 5:36 PM
To koscustoms518@outlook.com <koscustoms518@outlook.com>

Dear Attorney General James,
I hope this letter finds you well. I am writing to formally express my serious concerns regarding the handling of my criminal case by the District Attorney's office at the Gloversville City Courthouse. I believe that my rights under New York State law, specifically my right to a speedy trial, have been violated, and I am seeking your assistance to remedy these issues before I am compelled to take further legal action.
On 04/09/24, I was arrested and subsequently held in jail for 32 days. Despite my pre-trial incarceration and the fact that I have now been out on a $50,000 bond for almost two weeks, I have not yet had a preliminary hearing, nor have I been indicted or had any grand jury proceedings initiated. It is important to note that I did not waive my right to a preliminary hearing.
I am particularly troubled by my attorney's recent advice, urging me to waive my right to a speedy trial tomorrow, which I intend to decline. I am concerned that agreeing to such a waiver would undermine my claim of factual innocence. Furthermore, the case against me is based on two facially insufficient accusatory instruments, which rely solely on hearsay evidence.
Given these circumstances, I am reaching out to request your intervention in this matter. I am deeply concerned that my rights are being neglected and that I am being unjustly pressured into waiving fundamental legal protections. I would like to get my charges dismissed on the grounds of insufficient evidence, facial insufficiency, and failure to prosecute based on New York State's speedy trial law. I asked my lawyer on separate occasions to file all three of these motions and he declined. I am hesitant to file a Habeas Corpus 28 2241 form with the court due to fears of potential retaliation or penalization, but I am left with few alternatives if these issues are not addressed promptly.
I respectfully urge your office to investigate this matter and take appropriate action to ensure that my right to a speedy trial is upheld. I believe that the involvement of the Attorney General's office could help expedite the legal process and ensure that justice is served fairly and impartially.
Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter. I look forward to your prompt response and assistance in protecting my legal rights.
Sincerely,
Kayla Leigh Orsell

EXHIBIT

L

PARKER WARNINGS FOR CRIMINAL DEFENDANT

Defendant's Copy

1. YOU MUST APPEAR AT ALL DATES AND TIMES SCHEDULED BY THIS COURT:

If you fail to appear for court, and if your absence is determined to be willful and persistent, the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest, revoke the securing order which released you on your own recognizance, or on non-monetary conditions, or on bail or bond, and may, instead, issue a more restrictive securing order, or which fixes bail in a higher amount, or remands you to the jail, without bail.

If you fail to appear on the date designated for trial, the trial will proceed in your absence. If you are convicted, after a trial, and if you fail to appear for sentencing, the Court will impose sentence in your absence, and may impose the maximum permissible penalty, including a maximum period of incarceration.

If your conviction was based upon a plea arrangement, and if you fail to appear for sentencing, the Court will not be bound by the promised sentence, and may instead, impose the maximum permissible penalty, including incarceration, without affording you the opportunity to vacate your plea.

If you, or someone on your behalf, has posted bail, or bond, and you willfully and persistently fail to appear, that cash bail or bond will be forfeited.

2. YOU MUST REMAIN ARREST AND CONVICTION FREE:

If while at liberty, on these charges, you are arrested upon probable cause for committing a new offense, this Court may issue a warrant for your arrest, impose a more restrictive securing order, and under certain circumstances, fix bail or remand you without bail.

If you are charged with a Felony offense, and you are released, your release is conditional and the Court may revoke the order of release and may commit you to the custody of the sheriff if you commit a subsequent felony while at liberty.

If you are convicted, or if you plead guilty, and you are permitted to remain at liberty pending sentencing, and if you are arrested on new charges, the Court will not be bound by the promised sentence, and may instead, impose the maximum permissible penalty, including incarceration, without affording you the opportunity to vacate your plea.

3. YOU MUST COOPERATE WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT IN THE COMPLETION OF A PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT:

If your plea bargain or proposed sentence requires a pre-sentence investigative report, you must comply with probation including submitting to an interview, and answering truthfully, any questions asked of you. If you fail to cooperate with the probation

department, or fail to attend your scheduled appointments, or fail to answer untruthfully any questions asked of you, the Court will not be bound by the sentence promise, you will not be permitted to withdraw your plea, and the Court will be free to impose any sentence permitted by law, including the maximum permissible sentence, which may include incarceration, a fine, or both.

YOUR WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THIS AGREEMENT IS NOT A BASIS BY WHICH YOU MAY VACATE YOUR PLEA. IF YOU VIOLATE ANY CONDITION OF THIS AGREEMENT, THE COURT MAY IMPOSE ANY ENHANCED SENTENCE, AUTHORIZED BY LAW, WITHOUT AFFORDING YOU THE OPPORTUNITY TO VACATE YOUR PLEA.

FURTHER, IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AS DIRECTED, OR IF YOU ARE ARRESTED DURING THE PENDENCY OF THIS PROCEEDING, YOUR CASH BAIL OR BAIL BOND MAY BE REVOKED OR FORFEITED AND YOU MAY BE SUBJECTED TO SEPARATE PROSECUTION FOR BAIL JUMPING.

BY SIGNING BELOW, YOU AGREE THAT YOU HAVE READ AND UNDERSTAND THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH ABOVE, AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY THEM.

THE COURT HAS RETAINED THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT, CONTAINING YOUR SIGNATURE, IN THE COURT FILE.

EXHIBIT M

Case = 2024-034-0387
Complete for Family Offenses Only

State of New York: County of Fulton

City Court: City of Gloversville

Defendant:

Victim:

The People of the State of New York
-against-
Kayla L. Orsell (10/30/1988)
Defendant

Accusatory Instrument
Felony Complaint
CPL 100.15

By this Felony Complaint, Detective J. Spychalski, as the complainant herein, accuses **Kayla L. Orsell**, the above-mentioned defendant, with having committed the offense of **Assault in the Second Degree**, a class "D" felony in violation of section 120.05, subdivision 2 of the **Penal Law** of the State of New York, in that she did,

Count One: A person is guilty of assault in the second degree when she unlawfully, knowingly and with intent to cause physical injury to another person he causes such injury to such person or to a third person by means of a deadly weapon or a dangerous instrument.

The facts upon which this Felony Complaint is based are as follows:

The said defendant, **Kayla L. Orsell**, on the morning of April 5th, 2024, while inside of 34 Maple Street, in the City of Gloversville, County of Fulton, State of New York, with intent to cause physical injury to another person, he causes such injury to such person or to a third person by means of a deadly weapon or dangerous instrument;

TO WIT: The said defendant, **Kayla L. Orsell**, on the above stated date and time, while inside 34 Maple Street, did stab Corey S. Manzer with a knife, which is defined as a dangerous instrument in article 10 section 13 of the Penal Law, in the face several times. Said act caused Corey S. Manzer, to sustain laceration to his lip, and redness to his neck. All contrary to the provisions of the statute in such a case made and provided.

This accusatory instrument is based upon personal knowledge of facts gained through first hand observations of your deponent; as well as other facts and circumstances learned by your deponent during the course of this investigation.

False statements made in the foregoing instrument are punishable as a Class A misdemeanor pursuant to section 210.45 of the Penal Law of the State of New York. Accordingly, and with notice of the foregoing, I hereby affirm that the foregoing statements of fact are true, under penalty of perjury, this 9th, day of April 2024.

Det. Thomas Spychalski 193
Complainant

Det. Lt. [illegible] 182
Lieutenant of Police

CASE # 2024-03402889

State of New York: County of Fulton

City Court: City of Gloversville

COMPLETE FOR FAMILY OFFENSES ONLY
Defendant:

Alleged Victim:

The People of the State of New York

-against-

Kayla L. Orsell (10/30/1988)
Defendant

Accusatory Instrument
Felony Complaint
CPL 100.15

I, Detective J. Spychalski #493, a Gloversville Police Officer and the complainant herein, accuse the defendant, **Kayla L. Orsell**, of the offense of Kidnapping in the Second Degree, in violation of Section 135.20 of the Penal Law of the State of New York, a class "B" Felony.

Count 1: A person is guilty of kidnapping in the second degree when he or she abducts another person.

The facts upon which this information is bad are as follows:
That on or about the 5th day of **April, 2024**, in the morning, while at 34 Maple Street, in the City of Gloversville, County of Fulton, State of New York, the said defendant, **Kayla L. Orsell**, did attempt to abduct another person.

To wit: The said defendant, **Kayla L. Orsell,** at the aforesaid date, time, and place, did commit the crime of **Kidnapping in the Second Degree**, when the defendant had in her possession and did display a knife. The defendant, **Kayla L. Orsell**, held the knife to the victim, **Corey S. Manzer**, while being assisted by **Jason M. Abdalla**, did confine the victim inside of the residence and bedroom, while threatening to cause serious bodily injury, not allowing him to leave the residence for an extended period of time; all contrary to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided.

This accusatory instrument is based upon personal knowledge of facts gained through firsthand observations of your deponent, as well as other facts and circumstances learned by your deponent during the course of this investigation.

I have read the foregoing and understand that a FALSE STATEMENT MADE HEREIN IS PUNISHABLE AS A CLASS "A" MISDEMEANOR PURSUANT TO SECTION 210.45PL.

Det. Johnathan Spychalski 493
Complainant

Lieutenant of Police

EXHIBIT

N

I, Caderon Tyrrell, residing at 27 Yost Street, Johnstown, NY 12095, declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct:

1. **Background and Relationship**

   I am over the age of 18 and am competent to testify to the facts stated in this affidavit. I have personal knowledge of the circumstances surrounding Kayla Leigh Orsell's case and the events that have impacted her ability to prepare and file her habeas corpus petition.

2. **Confirmation of Cyber-Attack**

   I have directly observed and/or been informed by Ms. Orsell of a significant cyber-attack targeting her devices and resources. As a result of this attack:

   - Two printers that Ms. Orsell relied on for communication and document preparation were rendered completely inoperable.
   - This technological interference severely hindered her ability to access essential legal resources, communicate with legal contacts, and produce required documentation in a timely manner.
   - I have observed that this disruption has caused considerable delay in her ability to complete and file her habeas corpus petition.

3. **Knowledge of Coercion in Legal Proceedings**

   I attended a meeting with Ms. Orsell's attorney, James Melita, during which I personally witnessed Ms. Orsell's father threaten her with eviction, pressuring her to accept a plea deal. Additionally, during this same meeting, I heard Mr. Melita state that if Ms. Orsell chose not to take the plea and go to trial, the prosecution would likely "just go back and correct any of the falsified evidence." This statement conveyed to Ms. Orsell that

challenging the falsified evidence would be futile, creating an environment that coerced her into accepting the plea under pressure.

4. **Impact on Legal Preparation and Filing**

   Due to these events—the cyber-attack and coercion—Ms. Orsell's ability to exercise her legal rights and make informed decisions has been compromised. The cyber-attack disrupted the filing process, and the coercive pressures affected her decisions regarding her legal defense.

5. **Conclusion**

   I affirm that the statements made above are accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Caderon Tyrell

Caderon Tyrrell

Date: 10/29/2024

State of New York

County of Fulton

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 4th day of Nov, *20*. 24

Mary Ann Mars

Notary Public

Mary Ann Mars
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01MA6193730
Qualified in Fulton County
My Commission Expires on 9/22/2028

EXHIBIT

O

Outlook

Urgent Request for Assistance with Filing Habeas Corpus Petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

From kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>
Date Tue 8/13/2024 11:18 AM
To prose@ndnyfcba.org <prose@ndnyfcba.org>

Dear Pro Se Assistance Program,

I am reaching out to request urgent assistance in filing a Habeas Corpus petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. My situation involves serious procedural and substantive due process violations that have resulted in my wrongful detention for over twelve weeks without a preliminary hearing or indictment. I believe there is still no probable cause to date because the accusatory instruments used for both counts have not one fact to prove a single element of either crime. It is entirely hearsay derived solely from the statement of one unsworn individual who is a defect of character.

I am a factually innocent woman, weighing approximately 100 pounds, and I am being charged with Kidnapping in the Second Degree and Assault in the Second Degree of a much larger male. My co-defendant, who was a confidential informant, along with another guilty party, also alleged to be an informant, have both been released without any charges. Despite their involvement, I am the one facing these severe charges, which is a blatant miscarriage of justice.

Additionally, the city court handling my case lacks jurisdiction over felonies, yet the prosecutor has claimed trial readiness. There are discrepancies in the case numbers on the New York State court website, further complicating the legal proceedings. My defense counsel has refused to address these issues, as well as many more issues, leaving me without proper legal representation.

To make matters worse, I have also been subjected to ineffective counsel. Initially, I was assigned a pro bono lawyer retained by the court, and now I have a lawyer that my father has paid for, but he can no longer afford to continue funding my defense. Both lawyers have tried to dissuade me from pursuing a Habeas Corpus petition, even going so far as to incorrectly assert that it only applies post-conviction. They have refused to review my petition or the attached memorandum, leaving me with no legal support in this critical matter.

Given these circumstances, I am in desperate need of guidance and support to navigate this complex legal process. I respectfully request your assistance in preparing and filing the necessary Habeas Corpus petition to challenge my unlawful detention.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Kayla Orsell

Outlook

RE: New submission from - Contact us

From David Krauss <krauss@civilrightsfirm.com>
Date Tue 5/21/2024 2:18 PM
To koscustoms518@outlook.com <koscustoms518@outlook.com>; Sherie N. Buell <Buell@civilrightsfirm.com>

Kayla –

Thank you for contacting our firm. Unfortunately, we are unable to assist you in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

David Krauss
Bantle & Levy LLP
99 Park Avenue, Suite 1510
New York, New York 10016
Phone: 212-228-9666
Fax: 212-228-7654
krauss@civilrightsfirm.com
www.civilrightsfirm.com

From: Bantle & Levy LLP <no-reply@civilrightsfirm.com>
Sent: Monday, May 20, 2024 12:35 PM
To: Sherie N. Buell <Buell@civilrightsfirm.com>; David Krauss <krauss@civilrightsfirm.com>
Subject: New submission from - Contact us

& LLP

Name:	Kayla Orsell
Email:	koscustoms518@outlook.com
Phone:	(518) 844-8745
Company:	
How Did You Hear About Us?:	Google

Message:	I was detained 32 days on a facially insufficient accusatory jnstrament with no factual basis and now I am on 50k bond for about 2 weeks. I have not been indicted or given a preliminary hearing or waived it. I have several other civil rights claims pertaining to this incident.

This e-mail was sent from a contact form on https://www.civilrightsfirm.com/practice-areas/civil-rights/

Copyright © 2024, Bantle & Levy LLP. All Rights Reserved.

Outlook

Urgent: Civil Rights Violations and Misconduct Case for Investigation

From kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>
Date Mon 9/2/2024 1:08 AM
To knberg@protonmail.com <knberg@protonmail.com>

1 attachments (119 KB)
Kayla Rights Done (2).pdf;

Dear Ms. Berg,

I hope this email finds you well. My name is Kayla Orsell, and I am reaching out to you because of your expertise and commitment to investigative journalism, particularly in uncovering abuses of power and protecting civil rights. Your work with ProPublica and your background with the New England Center for Investigative Reporting and the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press inspire confidence that you would understand the gravity and complexity of my case.

I am writing to bring to your attention a serious matter involving multiple violations of my civil rights, misconduct by law enforcement, and procedural irregularities within the justice system that have had a profound impact on my life. These issues are outlined in a detailed document ive attached titled "Kayla Rights Done (2)," which includes specific allegations of violations of the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments, including:

- **Unlawful Detention Without Probable Cause**: My rights under the Fourth Amendment were violated when I was unlawfully detained without sufficient evidence, and critical elements of my case were mishandled.
- **Coercive and Improper Statements**: I was coerced into making statements under false pretenses, with Miranda rights improperly waived by law enforcement officers.
- **Denial of a Fair Trial**: I have experienced excessive adjournments, procedural irregularities, and ineffective legal representation, compromising my Sixth Amendment right to a fair and speedy trial.
- **Cruel and Unusual Punishment**: During my detention, I was denied necessary medical care, exposed to unsafe conditions, and subjected to degrading treatment, violating my Eighth Amendment rights.

These are not isolated incidents but part of a broader pattern of misconduct that seems to involve multiple parties, including law enforcement, prosecutors, and potentially other public officials. I have documented evidence, including video footage showing a detective crossing out critical portions of statements, including the Miranda rights section, before instructing witnesses to sign.

The state attorney general's office has indicated that while my rights may have been violated, they cannot continue their investigation because there are "more than one target," suggesting a more

extensive and potentially systemic issue. This has left me in a precarious position where my attempts to seek accountability and justice have been met with resistance and procedural barriers.

I believe my case exemplifies a significant breach of civil liberties and underscores the urgent need for transparency and accountability within our justice system. I am reaching out to you because I believe that investigative journalism can shed light on these abuses and help bring about the accountability that the legal system has so far denied me.

I would be grateful for an opportunity to discuss my case with you further and share the evidence I have collected, including the "Kayla Rights Done (2)" document, official responses, and other supporting materials. I am hopeful that with your expertise and platform, we can highlight these injustices and advocate for the necessary reforms.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to hearing from you and am happy to provide any additional information that may assist you in understanding the scope and significance of these issues.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell

My email may be compromised so please call me at (518)-571-2604

Outlook

NYCU FW: Request for Assistance with Privacy Violations, Unauthorized Surveillance, and Civil Rights Violations

From NYCLU_legalintake <legalintake@nyclu.org>
Date Thu 10/3/2024 9:14 AM
To koscustoms518@outlook.com <koscustoms518@outlook.com>

3 attachments (1 MB)
Accusatories.pdf; Discovery Checklist.pdf; Case Report Detail.pdf;

October 2, 2024

Dear Kayla Orsell,

We write in response to your request for legal assistance from the New York Civil Liberties Union ("NYCLU") regarding violations of your privacy, judicial misconduct, delay in going to trial and being threatened into signing a plea agreement. Unfortunately, we will not be able to assist you with this matter.

The NYCLU is a public interest, non-profit law firm that principally addresses challenges to a government law, policy, or practice affecting the constitutional rights of a significant number of people arising in the State of New York. While we receive a large number of complaints regarding violations of civil rights and civil liberties, we can only accept very few matters due to our limited resources.

If you still wish to pursue this matter, you may want to contact an attorney as soon as possible to discuss the merits of your claim**[s]** as well as any statutory time limits that may apply.

You may also wish to contact:
New York State Bar Association
1 Elk Street
Albany, NY 12207
(518) 463-3200
(800) 342-3661
https://nysba.org/

New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct
61 Broadway, Suite 1200
New York, New York 10006
646-386-4800

NYS Law Enforcement Misconduct Investigative Office
Office of the Attorney General
General Helpline:
1-800-771-7755
Albany, NY 12224-0341

Office of the New York Attorney General NYSAG
The Bureau of Internet and Technology (BIT)

To report a data security breach:
https://ag.ny.gov/bureau/internet-bureau

New York State Security Breach Reporting System
forms.ag.ny.gov Dear

We are sorry to be unable to help you directly, and we wish you success in resolving this matter to your satisfaction.

Sincerely,
Karen
Legal Intake Committee
York Civil Liberties Union
125 Broad Street, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10004
(212) 607-3300
www.nyclu.org

From: kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2024 2:43 PM
To: NYCLU_legalintake <legalintake@nyclu.org>
Subject: Request for Assistance with Privacy Violations, Unauthorized Surveillance, and Civil Rights Violations

Dear ACLU,

I am writing to urgently request your assistance regarding severe violations of my civil rights and privacy, compounded by unlawful surveillance, police and prosecutorial misconduct, and systemic judicial irregularities connected to a court case in which I am currently involved. These actions have deeply compromised my ability to seek justice and have inflicted severe personal and legal harm.

Incident Overview and Civil Rights Violations:

In my ongoing legal case, I have been subjected to multiple infringements of my constitutional rights, including:

1. **Arbitrary Arrest and Detention**: I was wrongfully arrested without probable cause, relying on insufficient and false evidence presented by law enforcement, including facially insufficient accusatory instruments that were not certified for trial readiness. Despite these flaws, I was held for over 32 days without a preliminary hearing or indictment, in direct violation of New York State Criminal Procedure Law (CPL 180.80), which requires such proceedings within 144 hours.

2. **Prosecutorial Misconduct and Coercion**: The prosecution engaged in multiple forms of misconduct, including withholding evidence, coercive plea negotiations, and making false statements in court. This included presenting fabricated evidence and improperly certifying the facial sufficiency of the charges, violating CPL 30.30 (5-a). I was pressured into signing a misleading agreement that threatened me with maximum penalties for truthful or untruthful statements during the pre-sentence investigation, a violation of due process.

3. **Unlawful Surveillance and Privacy Violations**: My devices have been accessed without authorization by Lenovo, which granted itself super admin rights, allowing unauthorized access to my camera, microphone, and personal data. This has resulted in significant privacy invasions and

unauthorized data sharing with the New York State Police, violating my Fourth Amendment rights against unreasonable searches and seizures.

4. **Denial of Right to a Fair Trial and Legal Representation**: Excessive delays, procedural irregularities, and ineffective counsel have severely impacted my right to a fair trial. The judge's conduct during hearings, including speaking to me directly instead of through my attorney and holding private discussions with the prosecution, created an intimidating environment that compromised the impartiality of the proceedings. My disability status was disclosed in open court without my consent, further biasing the case against me.

5. **Cruel and Unusual Punishment**: I have endured ongoing harassment, denial of necessary medical care, improper administration of medications, and exposure to sexual harassment while detained. These conditions constitute cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eighth Amendment and international human rights protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) Articles 5 and 25.

6. **Judicial Misconduct and Procedural Irregularities**: The judge has repeatedly failed to ensure due process, including denying access to critical evidence, obstructing my ability to present a full defense, and mishandling court documentation. These actions not only undermine my right to due process under the Fourteenth Amendment but also violate New York State judicial conduct standards, which require impartiality and integrity.

7. **Systemic Misuse of Sovereign Immunity**: State actors involved in these violations have evaded accountability through the misuse of sovereign immunity protections, preventing me from seeking redress for the abuses suffered, including illegal surveillance, retaliation, and other misconduct.

Request for ACLU Assistance:

Given the extensive violations of my rights, I am seeking the ACLU's urgent intervention to:

1. **Investigate Unlawful Surveillance and Privacy Violations**: I request assistance in investigating the unauthorized data access and sharing by Lenovo and state actors, and holding all responsible parties accountable.

2. **Provide Legal Support for Ongoing Civil Rights Violations**: I need legal representation to challenge the ongoing breaches of due process, unlawful surveillance, and other rights violations in my court case.

3. **Advocate for Fair Treatment and Accountability**: I urge the ACLU to advocate on my behalf to ensure my privacy, fair trial rights, and civil liberties are protected from further abuse.

4. **Raise Public Awareness**: Bringing attention to these severe abuses of power will help hold those responsible accountable and prevent future violations against others.

Conclusion:

The violations I am facing constitute severe breaches of my rights at the hands of both corporate and state actors, underscoring systemic failures that demand urgent redress. I am prepared to provide additional documentation and evidence to support this request. Please contact me at your earliest convenience at 518-571-2604 or via email at koscustoms518@outlook.com to discuss this matter further.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent appeal. I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095
518-571-2604

Attachments:

Police report (case report)

Accusatory instruments

Discovery Checklist

Outlook

Re: Habeas Corpus 28 2241

From Denise R. Diaz <ddiaz@lasnny.org>
Date Thu 6/20/2024 11:40 AM
To kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>

Sorry, please ignore this email, I'm trying to contact my supervisor.

Legal Aid Society
NORTHEASTERN NEW YORK

Denise R. Diaz
Client Services Coordinator
(she/her/hers pronouns)
Direct: (518) 620-7759
Email: ddiaz@lasnny.org
Fax: 877-324-1848
Amsterdam Office: 6 Market St., Amsterdam, NY 12110

This email may contain privileged or confidential information. If you received it in error, do not read it. Please delete it and advise me of the error. Thank you.

From: Denise R. Diaz <ddiaz@lasnny.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2024 12:36 PM
To: kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>
Subject: Re: Habeas Corpus 28 2241

Hi Erica,

This client is looking for a lawyer that can help her file a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C 2241 she just wants to make sure is done properly.
I believe is a criminal matter because she was arrested. I'm contacting you for confirmation. Can we assist in reviewing this document before submitting? or not or send it directly to a public defender.

Thanks,
Denise

From: kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2024 11:57 AM
To: Denise R. Diaz <ddiaz@lasnny.org>
Subject: Habeas Corpus 28 2241

You don't often get email from koscustoms518@outlook.com. Learn why this is important

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Please do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders or unexpected emails.

Please let me know if a lawyer from legal aid can help me review this after I've finished and/or the legal memorandum I'm attaching. The reason I am filing this is because of the illegal terms of my detention on bail bond right now which is arrest without probable cause, illegal acts by the investigators, and falsified evidence

among other issues. I do know a bit about the law but I am not a lawyer and I need one to help make sure this is done right.

Thank You,
Kayla Orsell

Sent from Mail for Windows

Outlook

Automatic reply: Request for Assistance with Privacy Violations, Unauthorized Surveillance, and Civil Rights Violations

From NYCLU_legalintake <legalintake@nyclu.org>
Date Wed 9/4/2024 1:45 PM
To kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>

Thank you for contacting the New York Civil Liberties Union. We will review your request. Know that due to a high volume of inquiries and our limited resources as a private non-profit organization, the NYCLU can only accept a limited number of intake requests.

The NYCLU is a public-interest law firm that prioritizes challenges to government laws, policies, and practices affecting the civil liberties and civil rights of a significant number of people in New York State. We rarely take on disputes involving private companies.

Given our focus, we generally do not take on the types of matters listed below:

- individual criminal defense;
- complaints about an individual's attorney in a criminal case;
- individual claims of innocence by prisoners;
- individual employment disputes;
- disputes involving private companies;
- landlord-tenant disputes;
- obtaining green cards/visas for immigrants;
- divorce or child custody matters;
- denial of government benefits; or
- cases seeking only monetary damages.

Please note that given that the NYCLU can accept only a small percentage of the many injustices brought to our attention, you may want to review our referrals list to view other agencies that may be able to assist you.

Outlook

Request for Assistance with Privacy Violations, Unauthorized Surveillance, and Civil Rights Violations

From kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>
Date Wed 9/4/2024 1:42 PM
To legalintake@nyclu.org <legalintake@nyclu.org>

3 attachments (1 MB)
Accusatories.pdf; Discovery Checklist.pdf; Case Report Detail.pdf;

Dear ACLU,

I am writing to urgently request your assistance regarding severe violations of my civil rights and privacy, compounded by unlawful surveillance, police and prosecutorial misconduct, and systemic judicial irregularities connected to a court case in which I am currently involved. These actions have deeply compromised my ability to seek justice and have inflicted severe personal and legal harm.

Incident Overview and Civil Rights Violations:

In my ongoing legal case, I have been subjected to multiple infringements of my constitutional rights, including:

1. **Arbitrary Arrest and Detention**: I was wrongfully arrested without probable cause, relying on insufficient and false evidence presented by law enforcement, including facially insufficient accusatory instruments that were not certified for trial readiness. Despite these flaws, I was held for over 32 days without a preliminary hearing or indictment, in direct violation of New York State Criminal Procedure Law (CPL 180.80), which requires such proceedings within 144 hours.

2. **Prosecutorial Misconduct and Coercion**: The prosecution engaged in multiple forms of misconduct, including withholding evidence, coercive plea negotiations, and making false statements in court. This included presenting fabricated evidence and improperly certifying the facial sufficiency of the charges, violating CPL 30.30 (5-a). I was pressured into signing a misleading agreement that threatened me with maximum penalties for truthful or untruthful statements during the pre-sentence investigation, a violation of due process.

3. **Unlawful Surveillance and Privacy Violations**: My devices have been accessed without authorization by Lenovo, which granted itself super admin rights, allowing unauthorized access to my camera, microphone, and personal data. This has resulted in significant privacy invasions and unauthorized data sharing with the New York State Police, violating my Fourth Amendment rights against unreasonable searches and seizures.

4. **Denial of Right to a Fair Trial and Legal Representation**: Excessive delays, procedural irregularities, and ineffective counsel have severely impacted my right to a fair trial. The judge's conduct during hearings, including speaking to me directly instead of through my attorney and holding private discussions with the prosecution, created an intimidating environment that compromised the

impartiality of the proceedings. My disability status was disclosed in open court without my consent, further biasing the case against me.

5. **Cruel and Unusual Punishment**: I have endured ongoing harassment, denial of necessary medical care, improper administration of medications, and exposure to sexual harassment while detained. These conditions constitute cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eighth Amendment and international human rights protections under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) Articles 5 and 25.

6. **Judicial Misconduct and Procedural Irregularities**: The judge has repeatedly failed to ensure due process, including denying access to critical evidence, obstructing my ability to present a full defense, and mishandling court documentation. These actions not only undermine my right to due process under the Fourteenth Amendment but also violate New York State judicial conduct standards, which require impartiality and integrity.

7. **Systemic Misuse of Sovereign Immunity**: State actors involved in these violations have evaded accountability through the misuse of sovereign immunity protections, preventing me from seeking redress for the abuses suffered, including illegal surveillance, retaliation, and other misconduct.

Request for ACLU Assistance:

Given the extensive violations of my rights, I am seeking the ACLU's urgent intervention to:

1. **Investigate Unlawful Surveillance and Privacy Violations**: I request assistance in investigating the unauthorized data access and sharing by Lenovo and state actors, and holding all responsible parties accountable.

2. **Provide Legal Support for Ongoing Civil Rights Violations**: I need legal representation to challenge the ongoing breaches of due process, unlawful surveillance, and other rights violations in my court case.

3. **Advocate for Fair Treatment and Accountability**: I urge the ACLU to advocate on my behalf to ensure my privacy, fair trial rights, and civil liberties are protected from further abuse.

4. **Raise Public Awareness**: Bringing attention to these severe abuses of power will help hold those responsible accountable and prevent future violations against others.

Conclusion:

The violations I am facing constitute severe breaches of my rights at the hands of both corporate and state actors, underscoring systemic failures that demand urgent redress. I am prepared to provide additional documentation and evidence to support this request. Please contact me at your earliest convenience at 518-571-2604 or via email at koscustoms518@outlook.com to discuss this matter further.

Thank you for your attention to this urgent appeal. I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095
518-571-2604

Attachments:

Police report (case report)

Accusatory instruments

Discovery Checklist

EXHIBIT P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
ReportFraud.ftc.gov

FTC Report Number
177245155

Consumer Report To The FTC

The FTC cannot resolve individual complaints, but we can provide information about next steps to take. We share your report with local, state, federal, and foreign law enforcement partners. Your report might be used to investigate cases in a legal proceeding. Please read our Privacy Policy to learn how we protect your personal information, and when we share it outside the FTC.

About you

Name: Kayla Orsell

Email: koscustoms518@outlook.com

Address: 81 Chestnut St 1/2

Phone: 518-571-2604

City: Johnstown **State:** New York **Zip Code:** 12095--272

Country: USA

What happened

I am filing this complaint against Lenovo for deceptive business practices, privacy violations, unauthorized surveillance, and possible collaboration with law enforcement without proper authorization. Lenovo's actions have caused severe harm to my devices, infringed on my privacy, and violated my rights. Details of the Issues: Device Malfunctions: Lenovo's software caused severe issues with my Windows 10 PC and mobile devices, including crashes and reduced functionality, impeding my ability to manage legal matters, like filing a habeas corpus petition. Unauthorized Surveillance: Lenovo software altered app permissions, granting super admin rights, allowing access to my camera, microphone, and data. I suspect data sharing with the New York State Police without a warrant, violating my privacy rights. Unlawful Data Sharing: Lenovo's unauthorized sharing of my information with law enforcement likely occurred without consent or a valid warrant, violating federal and state laws. Legal Violations: Fourth Amendment: Protects against unreasonable searches; Lenovo's unauthorized access and data sharing without a warrant violate my rights. Federal Wiretap Act (18 U.S.C. § 2511): Prohibits unauthorized interception of communications. Lenovo's actions may violate this statute. NY Penal Law § 250.05: Prohibits unauthorized surveillance; Lenovo's potential collusion with law enforcement violates this statute. NY General Business Law § 349: Prohibits deceptive business practices. Lenovo's failure to disclose surveillance practices and ensure data security breaches this law. Section 1983 – Deprivation of Rights (42 U.S.C. § 1983): Allows suits for rights violations under color of state law. Lenovo's unauthorized surveillance and data sharing without a warrant justify a Section 1983 claim. Impact on My Rights: Loss of Privacy: Lenovo's unauthorized access and potential data sharing invaded my privacy, causing fear and distress. Impeded Legal Access: Device malfunctions hindered my ability to pursue legal remedies, obstructing my civil rights. Requested Actions: Investigation: I request the FTC investigate Lenovo's privacy practices, unauthorized surveillance, and deceptive business conduct. Enforcement: Take enforcement actions against Lenovo, including penalties and corrective measures. Cease and Desist: Issue a cease and desist order to stop unauthorized surveillance and data sharing without consent. Compensation: Require Lenovo to compensate for device damages, invasion of privacy, and emotional distress. Conclusion: Lenovo's actions violate consumer protection laws and constitutional rights. I urge the FTC to investigate and take action to uphold privacy and consumer rights. Sincerely, Kayla Orsell

How it started

Date fraud began:	Amount I was asked for:	Amount I Paid:
05/22/2024		
Payment Used:		**How I was contacted:**
		Website or App

Details about the company, business, or individual

Company/Person
Name: Lenovo

Company/Person		
Address Line 1:	Address Line 2:	City:
State:	Zip Code:	Country: CHN
Email Address:		
Phone:		
Website:		
Name of Person You Dealt With:		

Your Next Steps

Privacy Advice:

Learn more about keeping your personal information secure here.

If you're concerned that someone might misuse your information, like your Social Security, credit card, or bank account number, go to identitytheft.gov for steps you can take.

If you have a dispute with a business, you can contact the office of the attorney general for your state — or for the state in which the business is located — to file a complaint. In some states, your state attorney general can help to contact the business and mediate a resolution.

What Happens Next

- Your report will help us in our efforts to protect all consumers. Thank You!
- We can't resolve your individual report, but we use reports to investigate and bring cases against fraud, scams, and bad business practices.
- We share your report with our law enforcement partners who also use reports to investigate and bring cases against fraud, scams, and bad business practices.
- We use reports to spot trends, educate the public, and provide data about what is happening in your community. You can check out what is going on in your state and metro area by visiting ftc.gov/exploredata.
- Investigations and cases do take time, but when we bring cases, we try to get money back for people. Check out ftc.gov/refunds to see recent FTC cases that resulted in refunds.

Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK Document 1 Filed 11/13/24 Page 186 of 197



Professional Services

Lenovo

Enhancing police investigations with rapid digital forensics

Blue Lights Digital

Collaborating with Lenovo, Blue Lights Digital set out to demonstrate the performance and efficiency benefits of using Lenovo ThinkStation P620 workstations for digital forensics—helping police forces to source hardware with a proven ability to enhance their operations.

Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK Document 1 Filed 11/13/24 Page 187 of 197

1

Who is Blue Lights Digital?

A leader in digital investigations, Blue Lights Digital has decades of experience assisting private businesses, law enforcement, and intelligence agencies with their digital operations. As well as conducting its own private investigations for corporate clients and individuals, Blue Lights Digital helps security professionals, military personnel, and law enforcement strengthen their investigative capabilities with cutting-edge digital forensics tools and training.

BLD

Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK Document 1 Filed 11/13/24 Page 188 of 197

2

The Challenge

In today's technology-driven world, almost every crime committed will generate some form of digital evidence. As a result, having the capability to analyze computers, mobile phones, tablets, and other common devices quickly is an essential element in uncovering clues and bringing criminals to justice.

With technology evolving at pace, police forces must adapt their approaches, develop their skills and ensure they have the right tools at hand to be able to carry out effective forensic investigations.

Nick Curry, COO at Blue Lights Digital explains: "The amount of data the police need to process when carrying out routine digital forensic analysis has rapidly increased in recent years. To put this into perspective, if you were to print out all of the data from a mobile phone with a 256 gigabyte storage capacity in size 10 font, the paper would easily fill two 40 foot shipping containers. Today, it is very common for witnesses and suspects to possess multiple devices with memory capacities of up to one terabyte. Ultimately, the need to process more data faster places additional pressure on already strained police forces."

Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK Document 1 Filed 11/13/24 Page 189 of 197



Many investigations by law enforcement agencies are time-sensitive. In some cases, uncovering evidence from a seized device quickly can make all the difference in a life-and-death scenario.

Paul Cook, Digital Forensic Lead and Technical Service Officer at Blue Lights Digital, comments: "With police resources already stretched, very few forces have the luxury of being able to set aside the time to keep up to date with the latest developments in the back-end hardware powering their digital forensics solutions. Often, when it comes to refreshing their capabilities, police forces fall into the trap of sticking with the status quo—potentially missing out on IT infrastructure that would transform their digital forensics operations."

"I have worked with Digital Forensic Units for about 8 years, so when we announced the P620 last year, I knew that it would accelerate these key workflows." says Paul Sansbury, Workstation Specialist, Public Sector. "Working with Blue Lights Digital, we have clearly quantified this performance increase and I couldn't be happier with the results. The support from BLD and their forensics practitioner have been pivotal in proving my initial belief."

Eager to help police forces improve their digital forensics capabilities, Blue Lights Digital set out to enable decision makers in law enforcement to better evaluate and understand the benefits of different hardware offerings.

Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK Document 1 Filed 11/13/24 Page 190 of 197

Real-world testing, next-gen hardware

Following a successful collaboration with Blue Lights Digital, Lenovo approached the company with a new proposal. The aim: evaluate how a Lenovo ThinkStation P620 would perform when running leading forensic software.

"We were keen to help Lenovo prove the value of their hardware, and we had the skills in-house to be able to objectively measure the performance of a Lenovo ThinkStation P620 running specialist software," explains Nick Curry. "Many of our experts are security-cleared former police officers and digital forensics experts with experience running leading forensics programs in the field. By drawing on this unique knowledge base, we could put the Lenovo hardware through its paces and mimic the way it would be used by law enforcement on a day-to-day basis."

Hardware

Lenovo ThinkStation P620 workstation
AMD Ryzen Threadripper

Software

AccessData Forensics ToolKit
ADF solutions
Cellebrite UFED
Griffeye
HancomWITH

Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK Document 1 Filed 11/13/24 Page 191 of 197

3

Results

From its initial benchmarking activities, Blue Lights Digital discovered that a Lenovo ThinkStation P620 can deliver significant performance advantages compared to the current benchmark dual-processor workstation.

"We were impressed with just how performant and powerful the Lenovo ThinkStation P620 is," reflects Nick Curry. "Overall, we measured that Lenovo hardware offered a 25% boost in efficiency when recovering data from a variety of devices using industry-recognised forensics software. If police forces were able to achieve this level of efficiency in their operations, they could accelerate the discovery of key evidence, which is especially critical in time-sensitive cases."

- 27% faster computer analysis
- 41% faster smartphone analysis
- 37% faster image analysis
- 25% boost in efficiency when recovering forensic data from devices

Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK Document 1 Filed 11/13/24 Page 192 of 197

When compared with the industry-recognised 24-core workstation, Blue Lights Digital found that a Lenovo ThinkStation P620 could conduct forensic capture analysis on an Android Poco device using HandcomWITH over 24% faster. Using the same software, the Lenovo workstation also accelerated analysis on an iPhone 12 device by 41%.

Blue Lights Digital discovered that a Lenovo ThinkStation P620 workstation delivered impressive performance improvements when recovering data from other devices, too. For instance, using ADF solutions on the Lenovo workstation, time to conduct forensic analysis on a device running Mac OSX was reduced by 27%. Similarly, running Griffeye software, image analysis on a Windows device was 37% faster compared with the industry-recognised architecture.

> **"If a police force were to deploy four Lenovo ThinkStation P620s, we've calculated that they would see the same boost in efficiency as they would by hiring an additional digital forensics officer. With many forces looking for ways to optimize their resources, achieving efficiency improvements of this magnitude will go a long way to helping them strengthen their operations while ensuring value for taxpayers. Ultimately, empowering law enforcement to increase productivity and efficiency will help to bring more criminals to justice and keep law-abiding citizens safe."**
>
> **Paul Cook**
> Digital Forensic Lead and Technical Service Officer at Blue Lights Digital

Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK Document 1 Filed 11/13/24 Page 193 of 197

Why Lenovo?

To test the versatility of the ThinkStation P620, Blue Lights Digital benchmarked performance while running a variety of the most widely used digital forensics software packages in the world, including AccessData Forensics ToolKit, ADF solutions, Cellebrite UFED, Griffeye. and HancomWITH.

The Lenovo ThinkStation P620 stood a strong chance of taking the lead from the benchmark machine.

Paul Cook comments: "As well as measuring the performance of the Lenovo ThinkStation P620 workstation running digital forensics solutions, we also benchmarked the Lenovo hardware against the workstation most commonly used in the digital forensics field. This way, we could provide law enforcement with a set of objective data illustrating the benefits of using a Lenovo machine compared against existing models."



Case 9:24-cv-01389-LEK Document 1 Filed 11/13/24 Page 194 of 197


Lenovo
How can law enforcement process evidence faster?
Accelerating digital forensics for faster results and better outcomes with Lenovo technology.
Explore Lenovo Workstations

Lenovo and the Lenovo logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Lenovo.
© Lenovo 2023. All rights reserved.

EXHIBIT
Q

42D-80

GLOVERSVILLE CITY COURT

3 Frontage Road, Gloversville, NY 12078
Phone: (518) 706-3320
e-mail: GloversvilleCity@nycourts.gov

The People of the State of New York
vs.

Kayla L. Orsell
81 Chestnut Street, Johnstown, NY 12095

Sex: Female Race: White DOB: 10/30/1988

Securing Order

Docket Number: **CR-00359-24**
CJTN:
NYSID:
Index Number:
DA Case Number:
EYO: N YO: N

Arrest Date: 04/09/2024

The above-named defendant is charged with the following offense(s):

Charge	Charge Weight	Charge Description	No. of Counts	Incident Date
PL 135.20	BF	Kidnapping 2nd	1	04/05/2024
PL 120.05 02	DF	Aslt W/Int Cause Ph Inj W/Weap	1	

The Court has considered the kind and degree of control or restriction necessary to reasonably assure the defendant's return to court and compliance with any court conditions and has selected a securing order consistent with its determination under CPL 510.10(1). .

It is hereby **ORDERED** that the defendant is committed to the custody of the **Fulton County Sheriff** until **bail** is posted as follows:

- $50,000.00 Cash, 10% of $100,000.00 Partially Secured Surety Bond, $75,000.00 Insurance Company Bail Bond

It is further **ORDERED** that the defendant's future attendance is required before this Court as follows:

Court Name:	**Gloversville City Court**
Location:	**3 Frontage Road, Gloversville, NY 12078**
Date/Time:	**04/17/2024 at 9:00 AM**
Part:	**Courtroom**
Floor/Room:	**Floor 1st/Room 1**
Before Judge:	**TBD Judge, Trial Assignment Part**
For the purposes of:	**18B Attorney Assignment and Bail Review**

TAKE NOTICE that:

- a defendant released on recognizance, or under non-monetary conditions, or after posting bail must appear in court as directed, must not commit a crime, must obey conditions of release, if any, and shall be subject to consequences set forth on the record for violation of release conditions, including but not limited to revoking the current securing order and imposing a more restrictive securing order.
- a defendant committed to custody shall be produced by the Fulton County Sheriff as directed, and upon release from custody, the Fulton County Sheriff shall advise the defendant of the obligation to appear in court on the next scheduled court date as directed by the court.

Dated: **April 9, 2024**

Hon. Traci DiMezza

Gloversville Police Department
Michael F. Garavelli, Chief of Police
Brad I. Schaffer, Captain of Operations
❖ Robert Brown, Admin Lieutenant ❖ Ronald Reu, Patrol Lieutenant ❖
Lucas Nellis, Detective Lieutenant ❖
City Hall, 3 Frontage Road, Gloversville, New York 12078 ❖ www.cityofgloversville.com ❖ Phone (518) 773-4514 Fax: (518) 773-4540

Fulton County District Attorney's Office Bail Recommendation

State of New York, County of Fulton

City of Gloversville City Court

The People of the State of New York,

V

Kayla L. Orsell
Defendant

That on 4/9/24 (Date) at 1437 (AM/PM), 1, the undersigned,

T/F C. Zmuda #175 (Name), an officer with the Gloversville Police Department, Personally spoke with _____ (Name) an ADA/DA with the Fulton County District Attorney's Office, and the said ADA/DA recommend that bail, in the above entitled action be set by Gloversville City Court Judge or any other arraigning Judge or Justice at:

$ 50,000 (Cash) or $ 75,000 Bond or $100,000 (Ins. Co. Bond)

Dated: 4/9/24

#175
Signature

Additional Request:

○ No Bail: The defendant has two previous felony convictions. (CPL, 530.20(2)(a)

☒ Order of Protection STAY AWAY or REFRAIN FROM in Favor of: COREY MANZER (DOB 3/25/94)

FROM:

Kayla Orsch
81 Chestnut St.
Johnstown, N.Y. 12095

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JOHN M. [illegible] CLERK
NOV 13 2024
RECEIVED

TO:

District Court Northern District
445 Broadway Room 509
Albany. NY 12207

BC

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
NOV 26 2024
AT ____ O'CLOCK ____
John M. Domurad, Clerk - Albany

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla Leigh Orsell,
Petitioner,

v.

Johnathon Spychalski, Detective, Gloversville Police Department;
Lucas Nellis, Lieutenant, Gloversville Police Department;
James P. Riley, Esq., District Attorney, Fulton County District Attorney's Office;
The Honorable Traci DiMezza, Gloversville City Court Judge,
Respondents.

Case No.: 9:24-cv-01389

Exhibit List Amendment for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

Submitted by:

Kayla Leigh Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095
(518)-705-4054

kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Date Filed: 11/25/2024

Kayla Orsell

R. Proof That My Government Benefit Sim Cards Are Being Delivered Elseware

- Email from Q link wireless saying my sim card order is on the way
- Swiship tracking from Qlink wireless saying the sim was delivered in Amsterdam
- USPS tracking for the same tracking number of sim card

S. Part of Police Report With Petitioner's Miranda Rights Time and Date

- Miranda date and time says 04/09/2024 00:00
- I asked my attorney Kyle Davis if I was read my miranda rights and he said yes

T. Google Maps Images of Travel by Whoever Has my Actual Qlink Sim Card

- My Qlink sim card somehow crossed the places traveled with my google maps.
- During these times I had no vehicle and did not travel.
- The traveling is only within the boarders of Johnstown and Gloversville which have recently (as of March 2024) announced in the local newspaper that they will be allowed to police in both jurisdictions.

U. More Evidence of Cloned Sim Cards

- Phone error messages when calling **#06#** to see the phones status
- Connection timed out error screenshot from PC when trying to access NYS webcriminal site.
- More google maps evidence of strange traveling
- Information found in cell phone settings that seems to show the sim card cloning
- List of three numbers I got from Qlink. One I pay an extra $10 for: 838-249-9390. One is my own original number: 518-844-8745. I have no idea who opened the number ending in 1995.

V. Qlink Wireless Orders

- Orders and payment history from Qlink wireless

W. Email from Qlink Wireless for Appointment

- Made an appointment to talk to someone from Qlink. Asked them to call my house phone but it never rang.
- Email confirming missed appointment.

X. Longitude and Latitude of My Cell Phone Number

- Longitude and latitude of my cell phone number on records finder
- Longitude and latitude of 3 Frontage Rd Gloversville where City Hall and the Gloversville Police Station are.
- These longitude and latitude numbers match.

Y. Email to Court Clerk John Domurad

- Email reply to court clerk John Domurad and CC a Mr. Mcallist
- Discussing payment and affidavit submission, and the cyber attack
- No reply ever received from either recipient

Z. Cloned Sim Cards

- Original tracfone sim card that worked with tracfone (518)-571-2604
- Clone that currently works with tracfone (518)-571-2604
- Qlink Wireless extra line clone that works with (838)-249-9390
- Other Qlink cloned sim unsure which number it goes to.
- Card punch out that came with one of the cloned Qlink sims.

EXHIBIT R

Outlook

Your Q Link Package has shipped.

From Q Link Wireless <support@qlinkwireless.net>
Date Sun 10/13/2024 7:19 PM
To herkfaninny518@outlook.com <herkfaninny518@outlook.com>

Enrollment ID: 229913168

Log In

Your Q Link order is ON THE WAY!

Hi KAYLA,

We are excited to inform you that your order has been shipped. See details of your package(s) below:

You order will arrive:

10/15/2024

Ship To:

KAYLA ORSELL
81 CHESTNUT ST
APT 12
JOHNSTOWN, NY 12095-2724
UNITED STATES

ITEM	NAME	TRACKING

swiship

English

Tracking ID: 9361289688038389082418

Track your Packages

1 item has shipped in 1 package

1 item delivered

October 14th

Carrier USPS

Tracking 9361289688038389082418

TRACKING HISTORY

October 14		
12:03 pm	Package delivered.	Amsterdam, NY
10:39 am	Package arrived at a carrier facility.	Amsterdam, NY
8:10 am	Package is out for delivery.	Johnstown, NY
7:59 am	Package arrived at a carrier facility.	Amsterdam, NY

Load more

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Remove X

Tracking Number:

9361289688038389082418

Copy Add to Informed Delivery (https://informeddelivery.usps.com/)

Latest Update

Your item was delivered in or at the mailbox at 12:03 pm on October 14, 2024 in AMSTERDAM, NY 12010.

Get More Out of USPS Tracking:

USPS Tracking Plus®

Delivered

Delivered, In/At Mailbox
AMSTERDAM, NY 12010
October 14, 2024, 12:03 pm

Out for Delivery
JOHNSTOWN, NY 12095
October 14, 2024, 8:10 am

Arrived at Hub
AMSTERDAM, NY 12010
October 14, 2024, 7:59 am

Accepted at USPS Destination Facility
JOHNSTOWN, NY 12095
October 14, 2024, 6:44 am

Departed Shipping Partner Facility, USPS Awaiting Item
WALLINGFORD, CT 06492
October 13, 2024, 11:53 pm
Shipping Partner: AMAZON

Feedback

Departed Shipping Partner Facility, USPS Awaiting Item
WINDSOR, CT 06095
October 13, 2024, 11:30 am
Shipping Partner: AMAZON

Picked Up By Shipping Partner, USPS Awaiting Item
WINDSOR, CT 06095
October 12, 2024, 8:59 pm
Shipping Partner: AMAZON

Hide Tracking History

What Do USPS Tracking Statuses Mean? **(https://faq.usps.com/s/article/Where-is-my-package)**

Text & Email Updates

USPS Tracking Plus®

Product Information

See Less

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

Need More Help?

Contact USPS Tracking support for further assistance.

FAQs

EXHIBIT
S

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Arrest Information

General Information

Arrest Number:	34001972	**Case Number:**	2024-03402889	**Arrest Type:**	01-Complaint
Location Formatted:	3 FRONTAGE RD, Gloversville, NY 12078	**Location Common Name:**		**Arrest Status:**	01-Held
Status Date/Time:	04/09/2024 14:46	**Age at Arrest:**	35	**Arrest Date/Time:**	04/09/2024 14:30
Arrest Result Of:		**Resisted Arrest:**		**Basis for Caution:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:	N-Not Applicable	**Arresting Officer:**	3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon	**Arresting Officer Assignment:**	
School Response Officer:	No	**Arrestee Subject Type:**	Adult	**Arrestee Name Formatted:**	ORSELL, KAYLA L
Arrestee Location Formatted:	81 1/2 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095	**Arrestee Primary Contact:**	Home Phone - (518) 705-4054	**Arrestee Date Of Birth:**	10/30/1988
Arrestee Local Id Number:		**Arrestee License Number:**	986853693	**Arrestee License State:**	NY
Arrestee License Expiration Date:		**Arrestee Age:**	35	**Arrestee Height:**	5'2"
Arrestee Weight:	100 lbs	**Arrestee Race:**	W-White	**Arrestee Sex:**	F-Female
Arrestee Ethnic Origin:	N-Not-Hispanic	**Arrestee Eye Color:**	BRO	**Arrestee Hair Color:**	BLD

Additional Arrest Information

Statement Taken By:		**Officer Who Read Miranda:**	3481 - Knecht, Brian	**Miranda Date/Time:**	04/09/2024 00:00
Fingerprinted By:	3484 - Pescetti, Christopher	**Fingerprint Date:**		**Statement Type:**	Verbal
Resident Type:	City	**Resident Status:**	R-Arrest - Resident	**Alcohol Influence:**	
Breath Analyzer Result:		**Drug Influence:**		**Clears Case:**	
ID Procedure:		**School Name:**		**Campus Code:**	
Juvenile Disposition:					

Arresting Officers

Primary:	Yes	**Arresting Officer:**	3493 Spychalski, Johnathon	**Assignment:**	
School Response Officer:	No				

EXHIBIT

T

Tue, Oct 15, 2024

1 mi
12 min

2 visits

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 9:36 PM

Driving
1.2 mi · 12 min
9:36 PM – 9:48 PM

Johnstown
Johnstown, NY 12095

Sun, Oct 20, 2024

3 mi
5 hr 45 min

3 mi
18 min

5 visits

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 4:10 PM

Driving
2.1 mi · 8 min
4:10 PM – 4:18 PM

Visited Johnstown Mall?
Johnstown Mall, 248 N Comrie Ave, Johnstown, NY ...

Mon, Oct 14, 2024

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 3:35 PM

Driving
5.2 mi · 6 hr 9 min
3:35 PM – 9:44 PM

Johnstown
Johnstown, NY 12095
9:44 PM – 11:59 PM

Home (81 Chestnut St)



Mon, Oct 14, 2024

5 mi
6 hr 9 min

3 visits

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 3:35 PM

Driving
5.2 mi · 6 hr 9 min
3:35 PM – 9:44 PM

Johnstown
Johnstown, NY 12095

Sat, Oct 12, 2024

Swimming
50 ft · 5 hr 55 min
Left at 11:46 PM

Wed, Oct 30, 2024

27 mi
22 hr 5 min
14 mi
44 min
9 visits

Driving
7.8 mi · 9 hr 46 min
Arrived at 2:47 AM

Visited 5 Littauer Pl?
5 Littauer Pl, Gloversville, NY 12078
2:47 AM – 3:50 AM

Yes Edit

Wed, Oct 30, 2024

27 mi
22 hr 5 min
14 mi
44 min
9 visits

Driving
7.8 mi · 9 hr 46 min
Arrived at 2:47 AM

Visited 5 Littauer Pl?
5 Littauer Pl, Gloversville, NY 12078
2:47 AM – 3:50 AM

Yes Edit

Sat, Nov 2, 2024

4 mi
16 min
3 visits

Missing visit
Left at 2:23 PM

+ Add visit

Driving
2.4 mi · 9 min
2:23 PM – 2:32 PM

Johnstown Mall
Johnstown Mall, 248 N Comrie Ave, Johnstown, NY ...
2:32 PM – 2:38 PM

Places

Dollar General
Dollar store

Driving
2.1 mi · 7 min
2:38 PM – 2:45 PM

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Arrived at 1:45 PM

Why add an E911 Address?

For your safety, US law requires Q Link Wireless to register an E911 addre account. If you contact 9-1-1 via Wi-Fi calling and are unable to commu will send your E911 address to emergency services for you.

Please, enter your E911 address.

Address

1003 ARLINGTON AVE

Unit Type | **Unit #**

Unit Type | Unit #

City

EMERYVILLE

State | **Zip**

CA | 94608

Use of Wi-Fi Calling may impact your ability to receive emergency services. It may al access to an unsecured Wi-Fi network which could impact your data security. See qlinkwireless.com for more information on Wi-Fi Calling including, the availability ar of 9-1-1 emergency services. By pressing Save, you are agreeing to Q Link Wireless Policy and Terms and Conditions.

Save



Thu, Nov 7, 2024

Driving
50 ft · 18 hr 8 min
Arrived at 4:43 PM

Visited Cumberland Farms?
29 W Main St, Fonda, NY 12068
4:43 PM – 4:57 PM

Yes | Edit

Driving

Sun, Oct 13, 2024

1 visit

Swimming
50 ft · 5 hr 55 min
Arrived at 5:40 AM

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Arrived at 5:40 AM

Wed, Oct 30, 2024

27 mi
22 hr 5 min
14 mi
44 min
9 visits

Driving
7.8 mi · 9 hr 46 min
Arrived at 2:47 AM

Visited 5 Littauer Pl?
5 Littauer Pl, Gloversville, NY 12078
2:47 AM – 3:50 AM

Wed, Oct 30, 2024

27 mi
22 hr 5 min
14 mi
44 min
9 visits

Driving
7.8 mi · 9 hr 46 min
Arrived at 2:47 AM

Visited 5 Littauer Pl?
5 Littauer Pl, Gloversville, NY 12078
2:47 AM – 3:50 AM

Sun, Oct 13, 2024

1 visit

Swimming
50 ft · 5 hr 55 min
Arrived at 5:40 AM

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Arrived at 5:40 AM

Mon, Oct 14, 2024

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 3:35 PM

Driving
5.2 mi · 6 hr 9 min
3:35 PM – 9:44 PM

Johnstown
Johnstown, NY 12095
9:44 PM – 11:59 PM

Sun, Oct 20, 2024

Driving
0.1 mi · 2 hr 59 min
7:00 PM – 9:59 PM

Visited A G Cole Funeral Home Inc?
215 E Main St, Johnstown, NY 12095
9:59 PM – 10:56 PM

Yes Edit

Mon, Oct 14, 2024

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 3:35 PM

Driving
5.2 mi · 6 hr 9 min
3:35 PM – 9:44 PM

Johnstown
Johnstown, NY 12095
9:44 PM – 11:59 PM

Thu, Nov 7, 2024

Driving
50 ft · 18 hr 8 min
Arrived at 4:43 PM

Visited Cumberland Farms?
29 W Main St, Fonda, NY 12068
4:43 PM – 4:57 PM

Yes Edit

Sat, Nov 2, 2024

4 mi
16 min
3 visits

Missing visit
Left at 2:23 PM

Add visit

Driving
2.4 mi · 9 min
2:23 PM – 2:32 PM

Johnstown Mall
Johnstown Mall, 248 N Comrie Ave, Johnstown, NY ...
2:32 PM – 2:38 PM

Places

Dollar General
Dollar store

Driving
2.1 mi · 7 min
2:38 PM – 2:45 PM

Home (81 Chestnut St)

Tue, Oct 15, 2024

1 mi
12 min
2 visits

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 9:36 PM

Driving
1.2 mi · 12 min
9:36 PM – 9:48 PM

Sun, Oct 20, 2024

3 mi
5 hr 45 min

3 mi
18 min

5 visits

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 4:10 PM

Driving
2.1 mi · 8 min
4:10 PM – 4:18 PM

Sun, Oct 20, 2024

Driving
0.1 mi · 2 hr 59 min
7:00 PM – 9:59 PM

Visited A G Cole Funeral Home Inc?
215 E Main St, Johnstown, NY 12095
9:59 PM – 10:56 PM

Yes Edit

Mon, Oct 14, 2024

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 3:35 PM

Driving
5.2 mi · 6 hr 9 min
3:35 PM – 9:44 PM

Johnstown
Johnstown, NY 12095
9:44 PM – 11:59 PM

Home (81 Chestnut St)

Gloversville Pod

Gloversville Pod

QLINK WIRELESS

Make it a
Family Plan
Connect More, Pay Less

Add a no-contract, pay-as-you-go line to your Q Link plan for only $10/mo.

Add a Line

Welcome, KAYLA!

Enrollment ID: **229913168**

You have 4 new messages >

My Plan & Usage

Displaying usage for:

518-844-8745

0.1 GB
data used out of
4.5 GB

58 minutes used out of **1000 Minutes**

0 GB data refill used out of **0**

Shop Plan Refills

Data, Talk & Text usage may take 2-5 days or longer to display accurately.

Plan Details >

My Lines

Pending Set Up

Primary Line

838-249-9390

Line 2

Add a Line

Add a Line to your plan for only $10/mo.

Manage Lines **My Rewards and Offers** >

Save even more with your cell phone plan by taking advantage of these rewards and offers!

Learn More >

Make it a Family Plan

Add a no-contract, pay-as-you-go line to your Q Link plan for only $10/Mo.

Add a Line >

Have questions? We've got answers!

Browse popular topics below, visit our Help Center or contact our Customer Support Team at 1 (855) 754-6543.

Need a SIM Card replacement? >

How do I fix my data if it isn't working? >

How do I turn on Wi-Fi calling on my phone? >

Lost/Stolen or Broken Device | Check My Coverage | I'm Moving Out Of State | 4G LTE/5G | How to get a FREE P

Video Library

king 38389082418

TRACKING HISTORY

October 14		
12:03 pm	Package delivered.	Amsterdam, NY
10:39 am	Package arrived at a carrier facility.	Amsterdam, NY
8:10 am	Package is out for delivery.	Johnstown, NY
7:59 am	Package arrived at a carrier facility.	Amsterdam, NY
7:44 am	Package transferred to another carrier for delivery.	Amsterdam, NY

My Plan / Usage Log

Usage Log for 518-844-8745

Usage for:

- 518-844-8745 (selected)
- 510-282-1995
- 838-249-9390

Bill Date	Originating #
9/5/2024	*****8626
9/5/2024	******4419

My Plan / Usage Log

Usage Log for 518-844-8745

Usage for:

518-844-8745

510-282-1995

838-249-9390

Bill Date | Originating #

9/5/2024 | *****8626

9/5/2024 | ******4419

September 2024 | Data

Download Usage

Bill Date	Originating #	Data	Type
9/5/2024	5188448745	5.8	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	629.31	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	2.96	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	3.63	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	206.1	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	345.17	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	5.01	Data
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
9/5/2024	5188448745	14.19	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	0.41	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	10004.05	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	6	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	10002.39	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	6.3	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	10002.27	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	15.73	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	31	Data
9/5/2024	5188448745	292603.84	Data

September 2024 | Data

Download Usage

Originating #	Data
5188448745	133384.01
5188448745	3.78
5188448745	80057.73
5188448745	14.19
5188448745	0.41
5188448745	10004.05
5188448745	6
5188448745	10002.39
5188448745	6.3

Notifications

Report spam

Leave group

EXHIBIT U

End-to-end encryption: On
This RCS chat is end-to-end encrypted. Only you and people in this group can read these messages. More about RCS chats

3 other people | Add people

(412) 713-0153

(650) 695-9674

+44 7397 233998

Billing Period: November 2024

Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Bill Date	Originating #
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/8/2024	****9390

153 MB used Aug 28 – Sep 27

Data warning & limit

Carrier settings version
s311480gidl_3d_ba01270000000000-33065550(
55500

Calling

Wi-Fi Calling
Use Wi-Fi when the mobile network is not availat

Network

Automatically select network

Choose network

Access Point Names

Wi-Fi

Network preferences
Wi-Fi turns back on automatically

Saved networks
3 networks

Non-carrier data usage
6.68 GB used Aug 12 – Sep 9

To improve device experience, apps and services can still scan for Wi-Fi networks at any time, even when Wi-Fi is off. This can be used, for example, to improve location-based features and services. You can change this in Wi-Fi scanning settings.

Change

838-249-9390

Billing Period: November 2024

Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Bill Date	Originating #
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390

153 MB used

0 B 2.00 GB

2.00 GB data warning
18 days left

Calls preference

SMS preference

Mobile data
Access data using mobile network

International Data Roaming
Connect to data services when roaming

We quarantined 1 threat on moto g play (XT2413V)

This threat has been quarantined to prevent it from harming your device. It will be automatically deleted in 7 days.

Details

Device name
moto g play (XT2413V)

Threats quarantined
1

Files scanned
643

Scan duration
3m32s

king 38389082418

TRACKING HISTORY

October 14

12:03 pm	Package delivered.	Amsterdam, NY
10:39 am	Package arrived at a carrier facility.	Amsterdam, NY
8:10 am	Package is out for delivery.	Johnstown, NY
7:59 am	Package arrived at a carrier facility.	Amsterdam, NY
7:44 am	Package transferred to another carrier for delivery.	Amsterdam, NY

153 MB used Aug 28 – Sep 27

Data warning & limit

Carrier settings version
s311480gidl_3d_ba01270000000000-330655500.3306
55500

Calling

Wi-Fi Calling
Use Wi-Fi when the mobile network is not available

Network

Automatically select network

Choose network

LTE RRC State: RRC in error state
LTE Current Band for Primary Cell: 13
LTE Serving Cell PLMNID: 311
LTE Serving Cell TAC: 18250
LTE Serving Cell ECI: 18207747
LTE Serving Cell PCI: 383
LTE Downlink Channel: 0
LTE Uplink Channel: 18000
LTE Tx Power (dBm): -3277
LTE Signal Strength: RSRP (dBm): -118
RSRQ (dB): -17
SNR (dB): -2
CA Downlink 2 Status: false
CA Downlink 3 Status: false
CA Uplink 2 Status: false
CA Downlink All Enabled Bands:
[41C, 2A,2A, 1A,5A, 2C, 4A,4A, 2A,5A, 5B, 7A,7A, 2A,12A, 7B, 25A,25A, 2A,13A, 7C, 41A,41A, 2A,71A, 38C, 66A,66A, 3A,8A, 66B, 4A,5A, 66C, 4A,12A, 12B, 4A,13A, 4A,17A, 4A,71A, 5A,7A, 5A,66A, 7A,8A, 7A,12A, 66A,71A, 12A,66A, 13A,66A, 25A,26A, 26A,41A]
CA Uplink All Enabled Bands:
[41C_UL]

All Enabled Bands: LTE: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 46, 47, 48, 66, 71

Download Usage

Originating #	Destination #
*****2604	****8745
*****2604	****8745
****8745	*****2604
****8745	*****2604
45038	****8745
68697	****8745
68697	****8745
68697	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****2604	****8745
****8745	*****2604
*****2604	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****2604	****8745
45276	****8745
45276	****8745
*****4419	****8745

Billing Period: November 2024

Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Originating #	Destination #
****9390	*****2604
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****2660
****9390	*****4136
****9390	*****4136

*****4419	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****9999	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****9999	****8745
*****9999	****8745
*****2604	****8745
****8745	*****4149
****8745	*****4438
****8745	*****2604
****8745	*****2604
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****9999	****8745

0
data used out of
4.5 GB

Data, Talk & Text usage may take 2-5 days or longer to display accurately.

7 minutes used out of **1000 Minutes**

****8745	*****2604
****8745	*****2604
****8745	*****2604
****8745	*****2604
****8745	*****2604
*****2604	****8745
*****9999	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****2604	****8745
*****2604	****8745

Billing Period: November 2024

Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Originating #	Destination #
41599	****8745
*****4438	****8745
*****4438	****8745
*****4438	****8745
*****4438	****8745

Billing Period: November 2024

Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Originating #	Destination #
****9390	*****2604
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****2660
****9390	*****4136
****9390	*****4136


Notifications
Report spam
Leave group
End-to-end encryption: On
This RCS chat is end-to-end encrypted. Only you and people in this group can read these messages. More about RCS chats
3 other people
Add people
(412) 713-0153
(650) 695-9674
+44 7397 233998
November 2024
Voice & SMS
Download Usage
Originating #
Destination #
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****4419 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****4419 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****9999 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****9999 ****8745
*****9999 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745


Error
Group name
Error
Change colors
Notifications
Report spam
Leave group
End-to-end encryption: On
This RCS chat is end-to-end encrypted. Only you and people in this group can read these messages. More about RCS chats
3 other people
Add people
68697 ****8745
68697 ****8745
45276 ****8745
*****4419 ****8745
*****4419 ****8745
*****4419 ****8745
*****4419 ****8745
*****4419 ****8745
* Data usage is shown in kilobytes (KB). 1 MB = 1,024 KB.
1 2 3 4 5 40
****8745 *****2604
****8745 *****2604
****8745 *****2604
****8745 *****2604
****8745 *****2604
*****2604 ****8745
*****9999 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745

Notifications
Report spam
Leave group
End-to-end encryption: On
This RCS chat is end-to-end encrypted. Only you and people in this group can read these messages. More about RCS chats
3 other people
Add people
(412) 713-0153
(650) 695-9674
+44 7397 233998
Download Usage
Originating #
Destination #
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
****8745 *****2604
****8745 *****2604
45038 ****8745
68697 ****8745
68697 ****8745
68697 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
****8745 *****2604
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
*****2604 ****8745
45276 ****8745
45276 ****8745
*****4419 ****8745

+15185712604, IMS:+15185712604 }
ICCID: 89148000010199316472
Current subId: 1
SubId of default data SIM: 1
IMSI: 311480054606108
Current Network:
Roaming: Not Roaming
Data Service: Disconnected
Data Network Type: IWLAN
Override Network Type: NONE
Voice Service: Out of Service
Voice Network Type: Unknown
Signal Strength: 2147483647 dBm 99 asu
DL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
UL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
LTE Physical Channel Configuration: {{mConnectionStatus=PrimaryServing,mCellBandwidthDownlinkKhz=2147483647,mCellBandwidthUplinkKhz=0,mNetworkType=UNKNOWN,mFrequencyRange=UNKNOWN,mDownlinkChannelNumber=0,mUplinkChannelNumber=0,mContextIds=[0],mPhysicalCellId=0,mBand=0,mDownlinkFrequency=0,mUplinkFrequency=0}}



Connection timed out

Error code 522

Visit cloudflare.com for more information.

2024-11-20 01:47:22 UTC

You

Browser
Working

Chicago

Cloudflare
Working

lapps.courts.state.ny.us

Host
Error

What happened?

The initial connection between Cloudflare's network and the origin web server timed out. As a result, the web page can not be displayed.

What can I do?

If you're a visitor of this website:

Please try again in a few minutes.

If you're the owner of this website:

Contact your hosting provider letting them know your web server is not completing requests. An Error 522 means that the request was able to connect to your web server, but that the request didn't finish. The most likely cause is that something on your server is hogging resources. Additional troubleshooting information here.

Cloudflare Ray ID: **8e54c4971ccce805** • Your IP: 67.240.23.133 • Performance & security by Cloudflare

Browser
Working

Cloudflare
Working

Host
Error

t happened?

l connection between Cloudflare's network and the
b server timed out. As a result, the web page can not
yed.

What can I do?

If you're a visitor of this website:

Please try again in a few minutes.

If you're the owner of this website:

Contact your hosting provider letting them know your we
not completing requests. An Error 522 means that the rec
able to connect to your web server, but that the request c
finish. The most likely cause is that something on your se
hogging resources. Additional troubleshooting informatic

Cloudflare Ray ID: **8e54c4971ccce805** • Your IP: 67.240.23.133 • Performance & security by Cloudflare



2 places visited Select

Amsterdam Riverfront Ce...
1250 Riverfront Center, Amster...
Business center
4 days ago · 1 visit

Montgomery County
1166 Riverfront Center, Amster...
County government office
4 days ago · 1 visit

Sun, Oct 20, 2024

Driving
0.1 mi · 2 hr 59 min
7:00 PM – 9:59 PM

Visited A G Cole Funeral Home Inc?
215 E Main St, Johnstown, NY 12095
9:59 PM – 10:56 PM

Yes Edit





Sun, Oct 20, 2024

3 mi · 5 hr 45 min | 3 mi · 18 min | 5 visits

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 4:10 PM

Driving
2.1 mi · 8 min
4:10 PM – 4:18 PM

Visited Johnstown Mall?
Johnstown Mall, 248 N Comrie Ave, Johnstown, NY ...

Mon, Oct 14, 2024

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 3:35 PM

Driving
5.2 mi · 6 hr 9 min
3:35 PM – 9:44 PM

Johnstown
Johnstown, NY 12095
9:44 PM – 11:59 PM

Home (81 Chestnut St)

IMEI: 352036363589779
Phone Number: +15185712604 { CARRIER:, UICC: +15185712604, IMS:+15185712604 }
ICCID: 89148000010199316472
Current subId: 1
SubId of default data SIM: 1
IMSI: 311480054606108
Current Network:
Roaming: Not Roaming
Data Service: Disconnected
Data Network Type: IWLAN
Override Network Type: LTE_CA
Voice Service: In Service
Voice Network Type: LTE
Signal Strength: -118 dBm 22 asu
DL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
UL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
LTE Physical Channel Configuration: {{mConnectionStatus=PrimaryServing,mCellBandwidthDownlinkKhz=10000,mCellBandwidthUplinkKhz=0,mNetworkType=UNKNOWN,mFrequencyRange=UNKNOWN,mDownlinkChannelNumber=0,mUplinkChannelNumber=0,mContextIds=[0, 1],mPhysicalCellId=24,mBand=0,mDownlinkFrequ



Fri, Oct 18, 2024

Home (81 Chestnut St)
81 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095
Left at 4:45 PM

Cycling
2.0 mi · 12 min
4:45 PM – 4:57 PM

Johnstown Mall
Johnstown Mall, 248 N Comrie Ave, Johnstown, NY ...
4:57 PM – 5:54 PM

Places

McDonald's
Fast food restaurant

Dollar General
Dollar store

Price Chopper
Supermarket

Driving
0.8 mi · 31 min
5:54 PM – 6:25 PM

Motorcycling
1.3 mi · 10 min

Fri, Nov 1, 2024

1 visit

Missing visit
All day

+ Add visit

Connection timed out

Error code 522

Visit cloudflare.com for more information.

2024-11-20 01:47:22 UTC

What happened?

The initial connection between Cloudflare's network and the origin web server timed out. As a result, the web page can not be displayed.

What can I do?

If you're a visitor of this website:

Please try again in a few minutes.

If you're the owner of this website:

Contact your hosting provider letting them know your web server is not completing requests. An Error 522 means that the request was able to connect to your web server, but that the request didn't finish. The most likely cause is that something on your server is hogging resources. Additional troubleshooting information here.

Cloudflare Ray ID: 8e54c497fccce805 • Your IP: 67.240.23.133 • Performance & security by Cloudflare

****8745	*****4149
****8745	*****4438
****8745	*****2604
****8745	*****2604
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****4419	****8745
*****9999	****8745

0
data used out of
4.5 GB

Data, Talk & Text usage may take 2-5 days or longer to display accurately.

7 minutes used out of **1000 Minutes**

838-249-9390

Billing Period: November 2024 Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Bill Date	Originating #
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/8/2024	****9390
11/8/2024	****9390

Billing Period: November 2024 Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Originating #	Destination #
41599	****8745
*****4438	****8745
*****4438	****8745
*****4438	****8745
*****4438	****8745
*****4438	****8745

Billing Period: November 2024 Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Originating #	Destination #
****9390	*****2604
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****2660
****9390	*****4136
****9390	*****4136

king 38389082418

TRACKING HISTORY

October 14

12:03 pm	Package delivered.	Amsterdam, NY
10:39 am	Package arrived at a carrier facility.	Amsterdam, NY
8:10 am	Package is out for delivery.	Johnstown, NY
7:59 am	Package arrived at a carrier facility.	Amsterdam, NY
7:44 am	Package transferred to another carrier for delivery.	Amsterdam, NY

Billing Period: November 2024 Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Originating #	Destination #
****9390	*****2604
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****8745
****9390	*****2660
****9390	*****4136
****9390	*****4136

Billing Period: November 2024 Usage Type: Voice & SMS

Download Usage

Bill Date	Originating #
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/9/2024	****9390
11/8/2024	****9390

153 MB used Aug 28 – Sep 27

Data warning & limit

Carrier settings version
s311480gid1_3d_ba01270000000000-33065550(
55500

Calling

Wi-Fi Calling
Use Wi-Fi when the mobile network is not availat

Network

Automatically select network

Choose network

153 MB used

0 B 2.00 GB

2.00 GB data warning
18 days left

Calls preference

SMS preference

Mobile data
Access data using mobile network

International Data Roaming
Connect to data services when roaming

App data usage
153 MB used Aug 28 – Sep 27

Data warning & limit

Carrier settings version
s311480gidl_3d_ba01270000000000-330655500.330655500

Calling

Wi-Fi Calling
Use Wi-Fi when the mobile network is not available

Network

Automatically select network

Choose network

Wi-Fi

Network preferences
Wi-Fi turns back on automatically

Saved networks
3 networks

Non-carrier data usage
6.68 GB used Aug 12 – Sep 9

To improve device experience, apps and services can still scan for Wi-Fi networks at any time, even when Wi-Fi is off. This can be used, for example, to improve location-based features and services. You can change this in Wi-Fi scanning settings.

Change

We quarantined 1 threat on moto g play (XT2413V)

This threat has been quarantined to prevent it from harming your device. It will be automatically deleted in 7 days.

Details

Device name
moto g play (XT2413V)

Threats quarantined
1

Files scanned
643

Scan duration
3m32s

LTE RRC State: RRC in error state
LTE Current Band for Primary Cell: 13
LTE Serving Cell PLMNID: 311
LTE Serving Cell TAC: 18250
LTE Serving Cell ECI: 18207747
LTE Serving Cell PCI: 383
LTE Downlink Channel: 0
LTE Uplink Channel: 18000
LTE Tx Power (dBm): -3277
LTE Signal Strength: RSRP (dBm): -118
RSRQ (dB): -17
SNR (dB): -2
CA Downlink 2 Status: false
CA Downlink 3 Status: false
CA Uplink 2 Status: false
CA Downlink All Enabled Bands:
[41C, 2A,2A, 1A,5A, 2C, 4A,4A, 2A,5A, 5B, 7A,7A, 2A,12A, 7B, 25A,25A, 2A,13A, 7C, 41A,41A, 2A,71A, 38C, 66A,66A, 3A,8A, 66B, 4A,5A, 66C, 4A,12A, 12B, 4A,13A, 4A,17A, 4A,71A, 5A,7A, 5A,66A, 7A,8A, 7A,12A, 66A,71A, 12A,66A, 13A,66A, 25A,26A, 26A,41A]
CA Uplink All Enabled Bands:
[41C_UL]

All Enabled Bands: LTE: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 46, 47, 48, 66, 71]

+15185712604, IMS:+15185712604 }
ICCID: 89148000010199316472
Current subid: 1
Subid of default data SIM: 1
IMSI: 311480054606108
Current Network:
Roaming: Not Roaming
Data Service: Disconnected
Data Network Type: IWLAN
Override Network Type: LTE_CA
Voice Service: In Service
Voice Network Type: LTE
Signal Strength: -118 dBm 22 asu
DL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
UL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
LTE Physical Channel Configuration: {{mConnectionStatus=PrimaryServing,mCellBandwidthDownlinkKhz=10000,mCellBandwidthUplinkKhz=0,mNetworkType=UNKNOWN,mFrequencyRange=UNKNOWN,mDownlinkChannelNumber=0,mUplinkChannelNumber=0,mContextIds=[0, 1],mPhysicalCellId=24,mBand=0

Mobile Radio Power
VoLTE Provisioned
Video Calling Provisioned
Wifi Calling Provisioned
EAB/Presence Provisioned
Set Removable eSIM as Default

RUN PING TEST

Ping Hostname(www.google.com) IPv4:
Ping Hostname(www.google.com) IPv6:
HTTP Client Test:
Data Sent: 105 pkts, 8643 bytes
Data Received: 44 pkts, 4275 bytes
Number of PPP Reset Since Boot:
Voice Call Status: Idle
Message Waiting: false
Call Redirect: false
Cell Info Refresh Rate:
Disabled
All Cell Measurement Info:

Mobile Radio Power

VoLTE Provisioned

Video Calling Provisioned

Wifi Calling Provisioned

EAB/Presence Provisioned

Set Removable eSIM as Default

RUN PING TEST

Ping Hostname(www.google.com) IPv4:
Ping Hostname(www.google.com) IPv6:
HTTP Client Test:
Data Sent: 105 pkts, 8643 bytes
Data Received: 44 pkts, 4275 bytes
Number of PPP Reset Since Boot:
Voice Call Status: Idle
Message Waiting: false
Call Redirect: false
Cell Info Refresh Rate:
Disabled
All Cell Measurement Info:

IMEI: 352036363589779
Phone Number: +15185712604 { CARRIER:, UICC: +15185712604, IMS:+15185712604 }
ICCID: 89148000010199316472
Current subId: 1
SubId of default data SIM: 1
IMSI: 311480054606108
Current Network:
Roaming: Not Roaming
Data Service: Disconnected
Data Network Type: IWLAN
Override Network Type: LTE_CA
Voice Service: In Service
Voice Network Type: LTE
Signal Strength: -118 dBm 22 asu
DL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
UL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
LTE Physical Channel Configuration: {{mConnectionStatus=PrimaryServing,mCellBandwidthDownlinkKhz=10000,mCellBandwidthUplinkKhz=0,mNetworkType=UNKNOWN,mFrequencyRange=UNKNOWN,mDownlinkChannelNumber=0,mUplinkChannelNumber=0,mContextIds=[0, 1],mPhysicalCellId=24,mBand=0,mDownlinkFrequen

IMEI: 352036363589779
Phone Number: +15185712604 { CARRIER:, UICC: +15185712604, IMS:+15185712604 }
ICCID: 89148000010199316472
Current subId: 1
SubId of default data SIM: 1
IMSI: 311480054606108
Current Network:
Roaming: Not Roaming
Data Service: Disconnected
Data Network Type: IWLAN
Override Network Type: LTE_CA
Voice Service: In Service
Voice Network Type: LTE
Signal Strength: -118 dBm 22 asu
DL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
UL Bandwidth (kbps): 0
LTE Physical Channel Configuration: {{mConnectionStatus=PrimaryServing,mCellBandwidthDownlinkKhz=10000,mCellBandwidthUplinkKhz=0,mNetworkType=UNKNOWN,mFrequencyRange=UNKNOWN,mDownlinkChannelNumber=0,mUplinkChannelNumber=0,mContextIds=[0, 1],mPhysicalCellId=24,mBand=0,mDownlinkFrequen

preferred: true
LTE RRC State: RRC in error state
LTE Current Band for Primary Cell: 13
LTE Serving Cell PLMNID: 311
LTE Serving Cell TAC: 18250
LTE Serving Cell ECI: 18207747
LTE Serving Cell PCI: 383
LTE Downlink Channel: 0
LTE Uplink Channel: 18000
LTE Tx Power (dBm): -3277
LTE Signal Strength: RSRP (dBm): -118
RSRQ (dB): -17
SNR (dB): -2
CA Downlink 2 Status: false
CA Downlink 3 Status: false
CA Uplink 2 Status: false
CA Downlink All Enabled Bands:
[41C, 2A,2A, 1A,5A, 2C, 4A,4A, 2A,5A, 5B, 7A,7A, 2A 7B, 25A,25A, 2A,13A, 7C, 41A,41A, 2A,71A, 38C, 66A 3A,8A, 66B, 4A,5A, 66C, 4A,12A, 12B, 4A,13A, 4A,17, 4A,71A, 5A,7A, 5A,66A, 7A,8A, 7A,12A, 66A,71A, 12A 13A,66A, 25A,26A, 26A,41A]
CA Uplink All Enabled Bands:
[41C_UL]

All Enabled Bands: LTE: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 46, 47, 48, 66, 71, 250, 252, 255]

preferred: true
LTE RRC State: RRC in error state
LTE Current Band for Primary Cell: 13
LTE Serving Cell PLMNID: 311
LTE Serving Cell TAC: 18250
LTE Serving Cell ECI: 18207747
LTE Serving Cell PCI: 383
LTE Downlink Channel: 0
LTE Uplink Channel: 18000
LTE Tx Power (dBm): -3277
LTE Signal Strength: RSRP (dBm): -118
RSRQ (dB): -17
SNR (dB): -2
CA Downlink 2 Status: false
CA Downlink 3 Status: false
CA Uplink 2 Status: false
CA Downlink All Enabled Bands:
[41C, 2A,2A, 1A,5A, 2C, 4A,4A, 2A,5A, 5B, 7A,7A, 2A,12A, 7B, 25A,25A, 2A,13A, 7C, 41A,41A, 2A,71A, 38C, 66A,66A, 3A,8A, 66B, 4A,5A, 66C, 4A,12A, 12B, 4A,13A, 4A,17A, 4A,71A, 5A,7A, 5A,66A, 7A,8A, 7A,12A, 66A,71A, 12A,66A, 13A,66A, 25A,26A, 26A,41A]
CA Uplink All Enabled Bands:
[41C_UL]

All Enabled Bands: LTE: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 46, 47, 48, 66, 71, 250, 252, 255]

We quarantined 1 threat on moto g play (XT2413V)

This threat has been quarantined to prevent it from harming your device. It will be automatically deleted in 7 days.

Details

Device name
moto g play (XT2413V)

Threats quarantined
1

Files scanned
643

Scan duration
3m32s

Wi-Fi

Wi-Fi

Network preferences
Wi-Fi turns back on automatically

Saved networks
3 networks

Non-carrier data usage
6.68 GB used Aug 12 – Sep 9

To improve device experience, apps and services can still scan for Wi-Fi networks at any time, even when Wi-Fi is off. This can be used, for example, to improve location-based features and services. You can change this in Wi-Fi scanning settings.

Change

App data usage
153 MB used Aug 28 – Sep 27

Data warning & limit

Carrier settings version
s311480gid1_3d_ba01270000000000-330655500.330655500

Calling

Wi-Fi Calling
Use Wi-Fi when the mobile network is not available

Network

Automatically select network

Choose network

Access Point Names

153 MB used
0 B
2.00 GB
2.00 GB data warning
18 days left
Calls preference
SMS preference
Mobile data
Access data using mobile network
International Data Roaming
Connect to data services when roaming
App data usage


App data usage
153 MB used Aug 28 – Sep 27
Data warning & limit
Carrier settings version
s311480gid1_3d_ba01270000000000-33065550(
55500
Calling
Wi-Fi Calling
Use Wi-Fi when the mobile network is not availat
Network
Automatically select network
Choose network
Access Point Names


Set Preferred Network Type:
LTE only
Mobile Radio Power
VoLTE Provisioned
Video Calling Provisioned
Wifi Calling Provisioned
EAB/Presence Provisioned
Set Removable eSIM as Default
RUN PING TEST
Ping Hostname(www.google.com) IPv4: Pass
Ping Hostname(www.google.com) IPv6: Pass
HTTP Client Test: Pass
Data Sent: 78 pkts, 6052 bytes
Data Received: 25 pkts, 2592 bytes
Number of PPP Reset Since Boot:
Voice Call Status: Idle
Message Waiting: false
Call Redirect: false
Cell Info Refresh Rate:
Immediate
All Cell Measurement Info:
LTE
SRV MCC MNC TAC CID PCI EARFCN BW RSRP RSRQ TA
R+N 311 480 18250 18207 383 2560 10 -117 -14


Video Calling Provisioned
Wifi Calling Provisioned
EAB/Presence Provisioned
Set Removable eSIM as Default
RUN PING TEST
Ping Hostname(www.google.com) IPv4: Pass
Ping Hostname(www.google.com) IPv6: Pass
HTTP Client Test: Pass
Data Sent: 105 pkts, 8643 bytes
Data Received: 44 pkts, 4275 bytes
Number of PPP Reset Since Boot:
Voice Call Status: Idle
Message Waiting: false
Call Redirect: false
Cell Info Refresh Rate:
Immediate
All Cell Measurement Info:

EXHIBIT

V

QLINK WIRELESS

Account / My Orders

My Orders

Nov 14, 2024 — **$0.00 (1 item)**
Order 257676615

Order Details

Oct 17, 2024 — **$0.00 (1 item)**
Order 256063318

Oct 12, 2024 — **$0.00 (1 item)**
Order 255867220

May 12, 2024 — **$5.00 (1 item)**
Order 247900695

Feb 20, 2024 — **$14.99 (1 item)**
Order 239288396

Jan 28, 2024 — **$0.00 (1 item)**
Order 236043692

QLINK WIRELESS

Account / My Orders / **Order Details**

Order Details

Order Date Oct 17, 2024 | Order #256063318

Shipped to

KAYLA ORSELL
81 CHESTNUT ST
APT 12
JOHNSTOWN, NY, 12095

Payment Method

NoCharge

Order Total

Subtotal	$0.00
Shipping	$0.00
Total	**$0.00**

Order Summary

Q Link Wireless SIM Card Kit

$0

This item is not eligible for returns. See why >

Order Processing

Check back for updated tracking info

Get Product Support >

Helpful Links

Home
Support
My Q Link
View Plan
Activate
Coverage

Lifeline Program

About Us
About Lifeline
Lifeline Plans
Lifeline Features
How Do I Qualify
FAQ's/ Help Center

Get Connected

Like Us on Facebook!
Follow Us on Twitter
Hang Out with us on Instagram
See What We Have to Say
Get Help Here

QLINK WIRELESS

Account / My Orders / **Order Details**

Order Details

Order Date Oct 12, 2024 | Order #255867220

Shipped to

KAYLA ORSELL
81 CHESTNUT ST
APT 12
JOHNSTOWN, NY, 12095

Payment Method

NoCharge

Order Total

Subtotal	$0.00
Shipping	$0.00
Total	**$0.00**

Order Summary

QLW-SIM-GSM-PWG

$0

This item is not eligible for returns. See why. >

Delivered

Track Package

Allow 24–48 hours for your info to appear in the shipping system. Add 1-2 days during holidays. Need help with your delivery? Visit Support

Get Product Support >

Limited Warranty & Support >

Account / My Orders / **Order Details**

Order Details

Order Date Nov 14, 2024 | Order #257676615

Shipped to
KAYLA ORSELL
81 CHESTNUT ST
APT 12
JOHNSTOWN, NY, 12095

Payment Method
NoCharge

Order Total

Subtotal	$0.00
Shipping	$0.00
Total	**$0.00**

Order Summary

Q Link Wireless SIM Card Kit

$0

This item is not eligible for returns. See why >

Order Processing

Check back for updated tracking info

Get Product Support >

Helpful Links

Home
Support
My Q Link
View Plan
Activate
Coverage

Lifeline Program

About Us
About Lifeline
Lifeline Plans
Lifeline Features
How Do I Qualify
FAQ's/ Help Center

Get Connected

Like Us on Facebook!
Follow Us on Twitter
Hang Out with us on Instagram
See What We Have to Say
Get Help Here

QLINK WIRELESS

Account / Billing / **Payment History**

Payment History

Billing Period:

2024

Date	Amount	Paid With
11/1/2024	$0	NoCharge ****4882
10/12/2024	$5	Visa ****4882
5/12/2024	$5	Visa ****1780
2/20/2024	$14.99	Visa ****4559

1

QLINK WIRELESS

Account / My Orders / **Order Details**

Order Details

Order Date May 12, 2024 | Order #247900695

Shipped to
KAYLA ORSELL
81 CHESTNUT ST
JOHNSTOWN, NY, 12095

Payment Method
Visa ****1780

Order Total

Subtotal	$5.00
Shipping	$0.00
Total	**$5.00**

Order Summary

Q Link Wireless SIM Card Kit

$5

This item is not eligible for returns. See why >

Order Processing

Check back for updated tracking info

Get Product Support >

Helpful Links

Home
Support
My Q Link
View Plan
Activate
Coverage

Lifeline Program

About Us
About Lifeline
Lifeline Plans
Lifeline Features
How Do I Qualify
FAQ's/ Help Center

Get Connected

Like Us on Facebook!
Follow Us on Twitter
Hang Out with us on Instagram
See What We Have to Say
Get Help Here

EXHIBIT W

Outlook

Your Appointment is TODAY at 5:00 PM

From Q Link Wireless <reply@qlinkwireless.net>
Date Thu 11/14/2024 4:30 PM
To herkfaninny518@outlook.com <herkfaninny518@outlook.com>

Enrollment ID: 229913168

Your Appointment Is TODAY at 5:00 PM.

Hi KAYLA,

Don't forget, your appointment is scheduled for 5:00 PM, today.
Need to reschedule? Click here to change your current appointment.

11/14/2024

Your Name: KAYLA ORSELL
Contact Number: 518-705-4054

How can we help? I am having issues inserting my SIM Card

What You'll Need:

- Your Q Link SIM Card.
- The ejector tool we sent you with your SIM Card (or a paper clip).
- The phone you want to use with your cell phone service.

Pro Tips:

- Setting up your phone is super easy when your use a different phone to speak with customer service.
- Connect to Wi-fi, if possible.
- Have your IMEI number handy. We use your IMEI to check if your phone is compatible with our network. Find your IMEI here.
- Want to Bring Your Own Number? Gather your account and PIN number from your current phone company. Click to learn more.

***New!* Video Walkthroughs**

Watch hands-on tutorials in the video library

24/7 Support

Get instant answers to your questions online

Best Wishes,

Melissa Halle
Quality Assurance
Phone: 1-855-754-6543 Fax: 1-855-837-5465

Your Q Link Account Info

Username: herkfaninny518@outlook.com
Q Link Plan: Always On
Phone Number: 518-844-8745

Log Into My Q Link

This email is a follow-up to your FREE Government Cell Phone Service application from Q Link Wireless.

Help Center Login

Q Link Wireless™ is a provider of Lifeline, a non-transferable federal benefit program. You must be eligible to enroll. Lifeline support is limited to one per household on wireline, wireless, or broadband service. If your household's income is at or below 135 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines, or if you participate in a qualifying federal assistance program, you may be eligible for a Lifeline Program discount. Proof of eligibility will be required during enrollment. To view terms, visit www.qlinkwireless.com/terms. By submitting this application, you consent to receive phone calls from Q Link Wireless to all numbers on your account, which may result in charges to you. This includes, but is not limited to, pre-recorded voice messages and/or automatic dialing system.

†Offer subject to change. Cancel anytime by visiting 'Manage Prime Membership' in 'Your Account' on Amazon.com and adjusting your membership settings. Amazon.com, Inc. and its affiliates are not sponsors of this promotion. Amazon Prime Access is $6.99/month after the 2-month promotional period if you opt in for autorenewal. You are eligible for Prime Access (a discounted Amazon Prime membership) if you are an EBT cardholder or qualify for select government assistance or discounts. Amazon, Amazon Prime and all related logos are trademarks of Amazon.com, Inc. or its affiliates. Offer requires Q Link Wireless account showing active usage at least once a month.

See Terms and Conditions for our Hotspot offer here.

Outlook

You Missed Your Appointment

From Q Link Wireless <reply@qlinkwireless.net>
Date Thu 11/14/2024 5:10 PM
To herkfaninny518@outlook.com <herkfaninny518@outlook.com>

Enrollment ID: 229913168

KAYLA, You Missed Your Appointment

Hi KAYLA,

You missed your appointment for 11/14/2024 at 5:00 PM.
Do you still need help with I am having issues inserting my SIM Card?

Click to Reschedule

***New!* Video Walkthroughs**

Watch hands-on tutorials in the video library

24/7 Support

Get instant answers to your questions online.

Best Wishes,

Melissa Halle
Quality Assurance
Phone: 1-855-754-6543 Fax: 1-855-837-5465

Your Q Link Account Info

Username: herkfaninny518@outlook.com
Q Link Plan: Always On
Phone Number: 518-844-8745

Log Into My Q Link

Q Link Account Reminders

✓ Avoid Cancellation
You must use your phone service at least once every 30 days.

✓ Stay Current
Notify us of any address or eligibility changes within 30 days.

✓ Receive Your Service
On the first of each month, Q Link will refilled your FREE plan.

✓ Check Your Usage
Send a text to 7500 from your Q Link phone.

✓ Annual Recertification
Each year you MUST recertify your eligibility for the program.

✓ Agreement
Only one benefit program per household.

Download the App

Manage your Q Link Account balance, refills and more with the My Mobile Account App.

GET IT ON Google Play Download on the App Store

Get Free Rewards

Earn points by playing games, watching videos and more with the Qlixar App.

GET IT ON Google Play

This email is a follow-up to your free-phone service application from Q Link Wireless.

EXHIBIT

X

8:28
bers.recordsfinder.com
recordsfinder
SEARCHES
MAIN MENU
Phone Report on 518-844-8745
Report ID:
673dde386f91b07d520bba30
Report Prepared on
Nov 20, 2024 08:03 AM
PDF
PRINT
Phone Summary
Phone Type: Wireless
Carrier: Not on File
MSA: Gloversville, NY
PMSA: 24100
County: FULTON
Time Zone:: 5
Population: 55,625
FIPS: 36035
Latitude: 43.05
Longitude: -74.35

Get Directions

Get directions > Address to Lat/Long | Geocoding

GPS coordinates (latitude and longitude) of latitude: 43.05 | longitude: -76.14

See also Reverse-geocoding

Address: 3 frontage Rd gloversville ny

Find Lat/Long

Decimal degrees (DDD):
43.052014, -74.349358
Degrees and decimal minutes (DMM):
43° 3.120855', -74° 20.961498'
Degrees, minutes and seconds (DMS):
43° 3' 7.2513" N, 74° 20' 57.6899" W



County:	FULTON
Time Zone::	5
Population:	55,625
FIPS:	36035
Latitude:	43.05
Longitude:	-74.35

Person 1

Name: Kayla Orsell

Full Name:	Kayla Orsell
Middle Name:	
Address:	81 Chestnut st	1/2, Johnstown NY, NY 12095

SEARCHES MAIN MENU

Phone Report on 518-844-8745

Report ID:
673dde386f91b07d520bba30

Report Prepared on
Nov 20, 2024 08:03 AM

PDF PRINT

Phone Summary

Phone Type:	Wireless
Carrier:	Not on File
MSA:	Gloversville, NY
PMSA:	24100
County:	FULTON
Time Zone::	5
Population:	55,625
FIPS:	36035

Get Directions

Get directions > Address to Lat/Long | Geocoding

GPS coordinates (latitude and longitude) of latitude: 43.05 | longitude: -76.14

See also Reverse-geocoding

Address 3 frontage Rd gloversville ny

Find Lat/Long

Decimal degrees (DDD):
43.052014, -74.349358
Degrees and decimal minutes (DMM):
43° 3.120855', -74° 20.961498'
Degrees, minutes and seconds (DMS):
43° 3' 7.2513" N, 74° 20' 57.6899" W

Latitude, Longitude
43.052014, -74.349358
Gloversville City Hall, 3, Frontage Road, Ward 4, City of Gloversville, Fulton County, New York, 12078, United States
Get elevation
Gloversville
NY 29A

EXHIBIT

Y

Outlook

Submission of Payment for Habeas Corpus and Need Information

From kayla orsell <koscustoms518@outlook.com>
Date Wed 11/13/2024 8:02 AM
To jdomurad@nynd.uscourts.gov <jdomurad@nynd.uscourts.gov>
Cc mcallist@nynd.uscourts.gov <mcallist@nynd.uscourts.gov>

1 attachment (318 KB)
CourtHours.jpeg;

Dear John Domurad,

I hope this message finds you well. I am writing to follow up on my petition submission and to address several important points and questions related to the matter.

Payment and Affidavit Submission

I have successfully submitted my $5.00 payment online. However, I was only able to attach one document, so I included a copy of my affidavit before it was notarized and signed. This is the only copy I could locate due to a severe cyber attack I have been a victim of. I'm unsure if this version matches the final one I mailed with my petition, but I wanted to provide it for attention.

USPS Delivery Attempts
I wanted to inform you that USPS attempted delivery on Saturday and again at 3:59 PM yesterday. They plan to attempt delivery once more today. I will be reaching out to USPS this morning to clarify the court's hours, noting that I will remove the signature requirement if necessary.

Court Clerk's Office Hours
According to Google, the US Court Clerk in Albany is listed as open 24 hours. I have included a screenshot of this information. Could you confirm me if the Clerk's office hours are 10 AM to 3 PM on weekdays?

Email and Communication with the Clerk's Office
I've reached out via email before but did not receive a response. I suspect the email may not have been sent due to the cyber-attack. I had some questions about filing the petition and also left a voicemail message, but I never received a call back. Do you send an automatic reply confirming receipt of emails?

Certified Mail
I sent the petition via certified mail because I believe my mail is being tampered with by local law enforcement. Specifically, I suspect they cloned two SIM cards I had sent to my address from Qlink Wireless, a government phone service provider. I have proof that two of my government benefit SIM cards were cloned, and evidence strongly suggests that it was the local police who did this. Additionally, there were two other SIM cards ordered in my name from the same government phone service provider, which I did not order. These were sent to different addresses in different towns from where I live.

My Google Maps account on my cell phone appears to have crossed with the phone containing one of the cloned SIM cards, and it shows repeated travel from the borders of the local police jurisdiction. The amount of driving and the area it took place shows a clear pattern, and I didn't even have access to a vehicle during much of this time, which I have documented in screenshots from Google Maps. I did not realize I had access to this evidence when I mailed my petition. I have been unable to reach Qlink Wireless to inquire about my SIM cards or the additional orders, as I never receive a call or email back—likely due to the cyber-attack.

Cell Phone and Usage Anomalies

I would also like to note that my cell phone usage reports from both of my phone plans indicate that little or no data has been used, despite the fact that I have definitely used data on one of these plans. The second plan was added for $10 more per month due to the fact that I was not able to order a copy of the sim I already had on the website and ordering a second line was the only option. I never was able to get the second sim to work at all but it shows that data has been used, calls made, and texts sent. The reports show numbers that I never called, and they are missing records of calls I have made. This discrepancy further supports my suspicion of tampering with my phone service.

Cyber Attack Details

I want to clarify that the cyber-attack has not caused significant financial loss, but it has impeded my ability to complete my Habeas Corpus filing. The attack has also involved illegal tracking and eavesdropping. I have provided evidence of this in my petition, and additional proof has come to light after I submitted it.

Submitting Additional Evidence

I would like to ask if I can E-file the new evidence on the court's website. If so, could you provide instructions on how to obtain a PACER login? Additionally, if E-filing is not an option, how should I submit the new evidence I have? I have included some pages from my own forensics report, though I used software that may not be court-admissible due to financial constraints.

Forensics and Evidence Collection

I have conducted my own forensics on my desktop PC, but I cannot afford to hire a professional forensics expert. Could you recommend any forensics programs that are admissible in court? Is Linux CAINE a court-admissible tool for this purpose? Also, can I bring my hard drive to the FBI field office for them to examine? Since I do not have access to a vehicle, would it be possible for someone from the FBI to come pick up my hard drive and the cloned SIM cards?

Future Actions if I Am Detained

In case I am incarcerated before the Habeas petition is processed, what should I do to ensure my case is heard? If I change addresses, will a change of address form for pro se litigants be sufficient, or will I need to file a new Habeas petition?

Court's Leniency on Formatting

Given the challenges posed by the cyber-attack, is it possible that the court might show leniency regarding the formatting and other technical requirements of my petition due to these circumstances? I have been particularly concerned about the formatting of the footnotes in my memorandum, as my memorandum was being altered while I worked on it.

My Disability and Transportation Issues

As I am permanently disabled, I could not visit the local library to complete my petition. Additionally, my car was recently totaled in a serious accident. My father, who is my only family, refused to drive me, which further complicated my ability to submit the petition in person.

Tracfone Original

Tracfone Clone

(838)-249-9397
Q1nK Clone

578-814-8[illegible]5
Q1nK Clone



G
US POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
easypost
2024-11-25
12095
C6447108
Commercial
8.0 OZ ZONE 1
0901000014917
USPS GROUND ADVANTAGE™
ORSELL RETAIL
81 CHESTNUT ST
JOHNSTOWN NY 12095-2724
0001
C012
SHIP TO: US DISTRICT COURT
COURT CLERK
445 BROADWAY STE 509
ALBANY NY 12207-2948
USPS TRACKING #
9400 1362 0807 0307 6694 41
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JOHN M. DOMURAD, CLERK
NOV 26 2024
RECEIVED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Kayla Orsell,
Petitioner(s)

vs. **CASE NUMBER: 9:24-cv-1389 (LEK)**

Johnathon Spychalski,
Lucas Nellis,
James P. Riley, Esq.,
Traci DiMezza,
Respondent(s)

Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this action is dismissed in accordance with the Decision and Order dated November 27, 2024 of Senior Judge Lawrence E. Kahn which ORDERED that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE and ORDERED that no certificate of appealability shall be issued.

All of the above pursuant to the order of the Honorable **Lawrence E. Kahn**, dated this 27th day of November, 2024.

DATED: December 2, 2024

John Domurad
Clerk of Court

s/Matthew Bartholomew
Matthew Bartholomew
Deputy Clerk

Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure
Rule 4. Appeal as of Right

(a) Appeal in a Civil Case.

1. (1) *Time for Filing a Notice of Appeal.*

(A) In a civil case, except as provided in Rules 4(a)(1)(B), 4(a)(4), and 4(c), the notice of appeal required by Rule 3 must be filed with the district clerk within 30 days after entry of the judgment or order appealed from.

(B) The notice of appeal may be filed by any party within 60 days after entry of the judgment or order appealed from if one of the parties is:

(i) the United States;
(ii) a United States agency;
(iii) a United States officer or employee sued in an official capacity; or
(iv) a current or former United States officer or employee sued in an individual capacity for an act or omission occurring in connection with duties performed on the United States' behalf — including all instances in which the United States represents that person when the judgment or order is entered or files the appeal for that person.

(C) An appeal from an order granting or denying an application for a writ of error *coram nobis* is an appeal in a civil case for purposes of Rule 4(a).

(2) *Filing Before Entry of Judgment.* A notice of appeal filed after the court announces a decision or order—but before the entry of the judgment or order—is treated as filed on the date of and after the entry.

(3) *Multiple Appeals.* If one party timely files a notice of appeal, any other party may file a notice of appeal within 14 days after the date when the first notice was filed, or within the time otherwise prescribed by this Rule 4(a), whichever period ends later.

(4) *Effect of a Motion on a Notice of Appeal.*

(A) If a party timely files in the district court any of the following motions under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the time to file an appeal runs for all parties from the entry of the order disposing of the last such remaining motion:

(i) for judgment under Rule 50(b);

(ii) to amend or make additional factual findings under Rule 52(b), whether or not granting the motion would alter the judgment;

(iii) for attorney's fees under Rule 54 if the district court extends the time to appeal under Rule 58;

(iv) to alter or amend the judgment under Rule 59;

(v) for a new trial under Rule 59; or

(vi) for relief under Rule 60 if the motion is filed no later than 28 days after the judgment is entered.

(B)(i) If a party files a notice of appeal after the court announces or enters a judgment—but before it disposes of any motion listed in Rule 4(a)(4)(A)—the notice becomes effective to appeal a judgment or order, in whole or in part, when the order disposing of the last such remaining motion is entered.

(ii) A party intending to challenge an order disposing of any motion listed in Rule 4(a)(4)(A), or a judgment's alteration or amendment upon such a motion, must file a notice of appeal, or an amended notice of appeal—in compliance with Rule 3(c)—within the time prescribed by this Rule measured from the entry of the order disposing of the last such remaining motion.

(5) *Motion for Extension of Time.*

(A) The district court may extend the time to file a notice of appeal if:

(i) a party so moves no later than 30 days after the time prescribed by this Rule 4(a) expires; and

(ii) regardless of whether its motion is filed before or during the 30 days after the time prescribed by this Rule 4(a) expires, that party shows excusable neglect or good cause.

(B) A motion filed before the expiration of the time prescribed in Rule 4(a)(1) or (3) may be ex parte unless the court requires otherwise. If the motion is filed after the expiration of the prescribed time, notice must be given to the other parties in accordance with local rules.

(C) No extension under this Rule 4(a)(5) may exceed 30 days after the prescribed time or 14 days after the date when the order granting the motion is entered, whichever is later.

(6) *Reopening the Time to File an Appeal.* The district court may reopen the time to file an appeal for a period of 14 days after the date when its order to reopen is entered, but only if all the following conditions are satisfied:

(A) the court finds that the moving party did not receive notice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 77 (d) of the entry of the judgment or order sought to be appealed within 21 days after entry;

(B) the motion is filed within 180 days after the judgment or order is entered or within 14 days after the moving party receives notice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 77 (d) of the entry, whichever is earlier; and

(C) the court finds that no party would be prejudiced.

(7) *Entry Defined.*

(A) A judgment or order is entered for purposes of this Rule 4(a):

(i) if Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58 (a) does not require a separate document, when the judgment or order is entered in the civil docket under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 79 (a); or

(ii) if Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58 (a) requires a separate document, when the judgment or order is entered in the civil docket under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 79(a) and when the earlier of these events occurs:

• the judgment or order is set forth on a separate document, or

• 150 days have run from entry of the judgment or order in the civil docket under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 79 (a).

(B) A failure to set forth a judgment or order on a separate document when required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58 (a) does not affect the validity of an appeal from that judgment or order.

Subject: Request for Police Report and Documentation of Ongoing Harassment, Fraud, and Abuse

To: Johnstown Police Department From: Kayla Orsell Date: 06/15/2025 Address: Phone: (518)-705-4054

RE: Request to Document Alleged Criminal Activity and Harassment by Victor Orsell Jr. and Arva Lynn Orsell

Dear Officer,

I am submitting this formal request to document a detailed record of ongoing abuse, suspected fraud, cybercrime, and interference that I believe has been orchestrated by my father, Victor Orsell Jr., and my stepmother, Arva Lynn Orsell. These incidents have severely endangered my safety, compromised my communications, and obstructed my legal and financial rights. While I understand that the local police may have limited jurisdiction or investigative capacity, I am creating this report to pursue federal relief if these matters remain unresolved.

Please include the following allegations in your official report:

1. Suspected Trust and Inheritance Fraud:

I believe a concealed trust exists in my name or in my father’s name, potentially titled "Orsell," "Kayla Orsell Jr.," or "Victor P Jr."

I was told I would inherit 178 Spring Street after my father’’s passing. My father has collected rent on that property since approximately 2014–2016.

My current residence, labeled "81 1/2," is an unregistered apartment above my father's garage. I believe I have legal rights to this property as well, potentially held in trust.

My father has collected rent from both units without disclosure or consent.

Property ownership records are inconsistent, and deeds reference "life use" or omit standard deed data entirely.

2. Cyber Intrusion and Communications Sabotage:

My Spectrum internet account was originally under my father's name. He refused to give me control and added unauthorized recovery emails/security questions.

Even after transferring the account into my name, I have repeatedly found his and my stepmother’s credentials reinserted without my consent.

I suspect keyloggers and screen mirroring tools on my devices. Changes I make (passwords, pins) are immediately seen and undone.

I believe my VOIP line has been remotely reconfigured. I am unable to call Spectrum or other help lines due to manipulated settings.

I believe this is a high-level cyberattack involving AI, which can survive factory resets and possibly overlays trusted applications such as Walmart.

I have been trying to call Walmart's online support to remove a $186.03 hold from my fiancé Caderon Tyrrell's SNAP account after a failed grocery order. I am being blocked from reaching help, possibly due to app overlay or interference.

3. Mail Interference and Potential Federal Offenses:

I suspect that my stepbrother, John Valik, a postal worker, has intercepted, delayed, or destroyed important legal mail.

Letters to the NY Attorney General and habeas petitions may not have been delivered. I have received replies I believe may be falsified.

I believe property deeds, insurance records, and court mailings may also have been tampered with.

On May 31st, a Solis Mobile Hotspot I ordered for $103 showed a delayed delivery status, but when it eventually marked as delivered, days had passed. My stepmother and father claimed to know nothing, and I never received the package.

4. Financial Anomalies and Suspicious Wealth Accumulation:

John Valik now owns at least seven high-value properties on Lake Shore Road despite a low-income position.

These properties appear to be financed beyond his means and may involve trust assets or fraudulent deed/title activity.

Name suffixes and inconsistent age listings on property records suggest potential identity manipulation or document falsification.

5. Physical and Psychological Abuse:

I was jailed on fabricated felony charges. During my 32-day incarceration, I was denied medication for 17 days and faced food deprivation.

My father has used coercive control, surveillance, and intimidation to block my access to justice.

I have experienced EMF radiation in my home that causes overheating, pain, and distress. Devices associated with my father (including a suspicious wall clock) seem to be linked to this harassment.

I believe the death of my bird was caused by these environmental factors, which my surviving bird, Zelda, reacts to in fear.

My father and stepmother frequently enter my apartment while I am not home without my consent. I believe they are able to track my location at all times as part of this cyberattack.

6. Impeding Medical Care and Causing Physical Harm:

I was disabled at 23 due to mental health and am now physically disabled after a corticosteroid overdose caused by CVS.

I have no access to medical care, and I believe this is due to sabotage of communications and interference by my father and stepmother.

I suspect my health has been deliberately compromised through long-term radiation exposure or medical negligence.

7. Surveillance and Signal Interference:

I suspect the use of RF surveillance tools or Stingray-like devices to monitor or manipulate my digital environment.

Devices including printers and routers have exhibited unauthorized behavior.

I frequently detect a Wi-Fi Direct device named "Lynn's Firestick" in my vicinity, likely part of the attack.

I purchased a Dell Optiplex PC on Amazon for $109, which is suspiciously low. When it arrived, my stepmother Arva Lynn Orsell answered the door. I saw the computer, but they kept it from me for days before finally handing it over.

Upon opening the device, I found server-grade RAM and other suspicious hardware that may relate to surveillance or signal intrusion.

8. Interference with Legal Proceedings:

I filed a 28 U.S.C. §2241 habeas corpus petition in federal court and mailed related complaints to the Attorney General.

I have reason to believe those filings were blocked or altered.

I received a call from someone identifying as a court clerk, but I could not confirm the legitimacy of the communication due to cyber interference and believe the call may have been AI-generated.

9. Witness Corroboration and Isolation Attempts:

My fiancé, Caderon Tyrrell, has witnessed many of these events, including signal jamming, surveillance, cyber interference, and physical harassment.

He has been unable to get work, and his communications have also been intercepted or blocked.

We are both isolated from safe housing, medical care, and legal advocacy.

11. Recent SSA Benefit Denial and Suspicious Probate Events:

I recently received a letter from the Social Security Administration stating, based on a New York State regulation, that I would not receive SSI benefits while housed in an institution that takes 50% or more of my income. I believe this was based on fraudulent reporting of my living status.

On May 30th, 2024, Ronda Callery's probate proceeding in Fulton County Surrogate's Court finally closed after being open for a suspiciously long duration.

Ronda Callery was the ex-wife of Micheal G. Orsell. Her name still appears on two property deeds in Johnstown despite her death in 2019. The deeds appear to be warranty deeds without covenants and possibly only reflect life use.

Micheal Orsell and Ronda Callery have JTWROS accounts with Niagara Mohawk and NRAD distributions currently listed in the NYS Comptroller's unclaimed funds.

It appears that repeated process serving or legal citations were issued during Ronda's probate proceedings.

One such legal notice was dated April 9th, 2024—the same day I was falsely arrested on charges of Kidnapping 2nd and Assault 2nd.

The family tree in the probate court record was amended during the course of that proceeding.

12. Financial Fraud and Unauthorized Transactions:

I receive SSI benefits on an Atlas Bank Mastercard. There have been unauthorized charges on this card.

On Thursday, May 29th at 2:57 PM, I was charged $69.27 by a fraudulent entity impersonating Walmart.

I also received a $0.00 transaction from the U.S. Treasury around the time I may have triggered a system identifying me as an heir of Micheal G. Orsell.

My bank account was locked for nearly 24 hours following this Treasury transaction.

During this time, I was unable to access support. It appeared as though someone was intercepting or delaying emails from the card company.

For two consecutive months, I have been locked out of my account and prevented from confirming my last transaction.

I have documented these incidents extensively and am prepared to provide:

- **Photographic and digital evidence**
- **Router and network logs**
- **Court documents and affidavits**

I ask that this document be logged as a formal police report. If no action can be taken at the local level, I intend to forward this report and evidence to the FBI, the U.S. Postal Inspection Service, and the Department of Justice.

Thank you for your time and assistance. I am in fear for my safety and the well-being of my remaining pet, and I seek protection through lawful channels.

Sincerely,



Kayla Orsell

06/15/2025

Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095

May 31, 2025

Affidavit of Kayla Orsell Regarding Coordinated Criminal Activity Under RICO Statutes

I, Kayla Orsell, submit this affidavit to document and formally report an ongoing pattern of organized criminal activity involving members of my immediate and extended family, law enforcement personnel, and possible government agency insiders. I believe the scope, coordination, and persistence of these activities meet the criteria established under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), codified at 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961–1968.

I. Mail Tampering and Communication Interference

My legal and personal mail has been consistently tampered with, delayed, or unlawfully intercepted. I have hard evidence and firsthand experience indicating that my stepbrother, John Valik, who is employed by the United States Postal Service, is directly involved. Despite his listed income being 38% below average for a postal worker, John Valik—age 37—has recently appeared on public property records as the owner of at least seven high-value properties along Lake Shore Road. These properties are listed under various inconsistent names such as “John M Valik,” “John C Valik,” and “John Valik Jr.”

Online background check platforms reveal conflicting age data tied to these names—showing ages of 37 (John's actual age), 59 (the age of my stepmother), and 64 (the age of my father). These identity inconsistencies suggest deliberate obfuscation or misuse of records to conceal the scope of property holdings and financial dealings.

II. Interference with Legal Proceedings

I filed a 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas corpus petition in the Northern District of New York (Orsell v. Spychalski et al.) on or around November 13, 2024. I also submitted a formal grievance to the NY State Attorney General. I now have credible reason to believe that neither of these communications reached their intended recipients. I received a response from the AG’s office suggesting misconduct was acknowledged but not pursued—yet I believe that reply may have been falsified or intercepted. My electronic communications and mail were under constant surveillance or interference at the time, and multiple devices were targeted in what I believe was a coordinated cyberattack.

III. Identity Misuse and Genetic Evidence

In March 2025, I submitted a DNA sample to MyHeritage. The analysis returned a 99.4% identical match to what appeared to be myself or a twin. Further investigation of the raw DNA file revealed the presence of more than 3,000 Y chromosomes—indicating the sample was male. The family matches returned included names linked by background check data to my stepbrother and stepmother. This supports my longstanding suspicion that my true identity and family origins were concealed or manipulated.

IV. Physical and Psychological Abuse

Throughout my childhood, I was subjected to coercion and abuse. I was introduced to illegal drugs by my father at age 16 and used to assist in growing marijuana as young as 5 years old. At age 12, I suffered a severe leg injury and was left in the road screaming in pain. When help arrived, my father forcibly bent my broken leg, worsening the injury. I was denied prompt medical care and made to crawl up stairs using my arms.

As an adult, my housing and safety were repeatedly threatened when I attempted to speak out. My father padlocked my only exit, disabled my vehicles, and coerced me—via threats of homelessness—into accepting a false plea deal when I wished to go to trial.

V. Pattern of Conduct and Coordination

The activities described above are not isolated events. They represent a coordinated pattern of conduct that includes: Mail and communications fraud Property and identity fraud Interference with the courts Physical and psychological abuse Cyber sabotage Possible involvement of public officials and misuse of government positions

Conclusion

These acts constitute an ongoing criminal enterprise under 18 U.S.C. § 1961(1)(B) and § 1962, specifically involving obstruction of justice, mail fraud, wire fraud, and identity fraud—all predicate offenses under the RICO Act.

I am submitting this affidavit in the interest of justice and request that it be reviewed by an appropriate agency with jurisdiction to investigate and intervene. I am prepared to provide physical evidence, digital files, legal documentation, and sworn statements in support of this affidavit.

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Orsell

 Exhibit

Urgent: DNA Kit Account Discrepancy and Possible Tampering Concern

2 messages

Kayla Orsell <kaylaorsell2@gmail.com> Mon, May 5, 2025 at 4:42 AM
To: support@myheritage.com

Dear MyHeritage Support Team,

I am reaching out regarding a serious issue involving my DNA kit and account access. I originally purchased my DNA kit through MyHeritage using the email address However, I recently discovered that my DNA results appear to be associated with a different email account, koscustoms51g outlook.com, which I did not authorize for this purpose.

In addition to this discrepancy, I experienced a troubling incident during the return process of my DNA sample. After mailing the kit from my home mailbox, it was returned with an ink stamp claiming improper postage. I then brought the unopened, original package directly to the post office, where they verified that the postage was, in fact, correct. I sent the same package again, and it was accepted without issue. This has raised concerns for me about possible tampering, especially since the package was left in my family's mailbox for over a day before I noticed the return.

Given these circumstances, I am requesting the following:

1. Confirmation of the email address and account currently linked to my DNA kit.

2. Reassignment of the kit and all associated data to my verified purchase email: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com.

3. Assurance that no unauthorized parties have accessed or altered my DNA sample, profile, or results.

4. A downloadable copy of the raw DNA data currently associated with my sample.

I am very concerned about the integrity of my DNA results and potential identity issues. Please treat this as an urgent matter and advise me on the next steps to secure my information and ensure I have rightful control over my genetic data.

Thank you for your prompt attention.

Sincerely,
Kayla Orsell
Email: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com
DOB: October 30, 1988
[Include Kit Activation Code or Order Number if available]

MyHeritage Support <no-reply@myheritage.com> Mon, May 5, 2025 at 4:46 AM
To: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Hi,

This is an automated response to the email you sent to support@myheritage.com. We understand you would like to get in touch with us, but this email address is not monitored and our team will not be able to read or respond to your email.

Your satisfaction is important to us, so we want to make sure your inquiry is properly addressed. If you wish to contact our Support team, please visit this page to submit your request, and an agent will contact you shortly.

Alternatively, we recommend consulting our virtual assistant to receive immediate help with any billing or shipping issues. You can also get instant answers to frequently asked questions and find step-by-step guides for using MyHeritage by visiting our Help Center.

To receive personalized assistance, please log into your MyHeritage account before accessing the virtual assistant or the Help Center.

“‘*Please do not reply to this email, as we will not be able to see your reply.*““

Sincerely,
The MyHeritage Support Team

[Quoted text hidden]

Urgent Inquiry: "Self / Identical Twin" DNA Match — Possible Duplication or Tampering

2 messages

Kayla Orsell «kaylaorsell2@gmail.com> Mon, May 5, 2025 at 4:57 AM
To: support@myheritage.com

Dear MyHeritage Support,

I am writing to urgently request your assistance regarding a highly concerning issue with my DNA results. On my DNA Match list, I am shown to have a match labeled as “Self / Identical Twin” sharing 99.4°a DNA with me. However, I have only ever submitted one DNA sample and used one kit purchased under my email address: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com.

To be clear:

I have not uploaded my raw DNA file to any other MyHeritage account.

I have not submitted multiple DNA samples.

I did not authorize anyone else to submit or upload my DNA data.

Furthermore, I previously experienced an issue where my DNA sample was returned with a postage stamp stating it was “improper," despite being verified as correct by the post office. This event, along with the current match anomaly, raises serious concerns for me about potential tampering, identity compromise, or internal duplication of my sample or data.

I respectfully request the following:

1. Verification of any other account(s) that have uploaded or are managing a DNA kit with my genetic data.

2. The email address and user name associated with the ”Self / Identical Twin“ match.

3. Confirmation of whether MyHeritage's systems duplicated my sample or data internally.

4. Reassurance that my genetic information has not been accessed or misused by unauthorized parties.

5. If applicable, please remove or merge the duplicate kit and ensure my account is the sole manager of my DNA.

Please treat this request as urgent, as it relates to the integrity of my genetic data and potential privacy violations.

Thank you for your prompt attention. I am happy to provide further identifying information or verification as needed.

Sincerely,
Kayla Orsell
Email: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com
DOB: October 30, 1988

MyHeritage Support «no-reply@myheritage.com» Mon, May 5, 2025 at 5:01 AM
To: kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

Hi,

This is an automated response to the email you sent to support@myheritage.com. We understand you would like to get in touch with us, but this email address is not monitored and our team will not be able to read or respond to your email.

Your satisfaction is important to us, so we want to make sure your inquiry is properly addressed. If you wish to contact our Support team, please visit this page to submit your request, and an agent will contact you shortly.

Alternatively, we recommend consulting our virtual assistant to receive immediate help with any billing or shipping **issues. You** can also get instant answers to frequently asked questions and find step-by-step guides for using MyHeritage by visiting our Help Center.

To receive personalized assistance, please log into your MyHeritage account before accessing the virtual assistant or the Help Center.

"Please do not reply to this email, as we will not be able to see your reply."

Sincerely,
The MyHeritage Support Team

[Quoted text hidden]

SWORN AFFIDAVIT OF INHERITANCE, FRAUD, AND OBSTRUCTION

I, Kayla Orsell, residing in Johnstown, New York, being of sound mind and under oath, do hereby swear and affirm the following facts based on my personal knowledge, experience, and documentation:

1. BACKGROUND AND FAMILY HISTORY

1.1. I am the biological daughter of Micheal G. Orsell (deceased 1998), or potentially his son Todd Orsell (deceased 2008), and have reason to believe I was named or intended to be named as an heir in one or more estate or trust instruments. Due to DNA tampering and obstruction, I have been prevented from confirming my true biological parentage. 1.2. My paternity is uncertain because my DNA sample was stolen or tampered with in the mail, and the results I received were falsely attributed to a male profile. This occurred during a period of heightened interference with my communications and court activity. 1.3. Micheal Orsell was formerly married to Rhonda (also spelled "Rondah") Callery (deceased 2019), with whom he held joint property and financial accounts. These assets were never properly resolved through probate and remain in dispute. 1.4. I have no confirmed information about my biological mother, and attempts to uncover her identity have also been obstructed.

2. EXCLUSION FROM PROBATE AND PROPERTY INTERESTS

2.1. Rhonda Callery's estate (File No. 2024-9) was opened in Fulton County Surrogate's Court in 2024—over four years after her death—and closed unusually quickly with no notice to me. 2.2. I have legal standing as a potential distributee or trust beneficiary due to my relation to the Orsell family. However, I was never served or included in the estate family tree, which was later amended. 2.3. Properties tied to the estate—including 178 Spring Street, 334 W. Madison Avenue, and a parcel on Route 29—appear to have been fraudulently conveyed using life estate deeds and unrecorded remaindermen. The Gloversville Assessor's Office refused to identify the remainderman of 178 Spring Street despite my inquiry. 2.4. Multiple properties and JTWROS financial accounts remain in the names of deceased individuals and were excluded from probate disclosure. 2.5. My fiancé Caderon Tyrrell personally confirmed that Brandi Orsell Maye, listed as successor trustee, was unaware of the probate proceeding.

3. SUSPICIOUS TIMING OF ARREST AND BENEFIT INTERRUPTION

3.1. I was arrested on April 9, 2024, on fabricated misdemeanor charges. This coincided exactly with procedural filings and notices in the probate case of Rhonda Callery. 3.2. Around the same time, I received a letter from the Social Security Administration (SSA) claiming I had been institutionalized in a facility that absorbed over 50% of my monthly SSI benefit. This was false—I was not in any institution. 3.3. I believe this fraudulent institutionalization report was submitted to isolate me financially and legally during key probate developments.

4. DNA COLLECTION FRAUD AND IDENTITY THEFT

4.1. Following my arrest, my probation officer and local judge demanded a DNA sample—despite this being a misdemeanor case. New York State does not require DNA collection for misdemeanor

offenses. 4.2. My DNA was collected in a highly irregular manner: a swab of saliva onto paper, which I was charged $50 for. I have reason to believe the DNA collected was mishandled, replaced, or never sent to a legitimate lab. 4.3. A separate DNA test I attempted to submit by mail was intercepted. The results returned indicated a male profile—not mine—confirming interference. 4.4. The cumulative DNA manipulation has obstructed my ability to confirm lineage to Micheal or Todd Orsell, and has thus denied my rights to inheritance, medical history, and familial association.

5. COURTROOM OBSTRUCTION AND CLERK MISCONDUCT

5.1. When I visited the Fulton County Surrogate's Court to obtain the probate record for Rhonda Callery's estate, the court clerk falsely claimed there was no such file. 5.2. I showed the clerk a screenshot of the public WebSurrogate case listing. She then refused to allow me access to the public computer terminal and demanded to know my interest in the case. 5.3. Despite my explanation—that I may be Micheal Orsell's biological child and was excluded from the proceeding—she dismissed my concerns and obstructed further access.

6. CONFLICT OF INTEREST: JUDGE CHAD W. BROWN

6.1. My former defense attorney and my father both claimed Chad Brown was the District Attorney on my criminal case. In fact, James P. Riley was the DA of record. 6.2. Judge Chad Brown now presides over the Surrogate's Court case involving the estate and properties of Rhonda Callery—directly tied to the Orsell family. 6.3. This presents a serious conflict of interest, especially as my criminal case was used to extract DNA and prevent me from pursuing rightful estate claims.

7. HABEAS CORPUS OBSTRUCTION

7.1. I filed a federal habeas corpus petition (2241) under the name Kayla Orsell while incarcerated, alleging due process violations, ineffective assistance of counsel, and obstruction of access to the courts. 7.2. I later discovered that no court response was received, and I have reason to believe the filing and supporting mail were intercepted or blocked. 7.3. I suspect falsified replies were generated or my petition was intentionally misrouted. My supporting exhibits and legal requests have also gone unacknowledged.

8. REQUEST FOR RELIEF AND INVESTIGATION

I respectfully request that this affidavit be accepted as a formal complaint and call to action for: - Investigation into the estate of Rhonda Callery, including concealed trust and probate records; - Review of potential judicial misconduct by Judge Chad W. Brown and defense attorney collusion; - Production of the DNA collection chain of custody and investigation into sample replacement; - Review of Social Security's false institutionalization letter and related benefit suspension; - Disclosure of any guardianship or secret trust established in my name; - Immediate recognition of my standing as an heir or distributee to the Orsell estate; - Reinstatement of full SSI benefits and legal standing to contest past convictions.

I affirm under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Date: 06/10/2025

Signature: 

Kayla Orsell
Johnstown, NY

Mail Interference Patterns - Evidence Summary

Based on documented incidents involving USPS handling of mailed items

Pattern A: Buffalo Return Address Override Fraud

1. Mail dropped in blue box at St. Johnsville USPS with Buffalo digital mailbox return address.
2. Insider identifies mail via collection timing (possible vehicle tracking, geofence, ALPR).
3. Before or during initial processing, USPS Address Management System (AMS) is altered to replace the printed Buffalo return address with sender's actual home address in Johnstown, NY.
4. Mail is routed to an intercept facility (e.g., Springfield, MA) instead of intended destination.
5. Yellow 'Return to Sender' label applied; piece is sent back to home address without real delivery attempt.

Pattern B: Syracuse Premature Return-to-Sender

1. Mail dropped in blue box at St. Johnsville USPS with actual home return address.
2. Item should route south/west toward Kentucky but is diverted to Syracuse, NY P&DC.
3. Syracuse facility applies 'Return to Sender' label before any delivery attempt to Kentucky.
4. RTS codes on yellow label are internal routing/handling indicators, not consumer-facing reasons.
5. Returned directly to sender, bypassing the intended delivery region entirely.
6. Strongly suggests facility-level insider access or cooperation at Syracuse P&DC.

Key Observations Linking Both Patterns

- Both patterns involve premature RTS without proper delivery attempt.
- Different return address handling: Pattern A uses override, Pattern B uses facility diversion.
- Multiple intercept facilities suggest broader insider network (Springfield, Syracuse).
- Consistent with targeted mail interference related to legal filings and sensitive correspondence.

MITM Intrusion Investigation Report

Incident Summary

User observed suspicious behavior while accessing https://nynd.uscourts.gov, including injected live chat widgets, unexplained WebSocket traffic, and invalid security certificates.

The behavior persisted across sessions and appeared to modify or spoof otherwise secure court-related content.

Investigation revealed unauthorized WebSocket connections to alive5.com using socket.io, which are not associated with any U.S. government domains.

A rogue device was detected on the local network at IP address 192.168.1.181 with MAC address dc-c2-c9-73-90-92.

Device and Network Evidence

ARP Scan:

- Detected 192.168.1.181 on local network
- MAC: dc-c2-c9-73-90-92
- Device does not respond to NetBIOS (nbtstat)

Ping:

- Device responds, but with fluctuating latency (3ms to 156ms), suggesting active packet processing

TLS Warning:

- Visiting https://192.168.1.181 results in a certificate error: NET::ERR_CERT_AUTHORITY_INVALID

- Same error appears on router (192.168.1.1), suggesting proxy or firmware compromise

Web Evidence and Behavior

- Browser DevTools confirmed connections to:

  wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/

- This is not a U.S. government domain

- JavaScript libraries and injected socket.io code appeared in otherwise trusted pages

- Suggests active man-in-the-middle (MITM) injection or proxy

- Disabling Wi-Fi and switching to mobile data bypasses the injection, confirming local network tampering

Conclusion and Threat Level

This evidence confirms the presence of a man-in-the-middle proxy operating at 192.168.1.181, capable of injecting content into secure (HTTPS) websites including U.S. court domains.

The rogue device is intercepting or modifying web traffic and could be used for surveillance, phishing, or disinformation.

Immediate action is recommended:

- Physically remove or block the device

- Reset the router and re-secure the network

- Submit this report to IC3, DHS CISA, or trusted legal authorities

Suggested MAC Address Block: dc-c2-c9-73-90-92

MITM Intrusion Investigation Report

Prepared By

Kayla Orsell

Date: June 5, 2025

This report is based on direct technical observation, system analysis, and forensic screenshots captured during an active intrusion. Supporting materials available upon request.

Consolidated MITM and Network Interference Report

Overview

This report documents strong evidence of an active man-in-the-middle (MITM) interception or proxy modification attack occurring over a residential network. The issue was identified through abnormal behavior when accessing https://nynd.uscourts.gov, including unauthorized live chat widgets and WebSocket traffic from a third-party commercial server.

Initial suspicion focused on IP address 192.168.1.181, but this has been confirmed to be a Canon printer. Attention has shifted to the router itself (192.168.1.1), which is exhibiting signs of proxy interference and may be compromised or replaced.

Key Indicators and Evidence

1. Unexpected JavaScript/WebSocket Activity:

- Pages from https://nynd.uscourts.gov loaded socket.io code and opened live WebSocket connections to:

wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/

- alive5.com is a commercial chat provider not associated with the U.S. Courts.

2. Browser Console Evidence:

- DevTools shows repeated failed WebSocket connections to unauthorized domains.
- Injected JavaScript present on government websites via .socket.io and files.alive5.com.

3. Invalid Security Certificates:

- Accessing https://192.168.1.1 triggers a NET::ERR_CERT_AUTHORITY_INVALID error.
- Certificate warning also occurs on https://192.168.1.181 (Canon printer, now ruled out as attacker).

- Suggests possible self-signed MITM proxy certificate injected on router or DNS gateway.

4. Device and Network Scan:

- Local network scans showed device 192.168.1.181 with MAC dc-c2-c9-73-90-92.
- Ping times to .181 varied between 3ms and 156ms, indicating processing activity.
- nbtstat returned host not found, indicating it's not a Windows-based system.

5. DNS Resolution:

- nslookup shows requests are being resolved by Spectrums official server.
- Despite this, HTML content of .gov websites appears to be tampered with or cloned.

6. Router Certificate Abnormality:

- Router admin panel at 192.168.1.1 also displays the same invalid certificate error.
- Suggests a spoofed or tampered router interface, possibly via reverse proxy or flashed firmware.

Conclusions

- The Canon printer at 192.168.1.181 has been ruled out as the MITM device.
- The router (192.168.1.1) is now the primary suspect, due to:
  - TLS certificate mismatch on admin panel
  - Pattern of MITM-style behavior
  - Presence of injected JavaScript on trusted websites
- DNS queries resolve correctly but the page contents are being altered, indicating either:
  1. DNS forwarder or split-horizon DNS within a hijacked router.
  2. A full MITM reverse proxy setup with script injection occurring after resolution.

- This attack is ongoing and affects all devices on the local network unless Wi-Fi is disabled.

- When switching to mobile data or using a separate network, the injection behavior disappears.

This suggests with high confidence that either the router itself or a device connected through the LAN port is spoofing content and injecting JavaScript payloads in real time.

Recommended Next Steps

1. Physically reset the router (hold reset pinhole for 30+ seconds).

2. Reconfigure manually:

   - Set a new Wi-Fi and admin password.

   - Use manual DNS servers (Google 8.8.8.8 / Cloudflare 1.1.1.1).

   - Enable MAC filtering and disable remote admin features.

3. Disconnect or block any unknown wired devices.

4. Inspect the router housing and cabling for any tampering or modifications.

5. Generate new TLS certificates for all devices if needed.

Optional:

- Replace router entirely with a known clean model.

- Flash original firmware from manufacturers support page (if applicable).

Prepared By

Kayla Orsell

Date: June 5, 2025

This report is based on real-time technical inspection, multiple diagnostic tests, packet and socket analysis, and TLS verification. All actions and observations were documented through screenshots

and logs for future legal or investigative use.

AFFIDAVIT REGARDING DNA TAMPERING, MISATTRIBUTED PATERNITY, AND SUSPECTED FAMILY FRAUD

STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

I, Kayla Leigh Orsell, being duly sworn, depose and say:

I am a resident of Johnstown, New York, residing at 81 Chestnut Street. I submit this affidavit to document the suspected tampering of my DNA test results, concealment of my true paternity, and unlawful access to my legal documents during a period of incarceration.

1. In 2018, I submitted a DNA sample to Ancestry.com. After sharing with my family that I had discovered unexpected heritage and found no DNA matches, 1 was locked out of my account. I also lost access to the email address associated with that Ancestry account. In late 2024, l accessed Ancestry.com through a new account that does not have DNA data and confirmed that my original account still existed but continued to show no DNA matches. I called Ancestry customer service to regain access, and they informed me that someone had very recently deleted both my account, and the DNA data attached to it. To date, I do not have access to the original email account. Additionally, two individuals alleged to be my first cousins have submitted DNA to Ancestry, and neither of them match me genetically.

2. . In 2023, I submitted a DNA sample to MyHeritage. Subsequently, a second DNA profile appeared marked as "Self / Identical Twin," matching mine at 99.4%. I never submitted a second sample and have no known identical twin.

3. I believe my raw DNA file was cloned or manipulated and uploaded to another MyHeritage account to obscure my biological identity.

4. My short-form birth certificate lists Victor P. Orsell Sr. as my father, but I was told growing up that my father was Victor Orsell Jr. This discrepancy, combined with DNA results and record inconsistencies, suggests I may have been adopted, or paternity was falsified.

5. While I was incarcerated between 2013 and 2015, someone obtained a certified copy of my birth certificate in 2014. I suspect this was done to gain unauthorized control over benefits, guardianship, or identity-related legal matters.

6. My family lives at 81 Chestnut Street, adjacent to my residence. I believe they have tampered with my mail and obstructed my efforts to access DNA results and family records to conceal my true paternity and financial claims.

7. I uploaded my DNA to GEDmatch and have been using forensic tools to detect hidden relatives and identify altered segment data.

8. I submitted FOIL requests to NYS Department of Health, Fulton County Clerk, and Surrogate's Court to determine if unauthorized legal filings—such as guardianship or power of attorney—were created during my incarceration.

I make this sworn statement to preserve my legal identity, pursue rightful access to records and property, and expose any effort to suppress evidence of my true lineage and inheritance rights.

Dated: z ‹ (.J
Johnstown, New York

Kayla Leigh Orsell

NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGXIENT

State of New York
County of _____

On the ;____ day of)* y9 , 2025, before me, the undersigned, personally appeared Kayla Leigh Orsell, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to this instrument and acknowledged to me that she executed the same.

Witness my hand and official seal:

MnryAaa liars
ysbtk, Stata erXew'¥erb

Notary Public

Qualified in Fulton County
My Commission Expires on 9/22/2028

My commission expires:)l -1

January 28, 2026

NOTICE OF MAIL AND ELECTRONIC INTERFERENCE

(Preservation of Rights and Record Clarification)

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE

E that the undersigned submits this Notice to formally document and preserve the record regarding recurring and material mail delivery irregularities, electronic communication disruptions, and document access interference affecting matters related to this filing.

This Notice is provided in good faith for the limited purposes of:

(1) explaining potential delays, omissions, or inconsistencies in correspondence or records;

(2) preserving the undersigned's rights; and

(3) ensuring that the reviewing authority is aware that any gaps in the record may not be attributable to inaction, waiver, or neglect by the undersigned.

1. **Scope of Interference**

The undersigned has experienced ongoing issues including, but not limited to:

Delayed, missing, misdirected, or unacknowledged U.S. mail

Inconsistent delivery confirmations or unexplained failures of certified or tracked mail

Disruptions to electronic communications, including email delivery, account access, document transmission, and online submission portals

Interference with the ability to timely receive, retrieve, print, upload, or respond to official notices, filings, or agency correspondence.

2. **Affected Matters**

These issues have impacted, or may have impacted, communications and submissions relating to:

Trust administration and beneficiary verification

Surrogate's Court filings and related estate or trust record inquiries

Claims, documentation, or correspondence with the New York State Office of the Comptroller, including the Office of Unclaimed Funds

Supporting exhibits, affidavits, and evidentiary materials transmitted by mail or electronically.

3. **No Waiver of Rights**

January 28, 2026

The undersigned expressly states that:

No rights, claims, defenses, objections, or remedies are waived by any delay, omission, or procedural irregularity potentially resulting from the above-described interference

Any failure to respond, supplement, or comply within an expected timeframe should not be construed as abandonment, acquiescence, or lack of standing

This Notice is intended to preserve the integrity of the record and to alert reviewing authorities that alternative explanations may exist for any perceived deficiencies.

4. **Continuing Duty to Supplement**

The undersigned reserves the right to:

Supplement the record with additional documentation, affidavits, or exhibits as access permits

Provide replacement copies of previously sent materials if requested or if proof of delivery is disputed

Update this Notice should additional facts become available.

5. **Good-Faith Submission**

This Notice is submitted respectfully and without accusation, solely to ensure accuracy, fairness, and transparency in the handling of the underlying matter(s).

Submitted by:

Kayla Leigh Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

(518) 705-4054

knockoutretail518@proton.me

Date: January 28, 2026

FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

In the Matter of the Application of
KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,
Petitioner,
To Reopen Adoption Proceedings and Unseal Records

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PETITION TO UNSEAL ADOPTION RECORDS

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This Memorandum of Law is submitted in support of Kayla Leigh Orsell's Verified Petition to unseal adoption records under Domestic Relations Law § 114(4) and to vacate the adoption judgment based on fraud, misrepresentation, and misconduct under CPLR § 5015(a)(3).

Petitioner respectfully submits that continued sealing of the adoption records in this matter no longer serves any protective interest for the adoptee, biological parents, or any party involved. To the contrary, sealing now operates as a shield for concealment of fraud, abuse, and denial of constitutional and inheritance rights — precisely the type of injustice that the "good cause" exception in DRL § 114(4) was designed to remedy.

II. RELEVANT LAW

A. Domestic Relations Law § 114(4)

Under DRL § 114, adoption records are sealed by default, but the statute provides:

> “No person shall be allowed access to such records and orders and no information relating thereto shall be released therefrom except by order of a judge or surrogate of the court in which the order was made... upon good cause shown.”

The term "good cause" is not rigidly defined and is left to the discretion of the court. Courts have routinely found good cause exists when:

- The sealed information is necessary to protect legal rights;
-
- Disclosure is required for the administration of justice;
-
- Or sealing results in concealment of fraud or injustice.

(Matter of Linda F.M., 52 A.D.2d 288 [1st Dept 1976]; Matter of Spinks v. Jackson, 213 A.D.2d 212 [1st Dept 1995])

B. CPLR § 5015(a)(3) – Fraud Upon the Court

CPLR § 5015(a)(3) allows a court to relieve a party from a judgment or order upon a showing of:

> “fraud, misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party.”

Where an adoption was secured by concealment of biological parentage, misrepresentation of marital or fiduciary status, or suppression of material facts during related estate or trust proceedings, the finality of the adoption may be challenged and reviewed in the interest of justice.

III. ARGUMENT

A. Continued Sealing No Longer Serves a Protective Function

The Petitioner is now 37 years old, fully competent, and has affirmatively waived any privacy interest. There is no identifiable person for whom sealing continues to serve a legitimate protective function. The biological parents are believed deceased. There is no competing interest in confidentiality that outweighs the Petitioner's constitutional and legal rights to access the records.

Sealing now impairs Petitioner's ability to:

- Assert possible inheritance or trust rights;
- Challenge fraudulent court filings involving her name or estate interests;
- Investigate how court-appointed attorneys and guardians may have failed to protect her;
- And uncover the full circumstances of her identity, adoption, and the deprivation of her legal personhood.

B. Sealing Has Become a Tool of Fraud and Obstruction

- Petitioner has produced significant evidence of:
- Misrepresentation of adoptive parents' marital status;
- Suppression of biological parentage and estate rights;
- Probate litigation (e.g., Koenig v. Orsell) conducted without disclosure of Petitioner's interest;
- Use of sealed records to block habeas filings, guardianship inquiries, and rightful access to medical and identity information;
- Persistent obstruction through forged mail slips, intimidation, and interference with legal counsel.

Sealing in this context is not protecting vulnerable parties — it is protecting abusers and fraudsters from scrutiny and accountability. This is an inversion of the statute's purpose and should not be allowed to continue.

C. Public Policy Favors Unsealing to Prevent Injustice

New York courts have recognized that sealed records may be disclosed when justice requires disclosure, particularly in the face of documented or probable fraud.

> "The court must balance the policy of preserving confidentiality in adoption proceedings with the interests of the parties seeking disclosure and the public interest in exposing fraud."
> — Matter of Spinks v. Jackson, 213 A.D.2d 212 (1st Dept 1995)

Petitioner respectfully submits that her situation presents an urgent and compelling need for the Court to intervene, unseal the records, and permit a full evidentiary review.

IV. CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, Petitioner Kayla Leigh Orsell respectfully requests that the Court:

Grant her Petition to Unseal All Adoption and Guardianship Records;

Permit inspection and certified copies of all materials;

And grant such other and further relief as justice requires.

Dated: 08/16/2025

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095

MITM Intrusion Investigation Report

Incident Summary

User observed suspicious behavior while accessing https://nynd.uscourts.gov, including injected live chat widgets, unexplained WebSocket traffic, and invalid security certificates.

The behavior persisted across sessions and appeared to modify or spoof otherwise secure court-related content.

Investigation revealed unauthorized WebSocket connections to alive5.com using socket.io, which are not associated with any U.S. government domains.

A rogue device was detected on the local network at IP address 192.168.1.181 with MAC address dc-c2-c9-73-90-92.

Device and Network Evidence

ARP Scan:

- Detected 192.168.1.181 on local network
- MAC: dc-c2-c9-73-90-92
- Device does not respond to NetBIOS (nbtstat)

Ping:

- Device responds, but with fluctuating latency (3ms to 156ms), suggesting active packet processing

TLS Warning:

- Visiting https://192.168.1.181 results in a certificate error: NET::ERR_CERT_AUTHORITY_INVALID

- Same error appears on router (192.168.1.1), suggesting proxy or firmware compromise

Web Evidence and Behavior

- Browser DevTools confirmed connections to:

  wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/

- This is not a U.S. government domain

- JavaScript libraries and injected socket.io code appeared in otherwise trusted pages

- Suggests active man-in-the-middle (MITM) injection or proxy

- Disabling Wi-Fi and switching to mobile data bypasses the injection, confirming local network tampering

Conclusion and Threat Level

This evidence confirms the presence of a man-in-the-middle proxy operating at 192.168.1.181, capable of injecting content into secure (HTTPS) websites including U.S. court domains.

The rogue device is intercepting or modifying web traffic and could be used for surveillance, phishing, or disinformation.

Immediate action is recommended:

- Physically remove or block the device

- Reset the router and re-secure the network

- Submit this report to IC3, DHS CISA, or trusted legal authorities

Suggested MAC Address Block: dc-c2-c9-73-90-92

MITM Intrusion Investigation Report

Prepared By

Kayla Orsell

Date: June 5, 2025

This report is based on direct technical observation, system analysis, and forensic screenshots captured during an active intrusion. Supporting materials available upon request.

Consolidated MITM and Network Interference Report

Overview

This report documents strong evidence of an active man-in-the-middle (MITM) interception or proxy modification attack occurring over a residential network. The issue was identified through abnormal behavior when accessing https://nynd.uscourts.gov, including unauthorized live chat widgets and WebSocket traffic from a third-party commercial server.

Initial suspicion focused on IP address 192.168.1.181, but this has been confirmed to be a Canon printer. Attention has shifted to the router itself (192.168.1.1), which is exhibiting signs of proxy interference and may be compromised or replaced.

Key Indicators and Evidence

1. Unexpected JavaScript/WebSocket Activity:

- Pages from https://nynd.uscourts.gov loaded socket.io code and opened live WebSocket connections to:

wss://api-v2.alive5.com/socket.io/

- alive5.com is a commercial chat provider not associated with the U.S. Courts.

2. Browser Console Evidence:

- DevTools shows repeated failed WebSocket connections to unauthorized domains.
- Injected JavaScript present on government websites via .socket.io and files.alive5.com.

3. Invalid Security Certificates:

- Accessing https://192.168.1.1 triggers a NET::ERR_CERT_AUTHORITY_INVALID error.
- Certificate warning also occurs on https://192.168.1.181 (Canon printer, now ruled out as attacker).

- Suggests possible self-signed MITM proxy certificate injected on router or DNS gateway.

4. Device and Network Scan:

- Local network scans showed device 192.168.1.181 with MAC dc-c2-c9-73-90-92.
- Ping times to .181 varied between 3ms and 156ms, indicating processing activity.
- nbtstat returned host not found, indicating it's not a Windows-based system.

5. DNS Resolution:

- nslookup shows requests are being resolved by Spectrums official server.
- Despite this, HTML content of .gov websites appears to be tampered with or cloned.

6. Router Certificate Abnormality:

- Router admin panel at 192.168.1.1 also displays the same invalid certificate error.
- Suggests a spoofed or tampered router interface, possibly via reverse proxy or flashed firmware.

Conclusions

- The Canon printer at 192.168.1.181 has been ruled out as the MITM device.
- The router (192.168.1.1) is now the primary suspect, due to:
  - TLS certificate mismatch on admin panel
  - Pattern of MITM-style behavior
  - Presence of injected JavaScript on trusted websites
- DNS queries resolve correctly but the page contents are being altered, indicating either:
  1. DNS forwarder or split-horizon DNS within a hijacked router.
  2. A full MITM reverse proxy setup with script injection occurring after resolution.

- This attack is ongoing and affects all devices on the local network unless Wi-Fi is disabled.

- When switching to mobile data or using a separate network, the injection behavior disappears.

This suggests with high confidence that either the router itself or a device connected through the LAN port is spoofing content and injecting JavaScript payloads in real time.

Recommended Next Steps

1. Physically reset the router (hold reset pinhole for 30+ seconds).

2. Reconfigure manually:

   - Set a new Wi-Fi and admin password.

   - Use manual DNS servers (Google 8.8.8.8 / Cloudflare 1.1.1.1).

   - Enable MAC filtering and disable remote admin features.

3. Disconnect or block any unknown wired devices.

4. Inspect the router housing and cabling for any tampering or modifications.

5. Generate new TLS certificates for all devices if needed.

Optional:

- Replace router entirely with a known clean model.

- Flash original firmware from manufacturers support page (if applicable).

Prepared By

Kayla Orsell

Date: June 5, 2025

This report is based on real-time technical inspection, multiple diagnostic tests, packet and socket analysis, and TLS verification. All actions and observations were documented through screenshots

and logs for future legal or investigative use.

Printed Name: _____

My Commission Expires: _____

Mail Interference Patterns - Evidence Summary

Based on documented incidents involving USPS handling of mailed items

Pattern A: Buffalo Return Address Override Fraud

1. Mail dropped in blue box at St. Johnsville USPS with Buffalo digital mailbox return address.
2. Insider identifies mail via collection timing (possible vehicle tracking, geofence, ALPR).
3. Before or during initial processing, USPS Address Management System (AMS) is altered to replace the printed Buffalo return address with sender's actual home address in Johnstown, NY.
4. Mail is routed to an intercept facility (e.g., Springfield, MA) instead of intended destination.
5. Yellow 'Return to Sender' label applied; piece is sent back to home address without real delivery attempt.

Pattern B: Syracuse Premature Return-to-Sender

1. Mail dropped in blue box at St. Johnsville USPS with actual home return address.
2. Item should route south/west toward Kentucky but is diverted to Syracuse, NY P&DC.
3. Syracuse facility applies 'Return to Sender' label before any delivery attempt to Kentucky.
4. RTS codes on yellow label are internal routing/handling indicators, not consumer-facing reasons.
5. Returned directly to sender, bypassing the intended delivery region entirely.
6. Strongly suggests facility-level insider access or cooperation at Syracuse P&DC.

Key Observations Linking Both Patterns

- Both patterns involve premature RTS without proper delivery attempt.
- Different return address handling: Pattern A uses override, Pattern B uses facility diversion.
- Multiple intercept facilities suggest broader insider network (Springfield, Syracuse).
- Consistent with targeted mail interference related to legal filings and sensitive correspondence.

Petition to Administer Assets and Determine Heirship

STATE OF NEW YORK

SURROGATE'S COURT: COUNTY OF FULTON

In the Matter of the Estate of

MICHAEL G. ORSELL, Deceased.

PETITION TO ADMINISTER ASSETS TIED TO LAND PATENT AND TO DETERMINE HEIRSHIP

TO THE SURROGATE'S COURT OF THE COUNTY OF FULTON:

The undersigned, Petitioner, respectfully alleges:

1. Petitioner is an interested party and potential heir of the above-named decedent, MICHAEL G. ORSELL, who died on or about the year 1998, domiciled in Fulton County, New York.

2. At the time of his death, Decedent owned, in whole or in part, certain real property tied to Lot 526 of the W. Kingsborough Patent, located in the Town of Johnstown, Fulton County, New York, Map ID 141.-00-01-12.000, as described in recorded deeds including but not limited to Liber 549 Page 287 in the Fulton County Clerk’s Office.

3. The property was at one time held jointly with Rhonda L. Orsell; however, the joint tenancy was severed upon divorce circa 1985, resulting in the Decedent holding an undivided one-half interest as tenant in common.

4. Upon Decedent's death, his one-half interest in the property became part of his probate estate. Said interest has never been properly administered, conveyed, or distributed to his lawful heirs.

5. Petitioner further seeks discovery of any and all documents, deeds, probate records, and title history necessary to identify the true heirs to Decedent's property interest, including but not limited to the examination of any person or entity claiming an interest in said property.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully prays for the following relief:

a) An order compelling the production of any and all records relating to the ownership, transfer, and title of the property;

b) A determination of the lawful heirs of Michael G. Orsell;

c) Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: 08/16/2025

Respectfully submitted,



Kayla Leigh Orsell – Pro Se Petitioner

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

(518)-571-1329

Kayla Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

Date: 09/04/2025

Clerk of the Court
Fulton County Surrogates Court
223 West Main Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

Re: Request for Information Regarding Possible Guardianship

Dear Clerk of the Court,

I am writing to request information regarding whether there is currently any guardianship, conservatorship, or related proceeding in place concerning myself, Kayla Orsell, born October 30, 1988.

I have reason to believe that a guardianship may have been established when I was younger, possibly involving Judge Brett Preston or Victor Paul Orsell Jr. but I am uncertain of the current status. I respectfully request that you:

1. Inform me whether there are any active or closed guardianship or conservatorship cases concerning me.

2. Provide me with the case number(s), if any exist.

3. Provide me with a copy of any order(s) appointing a guardian, conservator, or guardian ad litem, if such records exist.

I am requesting this information because I need to clarify my current legal status and exercise my rights.

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Sincerely,

Kayla Orsell

COPY

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF 440.10 MOTION

STATE OF NEW YORK)

) ss.:

COUNTY OF FULTON)

I, Kayla Leigh Orsell, being duly sworn, depose and say:

1. I am the Defendant in the above-captioned matter and submit this Affidavit in support of my motion to vacate the judgment of conviction entered on February 18, 2025, pursuant to CPL § 440.10. I submit this Affidavit both on grounds of newly discovered evidence (CPL § 440.10(1)(g)) and to detail continued and previously undiscoverable constitutional violations. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, except where indicated otherwise.

2. **Newly Discovered Evidence**

a. DNA File Tampering and Identity Misuse

- In May 2025, I obtained and forensically analyzed my raw DNA data file downloaded from MyHeritage. The file revealed the presence of 3,495 Y-chromosome single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), including 331 valid genotypes, despite my biological sex being female. This is biologically inconsistent and strongly indicates that the DNA file processed under my account

was not from my actual sample, but rather substituted or tampered with—potentially by someone with access to my mail or digital data.

- The file's metadata confirmed a generation date of May 16, 2025, format
- MHv1.0, and chip type GSA, with no duplicated RSIDs or structural anomalies. **(See Exhibit L)**
- A second MyHeritage profile appeared under the exact name "Kayla Orsell" and displayed a 99.4% DNA match with my profile, sharing extensive full-length DNA segments across nearly all chromosomes.
    - This level of genetic overlap is consistent with a re-uploaded or cloned raw DNA file rather than a biological match. I have never submitted more than one DNA test to MyHeritage, and this duplicate profile was not authorized by me. These findings confirm that my DNA data was either fraudulently reused, impersonated, or cloned and uploaded under a second account without my consent. **(See Exhibit M)** iv. This evidence was entirely unavailable to me before my conviction and directly undermines the legitimacy of any genetic claims used to corroborate false familial associations or legal identity. The DNA tampering was discovered only after conducting forensic analysis on both the raw file and segment-sharing reports, which I had no reasonable access to or ability to interpret prior to 2025.

b. Postal Service Tampering:

- I discovered that USPS Certified Mail cards used by the Northern District of New York Court to serve me lacked valid tracking numbers, date stamps, and legible recipient signatures, with postal employees allegedly signing mail addressed to me on my step-mothers behalf, undermining notice of critical filings. **(See Exhibit I)**
- My DNA kit envelope was returned marked "Not Enough Postage" despite correct postage and remained in a shared mailbox accessible by family members for over 24 hours, indicating deliberate interference with delivery of evidence.

c. Suspicious Vehicle Accident and Tampering

- On October 15, 2024, my car was involved in a motor vehicle accident that I now believe may have been deliberately orchestrated or exploited by individuals seeking to interfere with my legal proceedings and personal safety. The vehicle I call mine was titled to my father, Victor Orsell, but I was its primary operator. He maintained control of the title and insurance, despite my consistent use and financial responsibility for the vehicle.
- Immediately following the crash, my father and grandfather appeared at the scene within minutes—despite not being notified by me or emergency services. Their unexpected and rapid arrival, combined with their aggressive

behavior toward my injured partner (Caderon Tyrrell), raised serious concerns. My stepmother later made a disturbing comment suggesting that "if I had been in the passenger seat, I would have died," further fueling my suspicions of foul play.

- My father had been urging me not to file a habeas corpus motion starting by lying to me that an attorney he called said that habeas corpus was only for post-conviction. When I told him he was wrong, and I was going to file it anyways he immediately fired my public defender Kyle Davis and hired attorney James Melita who continued to try to stop me from filing.
- Crucially, several key items from the vehicle were missing after the incident, including a cell phone that contained critical legal documents and communications related to my habeas petition. That phone had also shown signs of remote access prior to the accident, such as changes to email and file access logs that I did not initiate. Additionally, a bouquet of flowers that Caderon bought me while he was out, was missing, indicating that someone accessed the vehicle between the time of the crash and its impoundment.
- The missing phone contained the only stored copies of specific communications and images that documented misconduct and digital interference. I believe that the phone was removed to destroy evidence, and my government benefit SIM was cloned prior to the crash in order to monitor my legal communications and undermine my claims.
- This event fits within a broader pattern of intimidation, digital surveillance, and family interference. It occurred during a period when I was actively

preparing legal motions, including my habeas corpus petition, and raises serious questions about whether this accident was coincidental or part of a coordinated effort to obstruct justice and suppress evidence.

3. I have experienced signs of a sophisticated cyber-attack which coincided directly with my false arrest and malicious prosecution and is still ongoing and active.
4. I believe that this cyber-attack was designed to obstruct legal communication, intercept filings, and surveil personal activity.

The following details summarize the technical anomalies and suspicious events reported:

a. Duplicate WiFi SSIDs were detected matching my 5G and 2G home networks, fluctuating in signal strength. This indicates the presence of a rogue access point or WiFi Evil Twin attack.
b. A device labeled 'Lynn's Firestick' appeared in my WiFi Direct menu with full-strength signal, despite her stepmother living at a distance. This suggests a hidden, nearby device used to eavesdrop or spoof a legitimate smart device.
c. I discovered my own legal documents had been stored on my stepmother, Lynn Orsell's, old phone, later given to my boyfriend Caderon Tyrrell, indicating unauthorized cloud access or device cloning.
d. I only found out my habeas case was filed because of a random Google search. I received no Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF).
e. When initially searching the PACER system using party names, the system returned no result. Later, documents appeared identical to those posted on

PacerMonitor, a third-party site that accepts user-submitted content and supports API keys.

f. I suspect DNS spoofing, MITM attacks, or packet interception altered what I could see on official court and PACER websites.

g. The suspicious presence of network devices and inconsistent visibility of my legal docket, combined with missing court notifications, show symptoms of network-level MITM (man-in-the-middle) attack, possibly involving local interference or routing manipulation.

h. My government benefit cellular phone showed its location to match the longitude and latitude of The Gloversville City Courthouse. **(See Exhibit X inside the amendment document Exhibit F)**

5. Discrepancies in Habeas Corpus Court Documents and Website Integrity

- Upon locating my habeas corpus case docket on the Northern District of New York (NDNY) court website, I observed multiple anomalies suggesting tampering or spoofing of official records. The documents filed as Docket Entries 3 and 4—titled "Memorandum-Decision and Order" and "Judgment"—lack several indicators typical of legitimate federal court documents. **(See Exhibits G and H)**
- First, these documents do not contain an embossed or printed seal of the court, which is standard on certified decisions, especially when used as a basis for initiating the appeal period. They are also missing Clerk of Court certification and were not accompanied by any Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF), which is required when parties are served electronically.

- Second, while these documents do include CM/ECF headers, there are irregularities in the formatting and lack of accompanying electronic service records. Additionally, the certified mail return receipts used to prove physical service were not signed by the recipient listed.
- My father, Victor Orsell, admitted that Lynn Orsell—the named signatory—did not sign the cards. He stated a postal worker signed on her behalf, which directly undermines the validity of service under FRCP 77(d) and due process principles.
- The return slips did not contain any official USPS postmark, round-date stamp, or tracking barcode, which is typical for authenticated certified mail.
- In addition to the discrepancies mentioned above, the slips are missing:
    - Date of delivery,
    - Printed name of recipient,
    - Clear address or tracking confirmation.
- Further raising concern, when visiting the NDNY court website (www.nynd.uscourts.gov), I noted that it does not display the standard banner used by federal .gov websites stating, "An official website of the United States government." This absence, combined with inconsistent security certificate indicators (the site shows as using TSL rather than SSL), suggests the possibility that the site may have been spoofed or intercepted during my access attempt.
- These technical inconsistencies, when viewed in conjunction with unauthorized access to my legal documents, forged postal records, and earlier cyber interference on my network, form a compelling pattern of digital and procedural

manipulation. I believe this conduct was designed to suppress or falsify court records and obstruct my right to meaningful review of my habeas petition.

5. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel and Coercion:

- During plea discussions, counsel misrepresented potential sentencing outcomes and failed to explore my family's interference—which counsel mischaracterized as irrelevant—depriving me of a knowing and voluntary plea.
- Counsel used my father as a tool to force me into a plea bargain that I did not want to take. He witnessed my father threaten me with eviction if I did not comply, stating that he could not afford to pay more to go to trial.
- Counsel stated that if I took my case to trial all the witness would lie, and I would get convicted and sentenced to twenty-five years in prison.
- Counsel said that the DA made an off-the-record statement saying that he knew I was not guilty of anything I was accused of.
- Counsel did not investigate digital forensic anomalies, despite my explicit concerns raised in pre-conviction hearings.
- I took the plea in spite of my better judgement because I was confident that my Habeas Corpus 28 2241 filing was going to get my case immediately thrown out due to the large number of civil rights violations, judicial misconduct, and prosecutorial misconduct.
- I would not have relied only on my petition alone if not for the fact I have two disabled quaker parrots I adopted who rely on me for medication and

care that I don't think anyone else would properly give and I was afraid to lose mine and my birds place to live.

6. Probation Officer's Violations of My ADA Rights

- Since my sentencing on February 18, 2025, the Fulton County Probation Department and Officer Shari Acquilla-Simon have imposed conditions that blatantly disregard my documented physical and psychiatric disabilities, in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- Despite being deemed disabled by the Social Security Administration at only 23 years old and receiving Supplemental Security Income, Officer Acquilla-Simon has repeatedly told me I am "not doing enough" and demanded that I seek employment. These comments directly contradict my medical limitations and fail to respect the binding nature of my federal disability status.
- I am required to attend weekly in-person probation meetings, which is more frequent than standard and creates a significant burden given my anxiety, lack of transportation, and reliance on an abusive family member for travel. Although I qualify for Medicaid transport, the service is unusable for me due to my mental health conditions.
- I am subjected to drug testing despite my conviction having no connection to substance use. I am already monitored through my medically supervised Suboxone program, which includes routine testing.

- On April 29, 2025, Officer Acquilla-Simon instructed me to seek new housing and discouraged the presence of my live-in caregiver—despite knowing he was a previously approved Freedom Care provider and remains essential to my medical and daily functioning. This created an immediate threat to my housing stability and well-being.
- My probation officer has also suggested that if the Department of Social Services could not help me relocate, I should consider entering a domestic violence shelter—even though I am not experiencing abuse at **my** residence. This suggestion was both inappropriate and destabilizing.
- I have been forced to undergo urinalysis under conditions that disregard my documented bladder issues and medication side effects. On one occasion, I was unable to urinate and was told I would be violated if I didn't return early the next morning by 9am. Although I complied while still sedated from my prescribed Thorazine, I was charged $30 for the test and given no documentation—despite later being told I tested positive for methamphetamine. I was never shown lab results and passed all subsequent third-party tests at St. Mary's Outpatient Clinic and Nathan Littauer Hospital.
- On May 13, 2025, Officer Acquilla-Simon told me I had failed for MDMA at St. Mary's, despite my counselor confirming that I had passed that test. She ordered another urinalysis that day, which caused me severe anxiety and bladder pain. I passed that test for everything except my prescribed medications, which are permitted under court order.

- I have experienced repeated early-morning home visits, even though my officer knows I take sedative medications and sleep late due to disability-related insomnia and pain. I was threatened with a violation for not answering the door—despite offering her contact with my parents next door, who have surveillance footage and did not see her arrive.
- After I left her a voicemail on a day I was sick from Suboxone withdrawal—caused by an online doctor sending the wrong prescription—she responded with a voicemail accusing me of selling or giving away my medication. I sent three faxes documenting the prescription error, the pickup delay, and photographic evidence from CVS and my prescribing doctor. Rather than acknowledging these documents, she escalated her threats. **(Exhibit Q – Faxes Sent to Probation)**
- Most recently, she has demanded that I enroll in Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), a program designed for individuals with severe psychiatric impairment. This would subject me to unnecessary clinical oversight and would seriously exacerbate my mental health conditions. **(See Exhibit N)**
- On May 9, 2025, I signed a self-employment plan with Access VR to restart my printing business using disability-based grant funding. Rather than support my effort toward independence, Officer Acquilla-Simon stated, "you're still not doing enough," and continued to pressure me with intensified supervision.
- I believe she is retaliating against me for asserting my rights and preparing and Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) complaint. I have reason to believe my electronic devices were compromised in a prior cyberattack, as documented in my

habeas corpus filing. I fear she may be attempting to have me jailed before this Court can hear my claims.

- These actions have caused me emotional distress, medical regression, housing instability, and significant interference with my federally protected rights. They reflect a pattern of disability discrimination and retaliation by a public entity and its official, in violation of Title II of the ADA and 42 U.S.C. § 12203.

Conclusion and Relief Requested

I respectfully submit that the evidence detailed above—both newly discovered and previously concealed—demonstrates compelling grounds for vacatur of my conviction pursuant to CPL § 440.10. Moreover, the ongoing constitutional violations I endure, including due process deprivation, ADA noncompliance, and interference with legal proceedings, require immediate judicial intervention. I request that the Court grant my motion, vacate the judgment, and order an evidentiary hearing on these matters.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New York that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 17, 2025

Johnstown, New York

_____

with legal proceedings, require immediate judicial intervention. I request that the Court grant my motion, vacate the judgment, and order an evidentiary hearing on these matters.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New York that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 17, 2025

Johnstown, New York

Kayla Orsell

Kayla Leigh Orsell
Pro Se Defendant
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095 (518)
705-4054
kaylaorsell518@outlook.com

Notary Acknowledgment

STATE OF NEW YORK - COUNTY OF FULTON

On this 20th day of May, 25, before me, the undersigned, personally appeared Kayla Orsell, known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to this affidavit, and acknowledged to me that she executed the same in her capacity and that by her signature on the affidavit, she executed it willingly and for the purposes therein stated.

Notary Public Signature: Mary Ann Mars My Commission Expires: 9/22/2028

Mary Ann Mars
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01MA6193730
Qualified in Fulton County
My Commission Expires on 9/22/2028

9:22

ipinfo.io/what-is-my-

20

IPinfo

City	Gloversville
State	New York
Country	United States
Postal	12078
Local time	09:22 AM, Wednesday, January 14, 2026
Timezone	America/New_York
Coordinates	43.0528 N, 74.3438 W

43.0528 N, 74.3438 W

IP Geolocation data

IP geolocation lookup is the identification of an IP address' geographic location in the real

IPinfo

Technology

IP Geolocation API & Database >

Your browser

Chrome

Operating System

Android

Device

K

Privacy Detection

VPN Proxy Tor

Relay Hosting

Residential Proxy

Detects various methods used to mask a user's true IP address, including VPN detection, proxy detection, tor usage, relay usage, or a connection via a hosting provider.

Useful for Cybersecurity, and Financial Technology

Privacy Detection API & Database >

ASN

AS11351 - Charter Communications Inc

DOMAIN
charter.com

ASN TYPE
ISP

ROUTE
67.240.0.0/15

ASN data

ASN details for every IP address and every ASN's related domains, allocation date, registry name, total number of IP addres
and assigned prefixes


9:33
neverssl.com
21
This site can’t be reached
neverssl.com took too long to respond.
Try:
Checking the connection
ERR_TIMED_OUT
Reload
Details

Company API & Database

Provides the company behind the IP address. This includes the company's name, domain name, and what type of company it is: ISP, business, or hosting.).

Useful for Account Based Marketing

Read more >

Abuse Details

US, CO, Greenwood Village, P.O. Box 4987, 80155

+1-877-777-2263

abuse@charter.net

NAME

Abuse

NETWORK

67.240.0.0/12

Abuse Contact data

Hosted domains	0
Privacy	False
Anycast	False
ASN type	ISP
Abuse contact	abuse@charter.net

IP Geolocation

City	Gloversville
State	New York
Country	United States
Postal	12078
Local time	09:21 AM, Wednesday, January 14, 2026
Timezone	America/New_York
Coordinates	43.0528 N, 74.3438 W




9:33
werzxcvtest123.com
21
This site can’t be reached
asdfqwerzxcvtest123.com’s server IP address could not be found.
Try:
Checking the connection
ERR_NAME_NOT_RESOLVED
Reload
Details



IPinfo

All IP Ranges > 67.0.0.0/8 > 67.240.0.0/16 > 67.240.23.0/24 > 67.240.23.189

My IP address

67.240.23.189

Star

Gloversville, New York, US

Summary

ASN	AS11351 - Charter Communications Inc
Hostname	syn-067-240-023-189.res.spectrum.com
Range	67.240.0.0/15
Company	Charter Communications Inc
Hosted domains	0
Privacy	False
Anycast	False
ASN type	ISP


9:33
example.com
21
Example Domain
This domain is for use in documentation examples without needing permission. Avoid use in operations.
Learn more


9:19
!notexist123456.com
20
This site can’t be reached
thisshouldnotexist123456.com’s server IP address could not be found.
Try:
Checking the connection
ERR_NAME_NOT_RESOLVED
Reload
Details



1.1.1.1

Connection Information

Please include this URL when you create a post in the community forum.

https://one.one.one.one/help/#eyJpc0NmIjoiTm8iLCJpc0RvdCI6Ik5vIiwiaXNEb2giOiJObyIsInJlc29sdmVySXAtMS4xLjEuMSI6IlllcyIsInJlc29sdmVySXAtMS4wLjAuMSI6IlllcyIsInJlc29sdmVySXAtMjYwNjo0NzAwOjQ3MDA6OjExMTEiOiJZZXMiLCJyZXNvbHZlcklwLTI2MDY6NDcwMDo0NzAwOjoxMDAxIjoiWWVzIiwiZGF0YWNlbnRlckxvY2F0aW9uIjoiT1JEIiwiaXNXYXJwIjoiTm8iLCJpc3BOYW1lIjoiV29vZHlOZXQsIEluYy4iLCJpc3BBc24iOiI0MiJ9

Click to copy

Debug Information

Connected to 1.1.1.1	No
Using DNS over HTTPS (DoH)	No
Using DNS over TLS (DoT)	No
Using DNS over WARP	No
AS Name	WoodyNet, Inc.
AS Number	42
Cloudflare Data Center	ORD

Connectivity to Resolver IP Addresses

Debug Information

Connected to 1.1.1.1	No
Using DNS over HTTPS (DoH)	No
Using DNS over TLS (DoT)	No
Using DNS over WARP	No
AS Name	WoodyNet, Inc.
AS Number	42
Cloudflare Data Center	ORD

Connectivity to Resolver IP Addresses

1.1.1.1	Yes
1.0.0.1	Yes
2606:4700:4700::1111	Yes
2606:4700:4700::1001	Yes

Setup on Android

Download the mobile app

Still have questions? Visit our Community Forum

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JOHN M. DOMURAD, CLERK
DEC 06 2024
RECEIVED

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
DEC 06 2024
AT ____ O'CLOCK ____
John M. Domurad, Clerk - Albany

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to: 9:24-cv-1389

Kayla Orsell
81 1/2 Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

9590 9402 8096 2349 7931 94

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)
7022 1670 0001 7823 8763

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X [signature] ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☐ Adult Signature
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
☐ Certified Mail®
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
☐ Priority Mail Express®
☐ Registered Mail™
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
☒ Signature Confirmation™
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053 Domestic Return Receipt

Case 9:24-cv-[illegible] Document 6 Filed 12/09/24

ALBANY NY 120
9 DEC 2024 PM 2 L

First-Class Mail
Postage & Fees Paid
USPS
Permit No. G-10

9590 9402 8820 4005 1434 89

U.S. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
DEC - 9 2024
O'CLOCK
John M. Domurad, Clerk - Syracuse

United States Postal Service

DEC - 9 2024
RECEIVED

* Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4® in this box*

JOHN M. DOMURAD, CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Federal Bldg., P.O. Box 7367
100 S. Clinton Street
Syracuse, NY 13261-7367

9:24-cv-1389

13261-610099

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kayla Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

9590 9402 8820 4005 1434 89

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature

X [signature] ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☐ Adult Signature
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
☐ Certified Mail®
☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery
☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
☐ Priority Mail Express®
☐ Registered Mail™
☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
☐ Signature Confirmation™
☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

G
US POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
easypost
USPS GROUND ADVANTAGE™
ORSELL RETAIL
61 CHESTNUT ST
JOHNSTOWN NY 12095-2724
0001
C012
SHIP TO: US DISTRICT COURT
COURT CLERK
445 BROADWAY STE 509
ALBANY NY 12207-2948
USPS TRACKING #
9400 1362 0807 0307 6694 41
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JOHN M. DOMURAD, CLERK
NOV 26 2024
RECEIVED



Spectrum

Your Spectrum Router Info

Download the My Spectrum app to manage your WiFi Network settings, such as:

- Customize your network name and password.
- Manage devices connected to your network.
- Change advanced settings like DNS, port forwarding and more.

Internet Status
Connected

Cloud Status
Connected

11:34
192.168.1.1/cgi-bin/inc
23

IPv4
67.240.23.189

IPv6
2604:6000:ffc0:1d:fe:55b9:c75d:123a/128

MAC
90:D3:CF:96:D8:BD

Serial Number
70KW25044733006

Model
SBE1V1K

FW Version
1.1.1-12-19324-g202510221629-SBE1V1K-prod

Connected Pods S/N

12:05

Sunday, 08/03/2025 3:11 PM EDT

DMV Appeals Board

NYS Department of Motor Vehicles
PO Box 2935
Albany NY 12220-0935

Shipment Details

9405536106194277088036

Delivered

DELIVERED PO BOX- Aug 6th, 2025- ALBANY, NY 12220

Service	Cost
Priority Mail • Zone 1 $100 Carrier Liability (File Carrier Liability Claim) • Estimated Delivery 08/07/2025 by 6:00 PM if shipped on 08/04/2025	$6.87
Total Cost	**$6.87**
Date	Monday, 08/04/2025
Email Recipients	No Email Address set

12:05

Priority Mail • Zone 1 $100 Carrier Liability (File Carrier Liability Claim) • Estimated Delivery 08/07/2025 by 6:00 PM if shipped on 08/04/2025	$6.87
Total Cost	**$6.87**
Ship Date	Monday, 08/04/2025
Email Recipients	No Email Address set

File Carrier Liability Claim

Duplicate Shipment

Export Tracking Data

© 2014-2026 - All Rights Reserved
Privacy Policy - Terms of Use - DPA
Cookies Notice - Manage Your Privacy & Data Settings


11:53
tools.usps.com/go/Tr
23
ALERT: WINTER WEATHER IN THE OHIO ...
USPS Tracking®
FAQs >
Tracking Number:
Remove X
9405536106194277088036
Copy
Add to Informed Delivery
Tracking Not Available
Tracking is not available for this item. This may be because the tracking number is invalid; USPS has not yet received payment; USPS has not yet received the item; the item does not include tracking; or other reasons.
If you'd like to see the tracking information for an item sent in the past, go to USPS Tracking Plus® Archives. .
USPS Tracking Plus™ Archives
Track Another Package
Enter tracking or barcode numbers

12:07



Service	Cost
Ground Advantage • Zone 1 $100 Carrier Liability (File Carrier Liability Claim)	$4.21
Total Cost	**$4.21**
Ship Date	Monday, 11/25/2024
Email Recipients	No Email Address set

File Carrier Liability Claim

Duplicate Shipment

Export Tracking Data

2

© 2014-2026 - All Rights Reserved
Privacy Policy - Terms of Use - DPA
Cookies Notice - Manage Your Privacy & Data Settings

12:08
ship.pirateship.com/
23

Sunday, 08/24/2025 5:52 PM EDT

Fulton County District Attorney

223 W Main St
Johnstown NY 12095-2309

Shipment Details

9434936106194274520454

Delivered
DELIVERED LEFT WITH INDIVIDUAL- Aug 27th, 2025- JOHNSTOWN, NY 12095

Service	Cost
Ground Advantage Cubic • Zone 1 $100 Carrier Liability (File Carrier Liability • Estimated Delivery 08/28/2025 by PM if shipped on 08/25/2025	$5.85

2

12:08

Service	Cost
Ground Advantage Cubic • Zone 1 $100 Carrier Liability (File Carrier Liability Claim) • Estimated Delivery 08/28/2025 by 6:00 PM if shipped on 08/25/2025	$5.85
Signature Confirmation	$3.95
Total Cost	**$9.80**
Ship Date ?	Monday, 08/25/2025
Email Recipients	No Email Address set

File Carrier Liability Claim

Duplicate Shipment

Export Tracking Data

2

12:08

ship.pirateship.com/

23

Sunday, 08/24/2025 12:27 AM EDT

ATTN: Sheri Acquilla - Simon

Fulton County Probation Department
57 E Fulton St
Gloversville NY 12078-3212

Shipment Details

9410836106194274606151

Delivered
DELIVERED LEFT WITH INDIVIDUAL- Aug 27th, 2025- GLOVERSVILLE, NY 12078

Service	Cost
Priority Mail • Zone 1 $100 Carrier Liability (File Carrier Liability Claim) • Estimated Delivery 08/28/2025 by 6:00 PM if shipped on 08/25/2025	$6.87
Signature Confirmation	$3.95
Total Cost	**$10.82**
p Date	Monday, 08/25/2025

Input Needed

Delivered

Fulton County District Attorney

Sunday, 8/24/25 5:52 PM EDT

9434936106194274520454

View Details

Delivered

ATTN: Sheri Acquilla - Simon

Sunday, 8/24/25 12:27 AM EDT

9410836106194274606151

View Details

Processing

DMV Appeals Board

Sunday, 8/3/25 5:02 PM EDT

2

Input Needed

Service	Cost
Priority Mail • Zone 1 $100 Carrier Liability (File Carrier Liability Claim) • Estimated Delivery 08/28/2025 by 6:00 PM if shipped on 08/25/2025	$6.87
Signature Confirmation	$3.95
Total Cost	**$10.82**
Ship Date	Monday, 08/25/2025
Email Recipients	No Email Address set

File Carrier Liability Claim

Duplicate Shipment

Export Tracking Data

© 2014-2026 - All Rights Reserved
Privacy Policy - Terms of Use - DPA

12:10

Input Needed

Delivered

DMV Appeals Board

Sunday, 8/3/25 3:11 PM EDT

9405536106194277088036

View Details

Processing

DMV Appeals Board

Sunday, 8/3/25 3:09 PM EDT

Input Needed

Delivered

US District Court

Sunday, 11/24/24 10:03 PM EST

9400136208070307669441

2

View Details



Sunday, 8/24/25 6:08 PM EDT

Input Needed

Delivered

Fulton County District Attorney

Sunday, 8/24/25 5:52 PM EDT

9434936106194274520454

View Details

Delivered

ATTN: Sheri Acquilla - Simon

Sunday, 8/24/25 12:27 AM EDT

9410836106194274606151

View Details

2

Processing

DMV Appeals Board

ALERT: WINTER WEATHER IN THE OHIO ...

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Remove X

Tracking Number:

9400136208070307669441

Copy Add to Informed Delivery

Tracking Not Available

Tracking is not available for this item. This may be because the tracking number is invalid; USPS has not yet received payment; USPS has not yet received the item; the item does not include tracking; or other reasons.

If you'd like to see the tracking information for an item sent in the past, go to USPS Tracking Plus® Archives. .

USPS Tracking Plus™ Archives

1:23

Print

Printing...

USPS Tracking

Tracking Number:

Remove ×

9510810373315196843989

Copy Add to Informed Delivery

Information Available Soon

USPS doesn't yet have a status update on this item shipped from the Post Office. Information is usually updated within the hour of your visit. Please check back soon.

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

Load the following paper in the printer.
Media Type: Plain Paper
Paper Size: Letter 8.5"x11" 22x28cm

Cancel

9:22

ipinfo.io/what-is-my-

20

IPinfo

City	Gloversville
State	New York
Country	United States
Postal	12078
Local time	09:22 AM, Wednesday, January 14, 2026
Timezone	America/New_York
Coordinates	43.0528 N, 74.3438 W

43.0528 N, 74.3438 W

IP Geolocation data

IP geolocation lookup is the identification of an IP address' geographic location in the real

IPinfo

Technology

IP Geolocation API & Database >

Your browser

Chrome

Operating System

Android

Device

K

Privacy Detection

VPN Proxy Tor

Relay Hosting

Residential Proxy

Detects various methods used to mask a user's true IP address, including VPN detection, proxy detection, tor usage, relay usage, or a connection via a hosting provider.

Useful for Cybersecurity, and Financial Technology

Privacy Detection API & Database >

ASN

AS11351 - Charter Communications Inc

DOMAIN
charter.com

ASN TYPE
ISP

ROUTE
67.240.0.0/15

ASN data

ASN details for every IP address and every ASN's related domains, allocation date, registry name, total number of IP addres
and assigned prefixes


9:33
neverssl.com
21
This site can’t be reached
neverssl.com took too long to respond.
Try:
Checking the connection
ERR_TIMED_OUT
Reload
Details

Company API & Database

Provides the company behind the IP address. This includes the company's name, domain name, and what type of company it is: ISP, business, or hosting.).

Useful for Account Based Marketing

Read more >

Abuse Details

US, CO, Greenwood Village, P.O. Box 4987, 80155

+1-877-777-2263

abuse@charter.net

NAME

Abuse

NETWORK

67.240.0.0/12

Abuse Contact data

Hosted domains	0
Privacy	False
Anycast	False
ASN type	ISP
Abuse contact	abuse@charter.net

IP Geolocation

City	Gloversville
State	New York
Country	United States
Postal	12078
Local time	09:21 AM, Wednesday, January 14, 2026
Timezone	America/New_York
Coordinates	43.0528 N, 74.3438 W




9:33
werzxcvtest123.com
21
This site can’t be reached
asdfqwerzxcvtest123.com’s server IP address could not be found.
Try:
Checking the connection
ERR_NAME_NOT_RESOLVED
Reload
Details



IPinfo

All IP Ranges > 67.0.0.0/8 > 67.240.0.0/16 > 67.240.23.0/24 > 67.240.23.189

My IP address

67.240.23.189

Star

Gloversville, New York, US

Summary

ASN	AS11351 - Charter Communications Inc
Hostname	syn-067-240-023-189.res.spectrum.com
Range	67.240.0.0/15
Company	Charter Communications Inc
Hosted domains	0
Privacy	False
Anycast	False
ASN type	ISP


9:33
example.com
21
Example Domain
This domain is for use in documentation examples without needing permission. Avoid use in operations.
Learn more


9:19
!notexist123456.com
20
This site can’t be reached
thisshouldnotexist123456.com’s server IP address could not be found.
Try:
Checking the connection
ERR_NAME_NOT_RESOLVED
Reload
Details



1.1.1.1

Connection Information

Please include this URL when you create a post in the community forum.

https://one.one.one.one/help/#eyJpc0NmIjoiTm8iLCJpc0RvdCI6Ik5vIiwiaXNEb2giOiJObyIsInJlc29sdmVySXAtMS4xLjEuMSI6IlllcyIsInJlc29sdmVySXAtMS4wLjAuMSI6IlllcyIsInJlc29sdmVySXAtMjYwNjo0NzAwOjQ3MDA6OjExMTEiOiJZZXMiLCJyZXNvbHZlcklwLTI2MDY6NDcwMDo0NzAwOjoxMDAxIjoiWWVzIiwiZGF0YWNlbnRlckxvY2F0aW9uIjoiT1JEIiwiaXNXYXJwIjoiTm8iLCJpc3BOYW1lIjoiV29vZHlOZXQsIEluYy4iLCJpc3BBc24iOiI0MiJ9

Click to copy

Debug Information

Connected to 1.1.1.1	No
Using DNS over HTTPS (DoH)	No
Using DNS over TLS (DoT)	No
Using DNS over WARP	No
AS Name	WoodyNet, Inc.
AS Number	42
Cloudflare Data Center	ORD

Connectivity to Resolver IP Addresses

Debug Information

Connected to 1.1.1.1	No
Using DNS over HTTPS (DoH)	No
Using DNS over TLS (DoT)	No
Using DNS over WARP	No
AS Name	WoodyNet, Inc.
AS Number	42
Cloudflare Data Center	ORD

Connectivity to Resolver IP Addresses

1.1.1.1	Yes
1.0.0.1	Yes
2606:4700:4700::1111	Yes
2606:4700:4700::1001	Yes

Setup on Android

Download the mobile app

Still have questions? Visit our Community Forum

3:02

the latitude and longitude coordinates. Click on the map and click the ⊕ icon to add the location to the results table.

Copy Link

Location:

3 Frontage Road, Gloversvill

Search

Details

3 Frontage Rd, Gloversville, NY 12078, USA

Lat: 43.0519442
Lng: -74.3493508
DMS: 43° 3' 6.9978'' N
74° 20' 57.663'' W

Focus

Clear



3:01

google.com/search?q=latitu

3

Google

latitude and longitude of 3 frontage rd gloversville ny

AI Mode All Images Maps Shopping Videos Short videos Mo

AI Overview

The latitude and longitude of 3 Frontage Rd, Gloversville, NY 12078 (City Hall/Police Department/City Court) are approximately:

- **Latitude:** 43.0528506° N
- **Longitude:** -74.3437467° W

This location is in Fulton County, New York.

Dive deeper in AI Mode

Latitude and Longitude Finder
https://www.latlong.net › ... › United States › Cities

Where is Gloversville, NY, USA on Map Lat Long ...

The latitude of Gloversville, NY, USA is **43.052845**, and the longitude is -74.363762. Glo
is located at United States country in the Cities ... Read more
Missing: ~~frontage~~ | Show results with: frontage

People also ask

Can I put latitude and longitude into Google Maps?

Can I find lat/long on my phone?

FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

In the Matter of the Application of
KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,
Petitioner,
To Reopen Adoption Proceedings and Unseal Records

VERIFIED PETITION TO REOPEN ADOPTION PROCEEDINGS AND UNSEAL RECORDS DUE TO FRAUD, MISCONDUCT, AND GOOD CAUSE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE FAMILY COURT OF FULTON COUNTY:

Petitioner, Kayla Leigh Orsell, respectfully submits this Verified Petition seeking to reopen and examine sealed adoption and guardianship records pertaining to her, based on compelling evidence of fraud upon the court, concealment of identity and parentage, and substantial due process violations, and states as follows:

I. PARTIES AND BACKGROUND

1. Petitioner:

I, Kayla Leigh Orsell, am an adult and the subject of an adoption that occurred in Fulton County, New York. I bring this petition pro se to request full unsealing and judicial review of the adoption and guardianship proceedings concerning me.

2. Adoptive Parents:

My adoptive parents are Tanya Noel Orsell and Victor Paul . Jr. They presented themselves as a married couple at the time of the adoption, but I have since learned they were never married.

3. Biological Parent (Alleged):

I believe my biological father is Michael G. Orsell. If so, his identity, my mother's identity, potential paternity, and any related probate or parental rights were intentionally concealed from me.

4. Guardian ad Litem:

When I was 16 years old, Brett Preston was appointed as Guardian ad Litem during a court proceeding styled as a custody dispute between my adoptive parents. I now know this was not a divorce, but rather a civil lawsuit involving a trust where my interests were intentionally hidden.

II. JURISDICTION

5. The Family Court of Fulton County has jurisdiction under Domestic Relations Law § 114, which permits the unsealing of adoption records upon good cause, and CPLR § 5015(a)(3), which allows a final order to be vacated where fraud, misrepresentation, or misconduct occurred.

III. GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

6. The adoption and guardianship proceedings were tainted by fraud and intentional concealment from their inception. I submit the following non-exhaustive list of misrepresentations and misconduct:

a. **Misrepresentation of Marital Status:** Tanya Noel Orsell and Victor Orsell Jr. fraudulently represented themselves as a married couple during adoption proceedings when no legal marriage existed.

b. **Concealment of Biological Parentage**: I was deliberately prevented from knowing the identity of my biological father, likely Michael G. Orsell, and any estate, trust, or probate matters to which I may have been legally entitled.

c. DNA Fraud and Mail Tampering: I have documented evidence that my DNA test results were intercepted and fraudulently substituted with false DNA from a male. I had tried to get my DNA done in 2018 and quickly lost access to the account and email associated with the account.

d. In 2024 I tried to get back into my account that I noticed still existed and still had no DNA matches. I called the ancestry help desk and was informed that someone had just recently entered the account that I couldn't get into for years and deleted the account and my DNA file. I submitted DNA to MyHeritage.com and wrote letters and emails which

were never answered. This mail and cyber interference has prevented me from accessing the truth about my identity.

e. **Guardian ad Litem Abuse and Legal Misuse**: My court-appointed Guardian ad Litem in 2005, Brett Preston, was involved in what I now know was not a custody dispute, but part of a fraudulent lawsuit (Orsell Kayla, Orsell Jr, Victor vs Orsell, Tanya N) filed in Gloversville City Court on November 15, 2005. This lawsuit was used to suppress my rights as a trust beneficiary and manipulate judicial processes.

f. **Wrongful Arrest and Systemic Retaliation:** After I was released from jail following a wrongful arrest on two fabricated felony charges (Kidnapping 2nd degree and Assault 2nd degree), I began experiencing coordinated efforts to block my access to records and justice, including a severe cyberattack and mail fraud, which continues today.

g. **History of Abuse and Psychological Coercion**: I was abused as a child by those entrusted with my care. That abuse was both emotional and psychological, and it was compounded by my adoptive parents' persistent concealment of the truth about my identity, trust rights, and biological family.

VI. ADDITIONAL GROUNDS – ABUSE, ECONOMIC COERCION, AND INHERITANCE FRAUD

10. From early childhood, I was subjected to repeated forms of abuse, coercion, and psychological manipulation by my adoptive parents. Among the most disturbing experiences:

a. I was told by Tanya Noel Orsell and Victor Orsell Jr. that I would be forced to sell drugs or starve as a child. Beginning at approximately age six or seven, I was instructed in how to grow marijuana, which they described as “so you never starve.” This economic coercion is not only child endangerment but further evidence that my adoption was not undertaken in good faith or with lawful intent.

11. Based on evidence I have since gathered, including unclaimed land records, deeds, and family history, I now believe that my biological father is Michael G. Orsell, a man with substantial real estate holdings across New York State, including property on Water Shed Road and land historically associated with Niagara Mohawk, where Michael Orsell was responsible for power line installations.

12. Many of these properties remain unclaimed or improperly recorded, despite the fact that both Michael and his ex-wife Katherine Orsell are deceased. I have seen no record of any legitimate heir coming forward, and I believe that I am the rightful biological heir who was intentionally cut out of all inheritance through a fraudulent adoption and concealment of identity.

13. My adopted father, Victor Orsell Jr., admitted in the presence of my fiancé Caderon Tyrrell that his father (my adopted grandfather) was “mad that Michael disinherited him” — a statement that strongly suggests estate fraud and intentional misdirection of inheritance. I will be submitting a sworn affidavit from Caderon Tyrrell to support this.

14. Furthermore, I am still on probation from a misdemeanor conviction resulting from two fabricated felony charges — Kidnapping 2nd Degree and Assault 2nd Degree — which were later reduced improperly in City Court, a forum which I believe lacked lawful jurisdiction.

15. My probation officer is Sheri Acquilla-Simon, a woman whose surname matches that of a family my adoptive father claimed I was related to. I believe there is a conflict of interest and potential abuse of position here. Officer Acquilla-Simon has:

a. Violated my rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), despite my status as a disabled individual receiving Supplemental Security Income (SSI);

b. Pressured me weekly to obtain employment despite my disability status and intimidated me with the threat of re-incarceration;

c. Created a constant state of anxiety and fear of wrongful punishment without basis in fact or law.

16. I have identified real property deeds listing both Lucas Nellis, the police lieutenant who arrested me, and individuals with the surname Acquilla, which raises significant questions of entanglement, undue influence, and retaliatory prosecution tied to the concealment of my legal identity and rightful inheritance.

17. These facts, in totality, paint a disturbing picture of systemic abuse, concealment of legal identity, and multi-generational property fraud, which can only be unraveled by reopening and unsealing the adoption and guardianship records associated with my case.

VII. ADDITIONAL ABUSE: CRUELTY TO ANIMALS, NEGLECT, AND EMOTIONAL TRAUMA

18. In addition to the physical and emotional abuse described above, I have endured repeated and targeted acts of animal cruelty and emotional trauma at the hands of my adoptive father, Victor Orsell Jr., and his current wife, Arva Lynn Carter, who I believe has played an active role in the longstanding effort to suppress and destroy my sense of identity and autonomy.

19. My first companion bird, Wreny, was gifted to me by the Catskill Game Farm and was a source of great emotional support during my childhood. I was so attached to her that I asked my law guardian at the time to ensure she remained in my custody, and he initially succeeded.

20. However, shortly thereafter, my father moved in a new woman — Arva Lynn Carter, who quickly developed hostility toward Wreny and referred to her as “a vermin.” I explicitly warned Arva that Wreny should not be exposed to a washcloth, as she was likely to bite. Arva deliberately disregarded this, brought a washcloth near her, and was subsequently bitten.

21. Arva reacted by violently throwing Wreny against the wall, and then chased after her in an attempt to hit her again. Shortly after this incident, I was forcibly removed from my father's house and placed in an abusive environment with my boyfriend's sister, where I experienced further emotional trauma, theft, and neglect.

22. I was never allowed to care for Wreny again. She was confined to her cage permanently, and when I attempted to visit her, Victor refused to let me open the cage because of Arva’s objections. Wreny died shortly after, from what I believe was deliberate emotional and physical neglect.

23. My second bird, Turkey, died during my incarceration in 2013 — which conveniently aligned with a probate case I believe I was fraudulently excluded from as an heir. Due to the lack of other options, I entrusted Turkey to Victor Orsell Jr., despite past abuse. When I was released, I pleaded for her return, but he refused. He did, however, accompany me to buy her a mate so she wouldn’t be alone.

24. When I was again incarcerated for a probation violation, Turkey became egg-bound — a condition that can be resolved with a simple Google search and a drop of cooking oil, but Victor did nothing. She died a slow, painful death, alone and neglected.

25. That mate — Turkey’s companion bird — remains in Victor's possession. Despite my proven ability as a caregiver and animal lover, he refuses to return the bird to me, continuing his abusive control over even non-human members of my life.

26. My most recent loss was Beeky, a 13-year-old bird with a disability — missing parts of three toes from the neglectful situation I rescued him from. Beeky was a loving, bonded pet who lived with me and my current fiancé.

27. I believe Beeky was killed by EMF radiation emitted by a server I found hidden inside a modified Dell Optiplex computer, which I had ordered but which Victor and Arva took into their possession for several days before allowing me to retrieve it. The device was found to emit EMF levels up to 6GHz — a range known to cause physiological distress to both animals and humans.

28. On the night of Beeky's death, both Beeky and my fiancé were vomiting, with no medical explanation. I had conducted fecal smears on both Beeky and my other bird prior to his death and confirmed no sign of illness. I am confident that Beeky died from radiation poisoning or internal distress caused by that server, a device that I believe was maliciously altered before being returned to me.

29. I have preserved and submitted images of the server, along with technical documentation showing the internal antenna and the capacity for high-frequency EMF emissions.

30. The pattern of cruelty, coercion, and emotional destruction by Victor Orsell Jr. and Arva Lynn Carter — including the targeting of animals I deeply loved — was clearly calculated to cause maximum psychological damage and must be reviewed by the Court in connection with the broader fraud and abuse surrounding my adoption and legal identity.

IV. GOOD CAUSE FOR UNSEALING

31. Domestic Relations Law § 114(4) provides that sealed adoption records may be opened upon a showing of good cause. I assert that the combined pattern of fraud, identity suppression, abuse, and misuse of legal proceedings constitutes not only “good cause” but compelling necessity.

32. I am not seeking these records for any improper purpose. I seek them to reconstruct my legal identity, pursue any estate or trust rights wrongfully denied, and expose serious misconduct carried out under color of law.

33. No other adequate remedy exists. Without access to the full adoption and guardianship records, I am unable to challenge or bring civil or criminal complaints relating to the fraud committed against me.

X. COERCED PLEAS, LEGAL MANIPULATION, MAIL FRAUD, AND SYSTEMIC INTERFERENCE

42. On multiple occasions, my adoptive father Victor Orsell Jr. engaged private legal counsel to control and manipulate my criminal defense in ways that undermined my constitutional rights. At age 16, during a critical criminal case, Victor hired Attorney James Melita, who—along with Victor's wife—relentlessly pressured and lied to me to accept a plea I had clearly rejected. My fiancé, Caderon Tyrrell, witnessed this coercion and submitted an affidavit to the District Court, which I will attach.

43. I was explicitly threatened with homelessness if I did not comply. Though I continued to resist, I was ultimately forced to accept the plea, only discovering later that my habeas corpus petition had been stolen from the mail. A postal inspector later confirmed that the “court mail slips” I had seen on a fake website were fraudulent, which definitively proves interference with legal mail, a serious federal offense.

44. My stepbrother, a U.S. Postal Service employee, may be involved in the mail theft, which obstructed my access to appellate relief and habeas corpus.

45. When I attempted to report this to Officer Crosier, he took my written complaint, removed his badge and body camera, and refused to generate a report. He told me it would take a few days, but I never received any police documentation.

46. In 2013, during a federal criminal case, Victor again hired an attorney—James Tyner—who told me I had been indicted when I had not. I was deceived into pleading guilty and subsequently denied the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) required for appeal. Mr. Tyner falsely claimed he was unable to obtain another copy after the U.S. Marshals allegedly discarded it when I was transferred between jails.

47. These incidents demonstrate an ongoing pattern of legal sabotage orchestrated by Victor Orsell Jr. to maintain coercive control over my legal outcomes and to obstruct any action I take toward asserting my rights, including access to justice and the restoration of my true identity and legal status.

48. During my most recent wrongful incarceration—which stemmed from fabricated charges—Victor withheld bail for 32 days despite knowing of my innocence. Upon release, I discovered that my entire Social Security Disability check had been stolen, and my home had been completely destroyed and ransacked. The conditions included dog feces in my bed and washing machine, and rampant infestation. Victor blamed my fiancé, Caderon, although we were separated at the time. I believe this was a deliberate attempt to sabotage our relationship, which Victor has repeatedly interfered with.

XI. MEDICAL NEGLECT, PSYCHIATRIC ABUSE, AND RETALIATORY CARE DENIAL

49. When I was approximately 19 years old, I was referred by my stepmother to Dr. Randie Salmon, a personal friend of hers. After speaking with me for less than five minutes, Dr. Salmon misdiagnosed me with bipolar disorder, despite my admission of active suicidal ideation, and prescribed me 120 pills of Seroquel 100mg, with instructions to take 4 pills per day.

50. After taking a single pill, I suffered sleep paralysis with terrifying hallucinations, which I continue to experience today. Days later, in a dissociative state, I took nearly the entire bottle and

remained on the floor, unresponsive, for three days until a friend found me and moved me to a bed. Victor never checked on me, although in other instances he had called police when I left the house for just 24 hours.

51. I avoided medical care for a long time afterward due to trauma. When I did finally begin seeing a new physician, Dr. Annie Wafer, I experienced major progress. For the first time in years, I felt medically and emotionally supported. On my birthday, I visited family happy and hopeful, announcing that I wanted to marry Caderon and have a baby.

52. The response was cruel and dehumanizing. My family told me I should “never have children” because they would be “retarded” and that children cost too much money. They further advised me never to marry Caderon because “he is a loser.”

53. That same day, I was rushed out to attend a concert at Turning Stone casino during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic. I later learned that a process server was likely sent to my residence that day, tied to the NYS Supreme Court action: Koenig vs. Orsell, Tanya and _____ Orsell. This case began as a lawsuit and was converted to a conversion action, potentially due to fraudulent assertions by Tanya Orsell that she owned her house, which I believe is a trust asset.

54. Not long after that visit, Dr. Annie Wafer abruptly quit her practice, claiming she opposed the COVID vaccine and could no longer continue. However, I have since found online that her

practice still exists, and she never responded to my requests for medical records. I believe she was intimidated or pressured to sever care due to interference from my family.

55. I was left no choice but to return to Dr. Randie Salmon, who immediately refused to prescribe me or to taper me off Xanax, nearly causing a life-threatening withdrawal event. She then filled a prescription for fluocinonide, a topical treatment for scalp psoriasis, and later replaced it with the extremely potent corticosteroid clobetasol, which I never approved. A Dr in her office I have never seen once before gave me the new script and then filled it for almost a year. This unauthorized medication severely damaged my health, worsened my emotional state, and contributed directly to a severe rupture in my relationship with Caderon. I was incarcerated within 2–3 weeks of the medical destabilization. Caderon and I have since reconciled and are emotionally healthy, but the damage to my physical and psychiatric well-being is ongoing.

56. Most recently, Dr. Salmon sent me an unsigned letter, notifying me that I had been dropped as a patient with no explanation, effectively leaving me without medical care again, and continuing a dangerous cycle of care manipulation and retaliatory medical interference.

X. RETALIATION, DENIAL OF ACCESS TO COURTS, AND INTERFERENCE WITH PRO SE RIGHTS (POST-FILING ATTEMPT EVENTS)

57. After the preparation and attempted submission of this Verified Petition, Petitioner experienced immediate and severe retaliation directly connected to her efforts to proceed pro se and to assert her civil and constitutional rights.

58. **Specifically, after Petitioner attempted to:**

- File a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985 against the City of Gloversville and associated actors;
- File a §1983 civil action against family members and others involved in the concealment of her identity and property interests; and
- File an Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) complaint against Fulton County Probation,

59. Fulton County Probation initiated a violation proceeding against Petitioner immediately thereafter, under circumstances demonstrating retaliatory intent.

60. An illegal warrant was issued by Fulton County Probation, and Petitioner was wrongfully arrested shortly after attempting to assert her right to self-representation and court access.

61. During this period, Petitioner's legal documents were intercepted and removed from the mail, including filings intended for federal court submission. This interference mirrors prior documented incidents of mail obstruction that have repeatedly prevented Petitioner from accessing judicial relief.

62. As a result of this retaliation, Petitioner was coerced into entering a guilty plea to an alleged probation violation based on the claim that she failed to obtain a drug and alcohol evaluation from St. Mary's Hospital.

63. That claim was false. Petitioner did obtain the required evaluation and treatment through St. Mary's, despite already having an established Suboxone-prescribing physician at the time.

64. During the forced transition between providers, Petitioner was deprived of medically necessary Suboxone, causing physical distress and medical harm. This deprivation occurred under color of probation supervision and constituted medical coercion and disability-related discrimination.

65. Petitioner's guilty plea was entered under duress, without meaningful ability to litigate or present evidence of compliance, and while she was actively being obstructed from proceeding pro se and from filing civil actions challenging unlawful conduct.

66. **These events demonstrate a pattern of retaliation, obstruction, and abuse of authority designed to:**

- Prevent Petitioner from accessing the courts;
- Suppress civil rights litigation;
- Maintain concealment of adoption, identity, and inheritance fraud; and
- Punish Petitioner for asserting protected rights.

67. These facts are not presented to collaterally attack any criminal judgment in this Court, but rather to establish good cause, judicial notice, and equitable necessity for unsealing the adoption and guardianship records that lie at the center of the ongoing retaliation and identity suppression.

XII. GOOD CAUSE FOR UNSEALING

68. Domestic Relations Law § 114(4) provides that sealed adoption records may be opened upon a showing of good cause. I assert that the combined pattern of fraud, identity suppression, abuse, and misuse of legal proceedings constitutes not only “good cause” but compelling necessity.

69. I am not seeking these records for any improper purpose. I seek them to reconstruct my legal identity, pursue any estate or trust rights wrongfully denied, and expose serious misconduct carried out under color of law.

70. No other adequate remedy exists. Without access to the full adoption and guardianship records, I am unable to challenge or bring civil or criminal complaints relating to the fraud committed against me.

XIII. Community-Based Protective Order Clause

> Petitioner further requests that this Order of Protection include provisions to safeguard against third-party retaliation, harassment, or intimidation in the local community, as follows:

71. Respondent, and any persons acting in concert with him, including but not limited to Petitioner’s adoptive grandfather Victor P. Orsell Jr., uncle Thomas Orsell, and other known associates residing on Chestnut St. in Johnstown shall be:

a. Prohibited from entering, approaching, surveilling, or contacting Petitioner’s residence, including the apartment located above the garage structure;

b. Prohibited from making verbal threats, engaging in harassment, or participating in any coordinated or retaliatory acts against Petitioner;

c. Prohibited from discussing or disclosing the existence of this legal proceeding within the community in a manner designed to threaten, isolate, or shame Petitioner;

d. Prohibited from interfering with Petitioner's lawful right to use the court system, including through intimidation, stalking, or coordinated monitoring;

e. Prohibited from contacting Petitioner's friends, neighbors, probation officer, or government agencies in furtherance of retaliatory or harassing conduct;

f. This protection shall remain in effect pending further order of this Court, and law enforcement is authorized to remove any individual violating these terms, even if they are not named parties to the original petition, where credible evidence of coordination or retaliation exists.

g. Any violations of this provision by third parties may result in contempt proceedings, criminal referral, or further civil protective measures.

XIV. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests the following relief:

72. That this Court reopen the adoption proceeding pursuant to CPLR § 5015(a)(3), and. Examine whether the adoption was procured through fraud or misrepresentation;

73. That this Court unseal all adoption, guardianship, and associated Family Court and Surrogate's Court records relating to Kayla Leigh Orsell;

74. That this Court issue a referral for investigation of potential fraud upon the court, mail fraud, and interference with legal guardianship and estate rights;

75. That Petitioner be permitted to inspect and obtain certified copies of all sealed records related to her identity, adoption, guardianship, and any legal proceedings where she was a named party or subject;

76. That this Court grant such other and further relief as it deems just and proper under the law.

XV. VERIFICATION

I, Kayla Leigh Orsell, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am the Petitioner in the abovecaptioned matter. I have read the foregoing Verified Petition and know the contents thereof. The same is true to my own knowledge, except as to matters stated to be on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Dated: January 29, 2026

Fulton County, New York



Kayla Leigh Orsell
Pro Se Petitoner
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

In the Matter of the Application of
KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,
Petitioner,
To Reopen Adoption Proceedings and Unseal Records

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PETITION TO UNSEAL ADOPTION RECORDS

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This Memorandum of Law is submitted in support of Kayla Leigh Orsell's Verified Petition to unseal adoption records under Domestic Relations Law § 114(4) and to vacate the adoption judgment based on fraud, misrepresentation, and misconduct under CPLR § 5015(a)(3).

Petitioner respectfully submits that continued sealing of the adoption records in this matter no longer serves any protective interest for the adoptee, biological parents, or any party involved. To the contrary, sealing now operates as a shield for concealment of fraud, abuse, and denial of constitutional and inheritance rights — precisely the type of injustice that the "good cause" exception in DRL § 114(4) was designed to remedy.

II. RELEVANT LAW

A. Domestic Relations Law § 114(4)

Under DRL § 114, adoption records are sealed by default, but the statute provides:

> “No person shall be allowed access to such records and orders and no information relating thereto shall be released therefrom except by order of a judge or surrogate of the court in which the order was made... upon good cause shown.”

The term "good cause" is not rigidly defined and is left to the discretion of the court. Courts have routinely found good cause exists when:

- The sealed information is necessary to protect legal rights;
-
- Disclosure is required for the administration of justice;
-
- Or sealing results in concealment of fraud or injustice.

(Matter of Linda F.M., 52 A.D.2d 288 [1st Dept 1976]; Matter of Spinks v. Jackson, 213 A.D.2d 212 [1st Dept 1995])

B. CPLR § 5015(a)(3) – Fraud Upon the Court

CPLR § 5015(a)(3) allows a court to relieve a party from a judgment or order upon a showing of:

> “fraud, misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party.”

Where an adoption was secured by concealment of biological parentage, misrepresentation of marital or fiduciary status, or suppression of material facts during related estate or trust proceedings, the finality of the adoption may be challenged and reviewed in the interest of justice.

III. ARGUMENT

A. Continued Sealing No Longer Serves a Protective Function

The Petitioner is now 37 years old, fully competent, and has affirmatively waived any privacy interest. There is no identifiable person for whom sealing continues to serve a legitimate protective function. The biological parents are believed deceased. There is no competing interest in confidentiality that outweighs the Petitioner's constitutional and legal rights to access the records.

Sealing now impairs Petitioner's ability to:

- Assert possible inheritance or trust rights;
- Challenge fraudulent court filings involving her name or estate interests;
- Investigate how court-appointed attorneys and guardians may have failed to protect her;
- And uncover the full circumstances of her identity, adoption, and the deprivation of her legal personhood.

B. Sealing Has Become a Tool of Fraud and Obstruction

- Petitioner has produced significant evidence of:
- Misrepresentation of adoptive parents' marital status;
- Suppression of biological parentage and estate rights;
- Probate litigation (e.g., Koenig v. Orsell) conducted without disclosure of Petitioner's interest;
- Use of sealed records to block habeas filings, guardianship inquiries, and rightful access to medical and identity information;
- Persistent obstruction through forged mail slips, intimidation, and interference with legal counsel.

Sealing in this context is not protecting vulnerable parties — it is protecting abusers and fraudsters from scrutiny and accountability. This is an inversion of the statute's purpose and should not be allowed to continue.

C. Public Policy Favors Unsealing to Prevent Injustice

New York courts have recognized that sealed records may be disclosed when justice requires disclosure, particularly in the face of documented or probable fraud.

> "The court must balance the policy of preserving confidentiality in adoption proceedings with the interests of the parties seeking disclosure and the public interest in exposing fraud."
> — Matter of Spinks v. Jackson, 213 A.D.2d 212 (1st Dept 1995)

Petitioner respectfully submits that her situation presents an urgent and compelling need for the Court to intervene, unseal the records, and permit a full evidentiary review.

IV. CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, Petitioner Kayla Leigh Orsell respectfully requests that the Court:

Grant her Petition to Unseal All Adoption and Guardianship Records;

Permit inspection and certified copies of all materials;

And grant such other and further relief as justice requires.

Dated: 08/16/2025

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095

FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

In the Matter of a Family Offense Proceeding
KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,
Petitioner,

against -

VICTOR ORSELL JR.,
Respondent.

FAMILY OFFENSE PETITION & REQUEST FOR EMERGENCY TEMPORARY ORDER OF PROTECTION

(Family Court Act §§ 812, 828, 842)

TO THE HONORABLE FAMILY COURT OF FULTON COUNTY:

Petitioner Kayla Leigh Orsell, residing in Fulton County, New York, respectfully states:

I. PARTIES

1. Petitioner is an adult woman residing in an upstairs apartment above a garage located on the same property as Respondent Victor Orsell Jr., her adoptive father.

2. Respondent is an adult male residing in the same area and is the adoptive parent of Petitioner, making this a qualified relationship under FCA § 812(1)(a).

II. FAMILY OFFENSES COMMITTED

3. Respondent has engaged in a long-standing and escalating pattern of emotional, technological, financial, and environmental abuse, including but not limited to:

- Reckless endangerment
- Menacing
- Harassment
- Coercion
- Cyberstalking and signal jamming
- Interference with legal documents and court filings
- Intentional infliction of emotional and psychological distress

4. Petitioner has credible and specific fear that Respondent may retaliate violently. He possesses an extremely large quantity of firearms, and Petitioner is aware of his past behavior involving the discharge of weapons at individuals who entered her space.

III. CURRENT AND ONGOING DANGERS

5. Petitioner currently resides in a non-code-compliant apartment above Respondent's garage. She has no ability to move due to financial hardship, disability, and the presence of a companion parrot she cannot place in a shelter.

6. Petitioner now lives in fear of being killed by arson while she sleeps. She believes Respondent may set fire to her apartment or otherwise retaliate physically once he becomes aware of any legal filings against him.

7. In addition to prior emotional abuse, coercion into drug sales, and psychological cruelty, Respondent is now actively engaged in cyber and electronic attacks targeting Petitioner, including:

a. Installation of a modified computer server inside a Dell Optiplex tower, which emitted extremely high EMF radiation, confirmed by Petitioner using electromagnetic field readings;
b. This device coincided with the death of Petitioner's companion bird, symptoms of nausea and vomiting, and neurological distress;
c. Petitioner believes this device is deliberately being used to intimidate or harm her, and that its placement was premeditated. Petitioner also believes this server was being used to track her legal paperwork and investigations which would allow him to stop her at every turn.
d. When the respondent was accused of having something to do with Michael Orsell's death and inheritance fraud he told the petitioner over the phone that he wished he could "shoot her in the face and get away with it". Caderon Tyrrell, fiance of petitioner heard this and a recording exists as proof.
e. Petitioner alleged that when she told the respondent she planned to get him arrested for fraud he lightly punched her arm trying to get her to hit him and was already reaching for his gun when she did not react and hit him. The respondent knows she has emotional disabilities that he caused.

8. Additionally, Petitioner believes that Respondent is using a cellular signal jammer that interferes with cell phone access, possibly even blocking 911 emergency calls. This device is located less than one mile from a major cellular tower, and creates dangerous isolation by cutting off Petitioner's ability to call for help.

IV. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE & MAIL TAMPERING

9. Petitioner has attempted to file federal legal actions, including a § 2241 Habeas Corpus Petition, which was stolen or intercepted via mail. She has verified through a postal inspector that forged certified mail slips were used and that the case never arrived.

10. Petitioner believes Respondent is directly involved in obstructing her access to justice, including:

- Tampering with outgoing legal mail;
- Monitoring or intercepting court-related correspondence;
- Preventing legal filings from reaching their destination;
- Stealing or forging mail slips, possibly with the help of a family member employed by USPS.

11. These actions constitute interference with court proceedings and create an unlawful barrier to Petitioner's right to file motions, protect herself, and access the judicial system.

V. REQUEST FOR EMERGENCY PROTECTION

12. Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court issue an Ex Parte Temporary Order of Protection directing that Respondent:

- Stay away from Petitioner's residence, and any location where she may be found;
- Have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner;
- Refrain from installing, activating, or operating any surveillance, EMF-emitting, or signal-jamming equipment;
- Surrender or disable all signal-jamming and high-frequency electronic equipment;
- Cease all interference with mail, including delivery, outgoing filings, or court-related correspondence;
- Refrain from harming, removing, or interfering with Petitioner's companion animal (parrot);
- Surrender any firearms currently in his possession pending hearing;
- Appear for a prompt hearing to address these matters in full.

VI. GOOD CAUSE FOR EX PARTE RELIEF

13. Petitioner faces imminent physical harm, including the risk of:

- Retaliation through fire, weapons, or electronic harm;
- Death or serious injury while sleeping;
- Inability to call 911 in a medical or fire emergency;
- Total loss of legal remedies due to mail fraud and cyber obstruction.

14. Delaying notice to Respondent could trigger the exact harm Petitioner fears, including violence, property destruction, or further suppression of evidence and filings.

SECTION VII: Risk of Third-Party Retaliation

15. Petitioner further states that her adoptive grandfather Victor Orsell Sr. and uncle Thomas Orsell, who reside on the same street, are known to support Respondent, have verbally abused Petitioner in the past, and have been active participants in the inheritance fraud suspected by petitioner. They may attempt to retaliate if Respondent's firearms are seized or restricted.

16. Petitioner reasonably believes that either or both individuals may:

- Attempt to confront her in person;
- Verbally intimidate or harass her at her apartment;
- Enter her garage unit or property without permission;
- Damage her personal property or harm her companion bird;
- Create dangerous community pressure or surveillance against her legal actions.

17. Petitioner respectfully requests that the Temporary Order of Protection include a provision barring third-party retaliation or contact, including from these known individuals.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Court:

1. Immediately issue an Ex Parte Temporary Order of Protection as outlined above;

2. Schedule a prompt family offense hearing on the matter;

3. Direct law enforcement to assist with protective measures, including digital interference and firearm safety;

4. And grant such other relief as the Court deems just and necessary to protect Petitioner's life, property, rights, and access to justice.

Dated: 09/03/2025
Fulton County, New York

Kayla Leigh Orsell

FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF FULTON

In the Matter of a Family Offense Proceeding

KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,

Petitioner,

Against –

VICTOR ORSELL JR.,

Respondent.

TEMPORARY ORDER OF PROTECTION

(Family Court Act §§ 828, 842)

Docket No.: [ ]

Judge Assigned: Hon. [To Be Assigned]

A Family Offense Petition having been filed on 07/10/2025 by Kayla Leigh Orsell, the Court, having reviewed the verified petition and considered the allegations, and based upon good cause shown, and in accordance with Family Court Act §§ 828 and 842, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that Respondent, VICTOR ORSELL JR., is directed to immediately:

1. Stay away from the home, garage apartment, curtilage, workplace, school, or any known place of residence of Petitioner Kayla Leigh Orsell, including but not limited to the upstairs garage apartment located at 81 Chestnut St. Johnstown. NY 12095;

2. Have no communication or contact with Petitioner by phone, text, email, social media, in person, through third parties, or by surveillance devices;

3. Refrain from committing any family offenses, including but not limited to menacing, harassment, coercion, reckless endangerment, or intentional infliction of emotional distress;

4. Surrender any and all firearms, rifles, shotguns, and ammunition in his possession, custody, or control to the Fulton County Sheriff within 24 hours of service of this Order, pursuant to FCA § 842-a;

5. Refrain from operating, installing, or activating any EMF-emitting devices, surveillance equipment, or cellular signal-jamming equipment, particularly on or near the premises shared or adjacent to Petitioner;

6. Refrain from interfering with or tampering with mail, whether incoming or outgoing, and from obstructing, redirecting, delaying, or intercepting legal filings, court documents, or other protected correspondence;

7. Refrain from harming, removing, or otherwise interfering with Petitioner's companion bird or any other personal property belonging to her;

COMMUNITY-BASED PROTECTIONS

8. Grandfather Victor P. Orsell Sr., and Uncle Thomas Orsell, and any other person acting in concert or cooperation with Respondent shall:

    A. Not contact, approach, threaten, or surveil Petitioner at her residence or in the community;

b. Not retaliate, intimidate, or harass Petitioner as a result of her participation in this or any other legal proceeding;

c. Not enter the premises occupied by Petitioner, including the garage apartment above Respondent's property;

d. Cease all communications or community pressure intended to silence, shame, or isolate Petitioner;

e. Be subject to enforcement under this Order if acting on Respondent's behalf.

DURATION AND ENFORCEMENT

9. This Order shall remain in effect until:

08/10/2025

OR until further order of this Court.

10. Law enforcement is hereby authorized to:

- Serve and enforce this Order;

- Remove Respondent or any named third party from Petitioner's property;
- And to take custody of surrendered firearms or jamming equipment per applicable law.

11. Any violation of this Order may result in criminal prosecution, civil contempt, or additional protective orders under state and federal law.

SO ORDERED

Dated: 08/16/2025

Johnstown, New York

Family Court Judge

Fulton County, NY

FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

In the Matter of the Application of
KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,
Petitioner,
To Reopen Adoption Proceedings and Unseal Records

EXHIBIT LIST IN SUPPORT OF VERIFIED PETITION

Petitioner, Kayla Leigh Orsell, respectfully submits the following exhibits in support of her Verified Petition to Reopen Adoption Proceedings and Unseal Records. Exhibits are submitted in physical form and, where noted, on a virus-scanned USB drive labeled:
"EXHIBITS – PETITION TO REOPEN ADOPTION – KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL"

PHYSICAL DOCUMENT EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. Description

Exhibit A Affidavit of Caderon Tyrrell, attesting to coercion by Victor Orsell Jr. and Attorney James Melita and other events related to this petition.
Exhibit B Screenshot of NYS Supreme Court case docket: Koenig v. Orsell, Tanya and [Co-defendant].
Exhibit C Copy of unsigned letter from Dr. Randie Salmon, terminating medical relationship without cause.
Exhibit D Photograph of modified Dell Optiplex computer server, believed to emit high EMF levels.
Exhibit E Image depicting and explaining the antenna inside of the server
Exhibit F Copy of Certified Mail Slip from letter sent to myheritage.com requesting they keep the DNA they have on file and launch an investigation

DIGITAL EXHIBITS (Included on Virus-Scanned USB Drive)

Exhibit No. Description

Exhibit G Copy of Petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2241 Habeas Corpus petition, believed to have. been intercepted by mail.
Exhibit H Supplemental exhibit list intended for the Habeas Corpus filing
Exhibit I Images of fraudulent certified mail slips
Exhibit J Folder containing multiple Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests that were never responded to.
Exhibit K Images showing damage to Kayla's vehicle after suspicious car crash during habeas preparation.
Exhibit L Document showing history of clobetasol and fluocinonide prescriptions and health impact analysis.

Note:
All digital files were scanned for viruses and malware using industry-standard antivirus software prior to submission. Petitioner requests that the Court review the USB materials in a secure and confidential manner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 01/29/2026
Fulton County, New York

Kayla Leigh Orsell
81 ½ Chestnut St.
Johnstown, NY 12095

SURROGATE'S COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF FULTON

----------X

In the Matter of the Application of
KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,

Affiant.

----------X

STATE OF NEW YORK)

) ss.:

COUNTY OF FULTON)

AFFIDAVIT CONCERNING TRUST IRREGULARITIES, PROPERTY TITLE ISSUES, AND REQUEST FOR ACCOUNTING AND IN CAMERA REVIEW

I, Kayla Orsell, being duly sworn, depose and state as follows:

I. PERSONAL STATUS AND BASIS OF KNOWLEDGE

1. I am an adult resident of Fulton County, New York. I submit this affidavit based upon my personal knowledge, review of public records, and documents maintained by the Surrogate's Court and County Clerk.

II. DISCOVERY OF INTER VIVOS TRUSTS AND FACIAL IMPOSSIBILITY

2. Through independent review of Fulton County Surrogate's Court records, I discovered the existence of two inter vivos trusts, each dated 2018, naming me as primary beneficiary and listing Michael J. Orsell as grantor.

3. Michael G. Orsell died on January 9, 1998, more than twenty years prior to the stated creation date of the trusts.
4. Michael has a middle initial of G not J.
5. I was never informed of the existence of these trusts, never provided copies of any trust instrument, never received accountings, and was never informed of the identity of any trustee or fiduciary.
6. The trusts contain inaccurate names and addresses for other listed persons, while my own name appears correctly. Based on my review, the address inaccuracies appear structured to prevent trust-related mail from reaching me.
7. These facts render the purported 2018 trust creation facially impossible and necessitate court-supervised inquiry and accounting.

III. PROPERTY TITLE IRREGULARITIES AND DEED-BASED ISSUES

8. I reviewed recorded deeds and property records concerning multiple parcels, including but not limited to properties located at:

    a. 178 Spring Street, Johnstown, New York
    b. State Highway 29, Town of Johnstown, New York
    c. Watershed Road, Town of Johnstown, New York

    The deed for 178 Spring Street reflects a life estate held by Victor P. Orsell Sr., with conditions triggering transfer to a remainderman, but the page identifying the remainderman is missing, unavailable, or sealed.

d. A deed relating to State Highway 29 contains the clause “to have and to hold,” language historically associated with life use or limited estates depending on the instrument as a whole.

9. That same deed lists Michael Orsell and his wife Rhonda as joint tenants with rights of survivorship. Upon information and belief, Michael and Rhonda were divorced prior to the completion or recording of later deed provisions, and joint tenancy with survivorship is severed by divorce under New York law.
10. A deed relating to Watershed Road continues to list Michael Orsell and Katherine Orsell as owners of record, despite both having been deceased for more than thirty years.
11. These inconsistencies raise material questions as to whether properties were held subject to life estates, remainder interests, reversionary rights, or other limitations, and whether any party had lawful authority to transfer or encumber them.

IV. POTENTIAL REVERSIONARY OR PATENT-BASED INTERESTS

12. Upon information and belief, certain properties referenced above may be subject to older family or patent-based title interests, which could require automatic reversion upon death rather than testamentary disposition.
13. I do not ask the Court to adjudicate ownership at this stage. I raise these issues solely to preserve them for review, as I have not been provided access to the complete chain of title or underlying instruments.

V. AMENDED FAMILY TREE AND NOTICE CONCERNS

14. In the Estate of Rhonda L. Callery (Surrogate's Court File No. 2024-9), a family tree was filed and later amended mid-proceeding, indicating that the identification of interested parties was not static.

15. The only document in that estate proceeding not publicly viewable online is the "Notice to Interested Parties."

16. I was incarcerated by false arrest and falsely listed as in an "institution that costs 50% or more" of my SSI check (based on an SSI shut-off letter I got in the mail in May when the estate closed) during portions of the relevant period and was denied access to the Notice when I inquired with the Surrogate's Court Clerk.

17. The Last Will and Testament of Rhonda Callery lists no properties however her name appears as current owner on Fulton County Image Mate deed lookup.

18. Because notice obligations depend on the accurate identification of interested parties, and because the family tree required amendment, the contents of the Notice are material to determining whether notice was properly provided.

VI. NEED FOR ACCOUNTING AND IN CAMERA REVIEW

19. The issues described above cannot be resolved without court-ordered disclosure, formal accounting, and in camera review of sealed records.

20. I respectfully submit that judicial clarification is necessary to determine:

a. the validity and origin of the trusts,

b. the identity and authority of fiduciaries,

c. the nature of property interests,

d. and whether statutory and due process requirements were satisfied.

VII. CONCLUSION

21. This affidavit is submitted to consolidate factual issues previously raised, to clarify the record, and to support the relief requested in my pending motion.

22. I respectfully request that the Court grant the accounting, disclosure, and in camera review relief sought.

23. I submit this affidavit in support of the relief requested in the accompanying motion.

January 29, 2026

Kayla Leigh Orsell Pro Se Affiant
81 ½ Chestnut Street
Johnstown, NY 12095

New York State ARREST REPORT

1. NYSID No.	2. OBTS No.	3. Case No.	4. Ref No.	4b
		2024-03402889		
5. FBI No.	**6. Arrest No.**	**7. Agency**	**8. Division/Precinct**	**4a**
	3400172	Gloversville Police Department	Investigation	

DEFENDANT INFORMATION

9. Name (Last, First, Middle)	10. Alias / Nickname / Maiden Name (Last, First, Middle)	11. Phone Number
ORSELL, KAYLA, L		(518)705-4054

12. Street Number and Name, Building No., Apt. No.	13. City, State, Zip (C ☐ T ☐ V ☐)	14. Residence Status	15. Place of Birth
81 Chestnut St	Johnstown, NY 12095	☒ Resident ☐ Non-Resident ☐ Foreign Non-Resident ☐ Unk	Gloversvil

16. Date of Birth	17. Age	18. Sex	19. Race	20. Ethnic	21. Skin
10 30 1988	35	☐ M ☒ F ☐ U	☒ White ☐ Black ☐ Asian ☐ Indian ☐ Other ☐ Unknown	☒ Non Hispanic ☐ Hispanic ☐ Unknown	☒ Light ☐ Medium ☐ Dark ☐ Other ☐ Unknown

22. Height	23. Weight	24. Hair	25. Eyes	26. Glasses	27. Build	28. Marital Status	29. U.S. Citizen	30. Citizen of
5' 2	100	BLD	BRO	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Contacts	☒ Small ☐ Med ☐ Large	☐ Married ☐ Single ☐ Common Law ☐ Separated ☐ Divorced ☐ Widowed ☐ Unk	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown	*United States

31. Social Security No.	32. Education	33. Religion	34. Occupation	35. Employed	36. Scars / Marks / Tatoos (Describe)
077-76-6895			Unemployed	☐ Yes ☒ No	

ARREST INFORMATION

37. Arresting Officer	38. ID No.	39. Assisting Officer	40. ID No.	41. Arrest Date	42. Time	43. Location of Arrest (C ☐ T ☐ V ☐)
Spychalski, Johnathon	493			04 09 2024	14:30	3 FRONTAGE RD Gloversville

44. Juvenile	45. Condition of Defendant At Arrest	46. Weapon(s) at Arrest	47. Co-defendants Arrest No.
☐ Juv - No Further Process ☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Impaired Drugs ☐ Mental Dis. ☐ Impaired Alco ☐ Inj/Ill ☒ App Normal	77-None/Not Applicable	

48. Miranda	49. Miranda By	50. Miranda Date	51. Miranda Time	52. Statements	53. Status	54. Search Warrant	55. ID Procedure
☒ Yes ☐ No	Knecht	04 09 2024		☐ Written ☐ None ☒ Verbal	☐ Bail / ROR ☐ Parole ☐ Probation	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Line Up ☐ Photo ☐ None ☐ Show Up

56. Arraignment Court	57. Arraignment Judge	58. Date	59. Time	60. Property	61. Evidence	61a. Processed By	61b. Disposition
Gloversville City Court	Tracy Dimezza	04 09 2024	15:00	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Yes ☐ No		

CHARGE INFORMATION

62. Incident No.	63. Arrestee Status	64. Bail Amount	65. Bondsman	66. Photo No.
	☐ ROR ☐ Police Bail ☒ Held ☐ Cash Bail ☐ Bail Bond ☐ App Tkt ☐ Rel to 3rd Party			

67. Arrest Type	68. Warrant No.	69. Arrest FOA	70. Other Agency	71. F/P Taken
☐ PW ☐ IW ☐ SUM ☐ CIP ☒ COMP ☐ OP ☐ FC ☐ VOP ☐ BW ☐ AW ☐ OT		☐ Yes ☒ No		☒ Yes ☐ No

72. Location of Offense (C ☐ T ☐ V ☐)	73. Offense Date	74. No. Offenders	75. No. Victims	76. Return Court	77. Return Judge	78. Return Date	79. Time
11 BEAVER ST Gloversville, NY 12078	04 05 2024			Gloversville City Court	Tracy Dimezza	04 09 2024	15:01

80. Defendant/Case TOT Agency	80a. Officer's Name	80b. ID No.	81. Time	82. Date

83. Law	Article & Section	SUB	CL	CAT	DEG	ATT	NAME OF OFFENSE	CTS	NCIC CODE	VICTIM Age	VICTIM Sex	ASSOC. NO.	TYPE
PL	135.20 [BF 2] KIDNAPPING 2ND		B - Felony				C-Completed	1	100				☐ APP ☐ UTT ☐ OTH
PL	120.05 02 [DF 2] ASLT 2ND DEG: W/INTENT TO CAUSE PHYSICAL INJURY W/WEAPON		D - Felony				C-Completed	1	13A				☐ APP ☐ UTT ☐ OTH
													☐ APP ☐ UTT ☐ OTH
													☐ APP ☐ UTT ☐ OTH
													☐ APP ☐ UTT ☐ OTH
													☐ APP ☐ UTT ☐ OTH

ASSOCIATED PERSONS INFORMATION

84. Person Type
EM=Employer OT=Other CH=Child SP=Spouse PA=Parent CD=Co-Defendant AS=Associate SC=School LA=Lawyer PO=Parole Officer PR=Probation Officer VI=Victim WI=Witness RE=Relative CO=Complainant RP=Religious Person DR=Doctor

Type	Name (Last, First, Middle)	Street Number and Name	City, State, Zip	Phone Number

NARRATIVE

85.

See case.

86. Arresting Officer's Signature	87. ID No.	88. Supervisor's Signature	89. ID No.	94. Page
Det. Johnathon Spychalski	493	Det. W. [illegible]	493	1 of 1 pages

90. Arrest Made As A Result Of a SAFIS Latent Print Identification?	91	92	93.
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown			

DCJS 3203 (4/94) False statements made herein are punishable as a Class A misdemeanor pursuant to the NYS Penal Law. Copyright © 1993 by NYS Div of Criminal Justice Services

Gloversville Police Department
Michael F. Garavelli, Chief of Police
Brad I. Schaffer, Captain of Operations

❖ Robert Brown, Admin Lieutenant ❖ Ronald Reu, Patrol Lieutenant ❖
Lucas Nellis, Detective Lieutenant ❖

City Hall, 3 Frontage Road, Gloversville, New York 12078 ❖ www.cityofgloversville.com ❖ Phone (518) 773-4514 Fax: (518) 773-4540

Fulton County District Attorney's Office Bail Recommendation

State of New York, County of Fulton

City of Gloversville City Court

The People of the State of New York,

V

Kayla L. Orsell
Defendant

That on 4/9/24 (Date) at 1437 (AM/PM), 1, the undersigned,

Det C. Zink #175 (Name), an officer with the Gloversville Police

Department, Personally spoke with _____ (Name) an

ADA/DA with the Fulton County District Attorney's Office, and the said

ADA/DA recommend that bail, in the above entitled action be set by Gloversville

City Court Judge or any other arraigning Judge or Justice at:

$ 50,000 (Cash) or $ 75,000 BOND OR $100,000 (Ins. Co. Bond)

Dated: 4/9/24

#175
Signature

Additional Request:

○ No Bail: The defendant has two previous felony convictions. (CPL, 530.20(2)(a)

☒ Order of Protection STAY AWAY or REFRAIN FROM in Favor of: COREY MANZER (D.O.B 3/25/94)

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

General Information

Case Number:	2024-03402889	**Reporting Officer:**	3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon	**Reported Date/Time:**	04/05/2024 07:36
Location Formatted:	34 Maple St, Gloversville, NY 12078	**Location Common Name:**		**County:**	Fulton
Status:	Supervisor Approved	**Status Date:**	04/10/2024	**Disposition:**	01-Cleared by Arrest - Adult
Disposition Date:	04/05/2024	**Occurred Incident Type:**	ASSAULT	**Occurred From Date/Time:**	04/05/2024 07:00
Occurred To Date/Time:	04/05/2024 07:35	**Scene Processed By:**		**Significant Event:**	
Campus Code:		**Exceptional Clearance:**		**Exceptional Clearance Date:**	

Assigned Personnel

Solvability Factors

Solvability Factor:	Can a suspect be named? (5), Can a suspect be described? (3), Can a suspect be identified? (5), Can a suspect be located? (5), Can the preliminary investigation be completed at this time? (5), Is there significant physical evidence present? (4)	**Total Solvability Factor:**	27

Modus Operandi

Offenses

#	Statute Group	Group Agency	Crime Code	Statute	Description	Offense Date
1	State		13A - Aggravated Assault	PL 120.05 02 [DF 2]	ASLT 2ND DEG: W/INTENT TO CAUSE PHYSICAL INJURY W/WEAPON	04/05/2024
2	State		100 - Kidnapping/Abduction	PL 135.20 [BF 2]	KIDNAPPING 2ND	04/05/2024

Subjects

Number	Type	Name	Address	Contact Information	Race	Sex	DOB
1	V-Complt./Victim	MANZER, COREY S	130 SIXTH AVE, Gloversville, NY 12078	Home Phone - (518) 921-0641	W-White	M-Male	03/25/1994
2	S-Arrested	ABDALLA, JASON MITCHELL	34 MAPLE ST, Gloversville, NY 12078	Cell Phone - (864) 300-1204	W-White	M-Male	02/10/1983
3	Person Interviewed	MADDEN, JAVON E	31 maple st, GLOVERSVILLE, NY 12078	Cell Phone - (518) 571-9659	B-Black/African-American	M-Male	09/08/2005
4	Involved Party	BUYCE, JOSHUA C	34 MAPLE ST, Gloversville, NY 12078	Cell Phone - (518) 224-0942	W-White	M-Male	12/06/1997
5	S-Arrested	ORSELL, KAYLA L	81 1/2 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095	Home Phone - (518) 705-4054	W-White	F-Female	10/30/1988

Properties

#	Date	Type	Property Loss Type	Description	Name	Subject Role
1	04/05/2024	99-Unknown	99-Unknown			

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

2 04/05/2024 99-Unknown 99-Unknown

Vehicles

Contributing Officers

Officer:	3485 - Kolbe, Stephen	**Supervisor:**	3485 - Kolbe, Stephen	**Report Date/Time:**	04/05/2024 10:19
Report Type:	Primary	**Approving User:**	Anthony Reppenhagen		
Officer:	3484 - Pescetti, Christopher	**Supervisor:**	3484 - Pescetti, Christopher	**Report Date/Time:**	04/05/2024 15:07
Report Type:	Supplement	**Approving User:**	Christopher Pescetti		

Evidence

Status History

Case Status:	In Field Pending	**Status Date:**	04/05/2024	**Changed By:**	System
Date/Time Changed:	04/05/2024 09:34				
Case Status:	Turned over to Invest.	**Status Date:**	04/05/2024	**Changed By:**	Anthony Reppenhagen
Date/Time Changed:	04/05/2024 13:06				
Case Status:	Open	**Status Date:**	04/05/2024	**Changed By:**	Anthony Reppenhagen
Date/Time Changed:	04/05/2024 13:07				
Case Status:	In Field Pending	**Status Date:**	04/05/2024	**Changed By:**	Christopher Pescetti
Date/Time Changed:	04/05/2024 15:07				
Case Status:	Open	**Status Date:**	04/05/2024	**Changed By:**	Christopher Pescetti
Date/Time Changed:	04/05/2024 15:08				
Case Status:	Submitted For Review	**Status Date:**	04/10/2024	**Changed By:**	Johnathon Spychalski
Date/Time Changed:	04/10/2024 08:52				
Case Status:	Supervisor Approved	**Status Date:**	04/10/2024	**Changed By:**	Lucas Nellis
Date/Time Changed:	04/10/2024 09:53				

Disposition History

Disposition:	21-Investigation Pending	**Disposition Date:**	04/05/2024	**Disposition Changed By:**	System
Disposition Date/Time Changed:	04/05/2024 09:34				
Disposition:	01-Cleared by Arrest - Adult	**Disposition Date:**	04/05/2024	**Disposition Changed By:**	Johnathon Spychalski
Disposition Date/Time Changed:	04/10/2024 08:52				

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Supplemental Information

Action Taken:	**Reason for No Arrest:**	**Purge Type:**
Purge Date:	**Purge Review Date:**	**Non-Fatal Shooting:**

Associated Arrest Records

Arrest Information

General Information

Arrest Number:	34001971	**Case Number:**	2024-03402889	**Arrest Type:**	01-Complaint
Location Formatted:	3 FRONTAGE RD, Gloversville, NY 12078	**Location Common Name:**		**Arrest Status:**	01-Held
Status Date/Time:	04/09/2024 14:41	**Age at Arrest:**	41	**Arrest Date/Time:**	04/09/2024 14:30
Arrest Result Of:		**Resisted Arrest:**		**Basis for Caution:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:	N-Not Applicable	**Arresting Officer:**	3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon	**Arresting Officer Assignment:**	
School Response Officer:	No	**Arrestee Subject Type:**	Adult	**Arrestee Name Formatted:**	ABDALLA, JASON MITCHELL
Arrestee Location Formatted:	34 MAPLE ST, Gloversville, NY 12078	**Arrestee Primary Contact:**	Cell Phone - (864) 300-1204	**Arrestee Date Of Birth:**	02/10/1983
Arrestee Local Id Number:		**Arrestee License Number:**	662382117	**Arrestee License State:**	SC
Arrestee License Expiration Date:		**Arrestee Age:**	0	**Arrestee Height:**	5'11"
Arrestee Weight:	160 lbs	**Arrestee Race:**	W-White	**Arrestee Sex:**	M-Male
Arrestee Ethnic Origin:	N-Not-Hispanic	**Arrestee Eye Color:**	BLU	**Arrestee Hair Color:**	BRO

Additional Arrest Information

Statement Taken By:		**Officer Who Read Miranda:**	3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon	**Miranda Date/Time:**	04/09/2024 14:30
Fingerprinted By:	3484 - Pescetti, Christopher	**Fingerprint Date:**		**Statement Type:**	Verbal
Resident Type:	City	**Resident Status:**	R-Arrest - Resident	**Alcohol Influence:**	
Breath Analyzer Result:		**Drug Influence:**		**Clears Case:**	
ID Procedure:		**School Name:**		**Campus Code:**	
Juvenile Disposition:					

Arresting Officers

Primary:	Yes	**Arresting Officer:**	3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon	**Assignment:**	
School Response Officer:	No				

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Charges

Offense/Charge Date/Time:	04/08/2024 20:00	**Statute Group:**	State	**Statute/Violation:**	PL 135.20 [BF 2]
Statute Crime Code:	100 - Kidnapping/Abduction	**Statute Description:**	KIDNAPPING 2ND	**Counts:**	1
NCIC Code:		**Attempt/Commit:**	C-Completed	**Domestic Code:**	
Larceny Code:		**Plea:**		**Submit Offense:**	Yes
Other Agency:	No	**Disposition:**		**Disposition Date:**	
Scene Code:		**Clery Location:**		**Aiding/Abetting:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:		**Reason To Commit:**		**Drug Info Entries:**	0

Offense/Charge Date/Time:	04/08/2024 20:00	**Statute Group:**	State	**Statute/Violation:**	PL 120.05 02 [DF 2]
Statute Crime Code:	13A - Aggravated Assault	**Statute Description:**	ASLT 2ND DEG: W/INTENT TO CAUSE PHYSICAL INJURY W/WEAPON	**Counts:**	1
NCIC Code:		**Attempt/Commit:**	C-Completed	**Domestic Code:**	
Larceny Code:		**Plea:**		**Submit Offense:**	Yes
Other Agency:	No	**Disposition:**		**Disposition Date:**	
Scene Code:		**Clery Location:**		**Aiding/Abetting:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:		**Reason To Commit:**		**Drug Info Entries:**	0

Weapons

Weapon: 77-None/Not Applicable **Feature:**

Injury Information

Injury Types:	**Hospital:**	**Extent Of Injury:**	
Transported By:	**Medical Treatment:**	**Condition:**	05-Appeared Normal

Narratives

Entered By: 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon **Date Entered:** 04/09/2024 14:41

See case.

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Arrest Information

General Information

Arrest Number:	34001972	**Case Number:**	2024-03402889	**Arrest Type:**	01-Complaint
Location Formatted:	3 FRONTAGE RD, Gloversville, NY 12078	**Location Common Name:**		**Arrest Status:**	01-Held
Status Date/Time:	04/09/2024 14:46	**Age at Arrest:**	35	**Arrest Date/Time:**	04/09/2024 14:30
Arrest Result Of:		**Resisted Arrest:**		**Basis for Caution:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:	N-Not Applicable	**Arresting Officer:**	3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon	**Arresting Officer Assignment:**	
School Response Officer:	No	**Arrestee Subject Type:**	Adult	**Arrestee Name Formatted:**	ORSELL, KAYLA L
Arrestee Location Formatted:	81 1/2 Chestnut St, Johnstown, NY 12095	**Arrestee Primary Contact:**	Home Phone - (518) 705-4054	**Arrestee Date Of Birth:**	10/30/1988
Arrestee Local Id Number:		**Arrestee License Number:**	986853693	**Arrestee License State:**	NY
Arrestee License Expiration Date:		**Arrestee Age:**	35	**Arrestee Height:**	5'2"
Arrestee Weight:	100 lbs	**Arrestee Race:**	W-White	**Arrestee Sex:**	F-Female
Arrestee Ethnic Origin:	N-Not-Hispanic	**Arrestee Eye Color:**	BRO	**Arrestee Hair Color:**	BLD

Additional Arrest Information

Statement Taken By:		**Officer Who Read Miranda:**	3481 - Knecht, Brian	**Miranda Date/Time:**	04/09/2024 00:00
Fingerprinted By:	3484 - Pescetti, Christopher	**Fingerprint Date:**		**Statement Type:**	Verbal
Resident Type:	City	**Resident Status:**	R-Arrest - Resident	**Alcohol Influence:**	
Breath Analyzer Result:		**Drug Influence:**		**Clears Case:**	
ID Procedure:		**School Name:**		**Campus Code:**	
Juvenile Disposition:					

Arresting Officers

Primary:	Yes	**Arresting Officer:**	3493 Spychalski, Johnathon	**Assignment:**	
School Response Officer:	No				

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Charges

Offense/Charge Date/Time:	04/08/2024 20:00	**Statute Group:**	State	**Statute/Violation:**	PL 135.20 [BF 2]
Statute Crime Code:	100 - Kidnapping/Abduction	**Statute Description:**	KIDNAPPING 2ND	**Counts:**	1
NCIC Code:		**Attempt/Commit:**	C-Completed	**Domestic Code:**	
Larceny Code:		**Plea:**		**Submit Offense:**	Yes
Other Agency:	No	**Disposition:**		**Disposition Date:**	
Scene Code:		**Clery Location:**		**Aiding/Abetting:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:		**Reason To Commit:**		**Drug Info Entries:**	0

Offense/Charge Date/Time:	04/08/2024 20:00	**Statute Group:**	State	**Statute/Violation:**	PL 120.05 02 [DF 2]
Statute Crime Code:	13A - Aggravated Assault	**Statute Description:**	ASLT 2ND DEG: W/INTENT TO CAUSE PHYSICAL INJURY W/WEAPON	**Counts:**	1
NCIC Code:		**Attempt/Commit:**	C-Completed	**Domestic Code:**	
Larceny Code:		**Plea:**		**Submit Offense:**	Yes
Other Agency:	No	**Disposition:**		**Disposition Date:**	
Scene Code:		**Clery Location:**		**Aiding/Abetting:**	
Multiple Clearance Indicator:		**Reason To Commit:**		**Drug Info Entries:**	0

Weapons

Weapon: 77-None/Not Applicable **Feature:**

Injury Information

Injury Types:	**Hospital:**	**Extent Of Injury:**	
Transported By:	**Medical Treatment:**	**Condition:**	05-Appeared Normal

Narratives

Entered By: 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon **Date Entered:** 04/09/2024 14:46

See case.

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Summary Narrative

Narratives

Name: Narrative **Author:** 3485 - Kolbe, Stephen **Supervisor:** 3485 - Kolbe, Stephen **Date Created:** 04/05/2024 09:33

Description:

Officers Kennedy, Stewart, and I were dispatched to a report from Corey Manzer that he had just been assaulted and threatened with a knife at a red house on Maple St. The caller was currently on Beaver St. after running from the residence.

Officers arrived on Beaver St along with Fire and EMS where I made contact with Manzer. Manzer was currently shirtless and standing on the sidewalk with a small blanket wrapped around him. I observed Manzer to have a mouth full of partially dried, dark in color blood. When Manzer removed the blanket, I also observed large red marks on his skin on the backside of his torso. Manzer advised me that he had gone to the red house on Maple St, known to officers as 34 Maple St, to "hang out with his friend Buyce." Manzer stated that after being at the residence for approx. 5 minutes , a male he knew as "Jay", known to officers as Jason Abdalla, began to accuse Manzer of stealing money from him. Manzer stated that Abdalla and his unknown girlfriend then began to attack him. Manzer stated that Abdalla started to hit him with a "bicycle fender" while the female continued to attack him. At some point during the attack, Manzer said that Abdalla pulled a knife out and threatened to cut off his fingers and toes while another male inside the residence, described as a tall skinny white male with blond hair and a flannel shirt joined in and started to yell for someone to "get the gun." Manzer stated at this point he was able to flee the residence as he was chased by the unknown male with a broom stick. While running down the Maple St. Hill, Manzer lost his shirt in the roadway.

After speaking with Manzer a bit further, Manzer admitted that he had gone to the house in order to buy Xanax, but wasn't able to buy any due to him not having any money. Manzer advised me that he would like to press charges for being attacked. Manzer was then transported to NLH by Lake Valley EMS for an evaluation.

I then contacted the detective division and advised them of the situation. Det. Pescetti and Spychalski arrived on Maple St. where I had located Manzers shirt. I advised the Detectives of the circumstances and cleared the scene after turning the case over to investigations.

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Name: Detective Narrative | **Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon | **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas | **Date Created:** 04/05/2024 13:41

Description:

On 4/5/24, I was requested to respond to the area of Beaver and Maple Street for an assault. Upon arrival I made contact with Cpl. Kolbe and Det. Pescetti. I was advised that the victim, identified as Corey Manzer, was in route to Nathan Littauer Hospital via ambulance. Det. Pescetti secured a shirt that was in the roadway that was alleged to be from the victim. Officers attempted to make contact with anyone at 34 Maple Street, with negative results, no one would come to the door even though I could hear subjects inside of the residence.

I followed up at NLH with Manzer who advised he would cooperate with the investigation and provide a statement. Det. Pescetti secured photographs of Manzer's injuries. I later made contact with Manzer at my station and a photo array was conducted where Manzer positively identifies Jason M. Abdalla (DoB 02/10/83). The female involved in the incident can not be identified at this time. I secured a statement from Manzer as well (see supplemental).

I was later contacted by Manzer who advised he found the the vehicle parked around the block from 34 Maple Street and identified the NY registration as HTS8774. I found that this vehicle is owned by Victor Orsell. A check in house shows that recently a female identified as Kayla Orsell has been found with Abdalla on 3/25/24 (2024-03402542). She also fits the description of the female suspect provided by Manzer. I will administer a photo array to Manzer with Orsell as one of the subject.

Investigation pending.

Spychalski 493

Name: Manzer statement | **Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon | **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas | **Date Created:** 04/05/2024 13:43

Description:

Q: Where did the incident your reporting occur today?

A: On Maple Street.

Q: What is the color of the house?

A: Red one.

Q: If I show you a google image of the street, would you be able to identify it?

A: (Identified 34 Maple Street)

Q: What occurred while you were at the residence?

A: I was there, I'd say from about 5:30/6AM. I came back around 6:30 almost 7 before this all occurred. I was in the house for maybe 10 minutes, and he came out of the bedroom accusing me of stealing his money out of his wallet.

Q: What were you at the residence for?

A: To visit with Josh Buyce, that's where he was staying. Also, to smoke. I was also trying to buy Xanax. Josh said he was going to just give them to me.

Q: What occurred after he accused you of stealing?

A: He started freaking out, and she picked up a knife, and she put it to my throat a couple times. Jay grabbed what he thought was a machete, but he grabbed a fender from a bicycle, and he basically started swinging it and hitting me with it. He tried to get me to admit that I stole his money. It got really crazy, she grabbed a bigger knife, I went upstairs with less space. It was just me and him up there, and he had a knife, he said he was going to gut me, fish hook me, and cut off my fingers and toes. He said he would zip tie me in the basement, just like he did Curtis.

Q: Who are you referring to as "he"?

A: Jay Abdalla.

Q: Did you identify this individual via a photo array with this officer?

A: Yes.

Q: When this incident occurred, did you attempt to leave the residence before or during the assault?

A: Yeah, I tried to go out the door before it all occurred. She stood there with the knife, and he stood there with the brush guard.

Q: Do you believe they stopped you from escaping?

A: Yeah, because they blocked the door.

Q: How many times did Jay hit you with the brush guard?

A: Probably four in the face, and he got me 3 or 4 times in the side.

Q: Did any of these strikes from Jay cause injury to you?

A: Yeah, fractured nose and I don't know what is going on in my mouth yet.

Q: Did you seek medical attention immediately for these injuries?

A: Yes at Nathan Littauer.

Q: Did you sign a medical release so an officer can obtain your medical records?

A: I did sign something.

Q: When Jay struck you with the brush guard, did he or anyone else take any property from you?

A: No, all my property was sitting on the table already.

Q: Did at any time you feel as if you were not free to leave and being held against your will?

A: Yeah, at one point I was getting a little, they were trying. Someone said something about getting a deadbolt. I even glanced to see if I jumped out of the window, where I would have landed on the second floor.

Q: Can you explain further about the female holding a knife to you?

A: She basically liked started poking me with this brand-new knife. She poked me a couple times, she most definitely was going to stab me. This line across my neck is from the knife.

Q: Did anyone else assault you during this incident?

A: Nope, just Jay. And she poked me a couple times with the knife.

Q: Do you wish to pursue charges against Jay Abdalla and the female if she is identified?

A: Yes.

Q: Have you been completely truthful and honest throughout this statement?

A: Yes.

Q: Do you have anything else you would like to add to this statement.

A: No.

Name: Narrative | **Author:** 3484 - Pescetti, Christopher | **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas | **Date Created:** 04/05/2024 14:59

Description:

On 4/5/2024 I was advised by Detective Lieutenant Nellis that members of the Patrol Division were currently investigating an Assault 2nd case in which Corey Manzer was hit by Jason Abdalla several times with a bicycle fender. I was advised that the incident occurred on Maple Street, and Manzer's shirt he was wearing during the incident was in the middle of Maple Street. Detective Lieutenant Nellis requested I responded to the scene to photograph the shirt and secure the shirt as evidence. Upon my arrival, I secured photographs of the blue colored t-shirt which I observed to be ripped and covered in dirt and appeared to have blood on it. I then secured the t-shirt in a paper bag, and logged the shirt in to evidence. Det. Spychalski and I then responded to Nathan Littauer Hospital to speak with Manzer and secure photos of Manzer's injuries.

Det. Spychalski and I made contact with Manzer in the Nathan Littauer Hospital Emergency Room, and I secured photos of Manzer's injuries. Det. Spychalski advised Manzer to come to the station to provide a statement regarding the incident when he was discharged from the Hospital, and Manzer agreed to come provide a statement and also advised he would sign a medical records release form.

Pescetti 484

Case Report

Detail

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Name: Detective Narrative	**Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon	**Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas	**Date Created:** 04/08/2024 14:01

Description:

On 4/8/24, I made contact with Manzer via telephone and advised him I needed him to respond to my station for a statement and photo array for the female suspect. Manzer arrived a short time later and positively identified Kayla L. Orsell (DoB - 10/30/88) as well as providing a voluntary statement (see supplemental) to me about the details surrounding the incident. I also had Manzer endorse a medical release and I later retrieved his medical records.

I am going to confer with the Fulton County District Attorneys office for applicable charges.

Spychalski 493

Name: Manzer 2nd Statement	**Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon	**Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas	**Date Created:** 04/08/2024 15:50

Description:

Q: Did you view a photo array today with a female suspect?

A: Yes.

Q: Did you positively identify a female that was involved in this incident?

A: Yes.

Q: Is this the female who picked up a knife and put it to your throat a couple times?

A: Yes.

Q: Did she ever cause you injury with the knife?

A: My lip, with the knife.

Q: Did you fear for your life because of her actions?

A: Yeah, from both of them, yeah.

Q: Did she prevent you from escaping the residence?

A: Yeah, she tried to.

Q: Can you explain to me the sequence of events during the assault and where you were during each part of the assault?

A: It started in the living room with Kayla, she had the knife. She put it to my throat and poked me a couple times in the living room. After that I was fighting Jay in the living room in the same

area. Eventually I made it to the upstairs, I tried to run away. I fought Jay, he had the piece of bike frame thing that hit me a couple times. He got me in the back going up the stairs. Then he came out with a board with nails and screws. Then he had the knife and board at the same time. I plowed my way back out with a kids desk, back down the stairs and out of the house.

Q: Did either Kayla or Jason prevent you from leaving the residence?

A: Yeah, for about an hour.

Q: Did you fear for your life when you couldn't leave the residence?

A: Yeah. I was thinking about jumping out of the window.

Q: How did Jason and Kayla prevent you from leaving the residence?

A: By attacking me, they wanted to tie me up and put me in the basement.

Q: How do you know they wanted to tie you up?

A: Jason and Kayla both said that they wanted to tie me up and put me in the basement. Kayla said she wanted to kill me.

Q: Did Jason or Kayla make any specific threats to you as what they would do to you?

A: Yes, they said they would cut my toes and fingers off. She said she would take my tongue out of my head and tie me in the basement.

Q: How long were you fighting in each room and with who?

A: The living room was maybe 10 minutes, and then the hallway, then the bedroom for a good 45 minutes.

Q: While in the bedroom for 45 minutes, what was happening, why couldn't you leave?

A: They were blocking the door, they had knives and boards. They were guarding it swinging things making sure I would stay there. Until I finally forced my way out.

Q: While they were holding you in the bedroom, do you feel as if you were trapped?

A: I was trapped. Until they lost possession of their weapons.

Q: Do you wish to pursue charges against the female now that she is identified?

A: Yes.

Q: Have you been completely truthful and honest throughout this statement?

A: Yes.

Agency: Gloversville Police Department
Agency #: NY0170100

Print Date/Time: 04/10/2024 09:54

Q: Do you have anything else you would like to add to this statement.

A: Nope.

Name: Detective Narrative | **Author:** 3493 - Spychalski, Johnathon | **Supervisor:** 3432 - Nellis, Lucas | **Date Created:** 04/10/2024 09:18

Description:

On 4/9/24, I made contact via telephone with Kayla Orsell and Jason Abdalla regarding this case. I advised them of the allegations and that I would like to provide each of them with the opportunity to explain their account of the incident. They later arrived at the station and I spoke in interview room 507 with Abdalla and Det. Knecht spoke with Orsell in interview room 509. Both subjects were mirandized before they spoke with officers. Both subjects put themselves at the residence at the time of the incident. Abdalla advised there was an argument regarding Manzer possibly taking money out of Abdalla's wallet. This escalated when Abdalla told Manzer to leave and he refused, according to Abdalla. I asked Abdalla why he did not contact police and he did not have an answer. Abdalla advised he had to physically remove Manzer from the residence. Neither Abdalla or Orsell admitted to possessing weapons, keeping Manzer in the residence, or threatening to tie him to a chair and assault him. Abdalla advised he had injuries from the altercation with Manzer and so did Orsell. I secured photographs of these injuries. These injuries do not corroborate anyone's story, but it does show that there was a physical altercation between the three subjects.

Orsell and Abdalla were held and arraigned for Kidnapping Second and Assault Second. Both subjects were remanded to Fulton County Corrections. Closed by arrest.

Spychalski 493

FULTON COUNTY FELONY CASES:

DISCOVERY CHECKLIST FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT

C.P.L. 245.20(1) – AUTOMATIC DISCOVERY

- All written or recorded statements, and the substance of all oral statements, made by the defendant or a co-defendant to a public servant engaged in law enforcement activity or to a person then acting under his or her direction or in cooperation with him or her.

  [X] Attached.

  [ ] No such statements are known to exist.

  [ ] Such statements exist, but cannot be provided at this time because _____

  _____.

- The names and adequate contact information for all persons other than law enforcement personnel whom have evidence or information relevant to any offense charged or to any potential defense thereto.

  [X] Attached.

  [ ] No such persons are known to exist.

  [ ] Such persons exist, but the following individuals should not be disclosed because they are a confidential informant and/or would are expected to subject to witness intimidation because _____

  _____

  _____.

- The name and work affiliation of all law enforcement personnel whom have evidence or information relevant to any offense charged or to any potential defense.

  [X] Attached.

  [ ] No such persons are known to exist.

  [ ] Such persons exist, but the following individuals should not be disclosed because they are working in an undercover capacity: _____

  _____

  _____.

- All

  - Statements, written or recorded or summarized in any writing or recording, made by persons who have evidence or information relevant to any offense charged or to any potential defense thereto; and
  - Accusatory instruments, police reports (including arrest reports, incident reports, lead sheets, etc.), notes of police and other investigators, and law enforcement agency reports;

    [X] Attached.

    [ ] No such statements/reports, etc. are known to exist.

    [ ] Such materials exist, but the following materials individuals should not be disclosed because they are from a person who is a confidential informant, undercover personnel, or otherwise are the subject of a protective order because

    _____

    _____.

- For tests done by law enforcement personnel (including their forensic center personnel or forensic investigation unit) only: Expert opinion evidence, including the name, business address, current curriculum vitae, a list of publications, and all proficiency tests and results administered or taken within the past ten years of each expert witness and all reports prepared by the expert that pertain to the case.

  [ ] Attached.

  [X] No such expert opinion evidence/reports, etc. are known to exist.

  [ ] Such materials exist, no report has been prepared, but a statement of the facts and opinions to which the expert is expected to testify and a summary of the grounds for each opinion is as follows:

  _____

  _____.

  [ ] **If this box is checked,** this information exists but is unavailable for disclosure within the time period specified in C.P.L. § 245.10(1). Disclosure shall be made as soon as practicable and not later than sixty calendar days before the first scheduled trial date, unless an order is obtained pursuant to C.P.L. § 245.70 of this article.

- All tapes or other electronic recordings, including all electronic recordings of 911 telephone calls made or received in connection with the alleged criminal incident.

  [ ] Attached.

  [X] No such materials are known to exist.

[ ] If this box is checked, please be aware that the materials exist and exceed ten hours in total length.

- All photographs and drawings made or completed by a public servant engaged in law enforcement activity which relate to the subject matter of the case.

  [✓] Attached.

  [ ] No such materials are known to exist.

- All photographs, photocopies and reproductions made by or at the direction of law enforcement personnel of any property prior to its release pursuant to Penal Law § 450.10.

  [✓] Attached.

  [ ] No such materials are known to exist.

- For tests done by law enforcement personnel (including their forensic center personnel or forensic investigation unit) only: All reports, documents, records, data, calculations or writings, including but not limited to preliminary tests and screening results and bench notes and analyses performed or stored electronically, concerning physical or mental examinations, or scientific tests or experiments or comparisons, relating to the criminal action or proceeding which were made by or at the request or direction of a public servant engaged in law enforcement activity. This information includes, but is not limited to, laboratory information management system records relating to such materials, any preliminary or final findings of non- conformance with accreditation, industry or governmental standards or laboratory protocols, and any conflicting analyses or results by laboratory personnel regardless of the laboratory's final analysis or results.

  [ ] Attached.

  [✓] No such materials are known to exist.

[ ] If this box is checked, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that laboratory information management system records relating to such materials, any preliminary or final findings of non- conformance with accreditation, industry or governmental standards or laboratory protocols, and any conflicting analyses or results by laboratory personnel regardless of the laboratory's final analysis or results are not available to law enforcement and should be requested directly by the People.

- If such evidence and information exists, all evidence and information, including that which is known to police or other law enforcement agencies acting on the government's behalf in the case, that tends to:

  i. negate the defendant's guilt as to a charged offense;

  ii. reduce the degree of or mitigate the defendant's culpability as to a charged offense;

  iii. support a potential defense to a charged offense;

  iv. impeach the credibility of a testifying prosecution witness;

  v. undermines evidence of the defendant's identity as a perpetrator of a charged offense;

  vi. provide a basis for a motion to suppress evidence; or

  vii. mitigate punishment.

  [ ] **Attached.**

  [✓] If this box is checked, no such information is presently known to exist

  [ ] If this box is checked, such information is presently known to exist but is not being disclosed because _____

- A summary of all promises, rewards and inducements *made by law enforcement personnel only* to, or in favor of, persons who may be called as witnesses, as well as requests for consideration by persons who may be called as witnesses and copies of all documents relevant to a promise, reward or inducement have been provided to defense counsel.

  [X] If this box is checked, no such promises, rewards and inducements are presently known to exist.

- A list of all tangible objects and property obtained from, or allegedly possessed by, the defendant or a co-defendant that relates to the subject matter of the case to include all property receipts/logs/chain of custody materials.

  [X] If this box is checked, property logs, photo logs, chain of custody documents, receipts, etc. are attached.

  [ ] If this box is checked, no such materials are presently known to exist.

- The approximate date, time and place of the offense or offenses charged and of the defendant's seizure and arrest:

  - Offense(s) date, time, and place: 4/5/24 0736hrs 34 Maple Street Gloversville
  - Seizure date, time, and place:
  - Arrest date, time, and place: 4/9/24 1430hrs 3 Frontage Rd Gloversville.

- All records of calibration, certification, inspection, repair or maintenance of machines and instruments utilized to perform any scientific tests and experiments, including but not limited to any test of a person's breath, blood, urine or saliva, for the period of six months prior and six months after such test was conducted, including the records of gas chromatography related to the certification of all reference standards and the certification certificate, if any, held by the operator of the machine or instrument. **(PLEASE NOTE THAT** any subsequent records covering the full period of six months after the test was conducted need to be turned over as they are created.)
- This [ ] is [X] is not a prosecution alleging a violation of Penal Law § 156.05 (Unauthorized Use of a Computer) or Penal Law § 156.10 (Computer Trespass). If it is such a prosecution, then as to the occurrence of such violation the following information:

Time: _____

Place: _____

Manner: _____

b. Unless otherwise noted herein:

i. A copy of all electronically created or stored information seized or obtained by or on behalf of law enforcement from: (A) the defendant as described in subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph; or (B) a source other than the defendant which relates to the subject matter of the case.

ii. A complete copy of any electronically created or stored information that originated from a device, account, or other electronically stored source that law enforcement believes the defendant owned, maintained, or had lawful

access to and is within the possession, custody or control of law enforcement or persons under the law enforcement's direction or control.

[ ] **Attached.**

[X] If this box is checked, no such information is presently known to exist.

[ ] If this box is checked, possession of such electronically created or stored information would be a crime under New York state or federal law. The materials are attached and the District Attorney's Office will make those portions of the electronically created or stored information that are not criminal to possess available as specified under this paragraph and will afford counsel for the defendant access to inspect contraband portions at a supervised location that provides regular and reasonable hours for such access, such as the District Attorney's Office, the law enforcement agency, or court.

[ ] **If this box is checked, PLESE TAKE NOTICE** that the information under this paragraph is not available for disclosure within the time period required by Penal Law § 245.10(1) thus staying the disclosure of this material without need for a motion. Such disclosure shall be made as soon as practicable and not later than forty-five calendar days before the first scheduled trial date, unless an order is obtained pursuant to Penal Law § 245.70 of this article.

NOTES:

Dated: 4/10/24, ~~2020~~

Agency: Gloversville PD

By: Det. J. Spychalski 493

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 18 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

KAYLA ORSELL,
Plaintiff,

v.

City of Gloversville;
JOHNATHON SPYCHALSKI, Detective, Gloversville Police Department;
LUCAS NELLIS, Lieutenant, Gloversville Police Department;
JAMES P. RILEY, Esq., District Attorney, Fulton County District Attorney's Office;
THE HONORABLE TRACI DIMEZZA, Gloversville City Court Judge;
KYLE L. DAVIS, Public Defender;
JAMES P. MELITA, Private Defense Counsel;
JASON MITCHELL ABDALLA, Confidential Informant;
KEITH ACKERKNECHT, Jail Captain, Fulton County Jail;
UNKNOWN INDIVIDUALS WHO INTERFERED WITH PLAINTIFF'S LEGAL MAIL AND COMMUNICATIONS.
Defendants.

Case No.: [To Be Assigned]
1:25-cv-963 (AMN/PJE)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (42 U.S.C. § 1983)

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This action arises under **42 U.S.C. § 1983** for violations of Plaintiff's constitutional rights under the **First, Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments** to the United States Constitution.
2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 1331, § 1391, and § 1343**, as this case involves federal questions and civil rights violations.
3. Venue is proper in the **Northern District of New York** under **28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2)** because all acts complained of occurred within this district. Also, all defendants are residents of the Northern District of New York which makes this court a proper venue under **28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).**
4. **U.S.C 28 § 1331** is the general federal question jurisdictional statute, which grants federal district courts jurisdiction over "all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States".
5. Under **U.S.C § 1343(a)** the district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action authorized by law to be commenced by any person.
6. Under **U.S.C § 1343(a)(3)** plaintiffs can petition to a district court to redress the deprivation, under color of any State law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage, of any right, privilege or immunity secured by the Constitution of the United States or by any Act of Congress providing for equal rights of citizens or of all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States.

II. PARTIES

Plaintiff:

Kayla Orsell is a resident of Johnstown, New York, and is bringing this suit pro se.

Defendants:

City of Gloversville – The municipality responsible for overseeing the Gloversville Police Department and ensuring its officers comply with constitutional standards. The City is liable under *Monell v. Department of Social Services,* 436 U.S. 658 (1978), as its policies, customs, and failure to train officers directly contributed to Plaintiff's unlawful arrest, detention, and prosecution.

Detective Johnathon Spychalski (Gloversville Police Department) – Engaged in fabricating evidence, coercing a witness, and manipulating investigative procedures to support Plaintiff's unlawful arrest.

Lieutenant Lucas Nellis (Gloversville Police Department) – Participated in the illegal investigation, ignored exculpatory evidence, and failed to prevent constitutional violations.

James P. Riley, Esq. (Fulton County District Attorney) – Prosecuted Plaintiff despite knowing the arrest lacked probable cause and withheld exculpatory evidence.

Judge Traci DiMezza (Gloversville City Court) – Imposed excessive bail, denied Plaintiff's due process rights, and participated in coercive plea negotiations.

Attorney Kyle Davis (Public Defender) – Provided ineffective assistance of counsel, failed to challenge constitutional violations, and was reassigned to Plaintiff's case after her private attorney withdrew when she attempted to withdraw her coerced plea.

Attorney James Melita (Private Defense Counsel) – Paid by Plaintiff's father but later recused himself when Plaintiff sought to withdraw her plea, abandoning her defense.

Jason Mitchell Abdalla (Confidential Informant) – Acted as a government informant to entrap Plaintiff, engaged in sexual misconduct under false pretenses, lied about his STI status, and placed Plaintiff at risk of HIV exposure.

Keith Achrenight (Jail Captain, Fulton County Jail) – Oversaw jail operations, denied Plaintiff necessary medical treatment, placed Plaintiff at risk of inmate assault, and disregarded security classifications.

Unknown Individuals – Those responsible for interference with Plaintiff's legal mail, fraudulent court documents, and obstruction of legal filings.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Failure to Train and Supervise Police Officers (Municipal Liability under Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1978))

1. The City of Gloversville is responsible for the policies, training, supervision, and discipline of the Gloversville Police Department, including Defendants Detective Johnathon Spychalski and Lieutenant Lucas Nellis.

2. The City has a pattern and practice of failing to supervise, discipline, and prevent unlawful police conduct, including:

3. Encouraging officers to fabricate evidence and coerce statements from witnesses.

4. Failing to discipline officers who engage in constitutional violations, including unlawful arrests and suppression of exculpatory evidence.

5. Ignoring prior complaints of misconduct against officers, allowing a culture of impunity.

6. Detective Spychalski and Lieutenant Nellis unlawfully arrested Plaintiff without probable cause, fabricating witness statements and suppressing evidence in violation of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).

7. Despite clear evidence of misconduct, the City of Gloversville failed to intervene, discipline its officers, or correct these unconstitutional practices, making it liable under Monell.

B. Unlawful Arrest and Fabricated Evidence (Fourth Amendment Violation)

1. On **April 9, 2024**, Plaintiff was arrested and charged with **Kidnapping in the Second Degree** and **Assault in the Second Degree**, despite a **lack of probable cause**.

2. The **sole witness against Plaintiff was under the influence of drugs** at the time and provided **contradictory statements**, which were altered by **Detective Spychalski**.

3. Detective Spychalski **tampered with the witness statement**, placing a **diagonal line across the Miranda waiver** and coercing the witness to "just sign here" (See Exhibit H).

4. No additional witnesses were presented, and no forensic or physical evidence linked Plaintiff to the alleged crime.

5. The **911 call from the alleged incident was withheld** by the prosecution in violation of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).

C. Excessive Bail and Unlawful Pretrial Detention (Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments Violation)

At Plaintiff's arraignment, Judge Traci DiMezza imposed a bail amount of $50,000 cash / $75,000 partially secured bond / $100,000 fully secured bond, despite:

1. Plaintiff's **lack of criminal history for over a decade**.
2. Plaintiff's **permanent disability status**.
3. The **reduction of two serious violent felony charges to a misdemeanor**.
4. Bail was **arbitrarily maintained at excessive levels**, causing financial hardship for Plaintiff's family.
5. During a **bail review hearing on May 5, 2024**, Judge DiMezza **privately conferred** with the District Attorney **off the record**, then denied bail reduction.
6. Plaintiff was **unlawfully detained for over 180 days** without a **preliminary hearing**, violating the due process requirements set in *Gerstein v. Pugh*, 420 U.S. 103 (1975), and *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44 (1991).

D. Coerced Plea, Attorney Misconduct, and Post-Plea Retaliation (Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments Violation)

1. The plaintiff was arraigned with court appointed attorney Allen Day as her representation until a more permanent court appointed attorney could take over. During the arraignment the plaintiff was not informed of the reason for the arrest, elements of the crimes alleged, or given any chance to speak of her innocence.
2. Judge DiMezza initially set bail at $100,000 fully secured bond, $75,000 partially secured bond, or $50,000 cash when no probable cause for the arrest existed.
3. The plaintiff was remanded to Fulton County Jail for 32 days before being released on a lowered bail which was still excessive at $50,000 partially secured bond. The plaintiffs father paid Bernardo Bondsman of Saratoga $3,260 and was forced to put a lien on one of his rental properties which is the maximum amount of cash for a partially secured bond of $50,000. The lien on her father's property was illegal, unnecessary, and punitive.
4. At the plaintiff's second court appearance a week after her arraignment she was assigned attorney Kyle Davis. The maximum allowable time to hold a preliminary hearing or secure an indictment according to New York State Criminal Procedure Law 180.80 had already passed. The plaintiff had not waived her preliminary hearing or indictment.
5. Attorney Kyle Davis visited the plaintiff after she had spent 18 days in jail and told her that the two charges against her "looked like bullshit". He said the discovery was "minimal" and did not produce any documents for her to inspect. He then informed her that her first plea offer was five years in prison. The plaintiff informed attorney Davis of her intent to go to trial and attorney Davis told her she would get twenty five years if she

lost trial. The plaintiff told him that she was confident in her innocence and still wished to go to trial.

6. After the plaintiffs father bailed her out she was able to access legal materials which were nearly impossible to view given the fact that only two tablets used by inmates in Fulton County Jail existed for up to eleven other inmates. Also, there was a two hour limit to use the law library which was exclusively on the tablets. The plaintiff was forced to compete with other inmates who also wanted to use the tablets for legal research, phone calls, and entertainment purposes. This put her at an unreasonable risk of assault and she was never able to access the law library for even an hour for one of the days she was incarcerated.
7. Upon getting home and having access to legal materials the plaintiff' discovered that she was eligible for release on her own recognizance under NYS CPL 180.80. She called attorney Davis and asked him if she had unknowingly waived a preliminary hearing or indictment to which he replied that she did not. She then asked him why had she not been released on her own recognizance after the 144 hours legally allowed by NYS CPL 180.80. Attorney Davis replied "you got bailed out so why does it matter?"
8. **The Plaintiff' upon arrival at her residence found her apartment was completely ransacked and destroyed.** Also, nearly her entire SSI check was missing from her account. Upon later discovery she did find out that her co-defendant had possession of her cellular phone which she left in her car before they both entered the Gloversville Police Department to give voluntary statements. She also found record of this co-defendant, a Mr. Jason Mitchell Abdalla, had been stealing money out of her account before her arrest. Mr. Abdalla was responsible for the incident leading to her arrest and he was rumored to have not been jailed. In spite of the fact that the Gloversville Police

Department was aware that Mr. Abdalla committed a serious violent crime he was recruited to work as a confidential informant. He had the two violent felonies that he shared with the Plaintiff' entirely dismissed as well as two other serious non related drug felonies and two A misdemeanors dismissed.

9. The Plaintiff' became romantically and intimately involved with Mr. Abdalla immediately after he had used lies and rumors to cause a break up between her and her fiancée of six years. Mr. Abdalla knew of the plaintiffs past charge over ten years prior for mail ordering drugs and persistently attempted to get her to assist him in mail ordering drugs. She declined numerous times and Mr. Abdalla acted very angry at her non compliance. The Plaintiff believes that Mr. Abdalla, with assistance from the Gloversville Police, was attempting to frame her for mail order drugs because her former fiancée found a blue laptop that was nearly identical to the Plaintiffs own laptop in her home when he entered to take care of her rescued and needy pet parrots. He removed the laptop and refused to give it to Mr. Abdalla's mother who arrived at the Plaintiffs house immediately after the arrest demanding the laptop that was inside of the plaintiffs apartment. Mr. Abdalla took full advantage of a damsel in distress, likely in an attempt to relieve himself of the charges he was already facing.
10. The Plaintiff began working on a **Habeas Corpus § 28 2241** petition to the Northern District of New York Court due to lack of any other remedies and the need for immediate intervention.
11. Upon informing her father that she was going to file the **Habeas Corpus** petition, the plaintiff's father **paid Attorney James Melita** to represent her.

12. **Attorney Melita pressured Plaintiff into pleading guilty**, stating that the prosecution would use false testimony and correct falsified evidence if she did not accept a plea. Attorney Melita used the Plaintiffs father to coerce her guilty plea in spite of the fact she wanted to go to trial by insisting that her father who does not understand the judicial system be present every time they met to speak. He also told the Plaintiffs father that going to trial would cost him more money when he already was heavily burdened by the cost of supporting her with the excessive bail and the payments attorney Melita had already received.
13. The petitioner attended a pre-sentencing investigation with Fulton County probation officer Heidi Logan and when asked what happened she admitted that her co-defendant, Mr. Jason Abdalla, had assaulted the victim and may even be guilty of kidnapping depending on how the law was interpreted but denied injuring him. She told Mrs. Logan that she was guilty of being in the wrong place at the wrong time and having no business being in a place like the home where the incident occurred. She claimed that she was guilty of "harassment at best".
14. Plaintiff later **attempted to withdraw her coerced plea** and Mr. Melita then ushered her into a conference room in the courthouse with her father whom insisted he had to be present because he paid to retain Mr. Melita. The petitioners father, assisted by Mr. Melita, attempted again to coerce her into taking the plea and insisted that she would go to prison forever based on fabricated evidence. The Petitioner denied taking the coerced plea once again. The judge, Mr. Melita, and district attorney James P. Riley went into the judges chambers for an extended period of time and she stood in front of the bench with severely crippling anxiety which was exacerbating her mental health conditions to the

point of nearly passing out. Petitioner heard what sounded like fighting in the judges chambers before they came back out. Judge DiMezza asked the petitioner why she wanted to withdraw her plea and she asserted that she believed that the United States Constitution still held relevance in that court as well as every other court of the United States. Judge DiMezza told the petitioner that she should be thankful she was offered such a great plea since the original charges were a class B and D felony. Petitioner left the courtroom and her father and Mr. Melita soon came outside where she was standing and told her that the probation officer who took her PSI was screaming in the courtroom that she was guilty and had admitted it, though she never said that. The plaintiff was horribly embarrassed and shaken with anxiety. Her aunt and another family member happened to be in court that day with her aunt's grandson which added to the embarrassment. Court was adjourned until court appointed counsel Kyle Davis could be reassigned in spite of Judge DiMezza being aware that he was dismissed previously for providing ineffective assistance.

15. **Judge DiMezza then reassigned Public Defender Kyle Davis**, who had previously provided **ineffective assistance**, to represent Plaintiff again. Attorney Davis called the plantiff out in the hallway of the court and asked her why she did not want to accept the plea for misdemeanor probation that Attorney James Melita coerced her into signing. She told him that she was innocent and didn't feel it was right to plead guilty when she was innocent. She then informed Mr. Davis that she had tried to file a **Habeas Corpus 28 § 2241** petition with the district court but that she believed it had been intercepted. Mr. Davis told her that Habeas Corpus was "only for inmates". To which she replied "that isn't true, any restriction of my liberty including bail counts". He asked her where she

read that and she replied "the jailhouse lawyers manual". Mr. Davis then told her that if Judge DiMezza remanded her back to jail he would immediately file a motion to vacate the plea. She agreed to go along with it, deeply fearing being separated from the two Quaker parrots her and her fiancee had rescued from a very abusive situation since they likely would not survive without her being able to buy and administrator there medication daily. Judge DiMezza said that she didn't think the petitioner should be granted probation due to failure to accept responsibility during her pre-sentencing investigation. Mr. Davis argued that he read the petitioners PSI and that she did accept some responsibility. Judge DiMezza then put the petitioner on pretrial release to determine if she was suitable for probation and adjourned court for nearly two months.

16. Petitioner did succeed at pre-trial release and was given probation at the next court date. She remains on probation and is in fear for her life and safety due to the fact that it seems the prosecution will stop at nothing to further violate her civil rights.

E. Mail Theft and Forged Certified Mail Slips (First and Fourteenth Amendment Violations)

Plaintiff has been subjected to systematic interference with her legal mail, which constitutes a violation of her First and Fourteenth Amendment rights by denying her access to the courts and obstructing justice.

1. Stolen and Tampered Legal Mail

A. Plaintiff submitted critical legal filings, including a Habeas Corpus petition under **28 U.S.C. § 2241**, via certified mail through the USPS. However, tracking information reveals that the mail was never properly sent or received by the intended court.

B. When checking her USPS tracking records, Plaintiff discovered that her legal filings were either rerouted to unknown locations or falsely marked as "delivered" despite no court records showing receipt.

C. Plaintiff later received a fake court-stamped response with a forged judicial signature, falsely suggesting that her habeas petition had been processed and denied when, in reality, it never reached the court.

---

2. Forged Certified Mail Slips & Tracking Discrepancies

A. Plaintiff obtained certified mail slips from the fraudulent website PACERMonitor.com, which falsely showed her filings as "processed." However, the USPS tracking numbers attached to these slips show no record of mailing activity.

B. Screenshots of the forged mail slips and tracking inconsistencies are attached as Exhibit [X], demonstrating a deliberate effort to intercept Plaintiff's court filings.

F. Legal Violations & Constitutional Claims

1. The theft, tampering, and forgery of legal documents violates Plaintiff's First Amendment right to petition the government for redress of grievances and her Fourteenth Amendment right to due process.

2. Under *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817 (1977), and *Lewis v. Casey*, 518 U.S. 343 (1996), prisoners and detainees have a constitutional right to access the courts, which extends to those subjected to undue restrictions on their legal filings.

3. The fraudulent use of court-stamped documents and USPS-certified mail tracking numbers constitutes criminal fraud and obstruction of justice under **18 U.S.C. § 241** and **§ 242**.

G. Unlawful Arrest and Fabricated Evidence (Fourth Amendment Violation)

1. Plaintiff was arrested on April 9, 2024, and charged with Kidnapping and Assault despite a complete lack of probable cause.

2. The main perpatrator, Jason Mitchell Abdalla (a known confidential informant), was under the influence of drugs at the time and viculously beat the victim Corey Manzer with a metal bike frame.

3. The victim Corey Manzer was under the influence of drugs as well and provided multiple contradictory statements.

4. Detective Spychalski altered Abdalla's statement, placing a diagonal line across the Miranda waiver and coercing Abdalla to "just sign here."

5. The prosecution withheld exculpatory evidence, including a 911 call that contradicted Abdalla's account, in violation of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).

H. Sexual Misconduct and Entrapment by Jason Mitchell Abdalla

1. Abdalla had sexual intercourse with Plaintiff under false pretenses, constituting rape by deception, while working as a confidential informant.

2. He falsely claimed he had been tested for STIs, but after Plaintiff's incarceration, she discovered he had unprotected sex with an individual known to be HIV-positive.

3. Abdalla attempted to entrap Plaintiff into a federal drug crime, urging her to order drugs through the mail, knowing she had a federal drug conviction from 10 years prior.

4. When Plaintiff refused, Abdalla extorted her for money, falsely claiming he was in jail. Plaintiff sent money to the jail, but it was never accounted for.

I. Medical Neglect and Deliberate Indifference (Eighth Amendment Violation)

1. For 16 days in jail, Plaintiff was denied vital medication for both mental and physical health conditions, causing severe withdrawal symptoms, medical distress, and psychological suffering.

2. Captain Keith Achrenight was responsible for jail operations and failed to ensure Plaintiff received necessary medical care.

3. Jail staff deliberately withheld an immediate STD test, instead administering doxycycline, a broad-spectrum antibiotic used to treat gonorrhea and chlamydia.

4. Plaintiff's STD test was delayed until after completing the antibiotics, effectively destroying any evidence of STI transmission.

J. Failure to Protect and Unlawful Security Classification (Eighth Amendment Violation)

1. Plaintiff was improperly classified as a low-security inmate, despite a long-standing high-security designation due to mental health conditions.

2. While in custody, jail staff allowed two inmates to enter Plaintiff's cell off-camera and physically assault her.

3. One assailant was a male in transition who still had male genitalia.

4. Both attackers attempted to provoke Plaintiff into a physical altercation.

5. Jail officials took no action against the attackers, creating an unreasonable risk of harm in violation of the Eighth Amendment.

K. Selective Prosecution and Due Process Violations (Fourteenth Amendment)

1. Abdalla's charges were quietly dismissed, including charges he shared with Plaintiff, while Plaintiff was forced into a coerced plea.

2. Attorney James Melita informed Plaintiff in a court conference room that the DA acknowledged her innocence but still wanted to charge her with something.

3. Plaintiff's parents were in the courtroom and overheard Abdalla's charges being dismissed

---

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT ONE: Unlawful Arrest & Fabricated Evidence (Fourth Amendment Violation)

(Against Detective Spychalski, Lieutenant Nellis, and DA Riley in Their Individual and Official Capacities)

A. Defendants **fabricated evidence, tampered with witness testimony**, and **lacked probable cause** to arrest Plaintiff.

B. The unlawful arrest violated Plaintiff's **Fourth Amendment** rights under *Beck v. Ohio*, 379 U.S. 89 (1964).

COUNT TWO: Ineffective Assistance of Counsel (Sixth Amendment Violation)

(Against Attorneys Davis and Melita in Their Individual Capacities)

A. Plaintiff **received ineffective assistance of counsel**, which led to an **unconstitutional guilty plea**.

B. The ineffective assistance prejudiced Plaintiff's defense in violation of *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668 (1984).

COUNT THREE: Denial of Access to Courts (First and Fourteenth Amendments)

(Against Unknown Parties and Judicial Defendants)

The **theft of Plaintiff's habeas corpus petition** and the **forged court response** constituted **interference with access to the courts**, violating her First and Fourteenth Amendment rights.

COUNT FOUR: Municipal Liability Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983

(Against the City of Gloversville in its Official Capacity)

Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- The City of Gloversville, through its Police Department, had unconstitutional customs, policies, and practices that led to the violations of Plaintiff's Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights.

- The City of Gloversville's failure to train and supervise its officers, including Defendants Detective Spychalski and Lieutenant Nellis, directly resulted in:

- The unlawful arrest of Plaintiff without probable cause.

- The fabrication of witness testimony and suppression of exculpatory evidence.

- The use of excessive bail and unlawful pretrial detention.

- The prosecution of Plaintiff despite the DA acknowledging her innocence.

- The City knew or should have known about the unconstitutional practices of its police force but failed to take corrective action, thereby demonstrating deliberate indifference to the rights of its citizens.

- As a direct and proximate result of the City's unconstitutional policies, customs, and deliberate indifference, Plaintiff suffered wrongful incarceration, reputational harm, emotional distress, financial loss, and psychological trauma.

- The City of Gloversville is liable for damages under 42 U.S.C. § 1983

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

1. Injunctive Relief to Stop Harassment & Cyber Attacks

A. An injunction prohibiting Defendants, law enforcement agencies, and any associated individuals from engaging in further harassment, intimidation, retaliation, or interference with Plaintiff's constitutional rights, including but not limited to:

- Unlawful surveillance, cyber attacks, and electronic tampering.
- Tampering with Plaintiff's legal mail, communications, or court filings.
- Attempts to entrap Plaintiff or interfere with her case using confidential informants.

B. An order compelling an immediate forensic audit and investigation into:

- Cyber attacks against Plaintiff's devices, legal files, and communications.
- Tampering with Plaintiff's phone, internet, and SIM card data.
- Interference with Plaintiff's USPS mail, including legal filings.

---

2. Injunctive Relief to Correct Legal Violations

C. An injunction vacating Plaintiff's plea agreement, as it was unconstitutionally obtained through coercion, ineffective counsel, and prosecutorial misconduct.

D. An injunction staying the enforcement of Plaintiff's probation pending the resolution of this case.

E. A declaratory judgment that Plaintiff's excessive bail was unconstitutional, along with an order directing reimbursement of all improperly imposed financial penalties and liens.

F. An order enjoining further enforcement of Plaintiff's unconstitutional sentence, including any continued supervision under probation.

---

3. Demand for Investigation & Criminal Accountability

G. An order directing an independent federal investigation into:

- The fraudulent court documents and forged judicial signatures in Plaintiff's habeas corpus filing.
- The role of law enforcement in obstructing justice, including their use of confidential informants to entrap Plaintiff.
- The involvement of the Gloversville and Johnstown Police Departments in interfering with Plaintiff's digital and personal privacy.

- Misconduct by prosecutors, public defenders, and private defense attorneys, including coercion of Plaintiff's plea.

H. A court directive to suspend the confidential informant status of individuals involved in fabricating evidence, coercing testimony, and targeting Plaintiff.

---

4. Monetary Compensation for Damages

I. Compensatory and punitive damages against all Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial, for:

- Emotional distress, mental anguish, and reputational harm caused by false criminal charges and coercion.
- Medical damages and harm from being denied treatment and medication while in custody.
- Financial losses from excessive bail, legal fees, destroyed property, and lost opportunities.

J. An award of attorney's fees and litigation costs under 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b).

K. Compensatory and punitive damages for defamation, emotional distress, and reputational harm caused by the slanderous and false newspaper article and radio broadcast that:

- Falsely alleged that Plaintiff stabbed the victim in the face and neck repeatedly, despite the existence of exculpatory evidence proving otherwise that was withheld by law enforcement.

- Was published on the front page of the local newspaper the day before Plaintiff's court appearance, exposing her to public ridicule, humiliation, and prejudice in her legal proceedings.

- Was included in the police blotter section on a separate occasion, ensuring continued reputational damage.

- Contained no disclaimer stating that Plaintiff was "innocent until proven guilty," instead presenting the allegations as established fact, violating basic journalistic and constitutional fairness standards.

- Was written by Gloversville Police Captain Bradley Schaffer, indicating government involvement in the spread of defamatory and prejudicial information.

- Contaminated any potential jury pool from the outset, making it nearly impossible for Plaintiff to receive a fair trial. The inflammatory and unsubstantiated claims were widely circulated, ensuring that any jurors selected for Plaintiff's case would have been exposed to biased and prejudicial information before hearing any actual evidence.

- These false public statements directly impacted Plaintiff's personal relationships, employment prospects, emotional well-being, and ability to receive a fair trial, constituting a violation of her due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment and potential defamation per se under state law.

L. An order compelling an independent federal investigation into the interception and forgery of Plaintiff's legal mail, including:

- Examination of forged certified mail slips and USPS tracking records.

- A forensic audit of Plaintiff's legal filings, court responses, and mail logs to determine who tampered with her documents.

- Referral to the U.S. Postal Inspection Service and DOJ Civil Rights Division for criminal prosecution of those responsible.

5. Additional Relief

M. Issue an injunction requiring the City of Gloversville to reform its police training and disciplinary procedures to prevent further constitutional violations.

N. Award compensatory and punitive damages against the City of Gloversville for its role in Plaintiff's unlawful arrest and prosecution.

O. Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper in the interests of justice.

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: 03/21/2025

Kayla Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut St.

Johnstown, NY 12095

(518)-291-1463

kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

FULTON COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT, and
SHARI ACQUILLA-SIMON, in her individual and official capacities,

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:25-cv-962 (ECC/DJS)

COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO TITLE II OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Kayla Leigh Orsell, by way of complaint against the above-named Defendants, respectfully alleges as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil rights action for declaratory and injunctive relief brought under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12132, and the ADA's anti-retaliation provision, 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a).

2. Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' discriminatory and retaliatory actions committed while administering probationary supervision, in violation of her rights under federal law.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 12133.

4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because all relevant acts and omissions occurred within the Northern District of New York.

III. PARTIES

5. Plaintiff, Kayla Leigh Orsell, is a resident of Fulton County, New York.

6. Defendant City of Gloversville is a municipal corporation located in Fulton County, New York.

7. Defendant Fulton County Probation Department is a public entity within the meaning of the ADA.

8. Defendant Shari Acquilla-Simon is an officer employed by the Fulton County Probation Department and acted at all times under color of state law. She is sued in her individual and official capacities.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

9. Plaintiff has been permanently disabled since the age of 23 due to serious and long-standing mental and emotional health conditions, including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), bipolar disorder, borderline personality disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, and depression. She receives Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and has enclosed benefit verification and documentation confirming her status since 2014.

10. Plaintiff's disability status is also supported by a sworn affidavit of competency and letters from both her primary care physician and a psychiatrist she consulted via Teledoc.

11. Plaintiff is currently on probation under the supervision of the Fulton County Probation Department.

12. Defendant Shari Acquilla-Simon was assigned as Plaintiff's probation officer.

13. Plaintiff made numerous verbal and written requests for reasonable accommodations including continuing her existing online therapy and addiction treatment, which had been medically approved and successful.

14. Defendant Acquilla-Simon denied these accommodations and demanded Plaintiff attend in-person treatment only, despite Plaintiff's lack of personal transportation and the extreme anxiety associated with Medicaid transportation services.

14a. Defendant probation officer's insistence that Plaintiff must obtain employment, in direct contradiction of a binding federal disability determination by the Social Security Administration, constitutes unlawful interference and violates the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution. See Gade v. Nat'l Solid Wastes Mgmt. Ass'n, 505 U.S. 88, 98 (1992).

15. Defendant Acquilla-Simon verbally challenged Plaintiff's disability by stating she needed "to get a job" and "can't just sit around all day and do nothing," causing psychological harm and discouraging Plaintiff from asserting her federally protected rights.

16. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has experienced exacerbation of her symptoms, undue stress, and emotional trauma.

16a. On or about [insert date], Defendant Acquilla-Simon came to Plaintiff's residence early in the morning without prior notice. Due to the sedative effects of a prescribed medication, Thorazine, which Plaintiff takes at night for sleep, she did not hear the officer knocking. Later, the officer stated that if Plaintiff missed another home visit, she would face a probation violation. Plaintiff explained that she sleeps late because her medical conditions often cause pain and anxiety that keep her awake at night. Despite this, the officer made no accommodation or schedule adjustment, and the threat of violation caused Plaintiff significant emotional distress and further aggravated her disability symptoms.

17a. Plaintiff has included several exhibits supporting her claims. These include a screenshot of her Suboxone prescription for verification, demonstrating she was without medication due to an error by an online doctor. Plaintiff also submitted three separate faxed letters to the Fulton County Probation Department, in which she apologized for not pursuing a Section 8 housing placement. She explained that she lives in an affordable family-owned apartment where she has resided most of her life. Plaintiff disclosed that she experiences symptoms of agoraphobia and that being forced to move is emotionally devastating and destabilizing.

17b. The faxed letters also address a voicemail left by Defendant Acquilla-Simon, in which the officer accused Plaintiff of possibly selling, giving away, or abusing her Suboxone medication. This accusation followed Plaintiff's own voicemail to the officer, in which she reported experiencing intense withdrawal symptoms—including vomiting and diarrhea—and stated that she could not attend her appointment. Plaintiff subsequently provided proof that she had been attempting to obtain a replacement prescription all day and included screenshots from the CVS website confirming the prescription's pickup date. The voicemail left by Defendant Acquilla-Simon, is included on a tamper-proof CD as exhibits to this complaint.

17c. Plaintiff was sick due to missing two in-person appointments at St. Mary's outpatient addictions clinic within a month, resulting in the clinic's refusal to issue a bridge prescription. In desperation, Plaintiff obtained care from an online doctor who, on two occasions, failed to timely renew her medication, leaving her ill. These circumstances and the resulting probation threats have compounded Plaintiff's suffering and demonstrate a pattern of ADA violations by the supervising officer.

17. Plaintiff has also filed a habeas corpus petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Case No. 9:24-cv-1389), in which she alleges unlawful deprivation of liberty. This complaint addresses ADA-specific violations not redressed in that case.

18. Plaintiff intends to file one or more separate civil rights actions pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985 based on related constitutional violations committed by these and other actors, including false arrest, unlawful prosecution, and deprivation of due process. These claims are not addressed herein but are part of a broader pattern of misconduct affecting Plaintiff's liberty and civil rights.

V. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I – VIOLATION OF TITLE II OF THE ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12132)

19. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

20. Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability.

21. Defendants are public entities subject to Title II of the ADA.

22. Defendants denied Plaintiff the benefits of a public service and failed to reasonably accommodate her disability.

COUNT II – RETALIATION UNDER ADA (42 U.S.C. § 12203(a))

23. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

24. Plaintiff engaged in protected activity by asserting her rights and requesting accommodations.

25. Defendants retaliated against her by increasing the burden of probation, denying reasonable accommodations, and subjecting her to discriminatory treatment.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Enter declaratory judgment that Defendants' actions violated her rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act;

B. Enter an injunction requiring Defendants to provide reasonable accommodations to Plaintiff, cease discriminatory policies, and prohibit further retaliation;

C. Modify any existing probation terms to ensure compliance with the ADA;

D. Grant leave to supplement the complaint with additional claims as necessary;

E. Award such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: 5/19/25

Johnstown, New York

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

(518) 705-4054

kaylaorsell2@gmail.com

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 30 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL

81½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

Date: July 29, 2025

RE: Chronological Statement of Facts and Exhibits Regarding the Estate of Michael Orsell and Related Property Irregularities

To Whom It May Concern:

I. Overview and Purpose

This statement is submitted to provide a detailed, chronological record of irregularities and suspicious transactions concerning the death of Michael Orsell, related property transfers, and potential concealment of inheritance rights connected to the Orsell/Orzell family. I am including this statement without a notary as a formal declaration to preserve and present factual information for judicial or investigative purposes. Exhibits referenced herein are attached and labeled for ease of verification.

I. Death of Michael Orsell and Suspicious Property Activity

According to a printout from FamilySearch.com based on the Social Security Death Index (Exhibit A), Michael Orsell died on January 9, 1998. Two days prior, on January 7, 1998, Rhonda Callery (formerly Rhonda Orsell) and her new husband James Callery took out a mortgage on the property at 405 West Madison Avenue, Johnstown, NY (Exhibit B). This unusually timed mortgage suggests advance knowledge of Michael's death or sudden access to financial resources.

The Fulton County Image Mate Online system typically provides a "search packet" showing the full chain of title for each parcel. However, for 405 West Madison Ave, that packet is inexplicably missing. The only visible record is the suspicious transfer of ownership two days before Michael's passing, which I have printed and included as Exhibit B.

I was 10 years old in 1998, and I clearly remember that my alleged parents—Tanya Orsell and Victor Orsell Jr.—underwent a sudden and dramatic financial transformation around the time of Michael's death:

- Tanya was gifted a limited-edition Porsche 944, reportedly one of 500 ever made and only distributed to Porsche shareholders.

- She acquired a significant amount of solid gold (18k+) Egyptian jewelry, said to have been purchased by our neighbor Arthur Wilber, who was allegedly given $120 by Victor Jr. during a work trip.

- Tanya and Victor Jr. paid cash for a brand-new Ford Explorer from Brown's Ford in Johnstown.

- Prior to these events, our family only owned older, rusted vehicles and lived modestly.

- Also, prior to these events as well as most of my childhood after this only one parent at a time was working. Victor Jr. worked at fashion tanning leather mill in Gloversville until they went out of business and he claimed to me that he won a disability settlement and monthly check which only recently have I been informed was entirely a lie.

- After Victor Jr left work at the leather mill Tanya Orsell became the primary legal bread winner working at Telecon in Jobnstown and Taylor Made in Gloversville.

- The couple sold drugs and grew large amounts of marijuana all of my childhood and even after, claiming that we would be destitute if they did not do that. They even recruited and

hired me at only 6 or so years old to help them start 100's of cups of dirt with marijuana seeds in the basement of the house I lived in. I was paid with a toy, the first time it was a barbie doll.

- They then told me that I should fear the DARE cops in school because they would take me away from my parents to some terrible scary place where I would be tortured, beaten, and never have another toy or Christmas ever again.

- Victor Jr also made it a point to repeatedly tell me that if the house we lived in caught on fire we were all going to die due to the many boxes of ammunition as well as some small explosives such as quarter sticks of dynamite. Victor Jr said we would all also surely die if the police attempted to enter our residence due to drug activity because he was quote "going out in a blaze of glory" and going to open fire on officers.

II. Evidence Suggesting a Broader Hidden Estate – "Orsell" vs "Orzell"

I have reason to believe that Michael G. Orsell may also have been known as Michael B. Orzell, and that the Orzell family lineage connects to Erie County, NY. Through records found on FamilySearch.com, I identified a Stanley Orzell, listed as a founder of Niagara Mohawk in the

late 1800s (Exhibit K), and a Catherine Orzell, who appears in a substantial number of Erie County land records involving land grants to Niagara Mohawk.

I was always told that Michael had "something to do with the powerlines." Upon reviewing various local land parcels in Johnstown, I discovered that many easements are held or were once held by individuals named Michael and Katherine Orsell. Michael has unclaimed owner NRAD distributions at the comptrollers website right now, over two decades after his death. These details suggest that Michael Orsell may have inherited or retained property rights related to utility easements or land patents, possibly tied to Niagara Mohawk's original ownership structure.

It Is my strong belief that the land on Route 29 in Johnstown—which I referenced earlier and visited as a child—is one of these land patent properties. Many such patents were originally issued to infrastructure companies like Niagara Mohawk and later passed through layered estate structuring to private heirs.

III. Suspicious Deed Layering and Improper Title Transfers

The land patent layering is particularly apparent in the deed for 133 Old State Road, Johnstown, which I have included as Exhibit L. That property was titled with life use only to Leonard Bennett and Michele Bennett, the latter of whom was born Michele Orsell.

Michele Bennett died on November 1, 1988, just two days after my birthday, and Leonard Bennett died in 2024. However, instead of the title reverting to Michael and Katherine Orsell's heirs, the deed was altered. The ownership record was changed to show life use only and now lists the new titleholders as Victoria Nellis et al.

One of the newly added owners is Acquilla, which is the maiden name of my probation officer, Sheri Simons. Additionally, Lucas Nellis, a listed co-owner, is the same Lieutenant of the Gloversville Police Department who signed the false criminal charges against me in 2024 (Exhibit M: copy of charges).

These overlapping personal, legal, and estate connections are troubling and suggest the possibility of concealed transfers and misuse of real property with potential RICO implications.

IV. Rhonda Callery's Estate and Courtroom Obstruction

Rhonda Callery's estate was filed as a small estate, despite records showing she still held title to at least two properties in Johnstown (Exhibit C). Her Last Will and Testament did not include any property addresses, which is unusual. The family tree in her probate proceeding was amended to state that her son Todd, a paraplegic since age 18 or younger, had no children, possibly to minimize the list of potential heirs.

I personally visited the Fulton County Surrogate's Court and asked to use the public terminal to search estate records. The clerk stated the system "might be broken" and did not provide access. I asked about the estate of Rhonda Callery (misspelling her name at first), and the clerk said no estate existed. When I returned minutes later with the correct spelling, she still denied any record. I then pulled up the record on NYS WebSurrogate myself (Exhibit D) and showed it to the clerk.

I requested access to the Notice to Interested Parties but was told, "I'm not giving that to you," with no justification. When I asked who the interested parties were, she replied: Brandie Orsell and James Callery. I then asked why the estate had remained open for so long if Rhonda's will left everything to her husband. She responded, "I don't know." I asked if they had difficulty serving someone; she denied it.

Notably, Rhonda's estate was closed on May 30, 2025, which is the same month I received notice from Social Security stating that my SSI benefits were paused due to a claim that I was in an institution receiving more than 50% of my income. My benefits were reinstated the next

month. I still received what was a partial amount of my actual check which I believe was entirely due to the strange tampering with my Atlas Mastercard bank account that seems to show an overlay of the actual banking app. I will submit evidence surrounding this problem at another time due to the time constraint given for submitting documents that I enclosed along with this packet back to the court.

IV. 178 Spring Street – Life Use and Alleged Misuse of Trust Property

178 Spring Street, Johnstown is currently held with life use rights by Victor Sr., who moved out in 2014 and relocated to Chestnut Street, to a house owned by Victor Jr., which he purchased at auction during my incarceration (Exhibit H: Chestnut Street deed).

Despite Victor Sr.'s move, Victor Jr. collects rent from both the upstairs and downstairs units at 178 Spring Street (reportedly $650 per unit) and pays all maintenance costs, in violation of the life use terms (Exhibit I: deed to 178 Spring Street, showing no remainderman and Shirley Orsell's obituary inserted into the filing packet).

Notably, Victor Jr. requested a copy of my short-form birth certificate in the same year he bought the Chestnut St. house (Exhibit J: birth certificate requested). His motive for doing so remains unknown but is concerning given the surrounding events.

When I asked the local property assessor about the deed, she refused to provide a full copy and stated she didn't know who the remainderman was but "believed it was Victor Jr." If this were true, there would be no reason to conceal Victor Sr.'s non-occupancy, which should have terminated his life estate rights under New York law. Her dismissive tone and refusal to assist left me overwhelmed and anxious, and I left without further discussion.

V. Family Court Filing Refusal and Related Injustice

Separately, I attempted to file a petition to unseal adoption records along with an emergency request for a temporary order of protection at the Fulton County Family Court. After I handed the packet to the clerk at the window, she took it to another room for ten minutes. When she returned with a second clerk (tall, blonde), they told me they would not file my petition because no adoption record appeared in their system under my name. The clerk never informed me that several of my documents were missing signatures, which I have since discovered myself.

I stated that my adoption likely occurred in Fulton County, where I've lived my entire life. The clerk asked, "Are you sure?" and I said there was also a chance it took place in Buffalo, where I believe I was born. She said she "didn't want to waste my paperwork" and gave it back to me. Caderon Tyrrell accompanied me that day and can attest to the interaction.

VI. Conclusion and Request for Consideration

All of the above facts support a reasonable concern that property records, estate filings, and legal interests connected to Michael Orsell's death have been manipulated or concealed. I submit these materials not only for purposes of judicial review but also to preserve this timeline for any future court or agency that may have jurisdiction to investigate or intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

_____

Kayla Leigh Orsell

Pro Se Litigant and Interested Party

Exhibits List

Exhibit A – Social Security Death Index printout for Michael Orsell (FamilySearch.com)

Exhibit B – Mortgage and title record for 405 W. Madison Ave, dated Jan. 7, 1998

VI. Conclusion and Request for Consideration

All of the above facts support a reasonable concern that property records, estate filings, and legal interests connected to Michael Orsell's death have been manipulated or concealed. I submit these materials not only for purposes of judicial review but also to preserve this timeline for any future court or agency that may have jurisdiction to investigate or intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell

Pro Se Litigant and Interested Party

Exhibits List

Exhibit A – Social Security Death Index printout for Michael Orsell (FamilySearch.com)

Exhibit B – Mortgage and title record for 405 W. Madison Ave, dated Jan. 7, 1998

Exhibit C – Records showing Rhonda Callery still listed on Johnstown properties

Exhibit D – NYS WebSurrogate printout for Rhonda Callery's estate

Exhibit E – SSA letter pausing SSI benefits (dated May 30, 2025)

Exhibit F – Family photograph at Route 29 farmhouse with Michael Orsell and Victor Sr.

Exhibit G – Newspaper article showing Victor Orsell Jr.'s past arson charge

Exhibit H – Deed for Chestnut Street home purchased by Victor Jr. during user's incarceration

Exhibit I – Deed for 178 Spring Street showing life use and inserted obituary for Shirley Orsell

Exhibit J – Victor Jr.'s requested birth certificate of Kayla Orsell's while she was incarcerated in 2014 on federal charges. See USA v. Orsell

Exhibit K – Some (Not all) FamilySearch records for Micheal, Stanley, and Catherine Orzell (Niagara Mohawk)

Exhibit L – Deed for 133 Old State Road showing life use transfer to Victoria Nellis et al

Exhibit M – False charges signed by Lt. Lucas Nellis of Gloversville PD

Exhibit N – Email Correspondence with the NDNY court clerk as well as the pro se assistance program which appears like it was being intercepted and no actual contact was made with either the court or pro se assistance program.

Exhibit O – Emails to myheritage.com concerning the tampered DNA file. No reply was ever recieved

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 30 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

Kayla Leigh Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

Date: 07/29/2025

Clerk of Court

U.S. District Court

Northern District of New York

445 Broadway

STE 509

Albany, NY 12207

RE: Clarification Regarding USB Exhibit Filing and Request for Judicial Notice

Dear Clerk and Honorable Court:

I am writing as the pro se petitioner and plaintiff in several newly docketed cases to respectfully clarify and express my gratitude regarding the recent processing of evidence I submitted to the Court in paper form and on a USB drive.

Due to urgent safety concerns, ongoing surveillance, and confirmed mail interference (as acknowledged by the U.S. Postal Inspection Service), I was forced to submit a bundled set of filings—including my Rule 60(b) motion, evidence of mail fraud, and exhibits from a related family court matter—in a manner that may not have been clearly separated or formally labeled.

Despite this, the Court appears to have sorted and docketed portions of the USB across two dockets: the habeas corpus matter, a 1983 claim (which I believe falls under section 1985 as well) Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al. 1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE, an ADA Complaint, and a civil rights/adoption-related case (Orsell v. Orsell et al, 1:25-cv-00961). I am sincerely grateful for the Court's care and attention in organizing my filings under such unusual and pressured circumstances.

I respectfully clarify that the USB contained:

- A substantial portion of my original § 2241 habeas corpus petition, which was never fully docketed or reviewed;

- Images of my daily driver vehicle that my father Victor Orsell Jr. had assumed the title of by way of fraud and theft, a 2010 VW Jetta, and the parked car that Caderon Tyrrell hit while I was in the process of getting ready to file Habeas Corpus 2241 with the District Court. This was something my family was deeply against me doing and hired attorney

James Melita in an attempt to stop me from filing. Mr. Melita told me that filing would only make things much worse for me. I already had been dealing with known mail fraud, as referenced in the petition. I had made it known to my family, whom at the time I thought I could trust, that I was filing in person due to absolute necessity. The circumstances of the accident Caderon had with my vehicle are strange and I will be sending more evidence in support of that claim ASAP.

- Certified Mail Slips and other scans of postage that were sent to or from the Northern District of New York Court. The slips that were supposed to be from the court were fraudulent (as confirmed by the US Postal Inspector).

- FOIA Requests that I have sent but never received any reply to.

- My raw DNA file downloaded from MyHeritage.com, which appeared to show an identical twin and over 3,000 Y chromosomes, indicating the presence of male DNA. I believe this DNA may belong to my stepbrother, John Valik, who is a postal worker and may be involved in the mail fraud currently under investigation.

Additionally, I would like to sincerely apologize for submitting unsigned documents in the original filing packet I attempted to file with the Fulton County Family Court. A female clerk who was behind the desk at the family court at the family court took the filing packet into a

different room for approximately 10 minutes and then returned with another woman —whom I believe to have been a clerk— informing me that they would not file the documents. She stated that my name was not associated with any adoption record in the Fulton County database. She made no mention of the missing signatures on the enclosed documents, which now seems notable in hindsight. I regret my oversight and assure the Court it was unintentional and due to the hurried and distressing circumstances I faced.

Further, I would like to ask whether it is possible for me to attempt to e-file future documents. The cyber attack I've been suffering from for over a year now appears to have temporarily lessened, and I would be extremely grateful for the opportunity to use electronic filing—if I can be given a reliable way to confirm that my submissions are actually received and docketed. This is especially important because I have a large volume of exhibits to submit, and mailing them all will take significant time, cost, and risk.

At this time, I am unable to enter the federal courthouse in person, as I lost my driver's license. Unfortunately, the replacement license I received from the DMV is not REAL ID-compliant and is not accepted for federal building access.

To ensure proper communication, please also update my email address on file to kaylaorsell8@gmail.com, as I have lost access to kaylaorsell2@gmail.com due to account compromise.

Lastly, I want to provide a brief but important note: I did reach a real postal inspector once via a Straight Talk home phone before my line was attacked and nearly disconnected by what I believe was a radio jammer or my compromised PC turned server that was using a HackRF SDR (as shown in Exhibits C and D of Orsell v. Orsell et al. (1:25-cv-00961). I quickly picked the phone back up and was relieved to find the inspector still on the line. She confirmed that the certified mail slips I found on what turned out to be a fraudulent court website were indeed falsified. My father, Victor Orsell Jr., told me that his wife Lynn did not sign those certified slips herself and that someone at the post office "pencil-whipped" her name—saying, "That isn't even her handwriting; she's left-handed."

I am deeply worried that I may again be the victim of document interception or fraud and wanted to share this concern openly with the Court. I remain committed to doing everything within my power to follow proper procedure moving forward. I am extremely thankful that my filings have been accepted and docketed so far under these difficult circumstances, and I respectfully ask the Court for continued understanding and support as I work to complete my submissions.

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 30 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

AO 240 (Rev. 07/10) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Short Form)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of New York

Kayla Orsell
Plaintiff/Petitioner
v.
City of Gloversville et al.
Defendant/Respondent

Civil Action No. 1:25-cv-963 (AMN/PJE)

APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS (Short Form)

I am a plaintiff or petitioner in this case and declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief requested.

In support of this application, I answer the following questions under penalty of perjury:

1. *If incarcerated.* I am being held at: _____. If employed there, or have an account in the institution, I have attached to this document a statement certified by the appropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expenditures, and balances during the last six months for any institutional account in my name. I am also submitting a similar statement from any other institution where I was incarcerated during the last six months.

2. *If not incarcerated.* If I am employed, my employer's name and address are:
Social Security Disabilty
13 N Arlington Ave.
Gloversville, NY 12078

My gross pay or wages are: $ 1,054.00, and my take-home pay or wages are: $ 1,054.00 per *(specify pay period)* _____.

3. *Other Income.* In the past 12 months, I have received income from the following sources *(check all that apply)*:

(a) Business, profession, or other self-employment	☐ Yes	☑ No
(b) Rent payments, interest, or dividends	☐ Yes	☑ No
(c) Pension, annuity, or life insurance payments	☐ Yes	☑ No
(d) Disability, or worker's compensation payments	☑ Yes	☐ No
(e) Gifts, or inheritances	☐ Yes	☑ No
(f) Any other sources	☑ Yes	☐ No

If you answered "Yes" to any question above, describe below or on separate pages each source of money and state the amount that you received and what you expect to receive in the future.
NYS SNAP $297 for food benefits

4. Amount of money that I have in cash or in a checking or savings account: $ 200.00 .

5. Any automobile, real estate, stock, bond, security, trust, jewelry, art work, or other financial instrument or thing of value that I own, including any item of value held in someone else's name *(describe the property and its approximate value)*:
$0

6. Any housing, transportation, utilities, or loan payments, or other regular monthly expenses *(describe and provide the amount of the monthly expense)*:
$600 for Rent and between $80-$120 for electricity and water in the summer. I get HEAP in the winter.

7. Names (or, if under 18, initials only) of all persons who are dependent on me for support, my relationship with each person, and how much I contribute to their support:

8. Any debts or financial obligations *(describe the amounts owed and to whom they are payable)*:
$300 credit card

Declaration: I declare under penalty of perjury that the above information is true and understand that a false statement may result in a dismissal of my claims.

Date: 07/28/2025

Kayla Orsell
Applicant's signature

Kayla Orsell
Printed name

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 30 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL

81½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

Date: July 29, 2025

RE: Chronological Statement of Facts and Exhibits Regarding the Estate of Michael Orsell and Related Property Irregularities

To Whom It May Concern:

I. Overview and Purpose

This statement is submitted to provide a detailed, chronological record of irregularities and suspicious transactions concerning the death of Michael Orsell, related property transfers, and potential concealment of inheritance rights connected to the Orsell/Orzell family. I am including this statement without a notary as a formal declaration to preserve and present factual information for judicial or investigative purposes. Exhibits referenced herein are attached and labeled for ease of verification.

I. Death of Michael Orsell and Suspicious Property Activity

According to a printout from FamilySearch.com based on the Social Security Death Index (Exhibit A), Michael Orsell died on January 9, 1998. Two days prior, on January 7, 1998, Rhonda Callery (formerly Rhonda Orsell) and her new husband James Callery took out a mortgage on the property at 405 West Madison Avenue, Johnstown, NY (Exhibit B). This unusually timed mortgage suggests advance knowledge of Michael's death or sudden access to financial resources.

The Fulton County Image Mate Online system typically provides a "search packet" showing the full chain of title for each parcel. However, for 405 West Madison Ave, that packet is inexplicably missing. The only visible record is the suspicious transfer of ownership two days before Michael's passing, which I have printed and included as Exhibit B.

I was 10 years old in 1998, and I clearly remember that my alleged parents—Tanya Orsell and Victor Orsell Jr.—underwent a sudden and dramatic financial transformation around the time of Michael's death:

- Tanya was gifted a limited-edition Porsche 944, reportedly one of 500 ever made and only distributed to Porsche shareholders.

- She acquired a significant amount of solid gold (18k+) Egyptian jewelry, said to have been purchased by our neighbor Arthur Wilber, who was allegedly given $120 by Victor Jr. during a work trip.

- Tanya and Victor Jr. paid cash for a brand-new Ford Explorer from Brown's Ford in Johnstown.

- Prior to these events, our family only owned older, rusted vehicles and lived modestly.

- Also, prior to these events as well as most of my childhood after this only one parent at a time was working. Victor Jr. worked at fashion tanning leather mill in Gloversville until they went out of business and he claimed to me that he won a disability settlement and monthly check which only recently have I been informed was entirely a lie.

- After Victor Jr left work at the leather mill Tanya Orsell became the primary legal bread winner working at Telecon in Jobnstown and Taylor Made in Gloversville.

- The couple sold drugs and grew large amounts of marijuana all of my childhood and even after, claiming that we would be destitute if they did not do that. They even recruited and

hired me at only 6 or so years old to help them start 100's of cups of dirt with marijuana seeds in the basement of the house I lived in. I was paid with a toy, the first time it was a barbie doll.

- They then told me that I should fear the DARE cops in school because they would take me away from my parents to some terrible scary place where I would be tortured, beaten, and never have another toy or Christmas ever again.

- Victor Jr also made it a point to repeatedly tell me that if the house we lived in caught on fire we were all going to die due to the many boxes of ammunition as well as some small explosives such as quarter sticks of dynamite. Victor Jr said we would all also surely die if the police attempted to enter our residence due to drug activity because he was quote "going out in a blaze of glory" and going to open fire on officers.

II. Evidence Suggesting a Broader Hidden Estate – "Orsell" vs "Orzell"

I have reason to believe that Michael G. Orsell may also have been known as Michael B. Orzell, and that the Orzell family lineage connects to Erie County, NY. Through records found on FamilySearch.com, I identified a Stanley Orzell, listed as a founder of Niagara Mohawk in the

late 1800s (Exhibit K), and a Catherine Orzell, who appears in a substantial number of Erie County land records involving land grants to Niagara Mohawk.

I was always told that Michael had "something to do with the powerlines." Upon reviewing various local land parcels in Johnstown, I discovered that many easements are held or were once held by individuals named Michael and Katherine Orsell. Michael has unclaimed owner NRAD distributions at the comptrollers website right now, over two decades after his death. These details suggest that Michael Orsell may have inherited or retained property rights related to utility easements or land patents, possibly tied to Niagara Mohawk's original ownership structure.

It Is my strong belief that the land on Route 29 in Johnstown—which I referenced earlier and visited as a child—is one of these land patent properties. Many such patents were originally issued to infrastructure companies like Niagara Mohawk and later passed through layered estate structuring to private heirs.

III. Suspicious Deed Layering and Improper Title Transfers

The land patent layering is particularly apparent in the deed for 133 Old State Road, Johnstown, which I have included as Exhibit L. That property was titled with life use only to Leonard Bennett and Michele Bennett, the latter of whom was born Michele Orsell.

Michele Bennett died on November 1, 1988, just two days after my birthday, and Leonard Bennett died in 2024. However, instead of the title reverting to Michael and Katherine Orsell's heirs, the deed was altered. The ownership record was changed to show life use only and now lists the new titleholders as Victoria Nellis et al.

One of the newly added owners is Acquilla, which is the maiden name of my probation officer, Sheri Simons. Additionally, Lucas Nellis, a listed co-owner, is the same Lieutenant of the Gloversville Police Department who signed the false criminal charges against me in 2024 (Exhibit M: copy of charges).

These overlapping personal, legal, and estate connections are troubling and suggest the possibility of concealed transfers and misuse of real property with potential RICO implications.

IV. Rhonda Callery's Estate and Courtroom Obstruction

Rhonda Callery's estate was filed as a small estate, despite records showing she still held title to at least two properties in Johnstown (Exhibit C). Her Last Will and Testament did not include any property addresses, which is unusual. The family tree in her probate proceeding was amended to state that her son Todd, a paraplegic since age 18 or younger, had no children, possibly to minimize the list of potential heirs.

I personally visited the Fulton County Surrogate's Court and asked to use the public terminal to search estate records. The clerk stated the system "might be broken" and did not provide access. I asked about the estate of Rhonda Callery (misspelling her name at first), and the clerk said no estate existed. When I returned minutes later with the correct spelling, she still denied any record. I then pulled up the record on NYS WebSurrogate myself (Exhibit D) and showed it to the clerk.

I requested access to the Notice to Interested Parties but was told, "I'm not giving that to you," with no justification. When I asked who the interested parties were, she replied: Brandie Orsell and James Callery. I then asked why the estate had remained open for so long if Rhonda's will left everything to her husband. She responded, "I don't know." I asked if they had difficulty serving someone; she denied it.

Notably, Rhonda's estate was closed on May 30, 2025, which is the same month I received notice from Social Security stating that my SSI benefits were paused due to a claim that I was in an institution receiving more than 50% of my income. My benefits were reinstated the next

month. I still received what was a partial amount of my actual check which I believe was entirely due to the strange tampering with my Atlas Mastercard bank account that seems to show an overlay of the actual banking app. I will submit evidence surrounding this problem at another time due to the time constraint given for submitting documents that I enclosed along with this packet back to the court.

IV. 178 Spring Street – Life Use and Alleged Misuse of Trust Property

178 Spring Street, Johnstown is currently held with life use rights by Victor Sr., who moved out in 2014 and relocated to Chestnut Street, to a house owned by Victor Jr., which he purchased at auction during my incarceration (Exhibit H: Chestnut Street deed).

Despite Victor Sr.'s move, Victor Jr. collects rent from both the upstairs and downstairs units at 178 Spring Street (reportedly $650 per unit) and pays all maintenance costs, in violation of the life use terms (Exhibit I: deed to 178 Spring Street, showing no remainderman and Shirley Orsell's obituary inserted into the filing packet).

Notably, Victor Jr. requested a copy of my short-form birth certificate in the same year he bought the Chestnut St. house (Exhibit J: birth certificate requested). His motive for doing so remains unknown but is concerning given the surrounding events.

When I asked the local property assessor about the deed, she refused to provide a full copy and stated she didn't know who the remainderman was but "believed it was Victor Jr." If this were true, there would be no reason to conceal Victor Sr.'s non-occupancy, which should have terminated his life estate rights under New York law. Her dismissive tone and refusal to assist left me overwhelmed and anxious, and I left without further discussion.

V. Family Court Filing Refusal and Related Injustice

Separately, I attempted to file a petition to unseal adoption records along with an emergency request for a temporary order of protection at the Fulton County Family Court. After I handed the packet to the clerk at the window, she took it to another room for ten minutes. When she returned with a second clerk (tall, blonde), they told me they would not file my petition because no adoption record appeared in their system under my name. The clerk never informed me that several of my documents were missing signatures, which I have since discovered myself.

I stated that my adoption likely occurred in Fulton County, where I've lived my entire life. The clerk asked, "Are you sure?" and I said there was also a chance it took place in Buffalo, where I believe I was born. She said she "didn't want to waste my paperwork" and gave it back to me. Caderon Tyrrell accompanied me that day and can attest to the interaction.

VI. Conclusion and Request for Consideration

All of the above facts support a reasonable concern that property records, estate filings, and legal interests connected to Michael Orsell's death have been manipulated or concealed. I submit these materials not only for purposes of judicial review but also to preserve this timeline for any future court or agency that may have jurisdiction to investigate or intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

_____

Kayla Leigh Orsell

Pro Se Litigant and Interested Party

Exhibits List

Exhibit A – Social Security Death Index printout for Michael Orsell (FamilySearch.com)

Exhibit B – Mortgage and title record for 405 W. Madison Ave, dated Jan. 7, 1998

VI. Conclusion and Request for Consideration

All of the above facts support a reasonable concern that property records, estate filings, and legal interests connected to Michael Orsell's death have been manipulated or concealed. I submit these materials not only for purposes of judicial review but also to preserve this timeline for any future court or agency that may have jurisdiction to investigate or intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell

Pro Se Litigant and Interested Party

Exhibits List

Exhibit A – Social Security Death Index printout for Michael Orsell (FamilySearch.com)

Exhibit B – Mortgage and title record for 405 W. Madison Ave, dated Jan. 7, 1998

Exhibit C – Records showing Rhonda Callery still listed on Johnstown properties

Exhibit D – NYS WebSurrogate printout for Rhonda Callery's estate

Exhibit E – SSA letter pausing SSI benefits (dated May 30, 2025)

Exhibit F – Family photograph at Route 29 farmhouse with Michael Orsell and Victor Sr.

Exhibit G – Newspaper article showing Victor Orsell Jr.'s past arson charge

Exhibit H – Deed for Chestnut Street home purchased by Victor Jr. during user's incarceration

Exhibit I – Deed for 178 Spring Street showing life use and inserted obituary for Shirley Orsell

Exhibit J – Victor Jr.'s requested birth certificate of Kayla Orsell's while she was incarcerated in 2014 on federal charges. See USA v. Orsell

Exhibit K – Some (Not all) FamilySearch records for Micheal, Stanley, and Catherine Orzell (Niagara Mohawk)

Exhibit L – Deed for 133 Old State Road showing life use transfer to Victoria Nellis et al

Exhibit M – False charges signed by Lt. Lucas Nellis of Gloversville PD

Exhibit N – Email Correspondence with the NDNY court clerk as well as the pro se assistance program which appears like it was being intercepted and no actual contact was made with either the court or pro se assistance program.

Exhibit O – Emails to myheritage.com concerning the tampered DNA file. No reply was ever recieved

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 30 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

IMPORTANT REMINDERS

- When you submit papers to the Court, you must also serve a copy on every party in the action. Local Rule ("L.R.") 5.1(a).
- You must immediately notify the Court of any change of address. L.R. 10.1(c)(2). Your failure to notify the Court of a change of address may result in the involuntary dismissal of your case for failure to prosecute. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); L.R. 41.2(b).
- Unless the Court specifically directs otherwise, you should not file discovery materials (for example, interrogatories and document requests) with the Court except as necessary to support a motion. L.R. 26.2.
- If your opponent files a motion and you fail to oppose it, and the moving party has met its burden, the Court may consider your failure to oppose the motion as your consent to the relief requested in that motion. L.R. 7.1(a)(3).
- If your opponent files a motion for summary judgment, it shall contain a Statement of Material Facts. Among other things, you must respond to this Statement of Material Facts by admitting and/or denying each fact asserted therein supported with a record citation. If you do not so respond, the Court will deem that you have admitted your opponent's Statement of Material Facts, which could result in the Court viewing the facts very favorably to the opposing party. L.R. 7.1(b)(3), L.R. 56.1.
- Personal Privacy Protection: It is the obligation of parties to redact, or file under seal, documents which include social security numbers, names of minor children, names of victims, dates of birth, financial account numbers, home addresses, driver's license numbers, medical records, employment history, and individual financial information. L.R. 5.2.

ENCLOSURES

- Copy of the Local Rules of Practice for the Northern District of New York
- Copy of the Pro Se Handbook for the Northern District of New York
- Forms are available on our website at www.nynd.uscourts.gov

Thank you.

Acknowledgement of Receipt: Party Signature

07/28/2025
Date

Deputy Clerk

If not handed out at Public Counter – date this Notice was mailed: 7/22/2025.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

VICTOR ORSELL JR., ARVA LYNN CARTER – ORSELL, TANYA NOEL ORSELL, BRETT PRESTON, JUDGE CHAD BROWN, ST. MARY'S HEALTHCARE, FULTON COUNTY FAMILY COURT CLERKS (Jane Does), LUCAS NELLIS, SHERI ACQUILLA-SIMON, JAMES MELITA, USPS AGENTS (John Does), and other unidentified individuals acting in concert,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-961 (MAD/TWD)

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, DECLARATORY RELIEF, AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Title II

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil action brought by Kayla Leigh Orsell, a disabled pro se litigant, to redress systemic and targeted violations of her constitutional rights, including:

- Fraudulent adoption and concealment of identity;
- Denial of access to courts;
- Deliberate interference with legal mail and habeas filings;
- Cyber harassment and technological obstruction;
- Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1985(2) and (3);
- ADA discrimination under Title II;
- Failure to protect from known domestic and community-based threats.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and 12132 (ADA).

3. Venue lies in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as all events occurred in Fulton County and surrounding areas in New York State, within the Northern District.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Kayla Leigh Orsell is a U.S. citizen residing at 81 ½ Chestnut Street, Johnstown, NY 12095. She is permanently disabled and a recipient of SSI.

5. Defendant Victor Orsell Jr. is Plaintiff's adoptive father, residing in close proximity to her. He has engaged in decades-long coercion, physical and emotional abuse, surveillance, and mail fraud.

6. Defendant Arva Lynn Carter -Orsell is Plantiff's adoptive father's wife. She resides in close proximity to her with her father, Victor Jr. She has engaged in coercion, surveillance, and mail fraud.

7. Defendant Tanya Noel Orsell is Plaintiff's adoptive mother. Together with Victor, she participated in the concealment of Plaintiff's adoption, trust assets, and identity.

8. Defendant Brett Preston, former Guardian ad Litem, failed to advocate or inform Plaintiff of legal proceedings during her minority.

9. Judge Chad Brown, Fulton County Surrogate, is named in his individual and official capacity for failure to unseal Plaintiff's records and supervise a fraudulent trust administration.

10. Family Court Clerk(s) – Jane Does, refused to accept Plaintiff's petitions and obstructed emergency filings, violating her right to access legal remedies.

11. Sheri Acquilla-Simon, Plaintiff's probation officer, is related to Plaintiff's adoptive family and has violated Plaintiff's ADA rights and due process.

12. James Melita and James Tyner, attorneys hired by Victor Orsell Jr., participated in coercing Plaintiff into plea agreements and obstructing post-conviction review.

13. Lucas Nellis, a law enforcement officer, acted with knowledge of Plaintiff's identity being concealed and participated in unlawful arrest and use of force.

14. St. Mary's Healthcare and Dr. Laurie Schriner prescribed unauthorized medications and refused to release medical records, violating Plaintiff's right to access medical care and causing long-term harm.

15. USPS Agents – John Does, knowingly allowed certified mail to be intercepted, forged, or lost — specifically involving habeas filings and state motions.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Fraudulent Adoption and Identity Suppression

16. Plaintiff's adoption was concealed for over 30 years. Her adoptive parents falsely claimed marital status, withheld DNA results, and suppressed her connection to biological father Michael G. Orsell, a landowner with trust assets.

17. Plaintiff discovered unclaimed estate properties in Michael Orsell's and Katherine Orsell's names, yet no heirship or probate notifications were ever provided to her.

18. Despite multiple filings, Family Court and Surrogate's Court have refused to unseal adoption, guardianship, and probate records.

B. Abuse, Retaliation, and Fraud

19. From childhood, Victor Orsell Jr. forced Plaintiff to sell drugs, isolated her in an illegal garage apartment, and denied her food unless she complied.

20. Victor has forged Plaintiff's signature on vehicle titles, withheld insurance payouts, and disabled her vehicles to prevent her from escaping.

21. Victor installed an EMF-emitting server in her apartment, which caused vomiting, panic, and the death of her disabled parrot. He ignored Plaintiff's physical health warnings and refused to return property.

22. After being released from jail on fabricated charges, Plaintiff returned to find her home destroyed, SSI check stolen, and feces in her bed.

C. Cyber and Mail Interference

23. Plaintiff attempted to file a § 2241 habeas petition, a CPL § 440.10 motion, and a Family Court emergency petition — all were intercepted, ignored, or fraudulently mishandled.

24. She obtained evidence of forged USPS mail slips, and her submissions never reached their intended destinations. Her access to email, mobile, and VOIP communications remains disrupted.

25. Phone calls to St. Mary's Medical Records Department (518-844-7111) were blocked, and providers refused to send records unless to another doctor — which Plaintiff cannot secure.

D. Community-Based Threats and Firearm Danger

26. Victor owns an arsenal of firearms, and Plaintiff fears arson or physical retaliation if she initiates any legal process.

27. Her adoptive grandfather and uncle, who live nearby, have previously verbally abused her and are likely to retaliate if weapons are removed or court action proceeds.

E. Denial of Protective Relief

28. Plaintiff submitted a Family Offense Petition and Proposed Order of Protection — which was refused by the Fulton county Family Court clerk without cause.

29. Plaintiff remains trapped in the garage apartment above Victor's residence, unable to safely relocate due to poverty and the presence of a companion bird.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

Count I – Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Denial of Access to Courts

30. Defendants obstructed Plaintiff's access to legal remedies, denied her the right to file, and participated in fraudulent concealment of her legal identity.

Count II – Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Failure to Protect

31. State actors knew of Plaintiff's safety risks and failed to take action, despite evidence of coercion, firearms, abuse, and cyber threats.

Count III – Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – ADA Discrimination (Title II)

32. Plaintiff is disabled and entitled to reasonable accommodation. Defendants denied her medical care, blocked ADA-protected housing rights, and retaliated for disability-based complaints.

Count IV – Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985 – Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights

33. Multiple actors worked together to prevent Plaintiff from testifying, obtaining records, or asserting her legal rights, constituting a violation of § 1985(2) and (3).

Count V – Fraud on the Court and Due Process Violations

34. Defendants participated in or facilitated fraudulent filings and coercive legal actions, including plea coercion, mail forgery, and suppression of probate notices.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Accept this Complaint for filing;

B. Appoint counsel under 28 U.S.C. § 1915€(1);

C. Issue a preliminary injunction preventing further surveillance, mail tampering, and abuse;

D. Order unsealing of all adoption, guardianship, and probate records;

D. Award compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined;

E. Grant declaratory relief as to Plaintiff's constitutional and statutory rights;

F. Grant all other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

518-705-4054

Dated: 7/15/25

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 30 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

Affidavit

SDC

Filing in support

Of all current cases

(please docket now and I will do my best to explain how everything ties together)

Case 1:25-cv-00961-MAD-TWD Document 8-16 Filed 07/30/25 Page 1 of 1

FILED

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.

Jul 30 - 2025

John M. Domurad, Clerk

Metadata Report — 1-25-cv-00962-ECC-DJS

Generated on 2025-09-14 01:12 UTC

Cover Summary

Total files analyzed: 15 | PDFs: 15 | iText PDFs: 15 | pdfmake PDFs: 0 | Missing producer/creator: 0 | Files with flags: 8.

Plain-English Findings

• All PDFs appear to be iText-generated (consistent with CM-ECF/PACER output).
• Some files have anomalies noted in the Flags column.
• If a docket entry expected to be court-generated is not iText-based, that discrepancy should be explained.

	Type	Created	Modified	Pages/Dim	Creator/Producer	SHA-256 (first 12)
	PDF	2025-07-21 09:32:41	2025-08-02 20:29:12	2	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	616a579bc95f...
	PDF	2025-07-21 16:38:47	2025-08-02 20:29:14	3	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	47e7e0a99441...
	PDF	2025-07-21 16:34:28	2025-08-02 20:29:14	24	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	2f55a17cb331...
	PDF	2025-07-23 10:21:15	2025-08-02 20:29:15	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	ffa6d2b3023c...
	PDF	2025-07-21 09:33:05	2025-08-02 20:29:12	14	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	96985830ddc2...
	PDF	2025-07-21 09:31:18	2025-08-02 20:29:12	1	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	da3e9c8a552e...
	PDF	2025-07-21 09:28:49	2025-08-02 20:29:12	1	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	a3bf36fbfaaf...
	PDF	2025-07-21 10:08:40	2025-08-02 20:29:13	5	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	cbf3f37484d9...
	PDF	2025-07-21 10:08:57	2025-08-02 20:29:13	2	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	cea8b5e55ddd...
	PDF	2025-07-21 10:09:13	2025-08-02 20:29:13	5	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	9b766a8c9020...
	PDF	2025-07-21 16:34:06	2025-08-02 20:29:14	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	929760f35733...
	PDF	2025-07-21 16:32:09	2025-08-02 20:29:14	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	37b8a15936fe...
	PDF	2025-07-21 16:33:29	2025-08-02 20:29:11	7	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	1289ae07f617...
	PDF	2025-08-05 14:18:53	2025-08-07 20:20:44	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	d23815ce2341...

	Type	Created	Modified	Pages/Dim	Creator/Producer	SHA-256 (first 12)	
	PDF	2025-08-05 14:26:38	2025-08-07 20:20:44	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	5424442537c8...	

Note: Full SHA-256 values are available; only the first 12 characters are shown here for readability.

Metadata Report — 1-25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE

Generated on 2025-09-14 01:12 UTC

Cover Summary

Total files analyzed: 36 | PDFs: 36 | iText PDFs: 36 | pdfmake PDFs: 0 | Missing producer/creator: 0 | Files with flags: 35.

Plain-English Findings

• All PDFs appear to be iText-generated (consistent with CM-ECF/PACER output).
• Some files have anomalies noted in the Flags column.
• If a docket entry expected to be court-generated is not iText-based, that discrepancy should be explained.

	Type	Created	Modified	Pages/Dim	Creator/Producer	SHA-256 (first 12)
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:26:31	2025-08-07 20:14:59	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	1787112a2551...
	PDF	2025-08-05 15:57:25	2025-08-07 20:15:03	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	b1a5d941cd95...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:30:10	2025-08-07 20:15:00	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	d76143acda0e...
	PDF	2025-08-05 13:47:17	2025-08-07 20:15:00	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	72dc19b7c5c2...
	PDF	2025-08-05 13:49:22	2025-08-07 20:15:00	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	1d3f43a08152...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:24:58	2025-08-07 20:15:01	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	c5ab7dfdc6f6...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:25:36	2025-08-07 20:15:01	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	3aca9df1541b...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:31:45	2025-08-07 20:15:01	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	a6744c34d7ba...
	PDF	2025-08-05 13:45:59	2025-08-07 20:15:02	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	93b13eab8c24...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:32:24	2025-08-07 20:15:02	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	35121aed5f52...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:22:48	2025-08-07 20:14:59	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	48a56f50b298...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:13:55	2025-08-07 20:04:21	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	f941435889bc...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:30:02	2025-08-07 20:04:23	11	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	6edac19b2242...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:13:06	2025-08-07 20:04:24	23	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	b05e276a2e21...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:13:25	2025-08-07 20:04:24	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	3a8702e32868...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:13:42	2025-08-07 20:04:25	4	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	4022c500a9ef...
	PDF	2025-08-05 13:43:50	2025-08-07 20:04:25	4	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	a31a58029f61...
	PDF	2025-08-05 14:09:01	2025-08-07 20:04:25	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	d10d5a4882a8...
	PDF	2025-08-06 08:14:40	2025-08-07 20:04:26	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	ef3cc3289c52...

	Type	Created	Modified	Pages/Dim	Creator/Producer	SHA-256 (first 12)
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:15:20	2025-08-07 20:04:21	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	ac6edd6e27f1...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:16:17	2025-08-07 20:04:21	9	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	3f770930a7de...
	PDF	2025-08-05 09:52:17	2025-08-07 20:04:22	1	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	f5d370fd62ad...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:20:57	2025-08-07 20:04:22	8	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	8b0478e0c74d...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:25:02	2025-08-07 20:04:22	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	72f11b07a842...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:26:04	2025-08-07 20:04:22	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	6ef05163c062...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:27:00	2025-08-07 20:04:23	6	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	9db97bb820b8...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:27:49	2025-08-07 20:04:23	7	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	b5799192e9df...
	PDF	2025-08-05 14:08:26	2025-08-07 20:04:20	13	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	126212f75bac...
	PDF	2025-08-05 15:57:25	2025-08-07 20:15:33	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	6ab86c41e89a...
	PDF	2025-08-05 14:26:56	2025-08-07 20:15:32	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	d9bf71eb25dd...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:00:28	2025-08-07 20:14:30	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	c582b8390074...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:01:22	2025-08-07 20:14:30	2	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	39198c80207a...
	PDF	2025-08-05 16:02:17	2025-08-07 20:14:30	13	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	6a5b0536e4e0...
	PDF	2025-08-05 15:58:49	2025-08-07 20:14:31	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	f3fdef806200...
	PDF	2025-08-05 15:57:25	2025-08-07 20:14:31	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	b5e70512f09f...
	PDF	2025-08-05 15:58:54	2025-08-07 20:14:29	5	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	48bf9090a16f...

Note: Full SHA-256 values are available; only the first 12 characters are shown here for readability.

Metadata Report — 9-24-cv-01389-LEK

Generated on 2025-09-14 01:12 UTC

Cover Summary

Total files analyzed: 9 | PDFs: 9 | iText PDFs: 9 | pdfmake PDFs: 0 | Missing producer/creator: 0 | Files with flags: 2.

Plain-English Findings

• All PDFs appear to be iText-generated (consistent with CM-ECF/PACER output).
• Some files have anomalies noted in the Flags column.
• If a docket entry expected to be court-generated is not iText-based, that discrepancy should be explained.

	Type	Created	Modified	Pages/Dim	Creator/Producer	SHA-256 (first 12)
	PDF	2024-11-15 08:04:02	2025-08-02 19:58:04	1	CLScan / CLScan; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	775e0b47c23d...
	PDF	2024-11-13 14:20:19	2025-08-02 19:57:55	197	CLScan / CLScan; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	4f2107dc608a...
	PDF	2025-07-22 13:59:08	2025-07-29 17:04:34	6	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	a9b0ff9d3f9e...
	PDF	2025-07-23 10:21:15	2025-07-29 17:04:35	1	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	4f513119cf88...
	PDF	2025-07-22 13:58:55	2025-07-29 17:04:34	39	Canon iR-ADV 4751 PDF / Adobe PSL 1.3e for Canon; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	b8c5463c2032...
_nyndce- df	PDF	2024-11-13 14:20:19	2024-11-19 17:25:21	197	CLScan / CLScan; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	c0d31fedf08a...
_nyndce-	PDF	2024-12-02 09:19:15	2024-12-08 05:54:16	2	Adobe Acrobat Pro (32-bit) 24.4.20272 / Adobe Acrobat Pro (32-bit) 24.4.20272; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	8c58b5d8e2e2...
_nyndce- 1).pdf	PDF	2024-11-13 14:20:19	2024-11-19 17:25:21	197	CLScan / CLScan; modified using iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	c0d31fedf08a...
_nyndce- df	PDF	2024-11-27 12:54:10	2024-12-08 05:41:07	5	iText® Core 7.2.3 (production version) ©2000-2022 iText Group NV, Administrative Office of the United States Courts	d0d690b86fd7...

Note: Full SHA-256 values are available; only the first 12 characters are shown here for readability.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT – N.D. OF N.Y.
FILED
Jul 30 - 2025
John M. Domurad, Clerk

Kayla Leigh Orsell

81 ½ Chestnut Street

Johnstown, NY 12095

Date: 07/29/2025

Clerk of Court

U.S. District Court

Northern District of New York

445 Broadway

STE 509

Albany, NY 12207

RE: Clarification Regarding USB Exhibit Filing and Request for Judicial Notice

Dear Clerk and Honorable Court:

I am writing as the pro se petitioner and plaintiff in several newly docketed cases to respectfully clarify and express my gratitude regarding the recent processing of evidence I submitted to the Court in paper form and on a USB drive.

Due to urgent safety concerns, ongoing surveillance, and confirmed mail interference (as acknowledged by the U.S. Postal Inspection Service), I was forced to submit a bundled set of filings—including my Rule 60(b) motion, evidence of mail fraud, and exhibits from a related family court matter—in a manner that may not have been clearly separated or formally labeled.

Despite this, the Court appears to have sorted and docketed portions of the USB across two dockets: the habeas corpus matter, a 1983 claim (which I believe falls under section 1985 as well) Orsell v. City of Gloversville et al. 1:25-cv-00963-AMN-PJE, an ADA Complaint, and a civil rights/adoption-related case (Orsell v. Orsell et al, 1:25-cv-00961). I am sincerely grateful for the Court's care and attention in organizing my filings under such unusual and pressured circumstances.

I respectfully clarify that the USB contained:

- A substantial portion of my original § 2241 habeas corpus petition, which was never fully docketed or reviewed;

- Images of my daily driver vehicle that my father Victor Orsell Jr. had assumed the title of by way of fraud and theft, a 2010 VW Jetta, and the parked car that Caderon Tyrrell hit while I was in the process of getting ready to file Habeas Corpus 2241 with the District Court. This was something my family was deeply against me doing and hired attorney

James Melita in an attempt to stop me from filing. Mr. Melita told me that filing would only make things much worse for me. I already had been dealing with known mail fraud, as referenced in the petition. I had made it known to my family, whom at the time I thought I could trust, that I was filing in person due to absolute necessity. The circumstances of the accident Caderon had with my vehicle are strange and I will be sending more evidence in support of that claim ASAP.

- Certified Mail Slips and other scans of postage that were sent to or from the Northern District of New York Court. The slips that were supposed to be from the court were fraudulent (as confirmed by the US Postal Inspector).

- FOIA Requests that I have sent but never received any reply to.

- My raw DNA file downloaded from MyHeritage.com, which appeared to show an identical twin and over 3,000 Y chromosomes, indicating the presence of male DNA. I believe this DNA may belong to my stepbrother, John Valik, who is a postal worker and may be involved in the mail fraud currently under investigation.

Additionally, I would like to sincerely apologize for submitting unsigned documents in the original filing packet I attempted to file with the Fulton County Family Court. A female clerk who was behind the desk at the family court at the family court took the filing packet into a

different room for approximately 10 minutes and then returned with another woman —whom I believe to have been a clerk— informing me that they would not file the documents. She stated that my name was not associated with any adoption record in the Fulton County database. She made no mention of the missing signatures on the enclosed documents, which now seems notable in hindsight. I regret my oversight and assure the Court it was unintentional and due to the hurried and distressing circumstances I faced.

Further, I would like to ask whether it is possible for me to attempt to e-file future documents. The cyber attack I've been suffering from for over a year now appears to have temporarily lessened, and I would be extremely grateful for the opportunity to use electronic filing—if I can be given a reliable way to confirm that my submissions are actually received and docketed. This is especially important because I have a large volume of exhibits to submit, and mailing them all will take significant time, cost, and risk.

At this time, I am unable to enter the federal courthouse in person, as I lost my driver's license. Unfortunately, the replacement license I received from the DMV is not REAL ID-compliant and is not accepted for federal building access.

To ensure proper communication, please also update my email address on file to kaylaorsell8@gmail.com, as I have lost access to kaylaorsell2@gmail.com due to account compromise.

Lastly, I want to provide a brief but important note: I did reach a real postal inspector once via a Straight Talk home phone before my line was attacked and nearly disconnected by what I believe was a radio jammer or my compromised PC turned server that was using a HackRF SDR (as shown in Exhibits C and D of Orsell v. Orsell et al. (1:25-cv-00961). I quickly picked the phone back up and was relieved to find the inspector still on the line. She confirmed that the certified mail slips I found on what turned out to be a fraudulent court website were indeed falsified. My father, Victor Orsell Jr., told me that his wife Lynn did not sign those certified slips herself and that someone at the post office "pencil-whipped" her name—saying, "That isn't even her handwriting; she's left-handed."

I am deeply worried that I may again be the victim of document interception or fraud and wanted to share this concern openly with the Court. I remain committed to doing everything within my power to follow proper procedure moving forward. I am extremely thankful that my filings have been accepted and docketed so far under these difficult circumstances, and I respectfully ask the Court for continued understanding and support as I work to complete my submissions.

Respectfully submitted,

Kayla Leigh Orsell

FROM:

KAYLA ORSEN
81 CHESTNUT ST.
JOHNSTOWN, N.Y 12095

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JOHN M. [illegible] CLERK
NOV 13 2024
RECEIVED

TO:

DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT
445 BROADWAY ROOM 509
ALBANY. NY 12207

BC

JS 44 (Rev. 03/24)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Kayla Leigh O'Dell

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Fulton
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys *(Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)*

Pro Bono Assignment Requested via motion

DEFENDANTS Johnathon Spychalski, Traci DiMezza, Lucas Nellis, James P. Riley Esq.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Fulton
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys *(If Known)*

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☒ 3 Federal Question *(U.S. Government Not a Party)*
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 4 Diversity *(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)*

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES *(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)*
(For Diversity Cases Only)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	☒ 1	☒ 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	☐ 4	☐ 4
Citizen of Another State	☐ 2	☐ 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	☐ 5	☐ 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3	☐ 3	Foreign Nation	☐ 6	☐ 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

CONTRACT
- ☐ 110 Insurance
- ☐ 120 Marine
- ☐ 130 Miller Act
- ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument
- ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment
- ☐ 151 Medicare Act
- ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)
- ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits
- ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits
- ☐ 190 Other Contract
- ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability
- ☐ 196 Franchise

TORTS

PERSONAL INJURY
- ☐ 310 Airplane
- ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability
- ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander
- ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability
- ☐ 340 Marine
- ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability
- ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle
- ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability
- ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury
- ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice

PERSONAL INJURY
- ☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability
- ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability
- ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability

PERSONAL PROPERTY
- ☐ 370 Other Fraud
- ☐ 371 Truth in Lending
- ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage
- ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability

FORFEITURE/PENALTY
- ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881
- ☐ 690 Other

BANKRUPTCY
- ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158
- ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157

OTHER STATUTES
- ☐ 375 False Claims Act
- ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
- ☐ 400 State Reapportionment
- ☐ 410 Antitrust
- ☐ 430 Banks and Banking
- ☐ 450 Commerce
- ☐ 460 Deportation
- ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
- ☐ 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)
- ☐ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
- ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
- ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
- ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
- ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
- ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
- ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
- ☐ 896 Arbitration
- ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
- ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

REAL PROPERTY
- ☐ 210 Land Condemnation
- ☐ 220 Foreclosure
- ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment
- ☐ 240 Torts to Land
- ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability
- ☐ 290 All Other Real Property

CIVIL RIGHTS
- ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights
- ☐ 441 Voting
- ☐ 442 Employment
- ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations
- ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment
- ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other
- ☐ 448 Education

PRISONER PETITIONS

Habeas Corpus:
- ☐ 463 Alien Detainee
- ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence
- ☐ 530 General
- ☐ 535 Death Penalty

Other:
- ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other
- ☒ 550 Civil Rights
- ☐ 555 Prison Condition
- ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement

LABOR
- ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act
- ☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations
- ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act
- ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act
- ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation
- ☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act

IMMIGRATION
- ☐ 462 Naturalization Application
- ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS
- ☐ 820 Copyrights
- ☐ 830 Patent
- ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application
- ☐ 840 Trademark
- ☐ 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016

SOCIAL SECURITY
- ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)
- ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)
- ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))
- ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI
- ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))

FEDERAL TAX SUITS
- ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)
- ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609

V. ORIGIN *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District *(specify)* ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing *(Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity)*: 1983

Brief description of cause: Wrongful arrest - Malicious Prosecution

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND $ TBD

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY *(See instructions)*

JUDGE Lawrence Kahn DOCKET NUMBER 9:24-CV-0264-LEK

DATE 10/3/25

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Kayla O'Dell

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

JS 44 (Rev. 03/24)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Kayla L. Orsell

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Fulton
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys *(Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)*

DEFENDANTS Captain of FCCF Richard Emery Jr.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Fulton or Scriven
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys *(If Known)*

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☑ 3 Federal Question *(U.S. Government Not a Party)*
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 4 Diversity *(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)*

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES *(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)*
(For Diversity Cases Only)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	☑ 1	☑ 1	Incorporated *or* Principal Place of Business In This State	☐ 4	☐ 4
Citizen of Another State	☐ 2	☐ 2	Incorporated *and* Principal Place of Business In Another State	☐ 5	☐ 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3	☐ 3	Foreign Nation	☐ 6	☐ 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions

CONTRACT	TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	**PERSONAL INJURY** ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice **PERSONAL INJURY** ☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability **PERSONAL PROPERTY** ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other **LABOR** ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act **IMMIGRATION** ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS** ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application ☐ 840 Trademark ☐ 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 **SOCIAL SECURITY** ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) **FEDERAL TAX SUITS** ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) ☐ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	**CIVIL RIGHTS**	**PRISONER PETITIONS**			
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	**Habeas Corpus:** ☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☑ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty **Other:** ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District *(specify)* ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing *(Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity)*: Habeas Corpus Section 2241 Rule 60(b)

Brief description of cause: Habeas Corpus 2241 likely obstructed by mail theft/Frau

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **DEMAND $** 0

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint.
JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☑ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY *(See instructions)* JUDGE Lawrence Kahn DOCKET NUMBER 9:24-CV-0389-LEK

DATE 10/21/2025

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Kayla Orsell

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

USPS Tracking Numbers
Packages Created in Fulton County Correctional Facility

9405 5012 0652 2041 4221 94

9405 5012 0652 2041 4222 00

These were both shipped in USPS flat rate mailing envelopes bought from the jail commissary to the address given to me by the jail staff which is:

Mailed to:

Court Clerk
James T. Hanley Federal Building
100 S. Clinton Street
Syracuse, NY 13261

Return Address:

Kayla Leigh Orsell
Fulton County Correctional Facility
2710 State Hwy 29
Johnstown, NY 12095

Preset Return Address on Label from Commissary:

TKC Holdings
1796 Morgan Road
Liverpool, NY 13090

Upon further investigation this Syracuse Courthouse address they gave me was the address of the Syracuse Postal Office NOT the P.O. box which is utilized by the Syracuse District Courthouse. By the time I found out that they had done this I had already sent both copies to Syracuse and my Fiancé Caderon got a copy passed to him out of the jail by a corrections officer who was friendly to me and he sent that to the Utica District Courthouse. He lost the tracking for that package but that one is believed to also have not made it. He mailed the Utica package from the Broadalbin NY Post Office in Fulton County to try to get around the problems with the local Johnstown Post Office in Fulton County, which employs my step brother John Valik.

I also have tried sending Court documents from Amsterdam Post Office which is in Montgomery County and somehow those didn't make it either. The package sent to Utica used my business address for the return address because I was under the impression that business mail cannot be rerouted or intercepted.

When sending the first Habeas Corpus 28 2241 (included full document in this packet) I mailed the amendment to the exhibit list using the name of my partnership that me and Caderon have which is Orsell Retail. I did get a receipt when I took it to the post office and it was scanned in. The label service that I used (Pirate Ship) showed that the package was delivered however when tracked on USPS.com it shows as “Label Printed, Not Yet In System”.

About Commissary Label Details

The pre-printed label on the flat rate USPS mailing envelope read TKC Holdings Inc which is the parent company for Trinity Services Group that is the Fulton County Correctional Facility commissary supplier.

I attempted to mail the first package from the jail without my own name for the return address due to the fact the label already had a return address which could be tracked back to my commissary purchase and I knew my mail was being messed with.

Corrections Officer Ledau brought back my package from the mailroom the day it was supposed to be sent out and forced me to put my own name + return address on the package. I told him of ongoing mail fraud concerns and he refused to mail it without my information on it. This Officer is believed to be my adoptive father's blood relative. The package was originally brought to the mailroom by other Correctional Officer(s) who did not see a problem with my own name + jail address being used when I pointed out that the pre-printed Label already had a return address linking back to me. Also putting a second return address could complicate the shipping process.

When I realized that I had to put my name on the package mailed from the jail itself I decided to have my Fiancé Caderon come to the jail and pick up a 2nd and 3rd copy of the same section 1983 and Habeas Corpus 28 2241 filings. He mailed one of the packages with the tracking number above on the pre-printed jail label without adding a second return address.

It is unknown whether the Syracuse District Courthouse is even name the “James T Hanley Federal Building” or if I was given that address in order for the package to be picked up by someone using an ID that read “James T. Hanley” via general delivery service since I had unknowingly mailed this parcel to the post office itself and not to the actual P.O Box.

I only became aware of the true address when a friendly Correctional Officer did print for me the Pro Se Handbook from the Northern District Court. This handbook was over 100 pages. The Officer was NOT supposed to print anything with that many pages for me and I believe she was penalized for this. This was a different version of the pro se handbook that I downloaded at home from the fake Northern District Courthouse website as well as uscourts.com. My version

did not list FIVE different Northern District of New York Courthouses that I could utilize the same way. I only originally knew of the one in Albany on Broadway. S

Fake District Court Correspondence

I did receive correspondence from the alleged NDNY Courthouse after the original Habeas Corpus was sent. The correspondence was not postmarked and the address was the same address for the post office at 100 S. Clinton Street in Syracuse. I asked the jail staff to look up the address for me and my fiancé Caderon also looked it up for me at home to double check.

I have included images of the non-postmarked correspondence and envelope in this packet as well as the forged certified mail slips showing the same address.

Included also is an Order-Judgement purported to be from Judge Lawrence Kahn and a memorandum-decision also purported to be from Judge Lawrence Kahn. These go with the falsified certified mail slips which were supposed proof of mailing me the court documents. These were uploaded to the alleged PACER system.

I only found my petition on the alleged court website organically and I had received NO notice of e-filing. The order-judgement and memorandum-decision arrived 21 days after the alleged docketing of the petition which is far past the 10-day limit for Habeas Corpus decisions.

While in jail I also received what appears to be falsified federal court documents which I have uploaded to this packet as well. The non-postmarked envelopes utilized QR Code stamps from quadient shipping label company and two of the envelopes say .74 cents for 2-4 pieces of paper sent in each.

Conveniently, the jails medical provider uses quadient to send mail and they pay the same .74 cents postage. I obtained a copy of another inmate's Prime Care envelope while in jail. Her name is redacted but the envelope shows the .74 cent quadient label and a postmark. The mail I received has the same quadient QR code label but NO postmark. **This is only for reference.**

Fulton County Municipal mail utilizes quadient to ship most or all of its mail. I would assume that given these QR codes and an uncorrupted internet connection one could link these labels to the actual creator.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla Orsell

Plaintiff(s),

-vs-

Civil Case No.

Johnathan Spychelski
Traci Dinezza
Lucas Nellis
James P. Riley esq

Defendant(s)

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

1. I hereby request a court-appointed attorney to represent me in this action.

2. I have contacted the following attorneys in my effort to obtain counsel:

NYS Bar association - The Jail phone won't let me call. Attorney James P Melita was asked to represent me in similar matters but he recused himself from being my attorney after taking payment. Legal Aid - Jail phone stops my calls

NOTE: You must first attempt to find an attorney on your own before you file a motion for appointment of counsel with the Court. *See Terminate Control Corp. v. Horowitz*, 28 F.23d 1335 (2d Cir. 1994)

3. I have attached to this motion the correspondence that I have received from the attorneys listed above.

4. The reasons I believe I should be appointed counsel pro bono are as follows:

My Case is Complicated and due to mail fraud + theft as well as Cyber attacks at home I cannot reliably communicate with the court Myself.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 10/2/2025

Kayla Lorsell

Signature of Plaintiff

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

(✓) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: $5.00

Payable to: Court Clerk For Northern District of NY
please put "Habeas Corpus" in memo line

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

(✓) I request the check to be mailed ~~out~~ To the NDNY Court

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

_____

_____

_____

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

Please give me the $5.00 check asap I have $5 in my account which will be Monday at the latest.

Incarcerated Individual Signature Kayla L Cooper

3. In the past twelve months have you received any money from any of the following sources?

a.	Business, profession or other self employment	Yes ○	No ✓
b.	Rent payments, interest or dividends	Yes ○	No ✓
c.	Pensions, annuities or life insurance payments	Yes ○	No ✓
d.	Disability or workers compensation payments	Yes ✓	No ○
e.	Gifts or inheritances	Yes ○	No ✓
f.	Any other sources	Yes ○	No ✓

If the answer to any of the above is "Yes" describe each source of money and state the amount received **and** what you expect you will continue to receive.

4. Do you have any cash, checking or savings accounts? Yes ✓ No ○
If "Yes" state the total amount $75.00

5. Do you own any real estate, stocks, bonds, securities, other financial instruments, automobiles or **any other assets?** Yes ○ No ✓
If "Yes" describe the property and state its value (Attach additional sheets as necessary):

6. List the person(s) who are dependent on you for support, state your relationship to each person and indicate how much you contribute to their support.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above information is true and correct.

10/17
DATE

Kayla L Orr
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

CERTIFICATE

(To be completed by appropriate official at institution of incarceration)

I certify that the applicant named herein has the sum of $ 2.36 on account to his/her credit at (Name of Institution) Fulton County Correctional Facility.
I further certify that the applicant has the following securities to his/her credit: 0.00
I further certify that **during the past <u>six(6) months</u>** the applicant's average balance was $ 10.00

10/17 2025
DATE

Lt. Wil WJ
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla OBell Plaintiff(s)

v.

Spychalski et. Al.

Defendant(s)

APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT FULL PREPAYMENT OF FEES IN ACTIONS COMMENCED PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983

CASE NUMBER: 1:25-CV-1456

I, Kayla OBell, declare that I am (check appropriate box)

☑ plaintiff/movant ☐ other

in the above-entitled proceeding and that, in support of my request to proceed without prepayment of fees or costs under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, I declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief sought in the complaint/motion.

In support of this application, I answer the following questions under penalty of perjury:

1. Are you currently incarcerated? ☑ Yes ☐ No (if "no," go to Question No. 2)

If "yes," state the place of your incarceration: Fulton County Correctional Facility

Are you employed at the institution? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Do you receive any payment from same? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Notice to Inmates: **The Certificate Portion Of This Affidavit Must Be Completed In Accordance With Rule 5.4(b)(1)(A) Of The Local Rules Of Practice For This Court OR You Must Include, Along With This Affidavit, Certified Copies Of Your Inmate Account Statement For The Last Six Months In Accordance With 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2)**

2. Are you currently employed? ☐ Yes ☑ No

a. If the answer is "yes," state the amount of your take-home salary or wages and pay period and give the name and address of your employer.

b. If the answer is "no," state the date of your last employment, the amount of your take-home salary or wages, and the name and address of your last employer.

3. In the past twelve months have you received any money from any of the following sources?

a.	Business, profession, or other self-employment	□ Yes	☒ No
b.	Rent payments, interest, or dividends	□ Yes	☒ No
c.	Pensions, annuities, or life insurance payments	□ Yes	☒ No
d.	Disability or workers compensation payments	☒ Yes	□ No
e.	Gifts or inheritances	□ Yes	☒ No
f.	Any other sources	□ Yes	☒ No

If the answer to any of the above is "yes," describe each source of money and state the amount received and what you expect you will continue to receive. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

4. Do you have any cash, checking, or savings accounts? ☑ Yes □ No
If "yes," state the total amount: $5.00

5. Do you own any real estate, stocks, bonds, securities, other financial instruments, automobiles or any other assets? □ Yes ☑ No
If "yes," describe the property and state its value. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

6. List the person(s) who are dependent on you for support, state your relationship to each person, and indicate how much you contribute to their support. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above information is true and correct.

10/28/25
DATE

Kayla Donald
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

CERTIFICATE
(To be completed by appropriate official at institution of incarceration)

I certify that the applicant named herein has the sum of $ _____ on account to his/her credit at (name of institution) _____.
I further certify that the applicant has the following securities to his/her credit: _____.
I further certify that during the past six months the applicant's average balance was $ _____.

_____
DATE

_____
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla Leigh Orsell Plaintiff(s)

v.

Captain Richard Emery Jr.

Defendant(s)

APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT FULL PREPAYMENT OF FEES; AFFIDAVIT AND AUTHORIZATION FORM

CASE NUMBER: 9:25-CV-1455

I, Kayla Leigh Orsell declare that I am (check appropriate box)

☑ petitioner/plaintiff/movant ○ other

in the above-entitled proceeding; that in support of my request to proceed without prepayment of fees or costs under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 I declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief sought in the complaint/petition/motion.

In support of this application, I answer the following questions under penalty of perjury:

1. Are you currently incarcerated?: ☑ Yes ○ No (If "No" go to Part 2)

If "Yes" state the place of your incarceration: Fulton County Correctional Facility

Are you employed at the institution? ○ Yes ☑ No

Do you receive any payment from same? ○ Yes ☑ No

Notice to Inmates: The Certificate Portion Of This Affidavit Must Be Completed.

2. Are you currently employed?: ○ Yes ☑ No

a. If the answer is "Yes" state the amount of your take-home salary or wages and pay period and give the name and address of your employer.

b. If the answer is "No" state the date of your last employment, the amount of your take-home salary or wages and the name and address of your last employer.

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

_____

_____

_____

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

Please fill out the certificate Section of this. It has to do it I believe. This one isn't the same as the other one.

Incarcerated Individual Signature Kayla Orrell

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

Please give me a fax number/email list

How can I access court documents

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

from here? Can I need to speak to

legal aid.

call your Atty

Incarcerated Individual Signature Kayla Orsa

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla Orell Date 10/17/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual 2South cell 3 Officer receiving request AS184

Date request received 10/17/25 Time request received 2130 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) **Envelopes and Stationary** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior to this request.

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days prior to this request.

Verified as indigent by _____ Yes _____ No _____

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** for which I will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard trims, or $20.00 for Haircut/Beard trim combo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

Funds verified by _____ Money taken out by _____ Date _____

( ) **Special visit** - special visits will seldom be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible exceptions to the scheduled time periods. List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

( ) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

# of copies requested: _____ Amount of money taken out of account _____ by _____

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

_____

_____

_____

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

How can I get my pro se authorization from the Court? I need someone to call them for me.

Incarcerated Individual Signature _____

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla Orsell Date 10/29/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual 2 Southcell 3 Officer receiving request Kelly1960

Date request received 10/29/25 Time request received 2030 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) **Envelopes and Stationary** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior to this request.

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days prior to this request.

Verified as indigent by _____ Yes _____ No _____

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** for which I will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard trims, or $20.00 for Haircut/Beard trim combo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

Funds verified by _____ Money taken out by _____ Date _____

( ) **Special visit** - special visits will seldom be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible exceptions to the scheduled time periods. List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

(✓) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

3 copies of each = 6 pages

# of copies requested: 3 Amount of money taken out of account ~~1.50~~ Free for being Pro Se Legal work by _____

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

I do have pro se auth. The gloversville City court is sending it over but this is URGENT. ASK officer waters, he was at

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

Court with me, or Call the court. If you guys needed some authorization paperwork you could have asked a month ago before acting like all was taken care OF then springing this on me.

Incarcerated Individual Signature Taeyla Lorelle

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla Orsell Date 10/30/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual 2 south cell 3 Officer receiving request DS122

Date request received 10/30/25 Time request received 1330 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) **Envelopes and Stationary** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior to this request.

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days prior to this request.

Verified as indigent by _____ Yes _____ No _____

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** for which I will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard trims, or $20.00 for Haircut/Beard trim combo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

Funds verified by _____ Money taken out by _____ Date _____

( ) **Special visit** - special visits will seldom be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible exceptions to the scheduled time periods. List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

(✓) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

7 Pages (Front + back on all but the last is 1 sided) x2 = 14 Pages

# of copies requested: 2 1 Amount of money taken out of account $~~0~~ 1.75 by _____

Pro Se

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

this is legal paper work

I want my $ back when my acct. comes

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

_____

_____

_____

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

I need to know what my rights are as a pro se inmate. Thanks!

_____

Incarcerated Individual Signature Kayla Ordell

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla Orsell Date 10/23/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual Booth Cell 3 Officer receiving request Sgt Pierce #173

Date request received 10/23/2025 Time request received 1155 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) ...t (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior

( ) ...ent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days

Yes _____ No _____

( ) ... will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard ...ombo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

...en out by _____ Date _____

( ) ...be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible ... List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

We need proof of pro-se status - typically a letter from the courts.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

( ) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

# of copies requested: _____ Amount of money taken out of account _____ by _____

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

URGENT

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

If you need my pro se Status to give me these copies, as/c officer Waters, he was there when I was sentenced. Also, the Court is sending something but this is URGENT!

Incarcerated Individual Signature Kayla Darsell

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla Orsell Date 10/29/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual 2 Southcell 3 Officer receiving request Kelly1960

Date request received 10/29/25 Time request received 2030 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) **Envelopes and Stationary** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior to this request.

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days prior to this request.

Verified as indigent by _____ Yes _____ No _____

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** for which I will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard trims, or $20.00 for Haircut/Beard trim combo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

Funds verified by _____ Money taken out by _____ Date _____

( ) **Special visit** - special visits will seldom be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible exceptions to the scheduled time periods. List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

(✓) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

3 copies of each = 6 pages

# of copies requested: 3 Amount of money taken out of account ~~1.50~~ Free for being Pro Se Legal work by _____

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

_____

_____

_____

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

When my Pro Se auth. Comes I want my $$ back please. My right to a fair trial is being obstructed at this point.

Incarcerated Individual Signature Kayla Orsell

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla Oskell Date 10/30/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual 2 South Cell 3 Officer receiving request [redacted]

Date request received 10/30/25 Time request received 1340 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) **Envelopes and Stationary** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior to this request.

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days prior to this request.

Verified as indigent by _____ Yes _____ No _____

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** for which I will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard trims, or $20.00 for Haircut/Beard trim combo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

Funds verified by _____ Money taken out by _____ Date _____

( ) **Special visit** - special visits will seldom be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible exceptions to the scheduled time periods. List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

(✓) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

# of copies requested: 1 Amount of money taken out of account $.50 by _____

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

This is Legal Paperwork

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

Since I am pro se, would jail staff be able to send legal emails/faxes for me? How about recieve them?

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

No Docs from court to prove this must have

Incarcerated Individual Signature _____

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla Orsell Date 10/15/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual 2 South cell 8 Officer receiving request AS184

Date request received 10/17/25 Time request received 2035 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) **Envelopes and Stationary** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior to this request.

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days prior to this request.

Verified as indigent by _____ Yes _____ No _____

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** for which I will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard trims, or $20.00 for Haircut/Beard trim combo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

Funds verified by _____ Money taken out by _____ Date _____

( ) **Special visit** - special visits will seldom be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible exceptions to the scheduled time periods. List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

( ) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

# of copies requested: _____ Amount of money taken out of account _____ by _____

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

No only intelligent

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

Do I get free legal mail for being Pro se? IF so, please send me blank envelopes.

Incarcerated Individual Signature [signature] No Court Doc stating Pro se!

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla OBELL Date 10/25/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual 2south cell 3 Officer receiving request A3184

Date request received 10/25/25 Time request received 1840 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) **Envelopes and Stationary** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior to this request.

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days prior to this request.

Verified as indigent by _____ Yes _____ No _____

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** for which I will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard trims, or $20.00 for Haircut/Beard trim combo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

Funds verified by _____ Money taken out by _____ Date _____

( ) **Special visit** - special visits will seldom be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible exceptions to the scheduled time periods. List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

( ) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

# of copies requested: _____Amount of money taken out of account _____ by _____

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

3. In the past twelve months have you received any money from any of the following sources?

a.	Business, profession or other self employment	Yes ○	No ✓
b.	Rent payments, interest or dividends	Yes ○	No ✓
c.	Pensions, annuities or life insurance payments	Yes ○	No ✓
d.	Disability or workers compensation payments	Yes ✓	No ○
e.	Gifts or inheritances	Yes ○	No ✓
f.	Any other sources	Yes ○	No ✓

If the answer to any of the above is "Yes" describe each source of money and state the amount received **and** what you expect you will continue to receive.

4. Do you have any cash, checking or savings accounts? Yes ✓ No ○
If "Yes" state the total amount $75.00

5. Do you own any real estate, stocks, bonds, securities, other financial instruments, automobiles or **any other assets?** Yes ○ No ✓
If "Yes" describe the property and state its value (Attach additional sheets as necessary):

6. List the person(s) who are dependent on you for support, state your relationship to each person and indicate how much you contribute to their support.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above information is true and correct.

10/17
DATE

Kayla L Orr
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

CERTIFICATE

(To be completed by appropriate official at institution of incarceration)

I certify that the applicant named herein has the sum of $ 2.36 on account to his/her credit at (Name of Institution) Fulton County Correctional Facility.
I further certify that the applicant has the following securities to his/her credit: 0.00
I further certify that **during the past six(6) months** the applicant's average balance was $ 10.00

10/17 2025
DATE

Lt. Wil WJ
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla OBell Plaintiff(s)

v.

Spychalski et. Al.

Defendant(s)

APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT FULL PREPAYMENT OF FEES IN ACTIONS COMMENCED PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 1983

CASE NUMBER: 1:25-CV-1456

I, Kayla OBell, declare that I am (check appropriate box)

☑ plaintiff/movant ☐ other

in the above-entitled proceeding and that, in support of my request to proceed without prepayment of fees or costs under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, I declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief sought in the complaint/motion.

In support of this application, I answer the following questions under penalty of perjury:

1. Are you currently incarcerated? ☑ Yes ☐ No (if "no," go to Question No. 2)

If "yes," state the place of your incarceration: Fulton County Correctional Facility

Are you employed at the institution? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Do you receive any payment from same? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Notice to Inmates: **The Certificate Portion Of This Affidavit Must Be Completed In Accordance With Rule 5.4(b)(1)(A) Of The Local Rules Of Practice For This Court OR You Must Include, Along With This Affidavit, Certified Copies Of Your Inmate Account Statement For The Last Six Months In Accordance With 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2)**

2. Are you currently employed? ☐ Yes ☑ No

a. If the answer is "yes," state the amount of your take-home salary or wages and pay period and give the name and address of your employer.

b. If the answer is "no," state the date of your last employment, the amount of your take-home salary or wages, and the name and address of your last employer.

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

(✓) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: $5.00

Payable to: Court Clerk For Northern District of NY
please put "Habeas Corpus" in memo line

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

(✓) I request the check to be mailed ~~to~~ To the NONY Court

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

_____

_____

_____

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

Please give me the $5.00 Check
ASA I have $5 in my account which
will be Monday at the latest.

Incarcerated Individual Signature Kayla L Cooper

As per Lt. - you were already issued a check

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla Odell . Date 10/28/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual 2 South cell 3 Officer receiving request [illegible]

Date request received 10/28/25 Time request received 1457 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) **Envelopes and Stationary** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior to this request.

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days prior to this request.

Verified as indigent by _____ Yes _____ No _____

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** for which I will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard trims, or $20.00 for Haircut/Beard trim combo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

Funds verified by _____ Money taken out by _____ Date _____

( ) **Special visit** - special visits will seldom be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible exceptions to the scheduled time periods. List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

( ) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

# of copies requested: _____ Amount of money taken out of account _____ by _____

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

Special Visit Information

Name of person(s) involved: _____

Relationship: _____

Reason for Request: _____

Date requested: _____

( ) I request that funds be **withdrawn from my account** in the following manner:

Make check for the amount of: _____

Payable to: _____

( ) The check will be picked up on _____

( ) I request the check to be mailed

( ) **Request for legal material** - We will provide legal material if it is in our capability after you have provided written documentation that you have requested the information from your attorney and he has stated he will not provide it to you. Once this is obtained, you must give specific statute citations or specific case law That you want copies of, such as Penal Law section 155.25, or Roe V. Wade. Generic requests such as "all material relating to Parole" will not be honored.

_____

_____

_____

MISCELLANEOUS REQUEST

How can I get my pro se authorization from the Court? I need someone to call them for me.

Incarcerated Individual Signature Kayla Green

Court called booking yesterday about this - they were told to provide us with written proof of pro-se status. 10.30.2025 NP182

Fulton County Incarcerated Individual Request Form - Miscellaneous

Name of person making request Kayla O'Neill Date 10/27/25

Location of Incarcerated Individual 2 South Cell 3 Officer receiving request AS122

Date request received 10/28/25 Time request received 1218 AM/PM

I hereby request the following:

( ) **Envelopes and Stationary** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the full week prior to this request.

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** as an indigent (less than $5.00 in my account for the past 30 days prior to this request.

Verified as indigent by _____ Yes _____ No _____

( ) **Barber/Beautician Services** for which I will be charged $15.00 for Haircuts, $7.00 for Beard trims, or $20.00 for Haircut/Beard trim combo (Effective January 1st. 2022)

Funds verified by _____ Money taken out by _____ Date _____

( ) **Special visit** - special visits will seldom be granted, but requests will be reviewed for possible exceptions to the scheduled time periods. List below in the area marked "SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION" the reason the request is needed, who would be involved and when you are requesting it for.

( ) **Funeral or Deathbed Visitation** - given the restrictions in Minimum Standards, you may be taken to a funeral or deathbed visit for certain relatives. If you are requesting one of the above, please list below the reason the request is needed, who would be involved, when you are requesting it for and the relationship of the person to you.

SPECIAL VISIT INFORMATION

Name/Relationship _____

Location/Date _____

( ) I hereby request that **photocopies** of legal material or other items be made. I realize that I will be charged $.25 per copy. I have requested the following number of copies be made and the appropriate amount be deducted from my account.

# of copies requested: _____ Amount of money taken out of account _____ by _____

Captain to be notified by receiving the signed receipt only.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,

v. CASE NUMBER: 1:25-CV-1456

SPYCHALSKI, et. al.,

Order Directing Administrative Closure
With Opportunity to Comply With Filing Fee Requirements

Plaintiff's complaint was received for filing in the Northern District of New York on October 17, 2025, along with an application to proceed in the action in forma pauperis ("IFP"). Plaintiff has not paid the filing fee for this action.[1]

A civil action is commenced in federal district court by filing a complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 3. The statutory filing fee must be paid at the time an action is commenced unless the plaintiff seeks IFP status. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a), 1915(a). Where a prisoner files an IFP application, Rule 5.1.4 of the Local Rules of Practice for the Northern District of New York requires that he also submit a completed and signed inmate authorization form. N.D.N.Y. L.R. 5.1.4(b)(1)(B).

The statute governing a prisoner's application to proceed IFP in federal court, 28 U.S.C. § 1915, provides, in pertinent part, that an IFP request must be accompanied by

[1] The total cost for filing a civil action in this court is $405.00 (consisting of the civil filing fee of $350.00, 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), and an administrative fee of $55.00). Although a party (including an inmate-plaintiff) granted IFP status is not required to pay the $55.00 administrative fee, a prisoner granted IFP status is required to pay, over time, the full amount of the $350.00 filing fee regardless of the outcome of the action. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(3).

"a certified copy of the trust fund account statement (or institutional equivalent) for the prisoner for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint . . ., obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). In accordance with Rule 5.1.4 of the Local Rules of Practice for the Northern District of New York, a prisoner seeking IFP status in a civil action may satisfy the statutory requirements by submitting a completed, signed, and certified IFP application. N.D.N.Y. L.R. 5.1.4(b)(1)(A). A "certified" IFP application is one on which the certificate portion at the bottom of the form has been completed and signed by an appropriate official at the plaintiff's facility. The certificate portion of the application requests information regarding funds and/or securities held on account to the inmate's credit over the preceding six months. Accordingly, inmates requesting IFP status may either submit a completed, signed, and certified IFP application as provided in the Local Rules, or they may submit certified copies of their account statements for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of a complaint as set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).[2]

Upon review, the Court finds that plaintiff's IFP application is incomplete. Plaintiff has neither submitted a certified copy of his trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint nor submitted a certified IFP application in accordance with the Court's Local Rules. Additionally, Plaintiff has not submitted a completed and signed inmate authorization form in accordance with the

[2] Upon compliance with the filing fee requirements, the Court must also consider the plaintiff's request to proceed IFP in light of the "three strikes" provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and, if appropriate, review the complaint in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

"a certified copy of the trust fund account statement (or institutional equivalent) for the prisoner for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint . . ., obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). In accordance with Rule 5.1.4 of the Local Rules of Practice for the Northern District of New York, a prisoner seeking IFP status in a civil action may satisfy the statutory requirements by submitting a completed, signed, and certified IFP application. N.D.N.Y. L.R. 5.1.4(b)(1)(A). A "certified" IFP application is one on which the certificate portion at the bottom of the form has been completed and signed by an appropriate official at the plaintiff's facility. The certificate portion of the application requests information regarding funds and/or securities held on account to the inmate's credit over the preceding six months. Accordingly, inmates requesting IFP status may either submit a completed, signed, and certified IFP application as provided in the Local Rules, or they may submit certified copies of their account statements for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of a complaint as set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).[2]

Upon review, the Court finds that plaintiff's IFP application is incomplete. Plaintiff has neither submitted a certified copy of his trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint nor submitted a certified IFP application in accordance with the Court's Local Rules. Additionally, Plaintiff has not submitted a completed and signed inmate authorization form in accordance with the

[2] Upon compliance with the filing fee requirements, the Court must also consider the plaintiff's request to proceed IFP in light of the "three strikes" provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and, if appropriate, review the complaint in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
P.O. BOX 7367
100 S. CLINTON STREET
SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13261-7367

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, $300

REC'D OCT 28 2025

25
#32

Kayla Leigh Orsell
19556
Fulton County Correctional Facility
2710 State Highway 29
Johnstown, NY 12095

12095$4041 R001

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
P.O. BOX 7367
100 S. CLINTON STREET
SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13261-7367

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, $300

REC'D OCT 28 2025

Kayla Leigh Orsell
19556
Fulton County Correctional Facility
2710 State Highway 29
Johnstown, NY 12095

12095$4041 R001

S. DISTRICT COURT
O. BOX 7367
CLINTON STREET
NEW YORK 13261-7367

FICIAL BUSINESS
FOR PRIVATE USE, $300

quadient
FIRST-CLASS MAIL
IMI
$001.03 9
11/17/2025 ZIP 13261
043M31215038

US POSTAGE

25

32

Kayla Leigh Orsell
19556
Fulton County Correctional Facility
2710 State Highway 29
Johnstown, NY 12095

1209S84041 R001

PRIME CARE
MEDICAL, INC.
The Choice for Quality Correctional Health Care
1940 Locust Lane
Harrisburg, PA 17109

HARRISBURG PA 171
12 NOV 2025PM 6 L

quadient
FIRST-CLASS MAIL
IMI
$000.74 0
11/11/2025 ZIP 17109
043M31252806

US POSTAGE

51 (1)

2710 State Hwy 29
Johnstown, NY 12095

REC'D NOV 17 2025

12095-404110

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,

v.

CASE NUMBER: 1:25-CV-1456

SPYCHALSKI, et. al.,

Order Directing Administrative Closure
With Opportunity to Comply With Filing Fee Requirements

Plaintiff's complaint was received for filing in the Northern District of New York on October 17, 2025, along with an application to proceed in the action in forma pauperis ("IFP"). Plaintiff has not paid the filing fee for this action.[1]

A civil action is commenced in federal district court by filing a complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 3. The statutory filing fee must be paid at the time an action is commenced unless the plaintiff seeks IFP status. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a), 1915(a). Where a prisoner files an IFP application, Rule 5.1.4 of the Local Rules of Practice for the Northern District of New York requires that he also submit a completed and signed inmate authorization form. N.D.N.Y. L.R. 5.1.4(b)(1)(B).

The statute governing a prisoner's application to proceed IFP in federal court, 28 U.S.C. § 1915, provides, in pertinent part, that an IFP request must be accompanied by

[1] The total cost for filing a civil action in this court is $405.00 (consisting of the civil filing fee of $350.00, 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), and an administrative fee of $55.00). Although a party (including an inmate-plaintiff) granted IFP status is not required to pay the $55.00 administrative fee, a prisoner granted IFP status is required to pay, over time, the full amount of the $350.00 filing fee regardless of the outcome of the action. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(3).

"a certified copy of the trust fund account statement (or institutional equivalent) for the prisoner for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint . . ., obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). In accordance with Rule 5.1.4 of the Local Rules of Practice for the Northern District of New York, a prisoner seeking IFP status in a civil action may satisfy the statutory requirements by submitting a completed, signed, and certified IFP application. N.D.N.Y. L.R. 5.1.4(b)(1)(A). A "certified" IFP application is one on which the certificate portion at the bottom of the form has been completed and signed by an appropriate official at the plaintiff's facility. The certificate portion of the application requests information regarding funds and/or securities held on account to the inmate's credit over the preceding six months. Accordingly, inmates requesting IFP status may either submit a completed, signed, and certified IFP application as provided in the Local Rules, or they may submit certified copies of their account statements for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of a complaint as set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).[2]

Upon review, the Court finds that plaintiff's IFP application is incomplete. Plaintiff has neither submitted a certified copy of his trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint nor submitted a certified IFP application in accordance with the Court's Local Rules. Additionally, Plaintiff has not submitted a completed and signed inmate authorization form in accordance with the

[2] Upon compliance with the filing fee requirements, the Court must also consider the plaintiff's request to proceed IFP in light of the "three strikes" provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and, if appropriate, review the complaint in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

Court's Local Rules.

WHEREFORE, it is hereby

ORDERED that plaintiff's IFP application is **DENIED as incomplete**; and it is further

ORDERED that, because this action was not properly commenced, the Clerk is directed to administratively close this action;[3] and it is further

ORDERED that if plaintiff desires to pursue this action, he must, **within 30 days** of the filing date of this Order,

(1) either (a) pay the $405.00 filing fee in full, or

(2) submit a completed and signed IFP application;[4] **AND**

a signed inmate authorization form; and it is further

ORDERED that, upon plaintiff's compliance with this Order, the Clerk shall reopen the action and forward it to the Court for review; and it is further

ORDERED that, in accordance with the Rule 10.1(c)(2) of the Court's Local Rules, plaintiff shall promptly notify the Clerk's Office and all parties or their counsel, in writing, of any change in his address. Plaintiff's failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action; and it is further

---

[3] "[I]f the case is re-opened pursuant to the terms of this order, it is not subject to the statute of limitations time bar as long as it originally was timely filed." *Vangundy v. Haque*, No. 17-CV-0024, 2017 WL 1274318, at *2, n.5 (W.D.N.Y. Jan. 20, 2017) (citing *McDowell v. Del. State Police*, 88 F.3d 188, 191 (3d Cir. 1996)).

[4] As noted above, certified account statements may be submitted in lieu of the completed certificate portion of the IFP application. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).

ORDERED that the Clerk shall serve a copy of this Order on plaintiff along with a blank IFP application and a blank inmate authorization form.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 23, 2025

Brenda K. Sannes
Chief U.S. District Judge

ADMCLOSED,PRO SE

U.S. District Court
Northern District of New York - Main Office (Syracuse) [NextGen CM/ECF Release 1.8 (Revision 1.8.4)] (Albany)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:25-cv-01456-BKS-TWD
Internal Use Only

Orsell v. Spychalski et al
Assigned to: Chief Judge Brenda K. Sannes
Referred to: Magistrate Judge Therese Wiley Dancks
Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

Date Filed: 10/17/2025
Date Terminated: 10/24/2025
Jury Demand: None
Nature of Suit: 440 Civil Rights: Other
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Kayla Leigh Orsell

represented by **Kayla Leigh Orsell**
19556
Fulton County Correctional Facility
2710 State Highway 29
Johnstown, NY 12095
Email:
PRO SE

V.

Defendant

Detective Johnathon Spychalski

Defendant

Judge Traci DiMezza

Defendant

Lt. Lucas Nellis

Defendant

District Attorney James P. Riley, Esq.

Email All Attorneys
Email All Attorneys and Additional Recipients

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
10/17/2025	1	COMPLAINT against Traci DiMezza, Lucas Nellis, James P. Riley, Esq, Johnathon Spychalski filed by Kayla Leigh Orsell. (Attachments: # 1 cover sheet, cover letters, # 2 envelope, # 3 envelope)(nas) (Additional attachment(s) added on 10/23/2025: # 4 Exhibit(s), # 5 Exhibit(s), # 6 Exhibit(s)) (nas,). (Entered: 10/23/2025)

10/17/2025	2	MOTION for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis filed by Kayla Leigh Orsell(nas) (Entered: 10/23/2025)
10/17/2025	3	MOTION to Appoint Counsel filed by Kayla Leigh Orsell.(nas) (Entered: 10/23/2025)
10/24/2025	4	ORDER Directing Administrative Closure with Opportunity to Comply with Filing Fee Requirement: ORDERED that plaintiff's IFP application is DENIED as incomplete. ORDERED that, because this action was not properly commenced, the Clerk is directed to administratively close this action. ORDERED that if plaintiff desires to pursue this action, he must, within 30 days of the filing date of this Order, (1) either (a) pay the $405.00 filing fee in full, or (2) submit a completed and signed IFP application; AND a signed inmate authorization form. Signed by Chief Judge Brenda K. Sannes on October 23, 2025. {order and blank forms served via regular mail on plaintiff}(nas) (Entered: 10/24/2025)
11/13/2025	5	AMENDED COMPLAINT against Traci DiMezza, Lucas Nellis, James P. Riley, Esq, Johnathon Spychalski filed by Kayla Leigh Orsell. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit(s), # 2 cover letter, # 3 envelope)(nas) (Entered: 11/13/2025)
11/13/2025	6	MOTION for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis filed by Kayla Leigh Orsell(nas) (Entered: 11/13/2025)
11/13/2025	7	MOTION to Appoint Counsel filed by Kayla Leigh Orsell.(nas) (Entered: 11/13/2025)
11/17/2025	8	TEXT ORDER: The Court is in receipt of Plaintiff's amended complaint, motion to proceed in forma pauperis, and motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. Nos. 5-7), filed on November 13, 2025. This action was administratively closed on October 24, 2025 for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's filing fee requirements. Plaintiff's current submissions do not satisfy the Courts filing fee requirements. Therefore, plaintiffs motion for ifp and motion for appointment of counsel are denied without prejudice to renew upon compliance of the filing fee requirements. The Court is sua sponte granting plaintiff an extension of time until December 15, 2025 to comply with this Court's Order of October 24, 2025. The Clerk is directed to provide Plaintiff with a copy of the October 24, 2025 Order together with blank forms together with an updated copy of the docket sheet for this action. This action remains administratively closed. SO ORDERED by Chief Judge Brenda K. Sannes on November 17, 2025.{text order and referenced documents served via regular mail on plaintiff} (nas) (Entered: 11/17/2025)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KAYLA LEIGH ORSELL,

v.

CASE NUMBER: **9:25-CV-1455**

CAPTAIN RICHARD EMERY JR.,

Order Directing Administrative Closure
With Opportunity to Comply With Filing Fee Requirements

Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus was received for filing in the Northern District of New York on October 17, 2025. A habeas corpus action is commenced in federal district court by filing a petition. The statutory filing fee ($5.00) must also be paid at the time the petition is filed, unless the petitioner seeks in forma pauperis status. 28 U.S.C. § 1915; N.D.N.Y. Local Rule 5.4(a); Rule 3, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.[1] A motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP Application") must include a certificate from the warden or other appropriate officer of the place of confinement showing the amount of money or securities the petitioner has in any account in the petitioner's name at the facility. Rule 3(a)(2), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.

Petitioner has not submitted an IFP Application and has not paid the filing fee. The court therefore finds that this action has not been properly commenced.

WHEREFORE, it is hereby

ORDERED that because this action was not properly commenced, the Clerk is directed

[1] The habeas rules also govern petitions filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Rule 1(b), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.

to administratively close this action;[2] and it is further

ORDERED that if petitioner wants to pursue this action, he must so notify the Court **WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS** of the filing date of this Order and **either**: (1) pay the court's filing fee of five dollars ($5.00); or (2) submit a completed and signed IFP Application that has been certified by an appropriate prison official at his facility; and it is further

ORDERED that upon petitioner's compliance with this order, the Clerk shall reopen this action and forward it to the Court for review; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this Order on petitioner along with a blank IFP Application.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 23, 2025

Anthony J. Brindisi
U.S. District Judge

[2] "Such an administrative termination is not a 'dismissal' for purposes of the statute of limitations, and if the case is re-opened under the terms of this order, it is not subject to the statute of limitations time bar if it was originally timely filed." *Smith v. Annucci*, No. 6-20-CV-6620, 2020 WL 8812805, at *1, n.2 (W.D.N.Y. Aug. 25, 2020) (citing *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 276 (1988); *McDowell v. Delaware State Police*, 88 F.3d 188, 191 (3d Cir. 1996)).

JS 44 (Rev. 03/24)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Kayla Leigh Orsell

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys *(Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)*
Pro Bono Assignment Requested via Motion

DEFENDANTS Johnathan Spychalski; Traci DiMezza; Lucas Nellis; James P. Riley ESQ

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys *(If Known)*

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- ☒ 3 Federal Question *(U.S. Government Not a Party)*
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- ☐ 4 Diversity *(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)*

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES *(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)*
(For Diversity Cases Only)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	☒ 1	☒ 1	Incorporated *or* Principal Place of Business In This State	☐ 4	☐ 4
Citizen of Another State	☐ 2	☐ 2	Incorporated *and* Principal Place of Business In Another State	☐ 5	☐ 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3	☐ 3	Foreign Nation	☐ 6	☐ 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

CONTRACT	TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	☐ 690 Other	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability		INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability		☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY		☐ 830 Patent	☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 340 Marine	☐ 370 Other Fraud	LABOR	☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 460 Deportation
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)
☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury		☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice		☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 196 Franchise			☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	IMMIGRATION	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	☐ 462 Naturalization Application	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 893 Environmental Matters
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	☐ 530 General		☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 896 Arbitration
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	☐ 535 Death Penalty			☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	Other:			☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other			
		☒ 550 Civil Rights			
		☐ 555 Prison Condition			
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from Another District *(specify)* ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer ☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing *(Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity)*: 42 USC 1983

Brief description of cause: Wrongful arrest - Melicious Prosecution

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **DEMAND $**

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☒ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY *(See instructions)*: JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE 10/13/25

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Kayla Orsell

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Kayla Orsell

Plaintiff(s),

-vs-

Civil Case No.

Johnathan Spychelski
Traci Dinezza
Lucas Nellis
James P. Riley esq

Defendant(s)

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

1. I hereby request a court-appointed attorney to represent me in this action.

2. I have contacted the following attorneys in my effort to obtain counsel:

NYS Bar association - The Jail phone won't let me call. Attorney James P Melita was asked to represent me in similar matters but he recused himself from being my attorney after taking payment. Legal Aid - Jail phone stops my calls

NOTE: You must first attempt to find an attorney on your own before you file a motion for appointment of counsel with the Court. *See Terminate Control Corp. v. Horowitz*, 28 F.23d 1335 (2d Cir. 1994)

3. I have attached to this motion the correspondence that I have received from the attorneys listed above.

4. The reasons I believe I should be appointed counsel pro bono are as follows:

My Case is Complicated and due to mail fraud + theft as well as Cyber attacks at home I cannot reliably communicate with the court Myself.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 10/2/2025

Kayla Lorsell

Signature of Plaintiff

INMATE AUTHORIZATION

I, Kayla Orsell, authorize the agency holding me in custody to send to the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York ("Clerk"), at his request, certified copies of the statements of my trust fund account (or institutional equivalent) at the institution where I am currently incarcerated.

If I have not been incarcerated at my current place of confinement for at least six (6) months, I authorize such agency to provide said Clerk, at his request, with copies of such account statements from the institution(s) in which I had previously been incarcerated.

I further request and authorize the agency holding me in custody to calculate, encumber and/or disburse funds from my trust fund account (or institutional equivalent) in the amounts specified by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). This authorization is furnished in connection with the commencement of the civil action submitted herewith (or noted below), and I understand that the total filing fee which I am obligated to pay is $350.00. I also understand that this fee will be debited from my account regardless of the outcome of my lawsuit. This authorization shall apply to any other agency into whose custody I may be transferred.

Signature: Kayla Orsell

★ NOTE: You must sign your name on the above line. ★

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY - DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE

Name and DIN Number: _____

Civil Action Number: _____

Short Name of Case: _____

I, Kayla Orsell, being duly sworn, depose and state the following:

1.) I am the petitioner in the enclosed Habeas Corpus 2241 petition and the plaintiff in the enclosed section 1983 civil rights Complaint.

2.) I authorize the U.S. Attorney's Office, U.S. Marshalls, or any other investigative body to remotely enter my home network at 81½ Chestnut Street in order to gather evidence of a fraudulent NDNY court website and it's pacer counterpart.

3.) The website in question is NYND.Uscourts.gov.

4.) The version of the website I viewed from my home network had no black bar at the top indicating it was a real .gov site.

5.) Upon viewing the source code on the website it was revealed the site was made using open-source software and plugins such as Socket.io.

6.) I believe a server is being used as part of the Cyber attack. My phone has to be reset when I get about 1/4 mile from home.

7.) I have located a server inside of a dell Optiplex pc that I ordered from Amazon for approximately $120. My father Victor Orsell and his late wife Ava Lynn Carter-Orsell did take said PC out of the mail and had it in their possession for at least a week before handing it over to me.

8.) I took detailed images of the server which I still have but my father Victor Orsell did dispose of the server after I moved it outside and had destroyed it.

9.) The server emitted extremely high levels of radiation which I believe led to the death of one of my beloved parrots, Beeky.

10.) I waive my right to be free of a warrantless search of my home network for the purpose of investigating fraud on the Court.

11.) My network is provided by Spectrum of Amsterdam new York.

12.) I also waive my right to warrantless Search of my cellular phone which is a Samsung A14.

13.) My boyfriend, Caderon Tyrrell, lives at my house at 81 ½ Chestnut Street in Johnstown.

14.) Caderon has access to my evidence that is in my home as well as a detached hard drive inside of a Faraday bag and my Samsung A14 phone.

15.) I authorize the relevant Federal agency in Charge of investigating to go to my home and ask Caderon for any evidence they may need.

16.) I request that whomever may go ask my boyfriend Caderon to provide my evidence Shows him sufficient proof that they are a Federal investigator before he provides any evidence.

17.) Please be aware that Caderon also has a Samsung A14 at my address and I cannot authorize a search of his device myself.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

x Kayla Orsell
Kayla Orsell

Liam Quinn
Commissioner of Deeds
City of Johnstown, NY
Commission Expires: 1/31/27

x Liam Quinn
Notary Public

Explanatory Notice — Device Hardware and RF Measurement Evidence

I. Purpose of This Section

This section documents **physical device observations**, **hardware components**, and **radio-frequency (RF) measurement readings** recorded in a residential environment. The materials are provided for **transparency, documentation, and independent technical review**. No definitive conclusions regarding causation, intent, or attribution are asserted.

II. Materials Included

This section includes photographic and video evidence relating to:

- A **Dell OptiPlex desktop computer** ordered from Amazon and received after an unexplained delay during which the package was in the possession of my adoptive parent Victor Orsell Jr. & his late wife Arva Lynn Carter;.
- Internal hardware components found inside the device upon receipt and disassembly;
- RF/EMF meter readings taken inside the residence at various times and locations;
- Still images and video recordings documenting elevated RF readings displayed on the meter.

III. Device Condition and Hardware Observations

Upon disassembly of the Dell OptiPlex unit:

- The device contained **server-grade RAM modules**, which have been retained and are still in the curator's possession.
- The system **did not contain a solid-state drive (SSD) or hard disk drive (HDD)** at the time it was opened.
- The internal configuration appeared inconsistent with a standard consumer desktop configuration as shipped by the manufacturer.

Because of safety concerns at the time, the device was **disassembled** and subsequently **rendered inoperable**. The RAM modules were preserved separately.

IV. RF / EMF Measurements

This section includes images and videos of an **RF/EMF reader** displaying **elevated readings** inside the residence:

- Some readings shown exceed commonly referenced consumer safety thresholds displayed by the device.
- Measurements were taken at multiple locations within the home and at different times.
- The meter displays are documented visually to allow independent assessment of the readings shown.

V. Health and Safety Observations

During the period in which elevated RF readings were recorded:

- Both occupants of the residence experienced **acute physical distress**, including nausea and vomiting;
- One companion parrot, Beeky, died during this period;
- A second bird, Zelda, survived but now is plagued with loneliness.

No claim is made that RF exposure caused these effects. These observations are documented **as contemporaneous events** occurring during periods of elevated readings shown on the meter.

VI. Additional Documentation

In addition to the materials included here:

- **Multiple video recordings** documenting RF meter readings and environmental conditions exist and are available for viewing upon request.
- These recordings are preserved to support independent evaluation by technical, medical, or investigative professionals.

VII. Neutrality and Limitations

This section documents **what was observed and recorded**, not definitive explanations. RF meters vary in accuracy, calibration, and interpretation, and consumer devices are not diagnostic instruments. Hardware configurations may have benign explanations. Independent expert review is encouraged.

Nothing in this section should be interpreted as a finding of wrongdoing, causation, or intent. The materials are preserved to enable **independent verification, replication, or refutation** by qualified reviewers.

5:35
13%
For you
Explore
0
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more
UP NEXT: Kayla Orsell · 1:00
RF in my house killing me and Cade. Ki...

1704
149.3


5:34
14%
For you
Explore
0
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more
UP NEXT: Kayla Orsell · 1:00
RF in my house killing me and Cade. Ki...

5:34
14%
For you
Explore
0
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more
UP NEXT: Kayla Orsell · 1:00
RF in my house killing me and Cade. Ki...

5:34
14%
For you
Explore
12 19
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more
0

PEAK
1298
119

5:35 14%

For you Explore

Kayla Orsell Following

Congrats Victor or whoever came up with
ver device tortures me on a daily... more

UP NEXT Kayla Orsell 1:00
RF in my house killing me and Cade. Ki...

PEAK
OL
OL

5:35
13%
For you
Explore
0
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more

5:34
14%
For you
Explore
MEAN
1509
OVEN
19.19
mW/m²
MULTI-FIELD EMF METER
0
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more
UP NEXT: Kayla Orsell · 1:00
RF in my house killing me and Cade. Ki...

PEAK
1487
139.5

5:34
14%
For you
Explore
MEAN
1509
OVEN
19.19
mW/m²
MULTI-FIELD EMF METER
0
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more
UP NEXT: Kayla Orsell · 1:00
RF in my house killing me and Cade. Ki...

PEAK
1487
139.5

5:35
13%
For you
Explore
0
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more

PEAK
OL
OL

5:35 14%

For you Explore

Kayla Orsell Following

Congrats Victor or whoever came up with
ver device tortures me on a daily... more

UP NEXT Kayla Orsell 1:00
RF in my house killing me and Cade. Ki...

PEAK
1298
119

5:34
14%
For you
Explore
12 19
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more
0

5:34
14%
For you
Explore
0
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more
UP NEXT: Kayla Orsell · 1:00
RF in my house killing me and Cade. Ki...


5:34
14%
For you
Explore
0
Kayla Orsell
Following
Congrats Victor or whoever came up with whatever device tortures me on a daily... more
UP NEXT: Kayla Orsell · 1:00
RF in my house killing me and Cade. Ki...

1704
149.3

HABOTEST
0 1 2 10 50 200
PEAK
OVER
OL.
HOLD
RF
mW/m²
HT627
MULTI-FIELD EMF METER
FUNC
MAX
HOLD

HABOTEST®

PEAK

0 1 2 10 50 200

OVER

HOLD

RF

mW/m²

HT627

MULTI-FIELD EMF METER

FUNC

MAX

HOLD

Data Storage
Super Multi DVD Writer
MODEL
Made In Malaysia
Serial no: 733-02RN
ROM VER. A1C1
MANUFACTURED
MARCH 2017
COMPLIES WITH FDA RADIATION PERFORMANCE STANDARDS 21 CFR SUBCHAPTER J
DVD LOGO IS A TRADEMARK OF DVD FORMAT/LOGO LICENSING CORPORATION
Dell Products, Raheen Business Park, Limerick Ireland
CAN ICES-3 (B)/NMB-3(B)
D35345
E119002

Data Storage
Super Multi DVD Writer
MODEL
Made In Malaysia
Serial no: 732-02RN
ROM VER. A1C1
MANUFACTURED
MARCH 2017








AUDIO1
HDD_LED
APS/PETS
SATA2
SATA1
X2:24MHZ
DELL

Micron
MICRON 2200S NVMe 256GB SSD
M.2 2280 Gen3 x4
FW: 22001030
LN: C8NK8Y4016
NGUID: 00A0750125176E4E
3.3V SN: 194725176E4E
PRODUCT OF SINGAPORE
DP/N 0WGFH4
SG-0WGFH4-MCS00
-9BS-1OQH-A03
www.micron.com
PN: MTFDHBA256TCK-2AS1AABDA
MODEL: MTFDHBA256TCK
R-REM-MU2-MTFDHBAXXXTCK
CAN ICES-3(B)/NMB-3(B)
Leopoldstrasse 250
Munich 80807 Germany
WARRANTY VOID IF REMOVED
PSID: 00215A9C-0B06-1EDA-84B2-EA2FAB0B2C6D
nvm express
CARD_READER
2_SSD
USB_F
AUDIO1
HDD_LED

DELL
(型号/型號)
MODEL(MODELO) NO: L240AS-01
DELL P/N: R0NM7
内部编码 P/N: PA-2241-1DF
DELL
INPUT(ENTRADA):(输入/輸入)100-240V~/4A 50-60 Hz
制造商:光宝科技股份有限公司
Manufacturer: LITE-ON TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.
(开关电源 / 交換式電源供應器)
SWITCHING POWER SUPPLY
OUTPUT(SALIDA):(输出/輸出)
+12VA⎓/16.5A MAX
+12VB⎓/16A MAX
STANDBY MODE
+12VA⎓/0.5A
+12VB⎓/2.5A
MAX 2.5A
Maximum continuous total DC output power shall not exceed 240W.
最大組合輸出功率不超過240W.
最大组合输出功率不超过240W.
AVISO: SOLO PARA USO DE EQUIPO DE INFORMATICA. Fuente de alimentación para incorporar
CN-0R0NM7-LOG00-752-0D70-A01
Made In China
DP/N 0R0NM7
DATE CODE: R1718
SERIAL NO: 0009
R33275
KTL ZU10131-16008
Mfr.Name:Lite-On Electronics (Guangzhou)Ltd.
A/S Center:060-854-0066
E132068
LEVEL 3
OK
HI-POT GND CONT
OK
ATE
Burn-in OK
Made in China (中国制造/中國製造)
DE

APS/PETS
FAN_CPU
ATX_CPU
INTRUDER
FAN_SYS
N9R2RAAA72004223J0A0


X3:25MHZ
NIC_USB
SSUSB_R
VGA
KB_MS SERIAL
F6:1.5A/6V
F19:1.5A/6V
F5:1.5A/6V
VGA_R
DP

DELL

SATA0
SATA_PWR
ATX_SYS
HS_CLIP2
24MHZ
Made in China
DP/N 009VWT
CN-009VWT-AP200-74R-0ACH-A00


CPU
DIMM1
DIMM2
BATTERY
PC101
PR160
PC89
PC94
PR170
PR169
PR173
PR172
PR177
PR178
PC100
PC99
PR166
PC86
PC83
PC80
PC87
PC92
PU35
PU34
PU31
PG13
PG11
C137
C102
C134
C82
C882
270
16V


JMP1
BATTERY
F9:2A/6V
Made in China
DP/N 0W0CHX
CN:0W0CHX-WS200-
25R-04K0-A00
E145483
WF_BT_USB
DIMM
DIMM
X2 22MHZ
HS_CLIP2
SATA1
SATA2
INT_SPKR
Micron
PRODUCT OF SINGAPORE
DP/N 0WGFH4
SG-0WGFH4-MCS00
-BBS-10QH-A03



8:54 18%
newscientist.com/art
New Scientist
Sign in
Enter search
Subscribe now

Technology

Hackers can turn computer cables into antenna to steal sensitive data

Computers are sometimes kept disconnected from the internet, or "air gapped", to avoid remote hackers gaining access to steal data, but now there is a way to use a hard drive cable to transmit information via radio waves

By Matthew Sparkes

26 July 2022



SATA cables are found in most computers
Shutterstock/Nor Gal

Hackers can covertly turn a cable inside a computer into a makeshift antenna that can secretly transmit sensitive data, even from "air-gapped" devices that are deliberately not connected to the internet.

Air-gapped computers are commonly used by government security services and key infrastructure control systems to prevent remote hackers from gaining access, but that doesn't mean it is impossible to get data out.

Mordechai Guri at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel, has worked for years to develop a series of proof of concept attacks that use different components within computers as unusual transmitters. In the past he has managed to extract information by encoding it in rapid adjustments of screen brightness, deliberate temperature changes within a machine or flickering power LEDs.

Advertisement
0:09
Get ide...
Sponso...
LifeLock




Made in China
DP/N 009VWT
SATA_PWR
SATA_1
SATA_2
INT_SPKR
F_USB
CPU

Micron
FW: 22001030
MICRON 2200S NVMe 256GB SSD (商品名稱：固態硬碟)
M.2 2280 Gen3 x4
LN: CBNK8Y4016
NGUID: 00A0750125176E4E
(電壓) 3.3V SN: 194725176E4E
PRODUCT OF SINGAPORE
DP/N 0WGFH4
SG-0WGFH4-MCS00
-9BS-1OQH-A03
www.micron.com
PN (製造號碼): MTFDHBA256TCK-2AS1AABDA
MODEL (型號): MTFDHBA256TCK
R-REM-MU2-MTFDHBAXXXTCK
CAN ICES-3(B)/NMB-3(B)
Leopoldstrasse 250
Munich 80807 Germany
WARRANTY VOID IF REMOVED
PSID: 00215A9C-0B06-1EDA-84B2-EA2FAB0B2C6D
nvm EXPRESS
CARD_READER
.2_SSD
HDD_LED
USB_F
AUDIO1

DELL

(型号/ 型號)
MODEL(MODELO) NO: L240AS-01
DELL P/N: RONM7
内部编码 P/N: PA-2241-1DF

DELL
INPUT(ENTRADA):(输入/輸入)100-240V~/4A 50-60 Hz
制造商:光宝科技股份有限公司
Manufacturer: LITE-ON TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.
(开关电源 / 交换式電源供應器)
SWITCHING POWER SUPPLY
OUTPUT(SALIDA):(输出/輸出) STANDBY MODE
+12VA⎓/16.5A MAX +12VA⎓/0.5A ┐MAX 2.5A
+12VB⎓/16A MAX +12VB⎓/2.5A ┘

Maximum continuous total DC output power shall not exceed 240W.
最大組合輸出功率不超過240W.
最大组合输出功率不超过240W.
AVISO: SOLO PARA USO DE EQUIPO DE INFORMATICA. Fuente de alimentación para incorporar

CN-0R0NM7-LOG00-
752-0D70-A01
Made In China
DP/N 0RONM7

DATE CODE: R1718
SERIAL NO: 00000345

警告:限使用于信息技术设备及室内使用
警告:限使用於資訊設備及室內使用

OK	HI-POT GND CONT	OK	ATE

R33275

Burn-In OK

TÜVRheinland CERTIFIED
Type Approved Safety Regular Production Surveillance
www.tuv.com ID 1419038239

IRAM

컴퓨터용 전원공급장치
KTL ZU10131-16008
Mfr.Name:Lite-On Electronics (Guangzhou)Ltd.
A/S Center:080-854-0066

c UL us
E132068
LEVEL 3

Made in China (中国制造/中國製造) DE


F6:1.5A/6V
F19:1.5A/6V
F5:1.5A/6V
KB_MS_SERIAL
VGA
NIC_USB
SSUSB_R
X3:25MHZ
VGA_R
HDMI
DP
MADE
LY
70421

F5:1.5A/6V
CARD_READER
APS/PETS
FAN_CPU
ATX_CPU
INTRUDER
FAN_SYS
N9R2RAAA7200422310A0
E359308
SBA004F
Made in China

SATA0

SATA_PWR

ATX_SYS

SATAP1

HS_CLIP2

24MHZ

Made in China
DP/N 009VWT
CN-009VWT-AP200-74R-0ACH-A00

Made in China
DP/N 0PV6KT
CN-0PV6KT-VCP00-
753-F0MR-A00

Micron
MICRON 2200S NVMe 256GB SSD
M.2 2280 Gen3 x4
PRODUCT OF SINGAPORE
DP/N 0WGFH4
SG-0WGFH4-MCS00
-9BS-10QH-A03
www.micron.com
Munich 80807 Germany
REMOVED
SATA2
SATA1
DIMM
BATTERY
CR2032
LITHIUM BATTERY 3V
F9 : 2A/6V
X2 27MHZ
JMP1

SATA1
SATA2
INT_SPKR
CARD_READER
USB_F
Micron
MICRON 2200S NVMe 256GB
PRODUCT OF SINGAPORE
DP/N 0WGFH4
SG-0WGFH4-MCS00
-9BS-1QQH-A03

SATA0

SATA_PWR

ATX_SYS

SATAP1

HS_CLIP2

24MHZ

Made in China
DP/N 009VWT
CN-009VWT-AP200-74R-0ACH-A00

Made in China
DP/N 0PV6KT
CN-0PV6KT-VCP00-
753-F0MR-A00

Micron
MICRON 2200S NVMe 256GB SSD
M.2 2280 Gen3 x4
PRODUCT OF SINGAPORE
DP/N 0WGFH4
SG-0WGFH4-MCS00
-9BS-10QH-A03
www.micron.com
Munich 80807 Germany
REMOVED
SATA2
SATA1
DIMM
BATTERY
CR2032
LITHIUM BATTERY 3V
F9 : 2A/6V
X2 27MHZ
JMP1

SATA1
SATA2
INT_SPKR
CARD_READER
USB_F
Micron
MICRON 2200S NVMe 256GB
PRODUCT OF SINGAPORE
DP/N 0WGFH4
SG-0WGFH4-MCS00
-9BS-1QQH-A03

R331 R031 C233 C336 C234 RN3 R312 RN2
RN1 RN2
R200
R309
RB17
U56
R663
R664
RB13
C244
R306 C227
R307 C236 C701
TP120
C230
C213
C206
C225
R318
C240
R305
R330
C219
C220
C232
R020
R353
R320
R340
Q3
R157
R156
R193
R468
C171
JMP1
1
2
3
4
5
JMP 1
U30
PR04
R263
C174
R102
C173
U3
R1003
U64
R561
R149
R152
R151

Micron
FW: 22001030
PRODUCT OF SINGAPORE
DP/N 0WGFH4
SG-0WGFH4-MCS00
-9BS-1OQH-A03
www.micron.com
3.3V SN: 194725176E4E
nvm express
M.2_SSD
AUDIO1
LY
170512


HDMI1
HDMI1
VGA0
DP1
R588
R584
R580
C401
R583
Q50
Q49
PTC4
FANS2
FANS1
R154
R581
R586
Q52
C412
U38
U37
U36
R585
R587
R582
R592
C858
C856
C769
F19
F18
5:1.5A/6V

AUDIO1

R417

R414

R418

R413

L7272

R423

UAJ1

L23

L24

TR17

TR23

C32

E359308
SBA004F
E105106-A391-D18M
Made in China
DP/N 0JJP2GJ
N9R2RAAA7200422330A0
ATX_CPU
APS/PETS
HDMI
DP
KB_MS_SERIAL
F6:1.5A/6V
F19:1.5A/6V
F5:1.5A/6V
F9:2A/6V
VGA
SSUSB_R
NIC_USB
SATA1
SATA0
SATA_PWR
ATX_SYS
LPC_DEBUG
BATTERY
WF_BT_USB
DIMM2
HS_CLIP2
X2:24MHZ
X3:25MHZ
Blackfish MT
16510-1
VJ40T$HA
MADE IN CHINA
Made in China
DP/N 0G0VWT
DELL
CN-0RONM7-LOG00-752-0D70-A01
Made In China
DP/N 0RONM7
DATE CODE: R1718
SERIAL NO: 00090845
OK
HI-POT GND CONT
OK
ATE
E132068
LEVEL 3
Made in China (中国制造/中國製造)
DE





R331 R031 C233 C336 C234 RN3 R312 RN2
RN1 RN2
R200
R309
RB17
U56
R663
R664
RB13
C244
R306 C227
R307 C236 C701
TP120
C230
C213
C206
C225
R318
C240
R305
R330
C219
C220
C232
R020
R353
R320
R340
Q3
R157
R156
R193
R468
C171
JMP1
1
2
3
4
5
JMP 1
U30
PR04
R263
C174
R102
C173
U3
R1003
U64
R561
R149
R152
R151

INDEX

(This index lists key subjects, documents, courts, systems, and technical artifacts referenced in this Public Reference Dossier. Page numbers are approximate and reflect the compiled order of materials.)

A

Administrative obstruction

– Alleged indicators, mail handling …… 2–4, 21, various

– Clerk-level refusals …… 2, various

Adoption records

– Petition to unseal (Family Court) …… various

Affidavits / sworn statements

– Included within filings and exhibits …… various

Appeal

– Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure (Rule 4) …… 327

C

Certificates (TLS / SSL)

– GeoTrust TLS RSA CA G1 …… 10

– DigiCert Global Root G2 …… 11

Civil Rights Act

– 42 U.S.C. § 1983 …… 24

Clerk refusals

– County Court …… 2, various

– Family Court …… 2, various

– Surrogate's Court …… 2, various

CM/ECF (Case Management / Electronic Case Files)

– Architecture overview …… 5

– iText PDF generation …… various

D

Dockets

– PacerMonitor summaries …… 22–23

– UniCourt summaries …… 20

Document metadata

– Creation / modification timestamps …… 3, various

– Producer / creator identifiers …… various

F
Federal court filings
– Northern District of New York …… multiple sections
Federal Habeas Corpus
– Petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 …… 26
– Full habeas filing (Document 1) …… 83–277
– Related filings (Document 2) …… 278–323

Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure
– Rule 4 excerpts …… 327
Forensic-neutral disclaimer
– Credibility and limitations …… **7**

G
Geolocation
– IP longitude/latitude comparison …… 18–19

H
Habeas Corpus
– See Federal Habeas Corpus
Hardware limitations
– Referenced in explanatory notices …… Front matter

I
iText PDF generator
– Identified in metadata reports …… various
Index
– This section
IP address resolution
– nslookup results …… 18

J
Judgment
– Judgment in a Civil Case (dismissal without prejudice) …… 326
Journalistic review
– Purpose and scope …… **1**

M
Mail interference (alleged)
– USPS tracking records …… 21

– Returned or delayed mail …… various
Metadata reports
– Hashes and file summaries …… various

N
Network diagnostics
– nslookup …… 18
– ping …… 19
– ARP tables …… 18
New York State Courts
– County Court …… 2
– Family Court …… 2
– Surrogate's Court …… 2

P
PACER (Public Access to Court Electronic Records)
– Case locator screenshots …… 27–28
– Alleged interface anomalies …… 4–6
PacerMonitor
– Docket summaries …… 22–23
PDF artifacts
– Image-based exhibits …… multiple sections

R
Returned mail
– USPS documentation …… various

S
Socket.IO
– Source-code presence …… 4–6
– Architectural discussion …… 5–6
Source code
– JavaScript, CSS, assets …… 16–17
Surrogate's Court
– Estate-related filings …… 2, various

T
Technical evidence
– Network artifacts …… 18–19
– Website source code …… 16–17

Telecommunications
– Utility and service correspondence …… various

U
UniCourt
– Case summaries …… 20
USPS (United States Postal Service)
– Tracking screenshots …… 21

V
Verification
– Encouragement for independent review …… 9

W
Website artifacts
– NDNY interface screenshots …… 12–15
– Source-level inconsistencies …… 4–6

Index Note
This index is intended to assist navigation and does not imply conclusions regarding authenticity, causation, or intent. All materials are preserved for independent verification using official sources.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KAYLA ORSELL,

Petitioner,

-against- 9:24-CV-1389 (LEK)

JOHNATHON SPYCHALSKI, *et al.*,

Respondents.

MEMORANDUM-DECISION AND ORDER

I. INTRODUCTION

On November 13, 2024, Kayla Orsell filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Dkt. No. 1 ("Petition").[1] For the reasons that follow, the Petition is dismissed.

II. BACKGROUND

Petitioner challenges the constitutionality of a criminal action against her in Gloversville City Court. Pet. at 38–39.[2] Petitioner argues that she is entitled to federal habeas relief because (1) she was unlawfully arrested in violation of the Fourth Amendment, *id.* at 16; (2) she was detained without a preliminary hearing in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment, *id.* at 15; (3) her attorneys were constitutionally ineffective in violation of the Sixth Amendment, *id.*; and (4)

[1] In support of her pleading, Petitioner has sent the Court a document with exhibits, Dkt. No. 2, including four SIM cards, Dkt. No. 2-1. Petitioner is advised that the Court cannot view the contents of the SIM cards. Accordingly, Dkt. No. 2-1 will be stricken from the record. The Court asks the Clerk to return the SIM cards to Petitioner, via certified mail, at the address last provided.

[2] Citations to Petitioner's filing refer to the pagination generated by CM/ECF, the Court's electronic filing system.

her bail was excessive in violation of the Eighth Amendment, *id*. Petitioner requests immediate release, exoneration of her bail, and dismissal of her charges. *Id.* at 16, 58.

Petitioner contends that "[d]espite diligent efforts to seek redress through state channels, [she] has been unable to obtain adequate relief due to a lack of effective pretrial remedies for detainees in New York State." *Id*. at 39. Petitioner states that her attorneys have "refused to file any motions on her behalf." *Id.* at 40. Petitioner avers that "[t]heir refusal effectively barred Petitioner from accessing state court remedies to address the violations of her constitutional rights." *Id.* at 41. Further, Petitioner has filed complaints with the New York State Attorney General, the New York State Inspector General, the New York Civil Liberties Union, the United States Department of Justice, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. *Id.* at 39–40. Petitioner concludes that she "has no other avenue to seek relief except through this federal habeas corpus petition." *Id.* at 41. Petitioner has not directly appealed her conviction or otherwise collaterally challenged it in state court. *See id.* at 40–41.

III. DISCUSSION

A. Petitioner Brings this Action Under the Wrong Statute.

Petitioner brings this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, which permits the federal courts to grant a writ of habeas corpus to a "prisoner . . . in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c). However, Section 2241 "pertains to challenges to the execution of a *federal* sentence." *Doe v. Terrell*, No. 09-CV-5834, 2010 WL 743180, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 25, 2010); *see also Cook v. N.Y. State Div. of Parole*, 321 F.3d 274, 278 (2d Cir. 2003). Since Petitioner is challenging an underlying *state* criminal action, relief is unavailable under Section 2241. *See Welch v. Mukasey*, 589 F. Supp. 2d 178, 179 (N.D.N.Y. 2008).

State prisoners may bring habeas corpus petitions under Section 2254, which governs petitions filed by "a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a). "[I]f an application that should be brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is mislabeled as a petition under [S]ection 2241, the district must treat it as a [S]ection 2254 application instead." *Cook*, 321 F.3d at 277 (citing *James v. Walsh*, 308 F.3d 162, 166 (2d Cir. 2002)). Accordingly, the Petition shall be treated as a habeas corpus petition brought pursuant to Section 2254.

B. Petitioner's Action is Dismissed for Failure to Exhaust.

Even under Section 2254, however, the Petition must be dismissed. An application for a writ of habeas corpus under Section 2254 cannot be granted unless "the applicant has exhausted the remedies available in the courts of the State." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(A). The only exceptions to the exhaustion requirement are if "there is an absence of available State corrective process," or "circumstances exist that render such process ineffective to protect the rights of the applicant." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(B).

Section 2254's exhaustion requirement requires both procedural exhaustion and substantive exhaustion. *Horton v. Ercole*, 557 F. Supp. 2d 308, 322 (N.D.N.Y. 2008). "Procedural exhaustion requires that a petitioner raise all claims in the state court prior to raising them in a habeas petition." *Id.* Substantive exhaustion requires that a petitioner "fairly present" any constitutional claims to the "appropriate state court (including a state supreme court with powers of discretionary review), thereby alerting that court to the federal nature of the claim." *Baldwin v. Reese*, 541 U.S. 27, 29 (2004). In other words, Petitioner "must give the state courts

one full opportunity to resolve any constitutional issues by invoking one complete round of the State's established appellate review process." *O'Sullivan v. Boerckel*, 526 U.S. 838, 845 (1999).

Here, Petitioner has filed no appeal or other collateral challenge to her conviction. *See* Pet. at 40–41. Consequently, the highest state court capable of reviewing Petitioner's claims of various constitutional errors has not yet had the opportunity to do so. Petitioner has made several complaints to state and federal agencies, *see* Pet. at 39–40, but these are not the types of state court challenges contemplated by Section 2254. *See O'Sullivan*, 526 U.S. at 845 (acknowledging that the exhaustion doctrine is required to give *state courts* the opportunity to resolve federal constitutional claims). Accordingly, Petitioner has not sufficiently exhausted the remedies available to her in state court.

Furthermore, there is no basis for the Court to excuse the exhaustion requirement under Section 2254(b)(1)(B). The Court cannot conclude that "there is an absence of available State corrective process," or that "circumstances exist that render such process ineffective to protect the rights of the applicant." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1)(B). Specifically, there is no merit to Petitioner's claim that poor performance by her counsel gives her "no other avenue to seek relief except through this federal habeas corpus petition." Pet. at 41. Even litigants without lawyers are required to navigate the procedural requirements of Section 2254. *See Perry v. Sheahan*, No. 16-CV-517, 2016 WL 6093997, at *3 (W.D.N.Y. Oct. 19, 2016) ("Petitioner's frustration at his difficulty navigating the procedural requirements *pro se*, and at the time required to do so correctly, is understandable, but . . . . it cannot excuse his failure to exhaust under 28 U.S.C. § 2254."). Although Petitioner claims her attorneys refused to submit motions on her behalf, she does not mention any appeals in state court that they have refused to file. *See* Pet. at 40–41.

Accordingly, the Court finds in this case that the state corrective processes are neither unavailable nor ineffective such that it should excuse the exhaustion requirement.

Based on the foregoing, the Petition is premature and dismissed without prejudice. If Petitioner exhausts the remedies available in state court, she is permitted to file another federal habeas petition. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 485–86 (2000). That habeas petition must be filed "within one year of the date the judgment of conviction becomes final." *Bethea v. Girdich*, 293 F.3d 577, 578 (2d Cir. 2002); 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d).

IV. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Petition, Dkt. No. 1, is **DISMISSED without prejudice**; and it is further

ORDERED that no certificate of appealability ("COA") shall issue in this case because Petitioner has failed to make a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Any further request for a COA must be addressed to the Court of Appeals (Fed. R. App. P. 22(b)); and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk serve a copy of this Memorandum-Decision and Order on all parties in accordance with the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 27, 2024
Albany, New York

LAWRENCE E. KAHN
United States District Judge